







# ELEMENTS

OF THE

# PHILOSOPHY

OF THE

HUMAN MIND.

For fuch of the Purchasers of this FIRST VOLUME as chuse to have it bound in Two Parts, a Title for the Second Part is printed, which is intended to begin at Chapter V. page 277.

The Second Volume of The Elements of the Philosophy of the Human Mind has been lately published in Quarto.

Strahan and Prefion, Printers-Street, London.

# ELEMENTS

OF THE

## **PHILOSOPHY**

OF THE

# HUMAN MIND.

### By DUGALD STEWART,

PROFESSOR OF MORAL PHILOSOPHY IN THE UNIVERSITY, AND FELLOW
OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY, OF EDINBURGH;
HONORARY MEMBER OF THE IMPERIAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
AT ST. PETERSBURGH;
AND MEMBER OF THE AMERICAN PHILOSOPHICAL SOCIETY
WELD AT PHILADELPHIA.

### VOL. I.

### THE FIFTH EDITION.

#### LONDON.

PRINTED FOR T. CADELL AND W. DAVIES, IN THE STRAND;

AND W. CREECH, EDINBURGH.

1814

Cha W/600.6,-5.

- ADAMS 162.6

TO

### THE REVEREND

## THOMAS REID, D.D.

PROFESSOR OF MORAL PHILOSOPHY
IN THE UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW,

## THIS WORK

IS INSCRIBED,

IN TESTIMONY OF

THE RESPECT AND AFFECTION

OF

THE AUTHOR.



## ADVERTISEMENT.

IN various parts of the following Work, references are made to subsequent speculations, which are not contained in it. These speculations it is my intention to resume at some future period: but when I consider the extent of my subject, and the many accidents which may divert me from the prosecution of it, I cannot venture so far as to announce, in the title-page of this volume, any promise of a future publication.

Some additional chapters are still wanting, to complete the Analysis of the Intellectual Powers. After finishing this, the course of my inquiries would lead me to treat, in the second place, of Man considered as an Active and Moral being; and, thirdly, of Man considered as the member of a Political Society.

COLLEGE OF EDINBURGH,
March 13, 1792.



# CONTENTS.

### INTRODUCTION.

OF the Nature and Object of the Philosophy of the H	u- Pag	e I
PART II.		
SECT. I.—Of the Utility of the Philosophy of the Hum	an	17
II Continuation of the fame Subject -	~	44
CHAP. I.		
Of the Powers of External Perception.		
Sect. I.—Of the Theories which have been formed by I lofophers, to explain the Manner in which	1	
MIND perceives external Objects -	•	63
II.—Of certain natural Prejudices, which feem have given rife to the common Theories		
Perception	-	71
III Of Dr. Reid's Speculations on the Subject	of	0
Perception  IV.—Of the Origin of our Knowledge	-	87 94
<b>3</b>		
CHAP. II.		
Of Attention	100	103

## CONTENTS.

CHAP. III.

Of Conception

- 133

CHAP. IV.	
Of Abstraction.	
SECT. I General Observations on this Faculty of the	
Mind	152
ploy general Terms	160
III.—Remarks on the Opinions of fome modern Philosophers on the Subject of the foregoing	
	182
IV.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Inferences with respect to the Use of Language as an Instrument of Thought, and the Errors in	
Reasoning to which it occasionally gives rise - V.—Of the Purposes to which the Powers of Ab-	197
fraction and Generalifation are subservient  VI.—Of the Errors to which we are liable in Speculation, and in the Conduct of Assairs, in consequence of a rash Application of general	204
	215
	224
CHAP. V.	
00.1 45.1	277
PA PA	RT

## PART I.

Of the Influence of Association The	in regula oughts.	ting the	Succession	of our
SECT. I.—General Observation	0	Part of	our Confti	f11.
tion, and on the I				
	-		-	- 277
II Of the Principles of				
III Of the Power which				
of its Thoughts	-		-	- 295
IV. — Illustrations of the D	octrine st	ated in t	he preced	ing
Section -	-	**	-	- 301
1. Of Wit		-		ibid.
2. Of Rhyme				
3. Of Poetical				- 312
4. Of Invention V.—Application of the				
going Sections of				
Phenomena of Dr		ipier, re	- CAPIAIII	- 327
I heliomena vi Di	ъ			3=1
PA	RT II.			
Of the Influence of Affociation	on the In	telleAual	and on th	e Adive
	orvers.			
P	orvers.			
P SECT. I.—Of the Influence o	owers. f cafual	Affociat	tions on o	our ,
P	owers. f cafual ufions	Affociat	tions on o	our .
SECT. I.— Of the Influence of fpeculative Conclusion.— Of the Influence of our Judgments in	f cafual ufions f the Aff	Affociation of Tafte	of Ideas	our
SECT. I.—Of the Influence of fpeculative Conclusion.  II.—Of the Influence of our Judgments in III.—Of the Influence of the I	f cafual afions f the Afford Matters of Afford	Affociation of Tafte	of 'Ideas	our
SECT. I.— Of the Influence of fpeculative Conclusion.— Of the Influence of our Judgments in III.—Of the Influence of Principles and on	f cafual ufions f the Afford Matters of Affociour mora	Affociation of Tafte ation or I Judgn	of Ideas	- 348 on - 369 ive - 386
SECT. I.—Of the Influence of fpeculative Conclusion.  II.—Of the Influence of our Judgments in III.—Of the Influence of Principles and on IV.—General Remarks of	f cafual ufions f the Aff Matters of Affoci our mora	Affociation of Tafte ation or I Judgn	of Ideas of our act	- 348 on - 369 ive - 386 the
SECT. I.— Of the Influence of fpeculative Conclusion.— Of the Influence of our Judgments in III.—Of the Influence of Principles and on	f cafual ufions f the Aff Matters of Affoci our mora	Affociation of Tafte ation or I Judgn	of Ideas of our act	- 348 on - 369 ive - 386 the
SECT. I.—Of the Influence of fpeculative Conclusion of the Influence of our Judgments in III.—Of the Influence of Principles and on IV.—General Remarks of foregoing Section	f cafual ufions f the Aff Matters of Affociation our mora in the Substitute of this formula of the substitute of the Sub	Affociation of Tafte ation or I Judgn	of Ideas of our act	- 348 on - 369 ive - 386 the
SECT. I.—Of the Influence of fpeculative Conclusion II.—Of the Influence of our Judgments in III.—Of the Influence of Principles and on IV.—General Remarks of foregoing Section CHA	f cafual affons f the Aff Matters of Affociour moranthe Suhs of this	Affociation of Tafte ation or I Judgn	of Ideas of our act	- 348 on - 369 ive - 386 the
SECT. I.—Of the Influence of fpeculative Conclusion II.—Of the Influence of our Judgments in III.—Of the Influence of Principles and on IV.—General Remarks of foregoing Section CHA	f cafual ufions f the Aff Matters of Affociation our mora in the Substitute of this formula of the substitute of the Sub	Affociation of Tafte ation or I Judgn	of Ideas of our act	- 348 on - 369 ive - 386 the
SECT. I.—Of the Influence of fpeculative Conclusion of the Influence of our Judgments in III.—Of the Influence of Principles and on IV.—General Remarks of foregoing Section CHA Of I.  SECT. I.—General Observation	f cafual ufions f the Affocion our mora the Subs of this of AFFocion the Subs of this our mora on the Subs of this our mora on Memory.	Affociation of Tafte ation or I Judgn ojects tr Chapter	of Ideas	- 348 on - 369 ive - 386 the - 397
SECT. I.—Of the Influence of fpeculative Conclusion of the Influence of our Judgments in III.—Of the Influence of Principles and on IV.—General Remarks of foregoing Section CHA	f cafual ufions f the Affocion our mora the Subs of this of AFFocion the Subs of this our mora on the Subs of this our mora on Memory.	Affociation of Tafte ation or I Judgn ojects tr Chapter	of Ideas	- 348 on - 369 ive - 386 the - 397
SECT. I.— Of the Influence of fpeculative Conclusion of the Influence of our Judgments in III.—Of the Influence of Principles and on IV.—General Remarks of foregoing Section  CHA  Of II.  SECT. I.— General Observation II.—Of the Varieties of	f cafual ufions f the Affocion our mora the Subs of this of AFFocion the Subs of this our mora on the Subs of this our mora on Memory.	Affociation of Tafte ation or I Judgn ojects tr Chapter	of Ideas our actions eated in the	- 348 on - 369 ive - 386 the - 397

III Of the Improvement of Memory Analysis of	
the Principles on which the Culture of Me-	
mory depends	429
IV Continuation of the same Subject Of the Aid	
which the Memory derives from Philosophical	
Arrangement	435
V.—Continuation of the same Subject.—Effects pro-	
duced on the Memory by committing to Writ-	
ing our acquired Knowledge	446
VI.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Of Artificial	
Memory	455
VII.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Importance	
of making a proper Selection among the Ob-	
jects of our Knowledge, in order to derive	
Advantage from the Acquisitions of Memory -	461
VIII Of the Connexion between Memory and Philofo-	
phical Genius	471
CHAP. VII.	
Of Imagination.	
SECT. I.—Analysis of Imagination	481
	48 r
II. — Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to some	
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts	481 489
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts  III.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Relation of	489
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts  III.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Relation of	
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts  III.—Continuation of the fame Subject,—Relation of Imagination and of Tafte to Genius  IV.—Of the Influence of Imagination on Human Cha-	489
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts III.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Relation of Imagination and of Taste to Genius - IV.—Of the Influence of Imagination on Human Character and Happiness V.—Continuation of the same Subject.—Inconve-	489 506
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts  III.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Relation of Imagination and of Taste to Genius  IV.—Of the Influence of Imagination on Human Character and Happiness	489 506
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts  III.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Relation of Imagination and of Tafte to Genius  IV.—Of the Influence of Imagination on Human Character and Happiness  V.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Inconveniences resulting from an ill-regulated Imagination	489 506
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts  III.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Relation of Imagination and of Tafte to Genius  IV.—Of the Influence of Imagination on Human Character and Happiness  V.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Inconveniences resulting from an ill-regulated Imagination  VI.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Important	489 506 509
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts  III.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Relation of Imagination and of Tafte to Genius  IV.—Of the Influence of Imagination on Human Character and Happiness  V.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Inconveniences resulting from an ill-regulated Imagination  VI.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Important Uses to which the Power of Imagination is	489 506 509
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts  III.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Relation of Imagination and of Taste to Genius  IV.—Of the Influence of Imagination on Human Character and Happiness  V.—Continuation of the same Subject.—Inconveniences resulting from an ill-regulated Imagination  VI.—Continuation of the same Subject.—Important Uses to which the Power of Imagination is	489 506 509
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts  III.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Relation of Imagination and of Taste to Genius  IV.—Of the Influence of Imagination on Human Character and Happiness  V.—Continuation of the same Subject.—Inconveniences resulting from an ill-regulated Imagination  VI.—Continuation of the same Subject.—Important Uses to which the Power of Imagination is	489 506 509 517
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts  III.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Relation of Imagination and of Taste to Genius  IV.—Of the Influence of Imagination on Human Character and Happiness  V.—Continuation of the same Subject.—Inconveniences resulting from an ill-regulated Imagination  VI.—Continuation of the same Subject.—Important Uses to which the Power of Imagination is	489 506 509 517
II.—Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to fome of the Fine Arts  III.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Relation of Imagination and of Tafte to Genius  IV.—Of the Influence of Imagination on Human Character and Happiness  V.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Inconveniences resulting from an ill-regulated Imagination  VI.—Continuation of the fame Subject.—Important Uses to which the Power of Imagination is subservient	489 506 509 517

ELEMENTS

## ELEMENTS

OF THE

### PHILOSOPHY

OF THE

## HUMAN MIND.

### INTRODUCTION.

#### PART FIRST.

Of the Nature and Object of the Philosophy of the Human Mind.

THE prejudice which is commonly entertained against metaphysical speculations, seems to arise chiefly from two causes: First, from an apprehension that the subjects about which they are employed are placed beyond the reach of the human faculties; and, secondly, from a belief that these subjects have no relation to the business of life.

The frivolous and abfurd discussions which abound in the writings of most Metaphysical authors, afford but too many arguments in justification of these opinions; and if such discussions were to be admitted as a fair specimen of what the human mind is able to accomplish in this department of science, the contempt, into which it has fallen of late, might with justice be regarded,

regarded, as no inconfiderable evidence of the progress which true philosophy has made in the prefent age. Among the various fubjects of inquiry, however, which, in confequence of the vague use of language, are comprehended under the general title of Metaphyfics, there are fome, which are effentially diftinguished from the rest, both by the degree of evidence which accompanies their principles, and by the relation which they bear to the ufeful fciences and arts: and it has unfortunately happened, that these have shared in that general difcredit, into which the other branches of metaphysics have justly fallen. To this circumstance is probably to be ascribed, the little progress which has hitherto been made in the PHILOSOPHY OF THE HUMAN MIND; a science, so interesting in its nature, and fo important in its applications, that it could fcarcely have failed, in these inquisitive and enlightened times, to have excited a very general attention, if it had not accidentally been classed, in the public opinion, with the vain and unprofitable difquifitions of the fchool-men.

In order to obviate these misapprehensions with respect to the subject of the following work, I have thought it proper, in this preliminary chapter, first, to explain the Nature of the truths which I propose to investigate; and, secondly, to point out some of the more important Applications of which they are susceptible. In stating these preliminary observations, I may perhaps appear to some to be minute and tedious; but this fault, I am consident, will be readily pardoned by those, who have studied with care the principles of that science of which I am to treat: and who are anxious

to remove the prejudices which have, in a great meafure, excluded it from the modern fystems of education. In the progress of my work, I flatter myself that I shall not often have occasion to solicit the indulgence of my readers, for an unnecessary disfuseness.

The notions we annex to the words, Matter, and Mind, as is well remarked by Dr. Reid\*, are merely relative. If I am asked, what I mean by Matter? I can only explain myfelf by faying, it is that which is extended, figured, coloured, moveable, hard or foft, rough or fmooth, hot or cold;—that is, I can define it in no other way than by enumerating its fenfible qualities. It is not matter, or body, which I perceive by my fenses; but only extension, figure, colour, and certain other qualities, which the constitution of my nature leads me to refer to fomething, which is extended, figured, and coloured. The case is precisely fimilar with respect to Mind. We are not immediately conscious of its existence, but we are conscious of senfation, thought, and volition; operations, which imply the existence of something which feels, thinks, and wills. Every man too is impressed with an irresistible conviction, that all these sensations, thoughts, and volitions, belong to one and the fame being; to that being, which he calls bimfelf; a being, which he is led, by the constitution of his nature, to consider as fomething diftinct from his body, and as not liable to be impaired by the loss or mutilation of any of his organs.

From these considerations, it appears, that we have the same evidence for the existence of mind, that we

<sup>\*</sup> Essays on the Active Powers of Man, p. 8, 9.

have for the existence of body; nay, if there be any difference between the two cases, that we have stronger evidence for it; inafmuch as the one is fuggested to us by the subjects of our own consciousness, and the other merely by the objects of our perceptions: and in this light, undoubtedly, the fact would appear to every person, were it not, that, from our earliest years, the attention is engroffed with the qualities and laws of matter, an acquaintance with which is abfolutely necessary for the preservation of our animal existence. Hence it is, that these phenomena occupy our thoughts more than those of mind; that we are perpetually tempted to explain the latter by the analogy of the former, and even to endeavour to refer them to the fame general laws; and that we acquire habits of inattention to the fubjects of our confciousness, too strong to be afterwards furmounted, without the most perfevering industry.

If the foregoing observations be well founded, they establish the distinction between mind and matter, without any long process of metaphysical reasoning\*: for if our notions of both are merely relative; if we know the one, only by such sensible qualities as extension, figure, and folidity; and the other, by such operations as sensation, thought, and volition; we are certainly entitled to say, that matter and mind, considered as objects of human study, are essentially different; the science of the former resting ultimately on the phenomena exhibited to our senses; that of the latter, on the phenomena of which we are conscious. Instead, therefore, of objecting to the scheme of mate,

rialifm,

<sup>\*</sup> See Note [A], at the end of the volume.

rialism, that its conclusions are false, it would be more accurate to say, that its aim is unphilosophical. It proceeds on a misapprehension of the proper object of science; the difficulty which it professes to remove being manifestly placed beyond the reach of our faculties. Surely, when we attempt to explain the nature of that principle which feels and thinks and wills, by saying, that it is a material substance, or that it is the result of material organization, we impose on ourselves by words; forgetting, that matter as well as mind is known to us by its qualities and attributes alone, and that we are totally ignorant of the effence of either \*.

As all our knowledge of the material world is derived from the information of our fenses, Natural philosophers have, in modern times, wifely abandoned to Metaphysicians, all speculations concerning the nature of that substance of which it is composed; concerning the possibility or impossibility of its being created; concerning the efficient causes of the changes which take place in it; and even concerning the reality of its existence, independent of that of percipient beings: and have confined themselves to the humbler province of observing the phenomena it exhibits, and of ascer-

<sup>\*</sup> Some Metaphyficians, who appear to admit the truth of the foregoing reasoning, have farther urged, that for any thing we can prove to the contrary, it is possible, that the unknown substance which has the qualities of extension, figure, and colour, may be the same with the unknown substance which has the attributes of feeling, thinking, and willing. But besides that this is only an hypothesis, which amounts to nothing more than a mere possibility, even if it were true, it would no more be proper to say of mind, that it is material, than to say of body, that it is spiritual.

taining their general laws. By purfuing this plan steadily, they have, in the course of the two last centuries, formed a body of science, which not only does honour to the human understanding, but has had a most important influence on the practical arts of life.—
This experimental philosophy, no one now is in danger of confounding with the metaphysical speculations already mentioned. Of the importance of these, as a separate branch of study, it is possible that some may think more savourably than others; but they are obviously different in their nature, from the investigations of physics; and it is of the utmost consequence to the evidence of this last science, that its principles should not be blended with those of the former.

A fimilar distinction takes place among the questions which may be stated relative to the human mind.—Whether it be extended or unextended; whether or not it has any relation to place; and (if it has) whether it resides in the brain, or be spread over the body, by diffusion; are questions perfectly analogous to those which Metaphysicians have started on the fubject of matter. It is unnecessary to enquire, at present, whether or not they admit of answer. It is fufficient for my purpose to remark, that they are as widely and obviously different from the view, which I propose to take, of the human mind in the following work, as the reveries of Berkeley concerning the nonexistence of the material world, are from the conclufions of Newton, and his followers.--It is farther evident, that the metaphyfical opinions, which we may happen to have formed concerning the nature either of body or of mind, and the efficient causes by which

their phenomena are produced, have no necessary connection with our inquiries concerning the laws, according to which these phenomena take place.—Whether (for example) the cause of gravitation be material or immaterial, is a point about which two Newtonians may differ, while they agree perfectly in their phyfical opinions. It is fufficient, if both admit the general fact, that bodies tend to approach each other, with a force varying with their mutual diffance, according to a certain law. In like manner, in the study of the human mind, the conclusions to which we are led, by a careful examination of the phenomena it exhibits, have no necesfary connexion with our opinions concerning its nature and effence.—That when two fubjects of thought, for instance, have been repeatedly presented to the mind in conjunction, the one has a tendency to fuggest the other, is a fact of which I can no more doubt, than of any thing for which I have the evidence of my fenses; and it is plainly a fact totally unconnected with any hypothesis concerning the nature of the foul, and which will be as readily admitted by the materialist as by the Berkeleian.

Notwithstanding, however, the reality and importance of this distinction, it has not hitherto been sufficiently attended to, by the philosophers who have treated of the human mind. Dr. Reid is perhaps the only one who has perceived it clearly, or at least who has kept it steadily in view, in all his inquiries. In the writings, indeed, of several other modern Metaphysicians, we meet with a variety of important and well-ascertained sacts; but, in general, these sace blended with speculations upon subjects which are placed

placed beyond the reach of the human faculties.——
It is this mixture of fact, and of hypothesis, which has brought the philosophy of mind into some degree of discredit; nor will ever its real value be generally acknowledged, till the distinction I have endeavoured to illustrate, be understood, and attended to, by those who speculate on the subject. By confining their attention to the sensible qualities of body, and to the sensible phenomena it exhibits, we know what discoveries natural philosophers have made: and if the labours of Metaphysicians shall ever be rewarded with similar success, it can only be, by attentive and patient reslection on the subjects of their own consciousness.

I cannot help taking this opportunity of remarking, on the other hand, that if phyfical inquirers fhould think of again employing themfelves in fpeculations about the nature of matter, inftead of attempting to afcertain its fenfible properties and laws, (and of late there feems to be fuch a tendency among fome of the followers of Bofcovich), they will foon involve themfelves in an inextricable labyrinth, and the first principles of phyfics will be rendered as mysterious and chimerical, as the pneumatology of the school-men.

The little progrefs which has hitherto been made in the philosophy of mind, will not appear furprifing to those who have attended to the history of natural knowledge. It is only fince the time of Lord Bacon, that the study of it has been prosecuted with any degree of success, or that the proper method of conducting it has been generally understood. There is even some reason for doubting, from the crude speculations on medical

and chemical fubjects which are daily offered to the public, whether it be yet understood so completely as is commonly imagined; and whether a fuller illustration of the rules of philosophising, than Bacon or his followers have given, might not be useful, even to physical inquirers.

When we reflect, in this manner, on the fhortness of the period during which natural philosophy has been fuccessfully cultivated; and, at the same time, consider how open to our examination the laws of matter are, in comparison of those which regulate the phenomena of thought, we shall neither be disposed to wonder, that the philosophy of mind should still remain in its infancy, nor be discouraged in our hopes concerning its future progress. The excellent models of this species of investigation, which the writings of Dr. Reid exhibit, give us ground to expect that the time is not far distant, when it shall assume that rank which it is entitled to hold among the sciences.

It would probably contribute much to accelerate the progress of the philosophy of mind, if a distinct explanation were given of its nature and object; and if some general rules were laid down, with respect to the proper method of conducting the study of it. To this subject, however, which is of sufficient extent to surnish matter for a separate work, I cannot attempt to do justice at present; and shall therefore consine myself to the illustration of a few sundamental principles, which it will be of essential importance for us to keep in view in the following inquiries.

Upon a flight attention to the operations of our own minds, they appear to be fo complicated, and fo infinitely diversified.

diversified, that it feems to be impossible to reduce them to any general laws. In confequence, however, of a more accurate examination, the prospect clears up; and the phenomena, which appeared, at first, to be too various for our comprehension, are found to be the refult of a comparatively fmall number of fimple and uncompounded faculties, or of fimple and uncompounded principles of action. These faculties and principles are the general laws of our constitution, and hold the fame place in the philosophy of mind, that the general laws we investigate in physics, hold in that branch of science. In both cases, the laws which nature has established, are to be investigated only by an examination of facts; and in both cases, a knowledge of these laws leads to an explanation of an infinite number of phenomena.

In the investigation of physical laws, it is well known, that our inquiries must always terminate in some general fact, of which no account can be given, but that such is the constitution of nature. After we have established, for example, from the astronomical phenomena, the universality of the law of gravitation, it may still be asked, whether this law implies the constant agency of mind; and (upon the supposition that it does) whether it be probable that the Deity always operates immediately, or by means of subordinate instruments? But these questions, however curious, do not fall under the province of the natural philosopher. It is sufficient for his purpose, if the universality of the fact be admitted.

The case is exactly the same in the philosophy of mind. When we have once ascertained a general

fact;

fact; fuch as, the various laws which regulate the affociation of ideas, or the dependence of memory on that effort of the mind which we call, Attention; it is all we ought to aim at, in this branch of science. If we proceed no farther than facts for which we have the evidence of our own consciousness, our conclusions will be no less certain, than those in physics: but if our curiosity leads us to attempt an explanation of the affociation of ideas, by certain supposed vibrations, or other changes, in the state of the brain; or to explain memory, by means of supposed impressions and traces in the sensorium; we evidently blend a collection of important and well-ascertained truths, with principles which rest wholly on conjecture\*.

The

\* There is indeed one view of the connexion between Mind and Matter, which is perfectly agreeable to the just rules of philosophy. The object of this is, to ascertain the laws which regulate their union, without attempting to explain in what manner they are united.

Lord Bacon was, I believe, the first who gave a distinct idea of this fort of speculation; and I do not know that much progress has yet been made in it. In his books de Augmentis Scientiarum, a variety of subjects are enumerated, in order to illustrate its nature; and, undoubtedly, most of these are in a high degree curious and important. The following list comprehends the chief of those has mentioned; with the addition of several others, recommended to the consideration of Philosophers and of Medical Inquirers, by the late Dr. Gregory. See his Lectures on the Duties and Qualifications of a Physician.

- 1. The doctrine of the prefervation and improvement of the different feufes.
  - 2. The history of the power and influence of imagination.
  - 3. The history of the feveral species of enthusiasm.
  - 4. The history of the various circumstances in parents, that have

The observations which have been now stated, with respect to the proper limits of philosophical curiosity, have too frequently escaped the attention of speculative men, in all the different departments of science. In none of these, however, has this inattention produced such a variety of errors and absurdities, as in the science of mind; a subject to which, till of late, it does not feem to have been suspected, that the general rules of philosophising are applicable. The strange mixture of fact and hypothesis, which the greater part of metaphysical inquiries exhibit, had led almost universally

an influence on conception, and the conflitution and characters of their children.

To this lift various other fubjects might be added; particularly, the history of the laws of memory, in fo far as they appear to be connected with the state of the body; and the history of the different species of madness.

This view of the connexion between Mind and Matter does not fall properly under the plan of the following work; in which my leading object is to afcertain the principles of our nature, in fo far as they can be discovered by attention to the subjects of our own consciousness; and to apply these principles to explain the phenomena arising from them. Various incidental remarks, however, will occur in the course of our inquiries, tending to illustrate some of the subjects comprehended in the foregoing enumeration.

13 to

<sup>5.</sup> The history of dreams.

<sup>6.</sup> The history of the laws of custom and habit.

<sup>7.</sup> The history of the effects of music, and of such other things as operate on the mind and body, in consequence of impressions made on the fenses.

<sup>8.</sup> The history of natural figns and language, comprehending the doctrine of physiognomy and of outward gesture.

<sup>9.</sup> The history of the power and laws of the principle of imitation.

to a belief, that it is only a very faint and doubtful light, which human reason can ever expect to throw on this dark, but interesting, field of speculation.

Beside this inattention to the proper limits of philosophical inquiry, other sources of error, from which the science of physics is entirely exempted, have contributed to retard the progress of the philosophy of mind. Of these, the most important proceed from that disposition which is so natural to every person at the commencement of his philosophical pursuits, to explain intellectual and moral phenomena by the ana-

logy of the material world.

I before took notice of those habits of inattention to the fubjects of our confciousness, which take their rife in that period of our lives when we are necessarily employed in acquiring a knowledge of the properties and laws of matter. In confequence of this early familiarity with the phenomena of the material world, they appear to us less mysterious than those of mind; and we are apt to think that we have advanced one step in explaining the latter, when we can point out fome analogy between them and the former. It is owing to the fame circumstance, that we have fcarcely any appropriated language with respect to mind, and that the words which express its different operations, are almost all borrowed from the objects of our fenses. It must, however, appear manifest, upon a very little reflection, that as the two fubjects are effentially diftinct, and as each of them has its peculiar laws, the analogies we are pleafed to fancy between them, can be of no use in illustrating either; and that it is no less unphilosophical

phical to attempt an explanation of perception, or of the affociation of ideas, upon mechanical principles; than it would be to explain the phenomena of gravitation, by fuppofing, as fome of the ancients did, the particles of matter to be animated with principles of motion; or to explain the chemical phenomena of elective attractions, by fuppofing the fubflances among which they are observed, to be endowed with thought and volition.—The analogy of matter, therefore, can be of no use in the inquiries which form the object of the following work; but, on the contrary, is to be guarded against, as one of the principal sources of the errors to which we are liable.

Among the different philosophers who have speculated concerning the human mind, very few indeed can be mentioned, who have at all times been able to guard against analogical theories. At the same time, it must be acknowledged, that fince the publication of Des Cartes' writings, there has been a gradual, and, on the whole, a very remarkable improvement in this branch of science. One striking proof of this is, the contrast between the metaphyfical speculations of some of the most eminent philosophers in England at the end of the last century, and those which we find in the fystems, however imperfect, of the prefent age. Would any writer now offer to the world, fuch conclusions with respect to the mind, as are contained in the two following paffages from Locke and Newton? "Habits," (fays Locke,) " feem to be but trains of motion, in " the animal spirits, which, once set a-going, continue " in 16

"in the fame steps they had been used to, which, by often treading, are worn into a smooth path." And Newton himself has proposed the following query, concerning the manner in which the mind perceives external objects. "Is not," (fays he,) the fensorium of animals the place where the sense tient substance is present, and to which the fensorium fible species of things are brought, through the nerves and brain, that they may be perceived by the mind present in that place?"—In the course of the following Essays, I shall have occasion to quote various other passages from later writers, in which an attempt is made to explain the other phenomena of mind, upon similar principles.

It is however much to be regretted, that even fince the period when philosophers began to adopt a more rational plan of inquiry with respect to such fubjects, they have been obliged to fpend fo much of their time in clearing away the rubbish collected by their predeceffors. This indeed was a preliminary step, which the state of the science, and the conclusions to which it had led, rendered absolutely neceffary; for, however, important the positive advantages may be, which are to be expected from its future progress, they are by no means so effential to human improvement and happiness, as a satisfactory refutation of that fceptical philosophy, which had struck at the root of all knowledge, and all belief. Such a refutation feems to have been the principal object which Dr. Reid proposed to himself in his metaphyfical inquiries; and to this object his labours have been directed with fo much ability, candour,

and perfeverance, that unless future sceptics should occupy a ground very different from that of their predecessors, it is not likely that the controversy will ever be renewed. The rubbish being now removed, and the foundations laid, it is time to begin the superstructure. The progress which I have made in it is, I am sensible, very inconsiderable; yet I flatter myself, that the little I have done, will be sufficient to illustrate the importance of the study, and to recommend the subjects of which I am to treat, to the attention of others.

After the remarks which I have now made, the reader will not be furprifed to find, that I have studioufly avoided the confideration of those questions which have been agitated in the prefent age, between the patrons of the fceptical philosophy, and their opponents. These controversies have, in truth, no peculiar connexion with the inquiries on which I am to enter. It is indeed only by an examination of the principles of our nature, that they can be brought to a fatisfactory conclusion; but supposing them to remain undecided, our fceptical doubts concerning the certainty of human knowledge, would no more affect the philosophy of mind, than they would affect any of the branches of physics; nor would our doubts concerning even the existence of mind, affect this branch of science, any more than the doubts of the Berkeleian, concerning the existence of matter, affect his opinions in natural philosophy.

To what purposes the philosophy of the human mind, according to the view which I propose to take of it, is subservient, I shall endeavour to explain, at some length, in the following section.

### PART SECOND.

### SECTION I.

Of the Utility of the Philosophy of the Human Mind.

Thas been often remarked, that there is a mutual connexion between the different arts and fciences; and that the improvements which are made in one branch of human knowledge, frequently throw light on others, to which it has apparently a very remote relation. The modern difcoveries in aftronomy, and in pure mathematics, have contributed to bring the art of navigation to a degree of perfection formerly unknown. The rapid progrefs which has been lately made in aftronomy, anatomy, and botany, has been chiefly owing to the aid which thefe fciences have received from the art of the optician.

Although, however, the different departments of science and of art mutually reslect light on each other, it is not always necessary either for the philosopher or the artist to aim at the acquisition of general knowledge. Both of them may fasely take many principles for granted, without being able to demonstrate their truth. A seaman, though ignorant of mathematics, may apply, with correctness and dexterity, the rules for finding the longitude: An astronomer, or a botanist, though ignorant of optics, may avail himself of the use of the telescope, or the microscope.

Thefe

These observations are daily exemplified in the case of the artist; who has seldom either inclination or leisure to speculate concerning the principles of his art. It is rarely, however, we meet with a man of science, who has consined his studies wholly to one branch of knowledge. That curiosity, which he has been accustomed to indulge in the course of his savourite pursuit, will naturally extend itself to every remarkable object which falls under his observation; and can scarcely fail to be a source of perpetual dissatssaction to his mind, till it has been so far gratistied as to enable him to explain all the various phenomena, which his professional habits are every day presenting to his view.

As every particular science is in this manner connected with others, to which it naturally directs the attention, fo all the pursuits of life, whether they terminate in speculation or action, are connected with that general science, which has the human mind for its object. The powers of the understanding are instruments which all men employ; and his curiofity must be small indeed, who passes through life in a total ignorance of faculties, which his wants and necessities force him habitually to exercise, and which fo remarkably distinguish man from the lower animals. The active principles of our nature, which, by their various modifications and combinations, give rife to all the moral differences among men, are fitted, in a still higher degree, if possible, to interest those, who are either disposed to reslect on their own characters, or to observe, with attention, the characters of others. The phenomena re-

fulting

fulting from these faculties and principles of the mind, are every moment soliciting our notice; and open to our examination, a sield of discovery, as inexhaustible as the phenomena of the material world; and exhibiting not less striking marks of divine wisdom.

While all the fciences, and all the purfuits of life, have this common tendency to lead our inquiries to the philosophy of human nature, this last branch of knowledge borrows its principles from no other science whatever. Hence there is fomething in the study of it, which is peculiarly gratifying to a reflecting and inquifitive mind; and fomething in the conclusions to which it leads, on which the mind refts with peculiar fatisfaction. Till once our opinions are in some degree fixed with respect to it, we abandon ourselves, with reluctance, to particular fcientific investigations; and on the other hand, a general knowledge of fuch of its principles as are most fitted to excite the curiofity, not only prepares us for engaging in other pursuits with more liberal and comprehensive views, but leaves us at liberty to profecute them with a more undivided and concentrated attention.

It is not, however, merely as a fubject of fpeculative curiofity, that the principles of the human mind deferve a careful examination. The advantages to be expected from a fuccefsful analysis of it are various; and some of them of such importance, as to render it astonishing, that, amidst all the success with which the subordinate sciences have been cultivated, this, which comprehends the principles

 $C_2$ 

of all of them, should be still suffered to remain in its infancy.

I shall endeavour to illustrate a few of these advantages, beginning with what appears to me to be the most important of any; the light, which a philosophical analysis of the principles of the mind would necessarily throw, on the subjects of intellectual and moral education.

The most effential objects of education are the two following: First, to cultivate all the various principles of our nature, both speculative and active, in such a manner as to bring them to the greatest perfection of which they are susceptible; and, Secondly, by watching over the impressions and associations which the mind receives in early life, to secure it against the influence of prevailing errors; and, as far as possible, to engage its prepossessions on the side of truth. It is only upon a philosophical analysis of the mind, that a systematical plan can be founded, for the accomplishment of either of these purposes.

There are few individuals, whose education has been conducted in every respect with attention and judgment. Almost every man of reslection is conficious, when he arrives at maturity, of many defects in his mental powers; and of many inconvenient habits, which might have been prevented or remedied in his infancy or youth. Such a consciousness is the first step towards improvement; and the perfon who feels it, if he is possessed of resolution and steadiness, will not scruple to begin, even in advanced years, a new course of education for him-

felf. The degree of reflection and observation, indeed, which is necessary for this purpose, cannot be expected from any one at a very early period of life, as these are the last powers of the mind which unfold themselves; but it is never too late to think of the improvement of our faculties; and much progress may be made, in the art of applying them successfully to their proper objects, or in obviating the inconveniences resulting from their impersection, not only in manhood, but in old age.

It is not, however, to the mistakes of our early instructors, that all our intellectual defects are to be ascribed. There is no profession or pursuit which has not habits peculiar to itself; and which does not leave fome powers of the mind dormant, while it exercifes and improves the rest. If we wish, therefore, to cultivate the mind to the extent of its capacity, we must not rest satisfied with that employment which its faculties receive from our particular fituation in life. It is not in the awkward and professional form of a mechanic, who has strengthened particular muscles of his body by the habits of his trade, that we are to look for the perfection of our animal nature: neither is it among men of confined purfuits, whether speculative or active, that we are to expect to find the human mind in its highest state of cultivation. A variety of exercises is necessary. to preferve the animal frame in vigour and beauty; and a variety of those occupations which literature and science afford, added to a promiscuous inter. course with the world, in the habits of conversation and business, is no less necessary for the improve-

C 3

ment of the understanding. I acknowledge, that there are some professions, in which a man of very confined acquisitions may arrive at the first eminence; and in which he will perhaps be the more likely to excel, the more he has concentrated the whole force of his mind to one particular object. But such a person, however distinguished in his own sphere, is educated merely to be a literary artisan; and neither attains the persection, nor the happiness, of his nature. "That "education only can be considered as complete and generous, which" (in the language of Milton) "fits a "man to person justly, skilfully, and magnanimously, all the offices, both private and public, of peace, and "of war \*."

I hope it will not be supposed, from the foregoing observations, that they are meant to recommend an indifcriminate attention to all the objects of speculation and of action. Nothing can be more evident, than the necessity of limiting the field of our exertion, if we wish to benefit fociety by our labours. But it is perfectly confistent with the most intense application to our favourite pursuit, to cultivate that general acquaintance with letters and with the world, which may be fufficient to enlarge the mind, and to preserve it from any danger of contracting the pedantry of a particular pro-In many cases, (as was already remarked), the fciences reflect light on each other; and the general acquifitions which we have made in other purfuits, may furnish us with useful helps for the farther profecution of our own. But even in those instances in which the case is otherwise, and in which these liberal accom-

<sup>\*</sup> Tractate of Education.

plishments must be purchased by the facrifice of a part of our professional eminence, the acquisition of them will amply repay any loss we may sustain. It ought not to be the leading object of any one, to become an eminent metaphysician, mathematician, or poet; but to render himself happy as an individual, and an agreeable, a respectable, and an useful member of society. A man who loses his sight, improves the sensibility of his touch: but who would consent, for such a recompence, to part with the pleasures which he receives from the eye?

It is almost unnecessary for me to remark, how much individuals would be affisted in the proper and liberal culture of the mind, if they were previously led to take a comprehensive survey of human nature in all its parts; of its various faculties, and powers, and sources of enjoyment; and of the effects which are produced on these principles by particular situations. It is such a knowledge alone of the capacities of the mind, that can enable a person to judge of his own acquisitions; and to employ the most effectual means for supplying his defects, and removing his inconvenient habits. Without some degree of it, every man is in danger of contracting bad habits, before he is aware; and of suffering some of his powers to go to decay, for want of proper exercise.

If the business of early education were more thoroughly, and more generally, understood, it would be less necessary for individuals, when they arrive at maturity, to form plans of improvement for themselves. But education never can be systematically directed to its proper objects, till we have obtained, not only an

C 4

accurate analysis of the general principles of our nature, and an account of the most important laws which regulate their operation; but an explanation of the various modifications and combinations of these principles, which produce that diversity of talents, genius, and character, we observe among men. To instruct youth in the languages, and in the sciences, is comparatively of little importance, if we are inattentive to the habits they acquire; and are not careful in giving, to all their different faculties, and all their different principles of action, a proper degree of employment. Abstracting entirely from the culture of their moral powers, how extensive and difficult is the business of conducting their intellectual improvement! To watch over the affociations which they form in their tender years; to give them early habits of mental activity; to rouse their curiofity, and to direct it to proper objects; to exercise their ingenuity and invention; to cultivate in their minds a turn for speculation, and at the fame time preferve their attention alive to the objects around them; to awaken their fensibilities to the beauties of nature, and to inspire them with a relish for intellectual enjoyment; - these form but a part of the bufiness of education; and yet the execution even of this part requires an acquaintance with the general principles of our nature, which feldom falls to the share of those to whom the instruction of youth is commonly intrusted.—Nor will fuch a theoretical knowledge of the human mind, as I have now described, be always fufficient in practice. An uncommon degree of fagacity is frequently requifite, in order to accommodate general rules to particular tempers, and charac-

ters.-In whatever way we chuse to account for it, whether by original organifation, or by the operation of moral causes, in very early infancy; no fact can be more undeniable, than that there are important differences difcernible in the minds of children, previous to that period at which, in general, their intellectual education commences. There is, too, a certain hereditary character (whether refulting from physical constitution, or caught from imitation and the influence of fituation), which appears remarkably in particular families. One race, for a fuccession of generations, is distinguished by a genius for the abstract sciences, while it is deficient in vivacity, in imagination, and in tafte: another is no less distinguished for wit, and gaiety, and fancy; while it appears incapable of patient attention, or of profound refearch. The fystem of education which is proper to be adopted in particular cases, ought, undoubtedly, to have fome reference to these circumstances; and to be calculated, as much as possible, to develope and to cherish those intellectual and active principles, in which a natural deficiency is most to be apprehended. Montesquieu, and other speculative politicians, have infifted much on the reference which education and laws should have to climate. I shall not take upon me to fay, how far their conclusions on this subject are just; but I am fully perfuaded, that there is a foundation in philosophy, and good fense, for accommodating, at a very early period of life, the education of individuals to those particular turns of mind, to which, from hereditary propenfities, or from moral fituation, they may be prefumed to have a natural tendency.

There are few fubjects more hackneyed than that of education; and yet there is none, upon which the opinions of the world are still more divided. Nor is this furprifing; for most of those who have speculated concerning it, have confined their attention chiefly to incidental questions about the comparative advantages of public or private instruction, or the utility of particular languages or fciences; without attempting a previous examination of those faculties and principles of the mind, which it is the great object of education to improve. Many excellent detached observations, indeed, both on the intellectual and moral powers, are to be collected from the writings of ancient and modern authors; but I do not know, that in any language an attempt has been made to analyfe and illuftrate the principles of human nature, in order to lay a philosophical foundation for their proper culture.

I have even heard fome very ingenious and intelligent men difpute the propriety of fo fystematical a plan of instruction. The most successful and splendid exertions, both in the sciences and arts, (it has been frequently remarked), have been made by individuals, in whose minds the seeds of genius were allowed to shoot up, wild and free; while, from the most careful and skilful tuition, seldom any thing results above mediocrity. I shall not, at present, enter into any discussions with respect to the certainty of the fact on which this opinion is founded. Supposing the fact to be completely established, it must still be remembered, that originality of genius does not always imply vigour and comprehensiveness, and liberality of mind; and that it is desirable only, in so far as it is compatible

with

with these more valuable qualities. I already hinted, that there are fome purfuits, in which, as they require the exertion only of a fmall number of our faculties, an individual, who has a natural turn for them, will be more likely to diftinguish himself, by being suffered to follow his original bias, than if his attention were distracted by a more liberal course of study. But wherever fuch men are to be found, they must be confidered, on the most favourable supposition, as having facrificed, to a certain degree, the perfection and the happiness of their nature, to the amusement or instruction of others. It is, too, in times of general darkness and barbarism, that what is commonly called originality of genius most frequently appears: and furely the great aim of an enlightened and benevolent philosophy, is not to rear a small number of individuals, who may be regarded as prodigies in an ignorant and admiring age, but to diffuse, as widely as possible, that degree of cultivation which may enable the bulk of a people to possess all the intellectual and moral improvement of which their nature is fufceptible. "Original genius" (fays Voltaire) "oc-" curs but feldom in a nation where the literary " tafte is formed. The number of cultivated minds " which there abound, like the trees in a thick and " flourishing forest, prevent any single individual from " rearing his head far above the rest. Where trade " is in few hands, we meet with a small number of " over-grown fortunes in the midst of a general po-" verty: in proportion as it extends, opulence be-" comes general, and great fortunes rare. It is, pre-" cifely, because there is at present much light, and " much " much cultivation, in France, that we are led to complain of the want of fuperior genius."

To what purpose, indeed, it may be said, all this labour? Is not the importance of every thing to man, to be ultimately estimated by its tendency to promote his happiness? And is not our daily experience sufficient to convince us, that this is, in general, by no means proportioned to the culture which his nature has received?—Nay, is there not some ground for suspecting, that the lower orders of men enjoy, on the whole, a more enviable condition, than their more enlightened and refined superiors?

The truth, I apprehend, is, that happiness, in so far as it arises from the mind itself, will be always proportioned to the degree of perfection which its powers have attained; but that, in cultivating these powers, with a view to this most important of all objects, it is effentially necessary that such a degree of attention be bestowed on all of them, as may preserve them in that state of relative strength, which appears to be agreeable to the intentions of nature. In confequence of an exclusive attention to the culture of the imagination, the taste, the reasoning faculty, or any of the active principles, it is possible that the pleasures of human life may be diminished, or its pains increased; but the inconveniences which are experienced in fuch cafes, are not to be ascribed to education, but to a partial and injudicious education. In fuch cases, it is possible, that the poet, the metaphyfician, or the man of tafte and refinement, may appear to difadvantage, when compared with the vulgar; for fuch is the benevolent appointment of Providence with respect to the lower orders, that, although

although not one principle of their nature be completely unfolded, the whole of these principles preserve among themselves, that balance which is favourable to the tranquillity of their minds, and to a prudent and steady conduct in the limited sphere which is assigned to them, far more completely, than in those of their superiors, whose education has been conducted on an erroneous or impersect system: but all this, far from weakening the force of the foregoing observations, only serves to demonstrate how impossible it always will be, to form a rational plan for the improvement of the mind, without an accurate and comprehensive knowledge of the principles of the human constitution.

The remarks which have been already made, are fufficient to illustrate the dangerous confequences which are likely to refult from a partial and injudicious cultivation of the mind; and, at the fame time, to point out the utility of the intellectual philosophy, in enabling us to preferve a proper balance among all its various faculties, principles of action, and capacities of enjoyment. Many additional observations might be offered, on the tendency which an accurate analysis of its powers might probably have, to suggest rules for their farther improvement, and for a more fuccessful application of them to their proper purposes: but this fubject I shall not profecute at prefent, as the illustration of it is one of the leading objects of the following work.—That the memory, the imagination, or the reasoning faculty, are to be instantly strengthened in confequence of our fpeculations concerning their nature, it would be abfurd to suppose; but it is furely 14

furely far from being unreasonable to think, that an acquaintance with the laws which regulate these powers, may suggest some useful rules for their gradual cultivation; for remedying their defects, in the case of individuals, and even for extending those limits, which nature seems, at first view, to have assigned them.

To how great a degree of perfection the intellectual and moral nature of man is capable of being raifed by cultivation, it is difficult to conceive. The effects of early, continued, and fystematical education, in the case of those children who are trained, for the sake of gain, to seats of strength and agility, justify, perhaps, the most sanguine views which it is possible for a philosopher to form, with respect to the improvement of the species.

I now proceed to confider, how far the philosophy of mind may be useful in accomplishing the second object of education; by affishing us in the management of early impressions and affociations.

By far the greater part of the opinions on which we act in life, are not the refult of our own investigations; but are adopted implicitly, in infancy and youth, upon the authority of others. Even the great principles of morality, although implanted in every heart, are commonly aided and cherished, at least to a certain degree, by the care of our instructors.—All this is undoubtedly agreeable to the intentions of nature; and, indeed, were the case otherwise, society could not subsist; for nothing can be more evident, than that the bulk of mankind, condemned as they are to laborious occupations, which are incompatible with intellectual

intellectual improvement, are perfectly incapable of forming their own opinions on some of the most important fubjects that can employ the human mind. It is evident, at the fame time, that as no fystem of education is perfect, a variety of prejudices must, in this way, take an early hold of our belief; fo as to acquire over it an influence not inferior to that of the most incontrovertible truths. When a child hears, either a fpeculative abfurdity, or an erroneous principle of action, recommended and enforced daily, by the fame voice which first conveyed to it those simple and sublime leffons of morality and religion which are congenial to its nature, is it to be wondered at, that, in future life, it should find it so difficult to eradicate prejudices which have twined their roots with all the effential principles of the human frame?——If fuch, however, be the obvious intentions of nature, with refpect to those orders of men who are employed in bodily labour, it is equally clear, that she meant to impose it as a double obligation on those who receive the advantages of a liberal education, to examine, with the most scrupulous care, the foundation of all those received opinions, which have any connexion with morality, or with human happiness. If the multitude must be led, it is of consequence, furely, that it should be led by enlightened conductors; by men who are able to distinguish truth from error; and to draw the line between those prejudices which are innocent or falutary, (if indeed there are any prejudices which are really falutary,) and those which are hostile to the interests of virtue and of mankind.

In fuch a flate of fociety as that in which we live, the prejudices of a moral, a political, and a religious nature, which we imbibe in early life, are fo various, and at the fame time fo intimately blended with the belief we entertain of the most facred and important truths, that a great part of the life of a philosopher must necessarily be devoted, not so much to the acquifition of new knowledge, as to unlearn the errors to which he had been taught to give an implicit affent, before the dawn of reason and reflexion. And unless he fubmit in this manner to bring all his opinions to the test of a fevere examination, his ingenuity, and his learning, instead of enlightening the world, will only enable him to give an additional currency, and an additional authority, to established errors. To attempt fuch a ftruggle against early prejudices, is, indeed, the professed aim of all philosophers; but how few are to be found who have force of mind fufficient for accomplishing their object; and who, in freeing themselves from one set of errors, do not allow themselves to be carried away with another? To fucceed in it completely, Lord Bacon feems to have thought, (in one of the most remarkable passages of his writings,) to be more than can well be expected from human frailty. " Nemo adhuc tanta mentis " constantia inventus est, ut decreverit, et sibi im-" pofuerit, theorias et notiones communes penitus " abolere, et intellectum abrasum et æquum ad parti-" cularia, de integro, applicare. Itaque illa ratio " humana, quam habemus, ex multa fide, et multo " etiam casu, nec non ex puerilibus, quas primo " haufimus, notionibus, farrago quædam eft, et con-" geries,

" geries. Quod fiquis, ætate matura, et fenfibus in" tegris, et mente repurgata, fe ad experientiam, et
" ad particularia de integro applicet, de eo melius
" fperandum eft."

Nor is it merely in order to free the mind from the influence of error, that it is useful to examine the foundation of established opinions. It is such an examination alone, that, in an inquisitive age like the prefent, can fecure a philosopher from the danger of unlimited fcepticism. To this extreme, indeed, the complexion of the times is more likely to give him a tendency, than to implicit credulity. In the former ages of ignorance and fuperstition, the intimate association which had been formed, in the prevailing fyftems of education, between truth and error, had given to the latter, an afcendant over the minds of men, which it could never have acquired, if divested of fuch an alliance. The cafe has, of late years, been most remarkably reversed: the common sense of mankind, in confequence of the growth of a more liberal spirit of inquiry, has revolted against many of those abfurdities, which had fo long held human reason in captivity; and it was, perhaps, more than could reafonably have been expected, that, in the first moments of their emancipation, philosophers should have stopped fhort, at the precise boundary, which cooler reflection, and more moderate views, would have prefcribed. The fact is, that they have passed far beyonc. it; and that, in their zeal to destroy prejudices, they have attempted to tear up by the roots, many of the best and happiest and most essential principles of our nature. Having remarked the powerful influence of education

education over the mind, they have concluded, that man is wholly a factitious being; not recollecting, that this very fusceptibility of education presupposes certain original principles, which are common to the whole species; and that, as error can only take a permanent hold of a candid mind by being grafted on truths, which it is unwilling or unable to eradicate; even the influence, which false and absurd opinions occasionally acquire over the belief, instead of being an argument for univerfal fcepticifin, is the most decifive argument against it; inafmuch as it shews, that there are some truths so incorporated and identified with our nature, that they can reconcile us even to the abfurdities and contradictions with which we fuppose them to be inseparably connected. The sceptical philosophers, for example, of the present age, have frequently attempted to hold up to ridicule, those contemptible and puerile superstitions, which have difgraced the creeds of fome of the most enlightened nations; and which have not only commanded the affent, but the reverence, of men of the most accomplished understandings. But these histories of human imbecility are, in truth, the strongest testimonies which can be produced, to prove, how wonderful is the influence of the fundamental principles of morality over the belief; when they are able to fanctify, in the apprehenfions of mankind, every extravagant opinion, and every unmeaning ceremony, which early education has taught us to affociate with them.

That implicit credulity is a mark of a feeble mind, will not be difputed; but it may not perhaps be as generally acknowledged, that the cafe is the fame with unlimited

unlimited fcepticism: on the contrary, we are sometimes apt to afcribe this disposition to a more than ordinary vigour of intellect. Such a prejudice was by no means unnatural at that period in the history of modern Europe, when reason first began to throw off the yoke of authority; and when it unquestionably required a fuperiority of understanding, as well as of intrepidity, for an individual to refift the contagion of prevailing superstition. But in the present age, in which the tendency of fashionable opinions is directly opposite to those of the vulgar; the philosophical creed, or the philosophical scepticism of by far the greater number of those who value themselves on an emancipation from popular errors, arifes from the very fame weakness with the credulity of the multitude: nor is it going too far to fay, with Rousseau, that "He, who, in the end of the eighteenth century, " has brought himfelf to abandon all his early prin-" ciples without difcrimination, would probably have " been a bigot in the days of the League." In the midst of these contrary impulses, of fashionable and of vulgar prejudices, he alone evinces the fuperiority and the strength of his mind, who is able to disentangle truth from error; and to oppose the clear conclusions of his own unbiassed faculties, to the united clamours of fuperstition, and of false philosophy.-Such are the men, whom nature marks out to be the lights of the world; to fix the wavering opinions of the multitude, and to impress their own characters on that of their age.

For fecuring the mind completely from the weaknesses I have now been describing, and enabling it to D 2 maintain maintain a steady course of inquiry, between implicit credulity, and unlimited scepticism, the most important of all qualities is a sincere and devoted attachment to truth; which seldom fails to be accompanied with a manly considence in the clear conclusions of human reason. It is such a considence, united (as it generally is) with personal intrepidity, which forms what the French writers call force of character; one of the rarest endowments, it must be consessed, of our species; but which, of all endowments, is the most effential for rendering a philosopher happy in himself, and a blessing to mankind.

There is, I think, good reason for hoping, that the sceptical tendency of the present age, will be only a temporary evil. While it continues, however, it is an evil of the most alarming nature; and, as it extends, in general, not only to religion and morality, but, in some measure, also to politics, and the conduct of life, it is equally fatal to the comfort of the individual, and to the improvement of fociety. Even in its most inoffensive form, when it happens to be united with a peaceable disposition and a benevolent heart, it cannot fail to have the effect of damping every active and patriotic exertion. Convinced that truth is placed beyond the reach of the human faculties; and doubtful how far the prejudices we despife may not be effential to the well-being of fociety, we refolve to abandon completely all speculative inquiries; and suffering ourselves to be carried quietly along with the stream of popular opinions, and of fashionable manners, determine to amuse ourselves, the best way we can, with bufiness or pleasure, during our short passage through

through this scene of illusions. But he who thinks more favourably of the human powers, and who believes that reason was given to man to direct him to his duty and his happiness, will despise the suggestions of this timid philosophy; and while he is conscious that he is guided in his inquiries only by the love of truth, will rest assured that their result will be equally favourable to his own comfort, and to the best interests of mankind. What, indeed, will be the particular effects in the first instance, of that general diffusion of knowledge, which the art of printing must fooner or later produce, and of that spirit of reformation with which it cannot fail to be accompanied, it is beyond the reach of human fagacity to conjecture; but unless we chuse to abandon ourselves entirely to a desponding scepticism, we must hope and believe, that the progress of human reason can never be a source of permanent diforder to the world; and that they alone have cause to apprehend the consequences, who are led, by the imperfection of our present institutions, to feel themselves interested in perpetuating the prejudices, and follies, of their species.

From the observations which have been made, it sufficiently appears, that in order to secure the mind, on the one hand, from the influence of prejudice; and on the other, from a tendency to unlimited scepticism; it is necessary that it should be able to distinguish the original and universal principles and laws of human nature, from the adventitious effects of local situation. But if, in the case of an individual, who has received an imperfect or erroneous education, such a knowledge puts it in his power to correct, to a cer-

tain degree, his own bad habits, and to furmount his own speculative errors; it enables him to be useful, in a much higher degree, to those whose education he has an opportunity of fuperintending from early infancy. Such, and fo permanent, is the effect of first impressions, on the character, that although a philofopher may fucceed, by perfeverance, in freeing his reason from the prejudices with which it was entangled, they will still retain some hold of his imagination, and his affections: and, therefore, however enlightened his understanding may be in his hours of speculation, his philosophical opinions will frequently lose their influence over his mind, in those very fituations in which their practical affiftance is most required: - when his temper is foured by misfortune; or when he engages in the pursuits of life, and exposes himself to the contagion of popular errors. His opinions are supported merely by speculative arguments; and, instead of being connected with any of the active principles of his nature, are counteracted and thwarted by some of the most powerful of them. How different would the case be, if education were conducted from the beginning with attention and judgment? Were the fame pains taken, to imprefs truth on the mind in early infancy, that is often taken to inculcate error, the great principles of our conduct would not only be juster than they are; but, in confequence of the aid which they would receive from the imagination and the heart, trained to conspire with them in the same direction, they would render us happier in ourfelves, and would influence our practice more powerfully and more habitually. There is furely nothing in error, which is more congenial to the mind than truth. On the contrary, when exhibited feparately, and alone to the understanding, it shocks our reason, and provokes our ridicule; and it is only, (as I had occasion already to remark,) by an alliance with truths, which we find it difficult to renounce, that it can obtain our affent, or command our reverence. What advantages, then, might be derived from a proper attention to early impressions and affociations, in giving support to those principles which are connected with human happiness? The long reign of error in the world, and the influence it maintains, even in an age of liberal inquiry; far from being favourable to the supposition, that human reason is destined to be for ever the sport of prejudice and abfurdity, demonstrates the tendency which there is to permanence in established opinions, and in established institutions; and promises an eternal stability to true philosophy, when it shall once have acquired the afcendant; and when proper means shall be employed to support it, by a more perfect system of education.

Let us suppose, for a moment, that this happy æra were arrived, and that all the prepossessions of childhood and youth were directed to support the pure and sublime truths of an enlightened morality. With what ardour, and with what transport, would the understanding, when arrived at maturity, proceed in the search of truth; when, instead of being obliged to struggle, at every step, with early prejudices, its office was merely to add the force of philosophical conviction, to impressions, which are equally delightful to the imagination, and dear to the heart! The prepossessions

fessions of childhood would, through the whole of life, be gradually acquiring strength from the enlargement of our knowledge; and, in their turn, would fortify the conclusions of our reason, against the sceptical suggestions of disappointment or melancholy.

Our daily experience may convince us, how fufceptible the tender mind is of deep impressions; and what important and permanent effects are produced on the characters, and the happiness of individuals, by the cafual affociations formed in childhood among the various ideas, feelings, and affections, with which they were habitually occupied. It is the business of education not to counteract this constitution of nature, but to give it a proper direction: and the miferable confequences to which it leads, when under an improper regulation, only shew, what an important instrument of human improvement it might be rendered, in more skilful hands. If it be possible to interest the imagination and the heart in favour of error, it is, at least, no less possible to interest them in favour of truth. If it be possible to extinguish all the most generous and heroic feelings of our nature, by teaching us to connect the idea of them with those of guilt and impiety; it is furely equally possible to cherish and strengthen them, by establishing the natural alliance between our duty and our happiness. If it be possible for the influence of fashion to veil the native deformity of vice, and to give to low and criminal indulgences the appearance of spirit, of elegance, and of gaiety; can we doubt of the possibility of connecting, in the tender mind, these pleasing associations, with pursuits that are truly worthy and honourable? There are

few men to be found, among those who have received the advantages of a liberal education, who do not retain, through life, that admiration of the heroic ages of Greece and Rome, with which the classical authors once inspired them. It is, in truth, a fortunate prepossession, on the whole, and one, of which I should be forry to counteract the influence. But are there not others of equal importance to morality and to happiness, with which the mind might, at the same period of life, be inspired? If the first conceptions, for example, which an infant formed of the Deity, and its first moral perceptions, were affociated with the early impressions produced on the heart by the beauties of nature, or the charms of poetical description, those ferious thoughts which are reforted to, by most men, merely as a fource of consolation in adverfity, and which, on that very account, are frequently tinctured with fome degree of gloom, would recur fpontaneously to the mind, in its best and happiest hours; and would infenfibly blend themselves with all its purest and most refined enjoyments.

In those parts of Europe, where the prevailing opinions involve the greatest variety of errors and corruptions, it is, I believe, a common idea with many respectable and enlightened men, that, in every country, it is most prudent to conduct the religious instruction of youth upon the plan which is prescribed by the national establishment; in order that the pupil, according to the vigour or feebleness of his mind, may either shake off, in future life, the prejudices of the nursery, or die in the popular persuasion. This idea, I own, appears to me to be equally ill-founded and dangerous.

If

been

If religious opinions have, as will not be disputed, a powerful influence on the happiness, and on the conduct of mankind, does not humanity require of us, to rescue as many victims as possible from the hands of bigotry; and to fave them from the cruel alternative, of remaining under the gloom of a depressing superstition, or of being distracted by a perpetual conflict between the heart and the understanding?---It is an enlightened education alone, that, in most countries of Europe, can fave the young philosopher from that anxiety and despondence, which every man of sensibility, who, in his childhood, has imbibed the popular opinions, must necessarily experience, when he first begins to examine their foundation; and, what is of still greater importance, which can fave him, during life, from that occasional fcepticism, to which all men are liable, whose fystems fluctuate with the inequalities of their spirits, and the variations of the atmosphere.

I shall conclude this subject with remarking, that, although in all moral and religious systems, there is a great mixture of important truth; and although it is, in consequence of this alliance, that errors and absurdities are enabled to preserve their hold of the belief, yet it is commonly found, that, in proportion as an established creed is complicated in its dogmas and in its ceremonies, and in proportion to the number of accessory ideas which it has grafted upon the truth, the more difficult is it, for those who have adopted it in childhood, to emancipate themselves completely from its influence; and, in those cases in which they at last succeed, the greater is their danger of abandoning, along with their errors, all the truths which they had

10\*

been taught to connect with them. The Roman catholic fystem is shaken off with much greater difficulty, than those which are taught in the reformed churches; but when it loses its hold of the mind, it much more frequently prepares the way for unlimited scepticism. The causes of this I may perhaps have an opportunity of pointing out, in treating of the association of ideas.

I have now finished all that I think necessary to offer at present, on the application of the philosophy of mind to the subject of education. To some readers, I am afraid, that what I have advanced on the fubject, will appear to border upon enthusiasm; and I will not attempt to justify myself against the charge. I am well aware of the tendency, which speculative men fometimes have, to magnify the effects of education, as well as to entertain too fanguine views of the improvement of the world; and I am ready to acknowledge, that there are inflances of individuals, whose vigour of mind is fufficient to overcome every thing that is pernicious in their early habits: but I am fully perfuaded, that these instances are rare; and that, by far the greater part of mankind continue, through life, to purfue the fame track into which they have been thrown, by the accidental circumstances of situation, instruction, and example.

## PART SECOND.

## SECTION II.

Continuation of the Same Subject.

the utility of the philosophy of the human mind, are of a very general nature, and apply equally to all descriptions of men. Besides, however, these more obvious advantages of the study, there are others, which, though less striking, and less extensive in their application, are nevertheless, to some particular classes of individuals, of the highest importance. Without pretending to exhaust the subject, I shall offer a few detached observations upon it, in this section.

I already took notice, in general terms, of the common relation which all the different branches of our knowledge bear to the philosophy of the human mind. In consequence of this relation, it not only forms an interesting object of curiosity to literary men of every denomination; but, if successfully prosecuted, it cannot fail to furnish useful lights for directing their inquiries; whatever the nature of the subjects may be, which happen to engage their attention.

In order to be fatisfied of the justness of this observation, it is sufficient to recollect, that to the philosophy of the mind are to be referred, all our inquiries concerning the divisions and the classifications of the objects of human knowledge; and also, all the various rules, both for the investigation, and the com-

munication,

munication, of truth. These general views of science, and these general rules of method, ought to form the subjects of a rational and useful logic; a study, undoubtedly, in itself of the greatest importance and dignity, but in which less progress has hitherto been made than is commonly imagined.

I shall endeavour to illustrate, very briefly, a few of the advantages which might be expected to result from

fuch a fystem of logic, if properly executed.

I. And, in the first place, it is evident that it would be of the highest importance in all the sciences, (in fome of them, indeed, much more than in others,) to exhibit a precise and steady idea of the objects which they prefent to our inquiry. — What was the principal circumstance which contributed to mislead the ancients, in their phyfical refearches? Was it not their confused and wavering notions about the particular class of truths, which it was their business to investigate? It was owing to this that they were led to neglect the obvious phenomena and laws of moving bodies; and to indulge themselves in conjectures about the efficient causes of motion, and the nature of those minds, by which they conceived the particles of matter to be animated; and that they fo often blended the history of facts, with their metaphyfical speculations. In the present state of science, indeed, we are not liable to fuch miftakes in natural philosophy; but it would be difficult to mention any other branch of knowledge, which is entirely exempted from them. In metaphyfics, I might almost fay, they are at the bottom of all our controversies. In the celebrated dispute, for example, which has been so long carried

on, about the explanation given by the ideal theory of the phenomena of perception, the whole difficulty arose from this, that philosophers had no precise notion of the point they wished to ascertain; and now, that the controverfy has been brought to a conclusion, (as I think all men of candour must confess it to have been by Dr. Reid,) it will be found, that his doctrine on the fubject throws no light whatever, on what was generally understood to be the great object of inquiry; I mean, on the mode of communication between the mind and the material world: and, in truth, amounts only to a precife description of the fact, stripped of all hypothesis, and stated in such a manner as to give us a distinct view of the infurmountable limits which nature has in this instance prescribed to our curiofity. The fame observation may be made, on the reasonings of this profound and original author, with respect to fome metaphyfical questions that had been started on the fubject of vision; in particular, concerning the cause of our seeing objects single with two eyes, and our feeing objects erect, by means of inverted images on the retina.

If we were to examine, in like manner, the present state of morals, of jurisprudence, of politics, and of philosophical criticism; I believe, we should find, that the principal circumstance which retards their progress, is the vague and indistinct idea, which those who apply to the study of them have formed to themselves of the objects of their researches. Were these objects once clearly defined, and the proper plan of inquiry for attaining them illustrated by a few unexceptionable models, writers of inferior genius would

be enabled to employ their industry to much more advantage; and would be prevented from adding to that rubbish, which, in consequence of the ill-directed ingenuity of our predecessors, obstructs our progress in the purfuit of truth.

As a philosophical system of logic would assist us in our particular fcientific investigations, by keeping steadily in our view the attainable objects of human curiofity; fo, by exhibiting to us the relation in which they all stand to each other, and the relation which they all bear to what ought to be their common aim, the advancement of human happiness, it would have a tendency to confine industry and genius to inquiries which are of real practical utility; and would communicate a dignity to the most subordinate pursuits. which are in any respect subservient to so important a purpofe. When our views are limited to one particular science, to which we have been led to devote ourfelves by taste or by accident, the course of our studies refembles the progress of a traveller through an unexplored country; whose wanderings, from place to place, are determined merely by the impulse of occafional curiofity; and whose opportunities of information must necessarily be limited to the objects which accidentally present themselves to his notice. It is the philosophy of the mind alone, which, by furnishing us with a general map of the field of human knowledge, can enable us to proceed with steadiness, and in an ufeful direction; and while it gratifies our curiofity, and animates our exertions, by exhibiting to us all the various bearings of our journey, can conduct us to those eminences from whence the eye may wander over the vast and unexplored regions of science. Lord Bacon was the first person who took this comprehensive view of the different departments of study; and who pointed out, to all the classes of literary men, the great end to which their labours should conspire; the multiplication of the sources of human enjoyment, and the extension of man's dominion over nature. Had this object been kept steadily in view by his followers, their discoveries, numerous and important as they have been, would have advanced with still greater rapidity, and would have had a much more extensive influence on the practical arts of life \*.

From fuch a fystem of logic, too, important assistance might be expected, for reforming the established plan of public or academical education. It is melancholy to reslect on the manner in which this is carried on, in most, perhaps, I might say, in all the countries of Europe; and that, in an age of comparative light and liberality, the intellectual and moral characters of youth should continue to be formed on a plan devised by men who were not only strangers to the business of the world, but who felt themselves interested in opposing the progress of useful knowledge.

<sup>\*</sup> Omnium autem gravissimus error in deviatione ab ultimo doctrinarum fine consistit. Appetunt enim homines scientiam, alii ex insità curiositate et irrequietà: alii animi causa et delectationis, alii existimationis gratià; alii contentionis ergo, atque ut in disserndo superiores sint: plerique propter lucrum et victum: paucissimi, ut donum rationis, divinitus datum, in usus humani generis impendant.

—Hoc enim illud est, quod revera doctrinam atque artes condecoraret, et attolleret, si contemplatio, et actio, arctiore quam adhuc vinculo copularentur. De Aug. Scient. lib. i.

For accomplishing a reformation in the plan of academical study, on rational and systematical principles, it is necessary, in the first place, to consider the relation in which the different branches of literature, and the different arts and sciences, stand to each other, and to the practical purposes of life: and secondly, to consider them in relation to the human mind, in order to determine the arrangement, best sitted for unfolding and maturing its faculties. Many valuable hints towards such a work may be collected from Lord Bacon's writings.

II. Another very important branch of a rational fystem of logic (as I had occasion already to observe) ought to be; to lay down the rules of investigation which it is proper to follow in the different sciences. In all of these, the faculties of the understanding are the instruments with which we operate; and without a previous knowledge of their nature, it is impossible to employ them to the best advantage. In every exercife of our reasoning and of our inventive powers, there are general laws which regulate the progress of the mind; and when once these laws are ascertained, they enable us to speculate and to invent, for the future, with more fystem, and with a greater certainty of fuccess.—In the mechanical arts, it is well known, how much time and ingenuity are misapplied, by those who acquire their practical skill, by their own trials, undirected by the precepts or example of others. What we call the rules of an art, are merely a collection of general observations, suggested by long experience, with respect to the most compendious and effectual means of performing every different step of the processes E

processes which the art involves. In consequence of fuch rules, the artist is enabled to command the same fuccefs in all his operations, for which the unskilled workman must trust to a happy combination of accidental circumstances; the misapplications, too, of the labour of one race are faved to the next; and the acquisition of practical address is facilitated, by confining its exertions to one direction. The analogy is perfect, in those processes which are purely intellectual; and to regulate which, is the great object of logic. In the case of individuals, who have no other guide to direct them in their inquiries than their own natural fagacity, much time and ingenuity must inevitably be thrown away, in every exertion of the inventive powers. In proportion, however, to the degree of their experience and observation, the number of these misapplications will diminish; and the power of invention will be enabled to proceed with more certainty and steadiness to its object. The misfortune is, that as the aids, which the understanding derives from experience, are feldom recorded in writing, or even defcribed in words, every fucceeding inquirer finds himfelf, at the commencement of his philosophical purfuits, obliged to ftruggle with the fame difadvantages which had retarded the progress of his predecessors. If the more important practical rules, which habits of investigation suggest to individuals, were diligently preferved, each generation would be placed in circumstances more favourable to invention than the preceding; and the progress of knowledge, instead of cramping original genius, would affift and direct its exertions. In the infancy of literature, indeed, its range

may be more unbounded, and its accidental excursions may excite more astonishment, than in a cultivated and enlightened age; but it is only in such an age, that inventive genius can be trained by rules founded on the experience of our predecessors, in such a manner as to insure the gradual and regular improvement of science. So just is the remark of Lord Bacon: "Certo sciant homines, artes inveniendi solidas et "veras adolescere et incrementa sumere cum ipsis "inventis."

The analogy between the mechanical arts, and the operations of scientific invention, might perhaps be carried further. In the former, we know how much the natural powers of man have been affisted, by the use of tools and instruments. Is it not possible to devise, in like manner, certain aids to our intellectual faculties?

That fuch a query is not altogether chimerical, appears from the wonderful effects of algebra (which is precifely fuch an inftrument of thought, as I have been now alluding to) in facilitating the inquiries of modern mathematicians. Whether it might not be possible to realife a project which Leibnitz has somewhere mentioned, of introducing a similar contrivance into other branches of knowledge, I shall not take upon me to determine; but that this idea has at least some plausibility, must, I think, be evident to those who have reflected on the nature of the general terms which abound more or less in every cultivated language; and which may be considered as one species of instrumental aid, which art has discovered to our intellectual powers. From the observations which I

am afterwards to make, it will appear, that, without general terms, all our reasonings must necessarily have been limited to particulars; and, confequently, it is owing to the use of these, that the philosopher is enabled to speculate concerning classes of objects, with the fame facility with which the favage or the peafant fpeculates concerning the individuals of which they are composed. The technical terms, in the different fciences, render the appropriate language of philosophy a still more convenient instrument of thought, than those languages which have originated from popular use; and in proportion as these technical terms improve in point of precision and comprehensiveness, they will contribute to render our intellectual progrefs more certain and more rapid. "While engaged" (fays Mr. Lavoisier) "in the composition of my Ele-" ments of Chemistry, I perceived, better than I had " ever done before, the truth of an observation of " Condillac, that we think only through the medium " of words; and that languages are true analytical " methods. Algebra, which, of all our modes of " expression, is the most simple, the most exact, and " the best adapted to its purpose, is, at the same time, " a language and an analytical method. " of reasoning is nothing more than a language well " arranged." The influence which these very enlightened and philosophical views have already had on the doctrines of chemistry, cannot fail to be known to most of my readers.

The foregoing remarks, in fo far as they relate to the possibility of affisting our reasoning and inventive powers, by new instrumental aids, may perhaps appear to be founded too much upon theory; but this objection cannot be made to the reasonings I have offered on the importance of the study of method.—To the justness of these, the whole history of science bears testimony; but more especially, the histories of Physics and of pure Geometry; which afford so remarkable an illustration of the general doctrine, as can scarcely fail to be satisfactory, even to those who are the most disposed to doubt the efficacy of art in directing the exertions of genius.

With respect to the former, it is sufficient to mention the wonderful effects which the writings of Lord Bacon have produced, in accelerating its progress. The philosophers, who flourished before his time, were, undoubtedly, not inferior to their successors, either in genius or industry: but their plan of investigation was erroneous; and their labours have produced only a chaos of sictions and absurdities. The illustrations which his works contain, of the method of industion, general as the terms are, in which they are expressed, have gradually turned the attention of the moderns to the rules of philosophising; and have led the way to those important and sublime discoveries in physics, which reslect so much honour on the present age.

The rules of philosophising, however, even in physics, have never yet been laid down with a sufficient degree of precision, minuteness, or method; nor have they ever been stated and illustrated in so clear and popular a manner, as to render them intelligible to the generality of readers. The truth, perhaps, is; that the greater part of physical inquirers have derived what

knowledge of them they possess, rather from an attention to the excellent models of investigation, which the writings of Newton exhibit, than from any of the speculations of Lord Bacon, or his commentators: and, indeed, fuch is the incapacity of most people for abstract reasoning, that I am inclined to think, even if the rules of inquiry were delivered in a perfectly complete and unexceptionable form, it might still be expedient to teach them to the majority of students, rather by examples, than in the form of general principles. But it does not therefore follow, that an attempt to illustrate and to methodize these rules, would be useless; for it must be remembered, that, although an original and inventive genius, like that of Newton, be fufficient to establish a standard for the imitation of his age, yet, that the genius of Newton himfelf was encouraged and led by the light of Bacon's philosophy.

The use which the ancient Greek geometers made of their analysis, affords an additional illustration of the utility of method in guiding scientistic invention. To facilitate the study of this species of investigation, they wrote no less than thirty-three preparatory books; and they considered an address, in the practice of it, (or, as Marinus calls it, a δυναμις ἀναλυτική) as of much more value, than an extensive acquaintance with the principles of the science \*. Indeed, it is well known, to every one who is at all conversant with geometrical investigations, that although it may be possible for a person, without the assistance of the method of analysis, to stumble accidentally on a solution,

<sup>\*</sup> Μείζον ες: το δυναμιν αναλυτικήν κτησασθαί, του πολλας αποδείξεις των επ' μιρους εχειν.

his

or on a demonstration; yet it is impossible for him to possess a just considence in his own powers, or to carry on a regular plan of invention and discovery. It is well known, too, that an acquaintance with this method brings geometers much more nearly upon a level with each other, than they would be otherwise: not that it is possible, by any rules, to superfede, entirely, ingenuity and address; but, because, in consequence of the uniformity of the plan on which the method proceeds, experience communicates a certain dexterity in the use of it; which must in time give to a very ordinary degree of sagacity, a superiority, on the whole, to the greatest natural ingenuity, unassisted by rule \*.

To these observations, I believe, I may add, that after all that was done by the Greek philosophers to facilitate mathematical invention, many rules still remain to be suggested, which might be of important use, even in pure geometry. A variety of such occur to every experienced mathematician, in the course of

E 4

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Mathematica multi sciunt, mathesin pauci. Aliud est enim nosse propositiones aliquot, et nonnullas ex iis obvias elicere, casu potius quam certa aliqua discurrendi norma, aliud scientiæ ipsius naturam ac indolem perspectam habere, in ejus se adyta penetrare, et ab universalibus instructum esse præceptis, quibus theoremata ac problemata innumera excogitandi, eademque demonstrandi facilitas comparetur. Ut enim pictorum vulgus prototypon sæpe sæpius exprimendo, quendam pingendi usum, nullam vero pictoriæ artis quam optica suggerit scientiam adquirit, ita multi, lectis Euclidis et aliorum geometrarum libris, eorum imitatione singere propositiones aliquas ac demonstrare solent, ipsam tamen secretissimam dissiciliorum theorematum ac problematum solvendi methodum prorsus ignorant."—Joannis de la Faille Theoremata de Centro Gravitatis, in præsta.—Antwerpiæ, 1632.

his inquiries, although, perhaps, he may not be at the trouble to state them to himself in words; and it would plainly have faved him much expence of time and thought, befide enabling him to conduct his refearches on a more regular plan, if he had been taught them fystematically at the commencement of his studies. The more varied, abstrufe, and general investigations of the moderns, stand in need, in a much greater degree, of the guidance of philosophical principles; not only for enabling us to conduct, with skill, our particular refearches, but for directing us to the different methods of reasoning, to which we ought to have recourse on different occasions. A collection of such rules would form, what might be called with propriety, the logic of mathematics; and would probably contribute greatly to the advancement of all those branches of knowledge, to which mathematical learning is fubservient.

The observations which have been now made, on the importance of method in conducting physical and mathematical refearches, particularly those which relate to the last of these subjects, will not apply literally to our inquiries in metaphyfics, morals, or politics; because, in these sciences, our reasonings always confift of a comparatively small number of intermediate steps; and the obstacles which retard our progress, do not, as in mathematics, arise from the difficulty of finding media of comparison among our ideas. Not, that these obstacles are less real, or more easily furmounted: on the contrary, it feems to require a still rarer combination of talents to furmount them; for how fmall is the number of individuals, who are qualified

qualified to think justly on metaphysical, moral, or political fubjects; in comparison of those, who may be trained by practice to follow the longest processes of mathematical reasoning. From what these obstacles arife, I shall not inquire particularly at prefent. Some of the more important of them may be referred to the imperfections of language; to the difficulty of annexing precise and steady ideas to our words; to the difficulty, in some cases, of conceiving the subjects of our reasoning; and, in others, of discovering, and keeping in view, all the various circumstances upon which our judgment ought to proceed; and above all, to the prejudices which early impressions and associations create, to warp our opinions.—To illustrate these sources of error, in the different sciences which are liable to be affected by them, and to point out the most effectual means for guarding against them, would form another very interesting article, in a philosophical fystem of logic.

The method of communicating to others, the principles of the different sciences, has been as much neglected by the writers on logic, as the rules of investigation and discovery; and yet, there is certainly no undertaking whatever, in which their affistance is more indispensably requisite. The first principles of all the sciences are intimately connected with the philosophy of the human mind; and it is the province of the logician, to state these in such a manner, as to lay a solid foundation for the superstructures which others are to rear.—It is in stating such principles, accordingly, that elementary writers are chiefly apt to fail. How unsatisfactory, for example, are the introductory

chapters

chapters in most systems of natural philosophy; not in consequence of any defect of physical or of mathematical knowledge in their authors, but in consequence of a want of attention to the laws of human thought, and to the general rules of just reasoning! The same remark may be extended to the form, in which the elementary principles of many of the other sciences are commonly exhibited; and, if I am not mistaken, this want of order, among the first ideas which they present to the mind, is a more powerful obstacle to the progress of knowledge, than is generally imagined.

I shall only observe farther, with respect to the utility of the philosophy of mind, that as there are some arts, in which we not only employ the intellectual faculties as instruments, but operate on the mind as a fubject; fo, to those individuals who aim at excellence in fuch pursuits, the studies I have now been recommending are, in a more peculiar manner, interesting and important. In poetry, in painting, in eloquence, and in all the other fine arts, our fuccess depends on the skill with which we are able to adapt the efforts of our genius to the human frame; and it is only on a philosophical analysis of the mind, that a solid foundation can be laid for their farther improvement. Man, too, is the fubject on which the practical moralist and the enlightened statesman have to operate. Of the former, it is the professed object to engage the attention of individuals to their own best interest: and to allure them to virtue and happiness, by every confideration that can influence the understanding, the imagination, or the heart. To the latter is affigned the fublimer office of feconding the benevolent intentions of Providence in the administration of human affairs; to diffuse as widely and equally as possible, among his fellow-citizens, the advantages of the social union; and, by a careful study of the constitution of man, and of the circumstances in which he is placed, to modify the political order, in such a manner as may allow free scope and operation to those principles of intellectual and moral improvement, which nature has implanted in our species.

In all these cases, I am very sensible, that the utility of fystematical rules has been called in question by philosophers of note; and that many plausible arguments in fupport of their opinion, may be derived from the fmall number of individuals who have been regularly trained to eminence in the arts, in comparifon of those who have been guided merely by untutored genius, and the example of their predecessors. I know, too, that it may be urged with truth, that rules have, in fome cases, done more harm than good; and have mifled, instead of directing, the natural exertions of the mind. But, in all fuch instances, in which philosophical principles have failed in producing their intended effect, I will venture to affert, that they have done fo, either in confequence of errors, which were accidentally blended with them; or, in confequence of their possessing only that slight and partial influence over the genius, which enabled them to derange its previously acquired habits; without regulating its operations, upon a fystematical plan, with steadiness and efficacy. In all the arts of life, whether trifling or important, there is a certain degree of skill, which may be attained by our untutored powers, aided by imitation; and this skill, instead of being perfected by rules, may, by means of them, be diminished or destroyed, if these rules are partially and imperfectly apprehended; or even if they are not fo familiarized to the understanding, as to influence its exertions uniformly and habitually. In the cafe of a mufical performer, who has learnt his art merely by the ear, the first effects of systematical instruction are, I believe, always unfavourable. The effect is the same, of the rules of elocution, when first communicated to one who has attained, by his natural tafte and good fense, a tolerable propriety in the art of reading. But it does not follow from this, that, in either of these arts, rules are ufeless. It only follows, that, in order to unite ease and grace with correctness, and to preserve the felicities of original genius, amidst those restraints which may give them an ufeful direction, it is necessary that the acquifitions of education should, by long and early habits, be rendered, in some measure, a second nature. The fame observations will be found to apply, with very flight alterations, to arts of more ferious importance. — In the art of legislation, for example, there is a certain degree of skill, which may be acquired merely from the routine of business; and when once a politician has been formed, in this manner, among the details of office, a partial study of general principles will be much more likely to lead him aftray, than to enlighten his conduct. But there is nevertheless a science of legislation, which the details of office, and the intrigues of popular affemblies, will never communicate; a science, of which the principles must be fought for in the constitution of human nature, and

in the general laws which regulate the course of human affairs; and which, if ever, in consequence of the progress of reason, philosophy should be enabled to assume that ascendant in the government of the world, which has hitherto been maintained by accident, combined with the passions and caprices of a few leading individuals, may, perhaps, produce more perfect and happy forms of society, than have yet been realized in the history of mankind.

I have thus endeavoured to point out, and illustrate, a few of the most important purposes to which the philosophy of the human mind is subservient. It will not, however, I flatter myfelf, be supposed by any of my readers, that I mean to attempt a fystematical work, on all, or any of the fubjects I have now mentioned; the most limited of which, would furnish matter for many volumes. What I have aimed at, has been, to give, in the first place, as distinct and complete an analysis as I could, of the principles, both intellectual and active, of our nature; and, in the fecond place, to illustrate, as I proceed, the application of these general laws of the human constitution, to the different classes of phenomena which result from them. In the felection of these phenomena, although I have fometimes been guided chiefly by the curiofity of the moment, or the accidental course of my own studies; yet, I have had it in view, to vary, as far as possible, the nature of my speculations, in order to show how numerous and different the applications are, of which this philosophy is fusceptible. It will not, therefore, I hope, be objected to me, that I have been guilty of a blameable violation of unity in the plan of

my work, till it be confidered how far fuch a violation was useful for accomplishing the purposes for which I write. One species of unity, I am willing to believe, an attentive reader will be able to trace in it: I mean, that uniformity of thought and design, "which" (as Butler well remarks,) "we may always expect to meet with in the compositions of the same author, when he writes with simplicity, and in earnest."

# ELEMENTS

OF THE

### PHILOSOPHY

OF THE

# HUMAN MIND.

CHAPTER FIRST.

Of the Powers of External Perception.

#### SECTION I.

Of the Theories which have been formed by Philosophers, to explain the Manner in which the MIND perceives external Objects.

A MONG the various phenomena which the human mind prefents to our view, there is none more calculated to excite our curiofity and our wonder, than the communication which is carried on between the fentient, thinking, and active principle within us, and the material objects with which we are furrounded. How little foever the bulk of mankind

kind may be disposed to attend to such inquiries, there is scarcely a person to be found, who has not occasionally turned his thoughts to that mysterious influence, which the will possesses over the members of the body; and to those powers of perception, which seem to inform us, by a fort of inspiration, of the various changes which take place in the external universe. Of those who receive the advantages of a liberal education, there are perhaps sew, who pass the period of childhood, without seeling their curiosity excited by this incomprehensible communication between mind and matter. For my own part, at least, I cannot recollect the date of my earliest speculations on the subject.

It is to the phenomena of perception alone, that I am to confine myfelf in the following effay; and even with respect to these, all that I propose is, to offer a few general remarks on such of the common mistakes concerning them, as may be most likely to mislead us in our future inquiries. Such of my readers as wish to consider them more in detail, will find ample satisfaction in the writings of Dr. Reid.

In confidering the phenomena of perception, it is natural to suppose, that the attention of philosophers would be directed, in the first instance, to the sense of seeing. The variety of information and of enjoyment we receive by it; the rapidity with which this information and enjoyment are conveyed to us; and above all, the intercourse it enables us to maintain with the more distant part of the universe, cannot fail to give it, even in the apprehension of the most careless observer, a pre-eminence over all our other perceptive

ceptive faculties. Hence it is, that the various theories, which have been formed to explain the operations of our fenses, have a more immediate reference to that of feeing; and that the greater part of the metaphyfical language, concerning perception in general, appears evidently, from its etymology, to have been fuggested by the phenomena of vision. when applied to this fense, indeed, it can at most amuse the fancy, without conveying any precise knowledge; but, when applied to the other fenses, it is

altogether abfurd and unintelligible.

It would be tedious and useless, to consider particularly, the different hypotheses which have been advanced upon this subject. To all of them, I apprehend, the two following remarks will be found applicable: First, that, in the formation of them, their authors have been influenced by fome general maxims of philosophising, borrowed from physics; and fecondly, that they have been influenced by an indistinct, but deep-rooted, conviction, of the immateriality of the foul; which although not precise enough to point out to them the abfurdity of attempting to illustrate its operations by the analogy of matter, was yet fufficiently strong, to induce them to keep the abfurdity of their theories as far as possible out of view, by allufions to those physical facts, in which the diftinctive properties of matter are the least grossly and palpably exposed to our observation. To the former of these circumstances, is to be ascribed, the general principle, upon which all the known theories of perception proceed; that, in order to explain the intercourse between the mind and distant objects, it is ne-

ceffary F

ceffary to suppose the existence of something intermediate, by which its perceptions are produced; to the latter, the various metaphorical expressions of ideas, species, forms, shadows, phantasms, images; which, while they amused the fancy with some remote analogies to the objects of our senses, did not directly revolt our reason, by presenting to us any of the tangible qualities of body.

" It was the doctrine of ARISTOTLE, (fays Dr. " REID), that as our fenfes cannot receive external " material objects themselves, they receive their spe-" cies; that is, their images or forms, without the " matter; as wax receives the form of the feal, with-" out any of the matter of it. These images or forms, " impressed upon the senses, are called sensible species; " and are the objects only of the fenfitive part of " the mind: but by various, internal powers, they " are retained, refined, and spiritualized, so as to be-" come objects of memory and imagination; and, " at last, of pure intellection. When they are ob-" jects of memory and of imagination, they get the " name of phantasms. When, by farther refinement, " and being stripped of their particularities, they be-" come objects of science, they are called intelligible " species: so that every immediate object, whether of " fense, of memory, of imagination, or of reasoning, " must be some phantasm, or species, in the mind " itself.

"The followers of Aristotle, especially the schoolmen, made great additions to this theory; which
the author himself mentions very briefly, and with
an appearance of reserve. They entered into large
the disqui-

"difquintions with regard to the fensible species, what kind of things they are; how they are fent forth by the object, and enter by the organs of the fenses; how they are preserved, and refined by various agents, called internal senses, concerning the number and offices of which they had many controverses \*."

The Platonists, too, although they denied the great doctrine of the Peripatetics, that all the objects of human understanding enter at first by the senses; and maintained, that there exist eternal and immutable ideas, which were prior to the objects of sense, and about which all science was employed; yet appear to have agreed with them in their notions concerning the mode in which external objects are perceived. This, Dr. Reid infers, partly from the silence of Aristotle about any difference between himself and his master upon this point; and partly from a passage in the seventh book of Plato's Republic; in which he compares the process of the mind in perception, to that of a person in a cave, who sees not external objects themselves, but only their shadows †.

"Two thousand years after Plato, (continues Dr. Reid,) Mr. Locke, who studied the operations of the human mind so much, and with so great success, represents our manner of perceiving external objects, by a similitude very much resembling that of the cave.—"Methinks," says he, "the understanding is not much unlike a closet, wholly shut.

<sup>\*</sup> Essays on the Intellectual Powers of Man, p. 25. † Ibid. p. 99.

"from light, with only fome little opening left, to let in external visible resemblances or ideas of things without. Would the pictures coming into such a dark room but stay there, and lie so orderly as to be found upon occasion, it would very much resemble the understanding of a man, in reference to

" all objects of fight, and the ideas of them \*." " Plato's fubterranean cave, and Mr. Locke's dark " closet, may be applied with ease to all the systems " of perceptions that have been invented: for they " all fuppose, that we perceive not external objects " immediately; and that the immediate objects of " perception, are only certain shadows of the external " objects. Those shadows, or images, which we im-" mediately perceive, were by the ancients called spe-" cies, forms, phantasms. Since the time of Des " Cartes, they have commonly been called ideas +; " and by Mr. Hume, impressions. But all philoso-" phers, from Plato to Mr. Hume, agree in this, " that we do not perceive external objects imme-"diately; and that the immediate object of percep-" tion must be some image present to the mind." On the whole, Dr. Reid remarks, "that in their fenti-" ments concerning perception, there appears an uni-" formity, which rarely occurs upon fubjects of fo " abstrufe a nature 1."

The very fhort and imperfect view we have now taken, of the common theories of perception, is almost fufficient, without any commentary, to establish

<sup>·</sup> Locke on Human Understanding, book ii. chap. 11. § 17.

<sup>†</sup> See Note [B]. ‡ Reid, p. 116, 117.

the truth of the two general observations formerly made; for they all evidently proceed on a supposition, fuggested by the phenomena of physics, that there must of necessity exist some medium of communication between the objects of perception and the percipient mind; and they all indicate a fecret conviction in their authors, of the effential distinction between mind and matter; which, although not rendered, by reflection, fufficiently precise and fatisfactory, to shew them the absurdity of attempting to explain the mode of their communication; had yet fuch a degree of influence on their speculations, as to induce them to exhibit their fupposed medium under as mysterious and ambiguous a form as possible, in order that it might remain doubtful, to which of the two predicaments, of body or mind, they meant that it should be referred. By refining away the groffer qualities of matter; and by allufions to fome of the most aerial and magical appearances it assumes, they endeavoured, as it were, to spiritualize the nature of their medium; while, at the fame time, all their language concerning it, implied fuch a reference to matter, as was necessary for furnishing a plausible foundation, for applying to it the received maxims of natural philosophy.

Another observation, too, which was formerly hinted at, is confirmed by the same historical review; that, in the order of inquiry, the phenomena of vision had first engaged the attention of philosophers, and had suggested to them the greater part of their language, with respect to perception in general; and that, in consequence of this circumstance, the com-

C. .

mon modes of expression on the subject, unphilofoplical and fanciful at best, even when applied to the fense of seeing, are, in the case of all the other senses, obviously unintelligible and felf-contradictory. - " As " to objects of fight," fays Dr. Reid, "I understand " what is meant by an image of their figure in the " brain: but how shall we conceive an image of "their colour, where there is absolute darkness? " And, as to all other objects of fense, except figure " and colour, I am unable to conceive what is meant " by an image of them. Let any man fay, what he " means by an image of heat and cold, an image of " hardness or foftness, an image of found or fmell, " or taste. The word image, when applied to these " objects of fense, has absolutely no meaning."-This palpable imperfection in the ideal theory, has plainly taken rife from the natural order in which the phenomena of perception prefent themselves to the curiofity.

The mistakes, which have been so long current in the world, about this part of the human constitution, will, I hope, justify me for prosecuting the subject a little farther; in particular, for illustrating at some length, the first of the two general remarks already referred to. This speculation I enter upon the more willingly, that it affords me an opportunity of stating some important principles with respect to the object, and the limits, of philosophical inquiry; to which I shall frequently have occasion to refer, in the course of the following disquisitions.

#### SECTION II.

Of certain natural Prejudices, which feem to have given rife to the common Theories of Perception.

TT feems now to be pretty generally agreed among philosophers, that there is no instance in which we are able to perceive a necessary connexion between two fuccessive events; or to comprehend in what manner the one proceeds from the other, as its cause. From experience indeed we learn, that there are many events, which are conftantly conjoined, fo that the one invariably follows the other: but it is possible, for any thing we know to the contrary, that this connexion, though a conftant one, as far as our observation has reached, may not be a necessary connexion; nay, it is possible, that there may be no neceffary connexions among any of the phenomena we fee: and, if there are any fuch connexions existing, we may rest affured that we shall never be able to difcover them \*.

I shall endeavour to shew, in another part of this work, that the doctrine I have now stated does not lead to these sceptical conclusions, concerning the existence of a First Cause, which an author of great ingenuity has attempted to deduce from it.—At present, it is sufficient for my purpose to remark, that the word cause is used, both by philosophers and the

\* See Note [C].

F 4 vulgar,

vulgar, in two fenses, which are widely different. -When it is faid that every change in nature indicates the operation of a cause, the word cause expresses fomething which is supposed to be necessarily connected with the change; and without which it could not have happened. This may be called the metaphysical meaning of the word; and such causes may be called metaphyfical or efficient causes.—In natural philosophy, however, when we speak of one thing being the cause of another, all that we mean is, that the two are constantly conjoined; so that when we fee the one, we may expect the other. These conjunctions we learn from experience alone; and without an acquaintance with them, we could not accommodate our conduct to the established course of nature. - The causes which are the objects of our investigation in natural philosophy, may, for the fake of distinction, be called physical causes.

I am very ready to acknowledge, that this doctrine, concerning the object of natural philosophy, is not altogether agreeable to popular prejudices. When a man, unaccustomed to metaphysical speculations, is told, for the first time, that the science of physics gives us no information concerning the efficient causes of the phenomena about which it is employed, he feels some degree of surprize and mortification. The natural bias of the mind is surely to conceive physical events as somehow linked together; and material substances, as possessed of certain powers and virtues, which sit them to produce particular effects. That we have no reason to believe this to be the case, has been shewn in a very particuliar manner by Mr.

Hume,

Hume, and by other writers; and must, indeed, appear evident to every person, on a moment's reflection. It is a curious question, what gives rise to the prejudice?

In stating the argument for the existence of the Deity, feveral modern philosophers have been at pains to illustrate that law of our nature, which leads us to refer every change we perceive in the universe, to the operation of an efficient cause \*. - This reference is not the refult of reasoning, but necessarily accompanies the perception, fo as to render it impoffible for us to fee the change, without feeling a conviction of the operation of some cause by which it was produced; much in the fame manner in which we find it to be impossible to conceive a fensation, without being impressed with a belief of the existence of a fentient being. Hence, I apprehend, it is that when we fee two events conftantly conjoined, we are led to affociate the idea of caufation or efficiency, with the former, and to refer to it that power or energy by which the change was produced; in confequence of which affociation, we come to confider philosophy as the knowledge of efficient causes; and lose fight of the operation of mind, in producing the phenomena of nature. - It is by an affociation fomewhat fimilar, that we connect our fensations of colour, with the primary qualities of body. A moment's reflection must satisfy any one, that the sensation of colour can only refide in a mind; and yet our natural bias is furely to connect colour with extension and

<sup>\*</sup> See, in particular, Dr. Reid's Essays on the Intellectual Powers of Man.

figure, and to conceive, volite, blue, and yellow, as fomething fpread over the furfaces of bodies. In the fame way, we are led to affociate with inanimate matter, the ideas of power, force, energy, and causation; which are all attributes of mind, and can exist in a mind only.

The bias of our nature is strengthened by another affociation. Our language, with respect to cause and effect, is borrowed by analogy from material objects. Some of these we see scattered about us, without any connection between them; fo that one of them may be removed from its place, without diffurbing the reft. We can, however, by means of fome material vinculum, connect two or more objects together; fo that whenever the one is moved, the others shall follow. In like manner, we fee fome events, which occasionally follow one another, and which are occasionally difjoined; we fee others, where the fuccession is constant and invariable. The former we conceive to be analogous to objects which are loofe, and unconnected with each other, and whose contiguity in place, is owing merely to accidental position; the others to objects which are tied together by a material vinculum. Hence we transfer to fuch events, the fame language which we apply to connected objects. We speak of a connexion between two events, and of a chain of causes and effects \*.

That this language is merely analogical, and that we know nothing of phyfical events, but the laws which regulate their fuccession, must, I think, appear

Chap. I.

very obvious to every person who takes the trouble to reflect on the subject: and yet it is certain, that it has missed the greater part of philosophers; and has had a surprising influence on the systems, which they have formed in very different departments of science.

A few remarks, on some of the mistaken conclufions, to which the vulgar notions concerning the connexions among physical events have given rise, in natural philosophy, will illustrate clearly the origin of the common theories of perception; and will, at the same time, satisfy the reader, with respect to the train of thought, which suggested the foregoing observations.

The maxim, that nothing can act but where it is and when it is, has always been admitted, with refpect to metaphyfical or efficient causes. "What-" ever objects," fays Mr. Hume, " are confidered as " causes or effects, are contiguous; and nothing can " operate in a time or place, which is ever fo little " removed from those of its existence." "We may " therefore (he adds) confider the relation of conti-" guity as effential to that of causation." - But although this maxim should be admitted, with respect to causes which are efficient, and which, as fuch, are necessarily connected with their effects, there is furely no good reason for extending it to physical causes, of which we know nothing, but that they are the constant forerunners and figns of certain natural events. It may, indeed, be improper, according to this doctrine, to retain the expressions, cause and effect, in natural philosophy; but, as long as the prefent language upon the subject continues in use, the propriety of its appli-

application, in any particular instance, does not depend on the contiguity of the two events in place or time, but folely on this question, whether the one event be the constant and invariable forerunner of the other, fo that it may be confidered as its infallible fign?-Notwithstanding, however, the evidence of this conclusion, philosophers have in general proceeded upon a contrary supposition; and have discovered an unwillingness, even in physics, to call one event the cause of another, if the smallest interval of space or time existed between them. In the case of motion, communicated by impulfe, they have no fcruple to call the impulse the cause of the motion; but they will not admit that one body can be the cause of motion in another, placed at a distance from it, unless a connexion is carried on between them, by means of fome intervening medium.

It is unnecessary for me, after what has already been faid, to employ any arguments to prove, that the communication of motion by impulfe, is as unaccountable as any other phenomenon in nature. Those philofophers who have attended at all to the fubject, even they who have been the least fceptical with respect to cause and effect, and who have admitted a necessary connexion among phyfical events, have been forced to acknowledge, that they could not discover any necessary connexion between impulse and motion. Hence, fome of them have been led to conclude, that the impulse only rouses the activity of the body, and that the subsequent motion is the effect of this activity, constantly exerted. "Motion," fays one writer, " is action; and a continued motion implies a " conti"continued action." "The impulse is only the cause of the beginning of the motion: its continuance must be the effect of some other cause, which continues to act as long as the body continues to move."—The attempt which another writer of great learning has made, to revive the ancient theory of mind, has arisen from a similar view of the subject before us. He could discover no necessary connexion between impulse and motion; and concluded, that the impulse was only the occasion of the motion, the beginning and continuance of which he ascribed to the continued agency of the mind with which the body is animated.

Although, however, it be obvious, on a moment's confideration, that we are as ignorant of the connexion between impulse and motion, as of the connexion between fire and any of the effects we fee it produce, philosophers, in every age, feem to have confidered the production of motion by impulse, as almost the only physical fact which stood in need of no explanation. When we fee one body attract another at a distance, our curiofity is roused, and we inquire how the connexion is carried on between them. But when we fee a body begin to move in confequence of an impulse which another has given it, we inquire no farther: on the contrary, we think a fact fufficiently accounted for, if it can be shewn to be a case of impulse. This distinction, between motion produced by impulse, and the other phenomena of nature, we are led, in a great measure, to make, by confounding together efficient and physical causes; and by applying to the latter, maxims which have properly a reference

ference only to the former. — Another circumstance, likewise, has probably considerable influence: that, as it is by means of impulse alone, that we ourselves have a power of moving external objects; this fact is more familiar to us from our infancy than any other; and strikes us as a fact which is necessary, and which could not have happened otherwise. Some writers have even gone so far as to pretend that, although the experiment had never been made, the communication of motion by impulse, might have been predicted by reasoning a priori\*.

From the following passage, in one of Sir Isaac Newton's letters to Dr. Bentley, it appears, that he fupposed the communication of motion by impulse, to be a phenomenon much more explicable, than that a connexion should subsist between two bodies placed at a distance from each other, without any intervening medium. "It is inconceivable," fays he, "that in-" animate brute matter should, without the mediation " of fomething elfe which is not material, operate " upon, and affect other matter, without mutual con-" tact; as it must do, if gravitation, in the sense of " Epicurus, be effential and inherent in it. And this " is one reason why I defired that you would not " ascribe innate gravity to me. That gravity should " be innate, inherent, and effential to matter, fo that " one body may act on another, through a vacuum, " without the mediation of any thing elfe, by and " through which their action and force may be con-

<sup>\*</sup> See an Answer to Lord Kaimes's Effay on Motion; by John Stewart, M. D.

"veyed from one to another, is to me fo great an abfurdity, that I believe no man who has, in phi-

" lofophical matters, a competent faculty of thinking,

" can ever fall into it."

With this paffage I fo far agree, as to allow that it is impossible to conceive, in what manner one body acts on another at a distance, through a vacuum. But I cannot admit that it removes the difficulty to suppose, that the two bodies are in actual contact. That one body may be the efficient cause of the motion of another body placed at a distance from it, I do by no means affert; but only, that we have as good reason to believe that this may be possible, as to believe that any one natural event is the efficient cause of another.

I have been led into this very long difquifition, concerning efficient and phyfical caufes, in order to point out the origin of the common theories of perception; all of which appear to me, to have taken rife from the fame prejudice, which I have already remarked to have had fo extensive an influence upon the speculations of natural philosophers.

That, in the case of the perception of distant objects, we are naturally inclined to suspect, either something to be emitted from the object to the organ of sense, or some medium to intervene between the object and organ, by means of which the former may communicate an impulse to the latter; appears from the common modes of expression on the subject, which are to be found in all languages. In our own, for example, we frequently hear the vulgar speak, of light striking the eye; not in consequence of any philoso-

philosophical theory they have been taught, but of their own crude and undirected speculations. Perhaps there are few men among those who have attended at all to the history of their own thoughts, who will not recollect the influence of these ideas. at a period of life long prior to the date of their philofophical fludies. Nothing, indeed, can be conceived more fimple and natural than their origin. When an object is placed in a certain fituation with respect to a particular organ of the body, a perception arifes in the mind: when the object is removed, the perception ceases. \* Hence we are led to apprehend fome connexion between the object and the perception; and as we are accustomed to believe, that matter produces its effects by impulse, we conclude that there must be some material medium intervening between the object and organ, by means of which the impulse is communicated from the one to the other. -That this is really the cafe, I do not mean to difpute. I think, however, it is evident, that the exiftence of fuch a medium does not in any case appear a priori; and yet the natural prejudices of men have given rife to an univerfal belief of it, long before they were able to produce any good arguments in support of their opinion.

\* Tum porro varios rerum fentinus odores, Nec tamen ad nareis venienteis cernimus unquam: Nec calidos æftus tuimur, nec frigora quimus Ufurpare oculis, nec voces cernere fuemus; Quæ tamen omnia corporea constare necesse 'st Natura; quoniam sensus impellere possunt.

Lucret. lib. i. p. 299.

Nor is it only to account for the connexion between the object and the organ of fense, that philosophers have had recourse to the theory of impulse. They have imagined that the impression on the organ of fense is communicated to the mind, in a similar manner. As one body produces a change in the state of another by impulse, so it has been supposed, that the external object produces perception, (which is a change in the state of the mind,) first, by some material impression made on the organ of sense; and, secondly, by fome material impression communicated from the organ to the mind along the nerves and brain. Thefe fuppositions, indeed, as I had occasion already to hint, were, in the ancient theories of perception, rather implied than expressed; but by modern philosophers, they have been stated in the form of explicit propofitions. " As to the manner," fays Mr. Locke, "in " which bodies produce ideas in us; it is manifeftly " by impulse, the only way which we can conceive " bodies operate in \*." And Sir Ifaac Newton, although he does not speak of an impulse made on the mind, plainly proceeded on the principle that, as matter can only move matter by impulse, so no connexion could be carried on between matter and mind, unless the mind were present (as he expresses it) to the matter from which the last impression is commu-"Is not" (fays he) "the fenforium of " animals, the place where the fentient fubstance is " prefent; and to which the fensible species of things " are brought, through the nerves and brain, that "there they may be perceived by the mind prefent

<sup>\*</sup> Essay on Human Understanding, book ii. chap. viii. § 11. G

" in that place?" Dr. Clarke has expressed the same idea still more confidently, in the following passage " Without being of one of his letters to Leibnitz. " prefent \* to the images of the things perceived, the " foul could not possibly perceive them. A living " fubstance can only there perceive, where it is pre-" fent. Nothing can any more act, or be acted upon, " where it is not prefent, than it can when it is not." "How body acts upon mind, or mind upon body," (fays Dr. Porterfield †,) " I know not; but this I " am very certain of, that nothing can act, or be " acted upon, where it is not; and therefore our " mind can never perceive any thing but its own " proper modifications, and the various states of the " fenforium, to which it is prefent: fo that it is not " the external fun and moon, which are in the " heavens, which our mind perceives, but only their

\* This phrase of "the soul being present to the images of external objects," has been used by many philosophers, since the time of Des Cartes; evidently from a desire to avoid the absurdity of supposing, that images of extension and sigure can exist in an unextended mind.

"Quæris," (fays Des Cartes himfelf, in replying to the objections of one of his antagonists,) "quomodo existimem in me fubjecto inextenso recipi posse speciem, ideamve corporis quod extensum est. Respondeo nullam speciem corpoream in mente recipi, sed puram intellectionem stam rei corporeæ quam incorporeæ sieri absque ulla specie corporea; ad imaginationem vero, quæ non niss de rebus corporeis esse potest, opus quidem esse specie quæ sit verum corpus, et ad quam mens se applicet, sed non quæ in mente recipiatur."——It appears, therefore, that this philosopher supposed his images, or ideas, to exist in the brain, and not in the mind. Mr. Locke's expressions sometimes imply the one supposition, and sometimes the other.

† See his Treatife on the Eye, vol. ii. p. 356.

" image or reprefentation, impressed upon the sen-

" forium. How the foul of a feeing man fees thefe

" images, or how it receives those ideas, from such

" agitations in the fenforium, I know not; but I am

" fure it can never perceive the external bodies them-

" felves, to which it is not prefent."

\* The fame train of thinking, which had led thefe philosophers to suppose, that external objects are perceived by means of species proceeding from the object to the mind, or by means of fome material impression made on the mind by the brain, has suggested to a late writer a very different theory: that the mind, when it perceives an external object, quits the body, and is prefent to the object of perception. "The mind," (fays the learned author of Antient Metaphyfics,) " is not where the body is, when it " perceives what is diftant from the body, either in "time or place, because nothing can act, but when, " and where, it is. Now, the mind acts when it " perceives. The mind, therefore, of every animal " who has memory or imagination, acts, and by con-" fequence exists, when and where the body is not;

Essay on the Academical or Sceptical Philosophy.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;The flightest philosophy" (fays Mr. Hume) "teaches us, "that nothing can ever be present to the mind, but an image, or perception; and that the senses are only the inlets, through which these images are conveyed; without being able to produce any immediate intercourse between the mind and the object. The table, which we see, seems to diminish, as we remove farther from it: but the real table, which exists independent of us, suffers no alteration: it was, therefore, nothing but its image which was present to the mind. These "(he adds) are the obvious dictates of reason."

" for it perceives objects distant from the body both " in time and place \*." Indeed, if we take for granted, that in perception the mind acts upon the object, or the object upon the mind, and, at the same time, admit the truth of the maxim, that "nothing " can act but where it is," we must, of necessity, conclude, either that objects are perceived in a way fimilar to what is supposed in the ideal theory, or that, in every act of perception, the foul quits the body, and is prefent to the object perceived. And accordingly, this alternative is expressly stated by Malebranche; who differs, however, from the writer last quoted, in the choice which he makes of his hypothesis; and even rests his proof of its truth on the improbability of the other opinion. "I fuppose," fays he, "that every one will grant, that we perceive " not external objects immediately, and of them-" felves. We fee the fun, the stars, and an infinity " of objects without us; and it is not at all likely " that, upon fuch occasions, the foul fallies out of the " body, in order to be present to the objects per-" ceived. She fees them not therefore by themselves; " and the immediate object of the mind is not the "thing perceived, but fomething which is intimately " united to the foul; and it is that which I call an " idea: fo that by the word idea, I understand no-" thing elfe here but that which is nearest to the " mind when we perceive any object.——It ought to " be carefully observed, that, in order to the mind's " perceiving any object, it is absolutely necessary that " the idea of that object be actually present to it. Of

<sup>\*</sup> Ant. Met. vol. ii. p. 306.

" this it is not possible to doubt. The things which

"the foul perceives, are of two kinds. They are

" either in the foul, or they are without the foul.

"Those that are in the foul, are its own thoughts;

" that is to fay, all its different modifications. The

" foul has no need of ideas for perceiving these things.

"But with regard to things without the foul, we can-

" not perceive them but by means of ideas."

To these quotations, I shall add another, which contains the opinion of Buffon upon the fubject. As I do not understand it so completely, as to be able to translate it in a manner intelligible to myself, I shall transcribe it in the words of the author.

"L'ame s'unit intimement à tel objet qu'il lui plâit, " la distance, la grandeur, la figure, rien ne peut nuire " à cette union lorsque l'ame la veut : elle se fait et se " fait et un instant . . . . la volonté n'est elle donc " qu'un mouvement corporel, et la contemplation un " fimple attouchement? Comment cet attouchement " pourroit-il fe faire fur un objet éloigné, fur un fujet " abstrait? Comment pourroit-il s'opérer en un instant " indivifible? A-t-on jamais conçu du mouvement, " fans qu'il y eût de l'espace et du tems? La volonté, " fi c'est un mouvement, n'est donc pas un mouve-" ment matériel, et si l'union de l'ame à son objet est " un attouchement, un contact, cet attouchement ne " fe fait-il pas au loin? ce contact n'est il pas une " pénétration?"

All these theories appear to me to have taken their rife, first, from an inattention to the proper object of philosophy, and an application of the same general maxims to physical and to efficient causes; and, se-

condly, G 3

condly, from an apprehension, that we understand the connexion between impulse and motion, better than any other physical fact. From the detail which I have given, it appears how extensive an influence this prejudice has had on the inquiries both of natural philo-standard and as mattacked into

fophers and of metaphyficians.

In the foregoing reasonings, I have taken for granted, that motion may be produced by impulfe; and have contented myfelf with afferting, that this fact is not more explicable, than the motions which the Newtonians refer to gravitation; or than the intercourse which is carried on between the mind and external objects in the case of perception. The truth, however, is, that fome of the ablest philosophers in Europe are now fatisfied, not only that there is no evidence of motion being in any case produced by the actual contact of two bodies; but that very strong proofs may be given, of the absolute impossibility of such a supposition: and hence they have been led to conclude, that all the effects which are commonly referred to impulse, arife from a power of repulsion, extending to a small and imperceptible distance round every element of matter. If this doctrine shall be confirmed by future fpeculations in phyfics, it must appear to be a curious circumstance in the history of science, that philosophers have been fo long occupied in attempting to trace all the phenomena of matter, and even fome of the phenomena of mind, to a general fact, which, upon an accurate examination, is found to have no existence. - I do not make this observation with a view to depreciate the labours of these philosophers; for, although the fystem of Boscovich were completely esta-

blished, it would not diminish, in the smallest degree, the value of those physical inquiries, which have proceeded on the common hypothesis, with respect to impulse. The laws which regulate the communication of motion, in the case of apparent contact, are the most general facts we observe among the terrestrial phenomena; and they are, of all physical events, those which are the most familiar to us, from our earliest infancy. It was therefore not only natural but proper, that philosophers should begin their physical inquiries, with attempting to refer to these, (which are the most general laws of nature, exposed to the examination of our fenfes,) the particular appearances they wished to explain. And if ever the theory of Boscovich should be completely established, it will have no other effect, than to refolve these laws into some principle still more general, without affecting the folidity of the common doctrine, fo far as it goes.

### SECTION III.

Of Dr. Reid's Speculations on the Subject of Perception.

IT was chiefly in confequence of the fceptical conclusions which Bishop Berkeley and Mr. Hume had deduced from the ancient theories of perception, that Dr. Reid was led to call them in question; and he appears to me to have shewn, in the most fatisfactory manner, not only that they are perfectly hypothetical, but that the suppositions they involve, are absurd and impossible. His reasonings, on this part of our constitution, undoubtedly form the

most important accession which the philosophy of the human mind has received fince the time of Mr. Locke.

But although Dr. Reid has been at much pains to overturn the old ideal fystem, he has not ventured to substitute any hypothesis of his own in its place. And, indeed, he was too well acquainted with the limits prescribed to our philosophical inquiries, to think of indulging his curiosity, in such unprositable speculations. All, therefore, that he is to be understood as aiming at, in his inquiries concerning our perceptive powers, is to give a precise state of the fact, divested of all theoretical expressions; in order to prevent philosophers from imposing on themselves any longer, by words without meaning; and to extort from them an acknowledgment, that, with respect to the process of nature in perception, they are no less ignorant than the vulgar.

According to this view of Dr. Reid's reasonings, on the subject of perception, the purpose to which they are subservient may appear to some to be of no very considerable importance; but the truth is, that one of the most valuable effects of genuine philosophy, is to remind us of the limited powers of the human understanding; and to revive those natural feelings of wonder and admiration, at the spectacle of the universe, which are apt to languish, in consequence of long familiarity. The most prosound discoveries which are placed within the reach of our researches lead to a consession of human ignorance; for, while they flatter the pride of man, and increase his power, by enabling him to trace the simple and beautiful laws by which physical events are regulated, they call his attention,

at the fame time to those general and ultimate facts which bound the narrow circle of his knowledge; and which, by evincing to him the operation of powers. whose nature must for ever remain unknown, serve to remind him of the infufficiency of his faculties to penetrate the fecrets of the universe. Wherever we direct our inquiries; whether to the anatomy and physiology of animals, to the growth of vegetables, to the chemical attractions and repulfions, or to the motions of the heavenly bodies; we perpetually perceive the effects of powers which cannot belong to matter. To a certain length we are able to proceed; but in every refearch we meet with a line, which no induftry nor ingenuity can pass. It is a line too, which is marked with fufficient distinctness; and which no man now thinks of passing, who has just views of the nature and object of philosophy. It forms the separation between that field which falls under the furvey of the phyfical inquirer, and that unknown region, of which, though it was necessary that we should be affured of the existence, in order to lay a foundation for the doctrines of natural theology, it hath not pleafed the Author of the universe to reveal to us the wonders. in this infant state of our being. It was, in fact, chiefly by tracing out this line, that Lord Bacon did fo much fervice to science.

Befide this effect, which is common to all our philosophical pursuits, of impressing the mind with a sense of that mysterious agency, or efficiency, into which general laws must be resolved; they have a tendency, in many cases, to counteract the influence of habit, in weakening those emotions of wonder and of curiosity, which

which the appearances of nature are fo admirably fitted to excite. For this purpofe, it is necessary, either to lead the attention to facts which are calculated to strike by their novelty, or to present familiar appearances in a new light: and fuch are the obvious effects of philosophical inquiries; fometimes extending our views to objects which are removed from vulgar obfervation; and fometimes correcting our first apprehenfions with respect to ordinary events. — The communication of motion by impulse, (as I already hinted,) is as unaccountable as any phenomenon we know; and yet, most men are disposed to consider it, as a fact which does not refult from will, but from necessity. To such men, it may be useful to direct their attention to the universal law of gravitation; which, although not more wonderful in itself, than the common effects of impulse, is more fitted, by its novelty, to awaken their attention, and to excite their curiofity. If the theory of Boscovich should ever be established on a satisfactory foundation, it would have this tendency in a still more remarkable degree, by teaching us that the communication of motion by impulse, (which we are apt to confider as a necessary truth,) has no existence whatever; and that every case in which it appears to our fenses to take place, is a phenomenon no less inexplicable, than that principle of attraction which binds together the most remote parts of the universe.

If fuch, however, be the effects of our philosophical pursuits when successfully conducted, it must be confessed that the tendency of imperfect or erroneous theories is widely different. By a specious solution of insuperable

insuperable difficulties, they so dazzle and bewilder the understanding, as, at once, to prevent us from advancing, with steadiness, towards the limit of human knowledge; and from perceiving the existence of a region beyond it, into which philosophy is not permitted to enter. In fuch cases, it is the business of genuine science to unmask the imposture, and to point out clearly, both to the learned and to the vulgar, what reason can, and what she cannot, accomplish. This, I apprehend, has been done, with respect to the history of our perceptions, in the most satisfactory manner, by Dr. Reid. — When a person little accustomed to metaphyfical speculations is told, that, in the case of volition, there are certain invisible fluids, propagated from the mind to the organ which is moved; and that in the case of perception, the existence and qualities of the external object are made known to us by means of species, or phantasms, or images, which are prefent to the mind in the fenforium; he is apt to conclude that the intercourse between mind and matter is much less mysterious than he had supposed; and that, although these expressions may not convey to him any very distinct meaning, their import is perfectly understood by philosophers. It is now, I think, pretty generally acknowledged by physiologists, that the influence of the will over the body, is a mystery which has never yet been unfolded; but, fingular as it may appear, Dr. Reid was the first person who had courage to lay completely afide all the common hypothetical language concerning perception, and to exhibit the difficulty in all its magnitude, by a plain statement of the fact. To what then, it may be asked, does this fratement.

flatement amount? - Merely to this; that the mind is fo formed, that certain impressions produced on our organs of fense by external objects, are followed by correspondent fensations; and that these sensations, (which have no more resemblance to the qualities of matter, than the words of a language have to the things they denote,) are followed by a perception of the existence and qualities of the bodies by which the impressions are made; that all the steps of this process are equally incomprehenfible; and that for any thing we can prove to the contrary, the connexion between the fenfation and the perception, as well as that between the impression and the sensation, may be both arbitrary: that it is therefore by no means impossible, that our fenfations may be merely the occasions on which the correspondent perceptions are excited; and that, at any rate, the confideration of these sensations, which are attributes of mind, can throw no light on the manner in which we acquire our knowledge of the existence and qualities of body. From this view of the fubject, it follows, that it is the external objects themselves, and not any species or images of these objects, that the mind perceives; and that, although, by the constitution of our nature, certain fensations are rendered the constant antecedents of our perceptions, yet it is just as difficult to explain how our perceptions are obtained by their means, as it would be, upon the fupposition, that the mind were all at once infpired with them, without any concomitant fenfations whatever.

These remarks are general, and apply to all our various perceptions; and they evidently strike at the

root of all the common theories upon the fubject. The laws, however, which regulate these perceptions, are different in the case of the different senses, and form a very curious object of philosophical inquiry.—
Those, in particular, which regulate the acquired perceptions of sight, lead to some very interesting and important speculations; and, I think, have never yet been explained in a manner completely satisfactory. To treat of them in detail, does not fall under the plan of this work: but I shall have occasion to make a few remarks on them, in the chapter on Conception.

In opposition to what I have here observed on the importance of Dr. Reid's speculations concerning our perceptive powers, I am fenfible it may be urged, that they amount merely to a negative discovery; and it is possible, that some may even be forward to remark, that it was unnecessary to employ so much labour and ingenuity as he has done, to overthrow an hypothesis of which a plain account would have been a fufficient refutation. - To fuch perfons, I would beg leave to fuggest, that, although, in consequence of the juster views in pneumatology, which now begin to prevail, (chiefly, I believe, in confequence of Dr. Reid's writings,) the ideal fystem may appear to many readers unphilosophical and puerile; yet the case was very different when this author entered upon his inquiries: and I may even venture to add, that few positive discoveries, in the whole history of science, can be mentioned, which found a juster claim to literary reputation, than to have detected, fo clearly and unanswerably, the fallacy of an hypothesis, which has defcended

fcended to us from the earliest ages of philosophy; and which, in modern times, has not only served to Berkeley and Hume as the basis of their sceptical systems, but was adopted as an indisputable truth by Locke, by Clarke, and by Newton.

## SECTION IV.

Of the Origin of our Knowledge.

THE philosophers who endeavoured to explain the operations of the human mind by the theory of ideas, and who took for granted, that in every exertion of thought there exists in the mind some object distinct from the thinking substance, were naturally led to inquire whence these ideas derive their origin; in particular, whether they are conveyed to the mind from without by means of the senses, or form part of its original furniture?

With respect to this question, the opinions of the ancients were various; but as the influence of these opinions on the prevailing systems of the present age is not very considerable, it is not necessary, for any of the purposes I have in view in this work, to consider them particularly. The moderns, too, have been much divided on the subject; some holding with Des Cartes, that the mind is furnished with certain innate ideas; others, with Mr. Locke, that all our ideas may be traced from sensation and respection; and many, (especially among the later metaphysicians in France,) that they may be all traced from sensation alone.

Of these theories, that of Mr. Locke deserves more particularly our attention; as it has ferved as the bafis of most of the metaphysical systems which have appeared fince his time; and as the difference between it and the theory which derives all our ideas from

fensation alone, is rather apparent than real.

In order to convey a just notion of Mr. Locke's doctrine concerning the origin of our ideas, it is necesfary to remark, that he refers to fenfation, all the ideas which we are supposed to receive by the external fenses; our ideas, for example, of colours, of sounds, of hardness, of extension, of motion; and, in short, of all the qualities and modes of matter; to reflection, the ideas of our own mental operations which we derive from consciousness; our ideas, for example, of memory, of imagination, of volition, of pleafure, and of pain. These two sources, according to him, furnish us with all our simple ideas, and the only power which the mind possesses over them, is to perform certain operations, in the way of composition, abstraction, generalifation, &c. on the materials which it thus collects in the course of its experience. The laudable defire of Mr. Locke, to introduce precision and perspicuity into metaphysical speculations, and his anxiety to guard the mind against error in general, naturally prepoffessed him in favour of a doctrine, which, when compared with those of his predecessors, was intelligible and fimple; and which, by fuggesting a method, apparently eafy and palpable, of analyfing our knowledge into its elementary principles, feemed to furnish an antidote against those prejudices which had been favoured by the hypothesis of innate ideas. It is now

a confiderable time fince this fundamental principle of Mr. Locke's fystem began to lose its authority in England; and the fceptical conclusions, which it had been employed to support by some later writers, furnished its opponents with very plaufible arguments against it. The late learned Mr. Harris, in particular, frequently mentions this doctrine of Mr. Locke, and always in terms of high indignation. "Mark," (fays he, in one paffage,) "the order of things, according to the account " of our later metaphyficians. First, comes that huge " body, the fenfible world. Then this, and its attri-" butes beget fensible ideas. Then, out of fensible " ideas, by a kind of lopping and pruning, are made " ideas intelligible, whether specific or general. Thus, " should they admit that mind was coëval with body; " yet, till the body gave it ideas, and awakened its dor-" mant powers, it could at best have been nothing " more than a fort of dead capacity; for innate ideas " it could not possibly have any." And, in another paffage; "For my own part, when I read " the detail about fensation and reflection, and am " taught the process at large how my ideas are all " generated, I feem to view the human foul, in the " light of a crucible, where truths are produced by a " kind of logical chemistry."

If Dr. Reid's reasonings on the subject of ideas be admitted, all these speculations with respect to their origin fall to the ground; and the question to which they relate is reduced merely to a question of fact; concerning the occasions on which the mind is first led to form those simple notions into which our thoughts may be analysed, and which may be con-

fidered

fidered as the principles or elements of human knowledge. With respect to many of these notions, this inquiry involves no difficulty. No one, for example, can be at a lofs to afcertain the occasions on which the notions of colours and founds are first formed by the mind: for these notions are confined to individuals who are possessed of particular senses, and cannot, by any combination of words, be conveyed to those who never enjoyed the use of them. The history of our notions of extension and figure, (which may be fuggested to the mind by the exercise either of sight or of touch,) is not altogether fo obvious; and accordingly it has been the subject of various controversies. To trace the origin of these, and of our other simple notions with respect to the qualities of matter; or, in other words, to describe the occasions on which, by the laws of our nature, they are fuggested to the mind, is one of the leading objects of Dr. Reid's inquiry, in his analysis of our external senses; in which he carefully avoids every hypothesis with respect to the inexplicable phenomena of perception and of thought, and confines himself scrupulously to a literal statement of facts. - Similar inquiries to thefe, may be proposed, concerning the occasions on which we form the notions of time, of motion, of number, of causation, and an infinite variety of others. Thus, it has been obferved by different authors, that every perception of change fuggests to the mind the notion of a cause, without which that change could not have happened. Dr. Reid remarks, that, without the faculty of memory, our perceptive powers could never have led us to form the idea of motion. I shall afterwards shew,

in the fequel of this work, that without the fame faculty of memory, we never could have formed the notion of time; and that without the faculty of abstraction, we could not have formed the notion of number.

— Such inquiries, with respect to the origin of our knowledge, are curious and important; and if conducted with judgment, they may lead to the most certain conclusions; as they aim at nothing more than to ascertain facts, which, although not obvious to superficial observers, may yet be discovered by patient investigation.

From the remarks which have been just made on our notions of time, of motion, and of number, it is evident, that the inquiry concerning the origin of human knowledge cannot possibly be discussed at the commencement of such a work as this; but that it must be resumed in different parts of it, as those faculties of the mind come under our view, with which the formation of our different simple notions is connected.

With respect to the general question, Whether all our knowledge may be ultimately traced from our sensations? I shall only observe at present, that the opinion we form concerning it, is of much less consequence than is commonly supposed. That the mind cannot, without the grossest absurdity, be considered in the light of a receptacle which is gradually surnished from without, by materials introduced by the channel of the senses; nor in that of a tabula rasa, upon which copies or resemblances of things external are imprinted; I have already shewn at sufficient length. Although, therefore, we should acquiesce in the conclusion,

clusion, that, without our organs of sense, the mind must have remained destitute of knowledge, this concession could have no tendency whatever to savour the principles of materialism; as it implies nothing more than that the impressions made on our senses by external objects, surnish the occasions on which the mind, by the laws of its constitution, is led to perceive the qualities of the material world, and to exert all the different modifications of thought of which it is capable.

From the very flight view of the fubject, however, which has been already given, it is fufficiently evident, that this doctrine, which refers the origin of all our knowledge to the occasions furnished by sense, must be received with many limitations. That those ideas, which Mr. Locke calls ideas of reflection, (or, in other words, the notions which we form of the fubjects of our own consciousness,) are not suggested to the mind immediately by the fenfations arifing from the use of our organs of perception, is granted on all hands; and, therefore, the amount of the doctrine now mentioned, is nothing more than this; that the first occasions on which our various intellectual faculties are exercifed, are furnished by the impressions made on our organs of fense; and consequently, that, without these impressions, it would have been impossible for us to arrive at the knowledge of our faculties. Agreeably to this explanation of the doctrine, it may undoubtedly be faid with plaufibility, (and, I am inclined to believe, with truth,) that the occasions on which all our notions are formed, are furnished either immediately or ultimately by fenfe; but, if I am not much H 2

much mistaken, this is not the meaning which is commonly annexed to the doctrine, either by its advocates or their opponents. One thing at least is obvious, that, in this sense, it does not lead to those consequences which have interested one party of philosophers in its defence, and another in its refutation.

There is another very important confideration which deferves our attention in this argument: that, even on the fupposition that certain impressions on our organs of fense are necessary to awaken the mind to a consciousness of its own existence, and to give rise to the exercise of its various faculties; yet all this might have happened without our having any knowledge of the qualities, or even of the existence, of the material world. To facilitate the admission of this proposition, let us suppose a being formed in every other respect like man; but possessed of no senses, excepting those of hearing and fmelling. I make choice of these two senses, because it is obvious, that by means of them alone we never could have arrived at the knowledge of the primary qualities of matter, or even of the existence of things external. All that we could possibly have inferred from our occasional fenfations of finell and found, would have been that there existed some unknown cause by which they were produced.

Let us suppose then a particular sensation to be excited in the mind of such a being. The moment this happens, he must necessarily acquire the knowledge of two sacts at once: that of the existence of the sensation; and that of his own existence, as a sentient

being. After the fenfation is at an end, he can remember he felt it; he can conceive that he feels it again. If he has felt a variety of different fenfations, he can compare them together in respect of the pleafure or the pain they have afforded him; and will naturally desire the return of the agreeable sensations, and be afraid of the return of those which were painful. If the fenfations of fmell and found are both excited in his mind at the fame time, he can attend to either of them he chuses, and withdraw his attention from the other; or he can withdraw his attention from both, and fix it on fome fenfation he has felt formerly. In this manner, he might be led, merely by fenfations exifting in his mind, and conveying to him no information concerning matter, to exercise many of his most important faculties; and amidst all these different modifications and operations of his mind, he would feel, with irrefiftible conviction, that they all belong to one and the fame fentient and intelligent being; or, in other words, that they are all modifications and operations of himfelf. — I fay nothing, at prefent, of the various fimple notions, (or fimple ideas, as they are commonly called,) which would arise in his mind; for example, the ideas of number, of duration, of cause and effect, of personal identity; all of which, though perfectly unlike his fensations, could not fail to be suggested by means of them. Such a being, then, might know all that we know of mind at present; and as his language would be appropriated to mind folely, and not borrowed by analogy from material phenomena, he would even H 3 poffefs

possessing important advantages over us in conducting the study of pneumatology.

From these observations it sufficiently appears, what is the real amount of the celebrated doctrine, which refers the origin of all our knowledge to our senfations; and that, even granting it to be true, (which, for my own part, I am disposed to do, in the sense in which I have now explained it,) it would by no means follow from it, that our notions of the operations of mind, nor even many of those notions which are commonly suggested to us, in the first instance, by the perception of external objects, are necessarily subsequent to our knowledge of the qualities, or even of the existence, of matter.

The remarks which I have offered on this doctrine, will not appear fuperfluous to those who recollect that, although it has, for many years past, been a subject of controversy in England, it continues still to be implicitly adopted by the best philosophical writers in France; and that it has been employed by some of them to support the system of materialism; and by others to shew, that the intellectual distinctions between man and brutes, arise entirely from the differences in their animal organization, and in their powers of external perception.

## CHAPTER SECOND.

## Of Attention.

WHEN we are deeply engaged in conversation, or occupied with any speculation that is interesting to the mind, the surrounding objects either do not produce in us the perceptions they are fitted to excite; or these perceptions are instantly forgotten. A clock, for example, may strike in the same room with us, without our being able, next moment, to recollect whether we heard it or not.

In thefe, and fimilar cafes, I believe, it is commonly taken for granted, that we really do not perceive the external object. From fome analogous facts, however, I am inclined to fuspect that this opinion is not well-founded. A person who falls asseep at church, and is suddenly awaked, is unable to recollect the last words spoken by the preacher; or even to recollect that he was speaking at all. And yet, that sleep does not suspend entirely the powers of perception, may be inferred from this, that if the preacher were to make a sudden pause in his discourse, every person in the congregation who was asseep, would instantly awake. In this case, therefore, it appears, that a person may be conscious of a perception, without being able afterwards to recollect it.

Many other inflances of the fame general fact might be produced. When we read a book, (especially in H 4 a lana language which is not perfectly familiar to us,) we must perceive successively every different letter, and must afterwards combine these letters into syllables and words, before we comprehend the meaning of a sentence. This process, however, passes through the mind, without leaving any trace in the memory.

It has been proved by optical writers, that, in perceiving the distances of visible objects from the eye, there is a judgment of the understanding antecedent to the perception. In fome cases this judgment is founded on a variety of circumstances combined together; the conformation of the organ necessary for distinct vision: the inclination of the optic axes; the distinctness or indistinctness of the minute parts of the object; the distances of the intervening objects from each other, and from the eye; and, perhaps, on other circumstances besides these: and yet, in consequence of our familiarity with fuch processes from our earliest infancy, the perception feems to be instantaneous; and it requires much reasoning, to convince persons unaccustomed to philosophical speculations, that the fact is otherwife.

Another instance of a still more familiar nature, may be of use for the farther illustration of the same subject. It is well known, that our thoughts do not succeed each other at random, but according to certain laws of association, which modern philosophers have been at much pains to investigate. It frequently, however, happens, particularly when the mind is animated by conversation, that it makes a sudden transition from one subject to another, which, at first view, appears to be very remote from it; and that it requires

requires a confiderable degree of reflexion, to enable the person himself by whom the transition was made, to afcertain what were the intermediate ideas. A curious instance of such a sudden transition is mentioned by Hobbes in his Leviathan. "In a com-"pany," (fays he,) "in which the conversation " turned on the civil war, what could be conceived " more impertinent, than for a person to ask abruptly, "What was the value of a Roman denarius? On a " little reflection, however, I was eafily able to trace "the train of thought which fuggested the question: " for the original subject of discourse naturally intro-" duced the history of the King, and of the treachery " of those who surrendered his person to his enemies; " this again introduced the treachery of Judas Iscariot, " and the fum of money which he received for his " reward. - And all this train of ideas," fays Hobbes, " passed through the mind of the speaker in a twink-"ling, in confequence of the velocity of thought." It is by no means improbable, that if the speaker himself had been interrogated about the connexion of ideas, which led him aside from the original topic of discourse, he would have found himself, at first, at a lofs for an answer.

In the inftances which have been last mentioned we have also a proof, that a perception, or an idea, which passes through the mind, without leaving any trace in the memory, may yet serve to introduce other ideas connected with it by the laws of association. Other proofs of this important fact shall be mentioned afterwards.

When a perception or an idea passes through the mind, without our being able to recollect it next moment, the vulgar themselves ascribe our want of memory to a want of attention. Thus, in the instance already mentioned, of the clock, a person, upon observing that the minute hand had just passed twelve, would naturally fay, that he did not attend to the clock when it was striking. There feems, therefore, to be a certain effort of mind upon which, even in the judgment of the vulgar, memory in fome measure depends; and which they distinguish by the name of attention.

The connexion between attention and memory has been remarked by many authors. " Nec dubium " est," (fays Quinctilian, speaking of memory,) " quin plurimum in hac parte valeat mentis intentio, " et velut acies luminum a prospectu rerum quas in-"tuetur non averfa." The fame observation has been made by Locke \*, and by most of the writers on the subject of education.

But although the connexion between attention and memory has been frequently remarked in general terms, I do not recollect that the power of attention has been mentioned by any of the writers on pneumatology, in their enumeration of the faculties of the mind †; nor has it been confidered by any one, fo

far

<sup>\* &</sup>quot; Memory depends much on attention and repetition." Locke's Effay, b. i. chap. x.

<sup>†</sup> Some important observations on the subject of attention occur in different parts of Dr. Reid's writings; particularly in his Essays on the Intellectual Powers of Man, p. 62.; and in his Effays on the Active Powers of Man, p. 78, et feq. - To this ingenious

far as I know, as of fufficient importance to deferve a particular examination. Helvetius, indeed, in his very ingenious work, De l'Esprit, has intitled one of his chapters, De l'inégale capacité d'Attention; but what he confiders under this article, is chiefly that capacity of patient inquiry, (or as he calls it, une attention suivie,) upon which philosophical genius feems in a great measure to depend. He has also remarked \*, with the writers already mentioned, that the impression which any thing makes on the memory, depends much on the degree of attention we give to it; but he has taken no notice of that effort which is absolutely essential to the lowest degree of memory. It is this effort that I propose to consider at prefent; - not those different degrees of attention which imprint things more or lefs deeply on the mind, but that act or effort without which we have no recollection or memory whatever.

author we are indebted for the remark, that attention to things external, is properly called observation; and attention to the subjects of our consciousness, restection. He has also explained the causes of the peculiar difficulties which accompany this last exertion of the mind, and which form the chief obstacles to the progress of pneumatology. I shall have occasion, in another part of this work, to treat of habits of inattention in general, and to suggest some practical hints with respect to the culture both of the powers of observation and reslection. The view which I propose to take of attention at present, is extremely limited; and is intended merely to comprehend such general principles as are necessary to prepare the reader for the chapters which are to follow.

\* "C'est l'attention, plus ou moins grande, qui grave plus ou

" moins profondément les objets dans la mémoire."

With respect to the nature of this effort, it is perhaps impossible for us to obtain much satisfaction. We often speak of greater and less degrees of attention; and, I believe, in these cases, conceive the mind (if I may use the expression) to exert itself with different degrees of energy. I am doubtful, however, if this expression conveys any distinct meaning. For my own part, I am inclined to suppose, (though I would by no means be understood to speak with confidence), that it is effential to memory, that the perception or the idea that we would wish to remember, should remain in the mind for a certain space of time, and should be contemplated by it exclusively of every thing else; and that attention confists partly (perhaps entirely) in the effort of the mind, to detain the idea or the perception, and to exclude the other objects that folicit its notice.

Notwithstanding, however, the difficulty of ascertaining, in what this act of the mind consists, every person must be satisfied of its reality from his own consciousness; and of its essential connexion with the power of memory. I have already mentioned several instances of ideas passing through the mind, without our being able to recollect them next moment. These instances were produced, merely to illustrate the meaning I annex to the word attention; and to recall to the recollection of the reader, a few striking cases, in which the possibility of our carrying on a process of thought, which we are unable to attend to at the time, or to remember afterwards, is acknowledged in the received systems of philosophy. I shall now mention some other phenomena, which appear

to me to be very fimilar to thefe, and to be explicable in the fame manner; although they have commonly been referred to very different principles.

The wonderful effect of practice in the formation of habits, has been often, and justly taken notice of, as one of the most curious circumstances in the human constitution. A mechanical operation, for example, which we at first performed with the utmost difficulty, comes, in time, to be fo familiar to us, that we are able to perform it without the fmallest danger of mistake; even while the attention appears to be completely engaged with other fubjects. truth feems to be, that in confequence of the affociation of ideas, the different steps of the process present themselves successively to the thoughts, without any recollection on our part, and with a degree of rapidity proportioned to the length of our experience; so as to fave us entirely the trouble of hefitation and reflexion, by giving us every moment a precife and steady notion of the effect to be produced \*.

In the case of some operations which are very familiar to us, we find ourselves unable to attend to, or to recollect, the acts of the will by which they were preceded; and accordingly, some philosophers of great eminence have called in question the existence

<sup>\*</sup> I do not mean by this observation, to call in question the effects which the practice of the mechanical arts has on the muscles of the body. These are as indisputable as its effects on the mind. A man who has been accustomed to write with his right hand, can write better with his left hand, than another who never practised the art at all; but he cannot write so well with his left hand as with his right. — The effects of practice, therefore, it should seem, are produced partly on the mind, and partly on the body.

of fuch volitions; and have represented our habitual actions as involuntary and mechanical. But furely the circumstance of our inability to recollect our volitions, does not authorife us to dispute their possibility; any more than our inability to attend to the process of the mind, in estimating the distance of an object from the eye, authorifes us to affirm that the perception is inftantaneous. Nor does it add any force to the objection to urge, that there are instances in which we find it difficult, or perhaps impossible, to check our habitual actions by a contrary volition. For it must be remembered, that this contrary volition does not remain with us fleadily during the whole operation; but is merely a general intention or refolution, which is banished from the mind, as soon as the occasion presents itself with which the habitual train of our thoughts and volitions is affociated \*.

It may indeed be faid, that these observations only prove the possibility that our habitual actions may be

TREATISE ON THE EYE, vol. ii. p. 17.

<sup>\*</sup> The folution of this difficulty, which is given by Dr. Porterfield, is fomewhat curious.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Such is the power of custom and habit, that many actions,

<sup>&</sup>quot; which are no doubt voluntary, and proceed from our mind, are " in certain circumstances rendered necessary, so as to appear alto-

<sup>&</sup>quot; gether mechanical, and independent of our wills; but it does not

<sup>&</sup>quot; from thence follow, that our mind is not concerned in such mo-" tions, but only that it has imposed upon itself a law, whereby

<sup>&</sup>quot; it regulates and governs them to the greatest advantage. In all

<sup>&</sup>quot; this, there is nothing of intrinfical necessity; the mind is at ab-

<sup>&</sup>quot; folute liberty to act as it pleases; but being a wife agent, it can-

<sup>&</sup>quot; not chuse but to act in conformity to this law, by reason of the " utility and advantage that arises from this way of acting."

volui can 1 are ledg foph cour have by r jecti con atte med all exi bee wh ana

e admitted, nothing more furely, if these phenomena n the known and acknowmind, it would be unphiloprinciple, on purpofe to acloctrine, therefore, which I ect to the nature of habits, is hypothesis, as has been obof my friends; but, on the rypothesis falls on those who 1, by faying that they are a doctrine which, if it is at understood as implying the f our constitution, which has ed by philosophers; and to be difficult to find any thing ation.

rvations, I have had in view a

fav r. Hartley's; which has been maintained also of late by a much higher authority, I

mean Dr. Reid.

"Habit \*" (fays this ingenious author) "differs from inflinct, not in its nature, but in its origin; the last being natural, the first acquired. Both operate without will or intention, without thought, and therefore may be called mechanical principles." In another passage †, he expresses himself thus: "I conceive it to be a part of our constitution, that what we have been accustomed to do, we acquire not only a facility but a proneness to do on like oc-

<sup>\*</sup> Essays on the Active Powers of Man, p. 128.

<sup>†</sup> Ibid. p. 130.

of fuch volitions; and have reprefented our habitual pl mechanical. But furely action ability to recollect our vothe ci us to dispute their possilitions. inability to attend to the bility imating the distance of an proce: · object ifes us to affirm that the Nor does it add any percet ge, that there are instances force in whi or perhaps impossible, to by a contrary volition. check that this contrary volition For it steadily during the whole does 1 eneral intention or refoluoperati m the mind, as foon as tion, v the occ with which the habitual

It maprove t

train of

\* The .out.or or this anneuity, which is given by Dr. Porter-field, is fomewhat curious.

litions is affociated \*.

t these observations only habitual actions may be

voluntary.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Such is the power of custom and habit, that many actions, which are no doubt voluntary, and proceed from our mind, are in certain circumstances rendered necessary, so as to appear altogether mechanical, and independent of our wills; but it does not from thence follow, that our mind is not concerned in such motions, but only that it has imposed upon itself a law, whereby it regulates and governs them to the greatest advantage. In all this, there is nothing of intrinsical necessity; the mind is at absolute liberty to act as it pleases; but being a wise agent, it cannot chuse but to act in conformity to this law, by reason of the utility and advantage that arises from this way of acting."

TREATISE ON THE EYE, vol. ii. p. 17.

voluntary. But if this be admitted, nothing more can well be required: for furely, if these phenomena are clearly explicable from the known and acknowledged laws of the human mind, it would be unphilofophical to devife a new principle, on purpofe to account for them. The doctrine, therefore, which I have laid down with respect to the nature of habits, is by no means founded on hypothesis, as has been objected to me by fome of my friends; but, on the contrary, the charge of hypothesis falls on those who attempt to explain them, by faying that they are mechanical or automatic; a doctrine which, if it is at all intelligible, must be understood as implying the existence of some law of our constitution, which has been hitherto unobserved by philosophers; and to which, I believe, it will be difficult to find any thing analogous in our constitution.

In the foregoing observations, I have had in view a favourite doctrine of Dr. Hartley's; which has been maintained also of late by a much higher authority, I mean Dr. Reid.

"Habit \*" (fays this ingenious author) "differs from inftinct, not in its nature, but in its origin; the last being natural, the first acquired. Both operate without will or intention, without thought, and therefore may be called mechanical principles." In another passage †, he expresses himself thus: "I conceive it to be a part of our constitution, that what we have been accustomed to do, we acquire

<sup>&</sup>quot; not only a facility but a proneness to do on like oc-

<sup>\*</sup> Essays on the Active Powers of Man, p. 128.

<sup>+</sup> Ibid. p. 130.

" casions; so that it requires a particular will or effort " to forbear it, but to do it requires, very often, no " will at all."

The fame doctrine is laid down still more explicitly by Dr. Hartley.

" Suppose," (fays he,) " a person who has a per-" feetly voluntary command over his fingers, to begin " to learn to play on the harpfichord. The first step " is to move his fingers from key to key, with a flow " motion, looking at the notes, and exerting an ex-" press act of volition in every motion. By degrees " the motions cling to one another, and to the im-" pressions of the notes, in the way of association, so " often mentioned, the acts of volition growing less " and less express all the time, till at last they become " evanescent and imperceptible. For an expert per-" former will play from notes, or ideas laid up in the " memory, and at the fame time carry on a quite " different train of thoughts in his mind; or even " hold a conversation with another. Whence we " may conclude, that there is no intervention of the " idea, or state of mind, called Will \*." Cases of this fort, Hartley calls, "transitions of voluntary " actions into automatic ones."

I cannot help thinking it more philosophical to suppose, that those actions which are originally voluntary, always continue so; although in the case of operations which are become habitual in confequence of long practice, we may not be able to recollect every different volition. Thus, in the case of a performer

on the harpfichord, I apprehend, that there is an act of the will preceding every motion of every finger, although he may not be able to recollect these volitions afterwards; and although he may, during the time of his performance, be employed in carrying on a feparate train of thought. For, it must be remarked, that the most rapid performer can, when he pleafes, play fo flowly, as to be able to attend to, and to recollect, every separate act of his will in the various movements of his fingers; and he can gradually accelerate the rate of his execution, till he is unable to recollect these acts. Now, in this instance, one of two suppositions must be made; the one is, that the operations in the two cases are carried on precisely in the fame manner, and differ only in the degree of rapidity; and that when this rapidity exceeds a certain rate, the acts of the will are too momentary to leave any impression on the memory. — The other is, that when the rapidity exceeds a certain rate, the operation is taken entirely out of our hands; and is carried on by fome unknown power, of the nature of which we are as ignorant, as of the cause of the circulation of the blood, or of the motion of the intestines \*. The last supposition feems to

me

<sup>\*</sup> This feems to have been the opinion of Bishop Berkeley, whose doctrine concerning the nature of our habitual actions, coincides with that of the two philosophers already quoted. "It must be "owned, we are not conscious of the systole and diastole of the heart, or the motion of the diaphragm. It may not, neverthese less, be thence inferred, that unknowing nature can act regulated the less as well as a well-have. The two inference is that the felf

<sup>&</sup>quot; larly as well as ourfelves. The true inference is, that the felf-

me to be fomewhat fimilar to that of a man who should maintain, that, although a body projected with a moderate velocity, is feen to pass through all the intermediate spaces in moving from one place to another, yet we are not entitled to conclude, that this happens when the body moves fo quickly as to become invisible to the eye. The former supposition is fupported by the analogy of many other facts in our conflitution. Of fome of these, I have already taken notice; and it would be eafy to add to the number. --- An expert accountant, for example, can fum up, almost with a fingle glance of his eye, a long column of figures. He can tell the fum, with unerring certainty; while, at the fame time, he is unable to recollect any one of the figures of which that fum is composed: and yet nobody doubts, that each of these figures has passed through his mind, or supposes, that when the rapidity of the process becomes fo great that he is unable to recollect the various steps of it, he obtains the refult by a fort of inspiration. This

<sup>&</sup>quot;thinking individual, or human person, is not the real author of those natural motions. And, in sact, no man blames himself, if "they are wrong, or values himself, if they are right. The same may be said of the singers of a musician, which some object to be moved by habit, which understands not; it being evident that what is done by rule, must proceed from something that understands the rule; therefore, if not from the musician himself, from some other active intelligence; the same, perhaps, which governs bees and spiders, and moves the limbs of those who walk in their sleep."——See a Treatise, entitled, Siris, p. 123. 2d edit.

last supposition would be perfectly analogous to Dr. Hartley's doctrine concerning the nature of our habitual exertions.

The only plaufible objection which, I think, can be offered to the principles I have endeavoured to establish on this subject, is founded on the astonishing, and almost incredible rapidity, they necessarily suppose in our intellectual operations.—When a person, for example, reads aloud; there must, according to this doctrine, be a separate volition preceding the articulation of every letter; and it has been found, by actual trial \*, that it is possible to pronounce about two thousand letters in a minute. Is it reasonable to suppose, that the mind is capable of so many different acts in an interval of time so very inconsiderable?

With respect to this objection, it may be observed, in the first place, that all arguments against the foregoing doctrine with respect to our habitual exertions, in so far as they are founded on the inconceivable rapidity which they suppose in our intellectual operations, apply equally to the common doctrine concerning our perception of distance by the eye. But this is not all. To what does the supposition amount,

Conspectus Medicinæ Theoreticæ, Aust. Jac. Gregory. Edit. altera, p. 171.

<sup>\*</sup> Incredibili velocitate peraguntur et repetuntur musculorum contractiones. Docent cursus, præsertim quadrupedum; vel lingua, quæ quadringinta vocabula, forte bis mille literas, exprimit, spatio temporis quod minutum vocare solemus, quamvis ad multas literas exprimendas plures musculorum contractiones requirantur.

which is confidered as fo incredible? Only to this, that the mind is fo formed, as to be able to carry on certain intellectual processes, in intervals of time too fhort to be estimated by our faculties; a supposition which, fo far from being extravagant, is supported by the analogy of many of our most certain conclusions in natural philosophy. The discoveries made by the microscope, have laid open to our fenses a world of wonders, the existence of which hardly any man would have admitted upon inferior evidence; and have gradually prepared the way for those phyfical speculations, which explain some of the most extraordinary phenomena of nature, by means of modifications of matter far too fubtile for the examination of our organs. Why then should it be considered as unphilosophical, after having demonstrated the existence of various intellectual processes which escape our attention in confequence of their rapidity, to carry the supposition a little farther, in order to bring under the known laws of the human constitution, a class of mental operations, which must otherwise remain perfectly inexplicable? Surely, our ideas of time are merely relative, as well as our ideas of extension; nor is there any good reason for doubting, that, if our powers of attention and memory were more perfect than they are, fo as to give us the fame advantage in examining rapid events, which the microfcope gives for examining minute portions of extension, they would enlarge our views with respect to the intellectual world, no less than that instrument has with respect to the material.

It may contribute to remove, still more completely, fome of the fcruples which are naturally fuggefted by the foregoing doctrine, to remark, that, as the great use of attention and memory is to enable us to treasure up the refults of our experience and reflexion for the future regulation of our conduct, it would have anfwered no purpose for the author of our nature to have extended their province to those intervals of time, which we have no occasion to estimate in the common business of life. All the intellectual processes I have mentioned are fubfervient to fome particular end, either of perception or of action; and it would have been perfectly superfluous, if, after this end were gained, the steps which are instrumental in bringing it about, were all treafured up in the memory. Such a conflitution of our nature would have had no other effect but to store the mind with a variety of useless particulars.

After all I have faid, it will perhaps be ftill thought, that fome of the reasonings I have offered are too hypothetical; and it is even possible, that some may be disposed rather to dispute the common theory of vision, than admit the conclusions I have endeavoured to establish. To such readers the following considerations may be of use, as they afford a more palpable instance, than any I have yet mentioned, of the rapidity with which the thoughts may be trained by practice, to shift from one thing to another.

When an equilibrist balances a rod upon his finger, not only the attention of his mind, but the observation of his eye, is constantly requisite.—It is evident that the part of his body which supports the object is

never wholly at rest; otherwise the object would no more stand upon it, than if placed in the same position upon a table. The equilibrist, therefore, must watch, in the very beginning, every inclination of the object from the proper position, in order to counteract this inclination by a contrary movement. In this manner, the object has never time to fall in any one direction, and is supported in a way fomewhat analogous to that in which a top is supported on a pivot, by being made to spin upon an axis.—That a perfon should be able to do this in the case of a single object, is curious; but that he should be able to balance in the same way, two, or three, upon different parts of his body, and at the fame time balance himself on a small cord or wire, is indeed wonderful. Nor is it possible to conceive that, in fuch an inftance, the mind, at one and the fame moment, attends to these different equilibriums; for it is not merely the attention which is requifite, but the eye. We must therefore conclude, that both of these are directed successively to the different equilibriums, but change from one object to another with fuch velocity, that the effect, with respect to the experiment, is the same as if they were directed to all the objects constantly.

It is worth while to remark farther, with respect to this last illustration, that it assords direct evidence of the possibility of our exerting acts of the will, which we are unable to recollect; for the movements of the equilibrist do not succeed each other in a regular order, like those of the harpsichord player, in performing a piece of music; but must in every instance be regu-

lated

lated by accidents, which may vary in numberless respects, and which indeed must vary in numberless respects, every time he repeats the experiment: and therefore, although, in the former case, we should suppose, with Hartley, "that the motions cling to "one another, and to the impressions of the notes, in the way of affociation, without any intervention of the state of mind called will," yet, in this instance, even the possibility of such a supposition is directly contradicted by the fact.

The dexterity of jugglers (which, by the way, merits a greater degree of attention from philosophers, than it has yet attracted,) affords many curious illustrations of the same doctrine. The whole of this art feems to me to be founded on this principle; that it is possible for a person, by long practice, to acquire a power, not only of carrying on certain intellectual processes more quickly than other men, (for all the feats of legerdemain suppose the exercise of observation, thought, and volition,) but of persorming a variety of movements with the hand, before the eyes of a company, in an interval of time too short to enable the spectators to exert that degree of attention which is necessary to lay a foundation for memory \*.

As fome philosophers have disputed the influence of the will in the case of habits, so others (particularly Stahl and his followers) have gone into the opposite extreme, by referring to the will all the vital motions. If it be admitted, (say these philosophers,) that there are instances in which we will an effect, without being able to make it an object of attention,

is it not possible that, what we commonly call the vital and involuntary motions, may be the confequences of our own thought and volition? But there is furely a wide difference between those cases, in which the mind was at first conscious of thought and volition, and gradually lost the power of attending to them, from the growing rapidity of the intellectual process; and a case in which the effect itself is perfectly unknown to the bulk of mankind, even after they arrive at maturity, and in which this effect has continued to take place with the most perfect regularity, from the very beginning of their animal existence, and long before the first dawn of either reslexion or experience.

Some of the followers of Stahl have stated the fact rather inaccurately, even with respect to our habitual exertions. Thus Dr. Porterfield, in his Treatise on the Eye, is at pains to prove, that the soul may think and will without knowledge or consciousness. But this, I own, is to me inconceivable. The true state of the fact, I apprehend, is, that the mind may think and will, without attending to its thoughts and volitions, so as to be able afterwards to recollect them.—Nor is this merely a verbal criticism; for there is an important difference between consciousness and attention, which it is very necessary to keep in view, in order to think upon this subject with any degree of precision. \* The one is an involuntary state of the mind;

<sup>\*</sup> The distinction between attention and consciousness is pointed out by Dr. Reid, in his Essays on the Intellectual Powers of Man, p. 60. "Attention is a voluntary act; it requires an active exertion to begin and to continue it; and it may be constituted in the continue of the continue

mind; the other is a voluntary act: the one has no immediate connexion with memory; but the other is fo effentially fubservient to it, that, without some degree of it, the ideas and perceptions which pass through the mind, feem to leave no trace behind them.

When two persons are speaking to us at once, we can attend to either of them at pleasure, without being much disturbed by the other. If we attempt to listen to both, we can understand neither. The fact feems to be, that when we attended constantly to one of the speakers, the words spoken by the other make no impression on the memory, in consequence of our not attending to them; and affect us as little as if they had not been uttered. This power, however, of the mind to attend to either speaker at pleasure, fuppofes that it is, at one and the fame time, confcious of the fenfations which both produce.

Another well-known fact may be of use in illustrating the fame distinction. A person who accidentally lofes his fight, never fails to improve gradually in the fenfibility of his touch. - Now, there are only two ways of explaining this. The one is, that, in confequence of the lofs of the one fenfe, fome change takes place in the phyfical conflitution of the body, fo as to improve a different organ of perception. The other, that the mind gradually acquires a power of

<sup>&</sup>quot; tinued as long as we will; but confcioufness is involuntary, and " of no continuance, changing with every thought." The fame author has remarked, that thefe two operations of the mind have been frequently confounded by philosophers, and particularly by Mir. Locke.

attending to and remembering those slighter fensations of which it was formerly confcious, but which, from our habits of inattention, made no impression whatever on the memory. No one, furely, can hefitate for a moment, in pronouncing which of thefe two suppositions is the more philosophical.

Having treated, at confiderable length, of those habits in which both mind and body are concerned, I proceed to make a few remarks on fome phenomena which are purely intellectual; and which, I think, are explicable on the fame principles with those

which have been now under our review.

Every perfon who has studied the elements of geometry, must have observed many cases in which the truth of a theorem struck him the moment he heard the enunciation. I do not allude to those theorems the truth of which is obvious almost to fense; such as, that any two fides of a triangle are greater than the third fide; or that one circle cannot cut another circle in more than two points; but to fome propositions with respect to quantity, considered abstractly, (to fome, for example, in the fifth book of Euclid,) which almost every student would be ready to admit without a demonstration. These propositions, however, do by no means belong to the class of axioms; for their evidence does not strike every person equally, but requires a certain degree of quickness to perceive it. At the fame time, it frequently happens, that, although we are convinced the proposition is true, we cannot state immediately to others upon what our conviction is founded. In fuch cases, I think it highly probable, that before we give our affent to the

theorem, a process of thought \* has passed through the mind, but has paffed through it fo quickly, that we cannot, without difficulty, arrest our ideas in their rapid fuccession, and state them to others in their proper and logical order. It is fome confirmation of this theory, that there are no propositions of which it is more difficult to give a legitimate proof from first principles, than of those which are only removed a few steps from the class of axioms; and that those men who are the most remarkable for their quick perception of mathematical truth, are feldom clear and methodical in communicating their knowledge to others. — A man of a moderate degree of quickness, the very first time he is made acquainted with the fundamental principles of the method of fluxions, or of the method of prime and ultimate ratios, is almost instantaneously satisfied of their truth; yet how difficult is it to demonstrate these principles rigorously!

What I have now faid with respect to mathematics, may be applied in a great measure to the other branches of knowledge. How many questions daily occur to us, in morals, in politics, and in common life; in confidering which, we almost instantaneously see where the truth lies, although we are not in a condition, all at once, to explain the grounds of our conviction! Indeed, I apprehend, there are few, even among those who have devoted themselves to study, but who have not been habituated to communicate

<sup>\*</sup> Of the nature of these processes of thought, I shall treat fully in another part of my work, under the article of Reasoning. I have expressed myself concerning them in this chapter, in as general terms as possible.

their knowledge to others, who are able to exhibit, in their natural order, the different steps of any investigation by which they have been led to form a particular conclusion. The common observation, therefore, that an obscure elocution always indicates an imperfect knowledge of the fubject; although it may perhaps be true with respect to men who have cultivated the art of speaking, is by no means to be relied on as a general rule, in judging of the talents of those whose speculations have been carried on with a view merely to their own private fatisfaction.

In the course of my own experience, I have heard of more than one instance, of men who, without any mathematical education, were able, on a little reflexion, to give a folution of any fimple algebraical problem; and who, at the same time, were perfectly incapable of explaining by what steps they obtained the refult. In these cases, we have a direct proof of the possibility of investigating even truths which are pretty remote, by an intellectual process, which, as foon as it is finished, vanishes almost entirely from the memory. — It is probable, that fomething of the fame kind takes place much more frequently in the other branches of knowledge, in which our reasonings confift commonly but of a few steps. Indeed, I am inclined to think, that it is in this way that by far the greater part of our speculative conclusions are formed.

There is no talent, I apprehend, fo effential to a public fpeaker, as to be able to ftate clearly every different step of those trains of thought by which he himself was led to the conclusions he wishes to establish. Much may be here done by study and experience. Even in those cases in which the truth of a proposition feems to strike us instantaneously, although we may not be able, at first, to discover the media of proof, we feldom fail in the discovery by perseverance. - Nothing contributes fo much to form this talent as the study of metaphysics; not the absurd metaphysics of the schools, but that study which has the operations of the mind for its object. By habituating us to reflect on the subjects of our consciousness, it enables us to retard, in a considerable degree, the current of thought; to arrest many of those ideas, which would otherwife escape our notice; and to render the arguments which we employ for the conviction of others, an exact transcript of those trains of inquiry and reasoning, which originally led us to form our opinions.

These observations lead me to take notice of an important distinction between the intellectual habits of men of speculation and of action. The latter, who are under a necessity of thinking and deciding on the fpur of the occasion, are led to cultivate, as much as possible, a quickness in their mental operations; and fometimes acquire it in fo great a degree, that their judgments feem to be almost intuitive. To those, on the other hand, who have not merely to form opinions for themselves, but to communicate them to others, it is necessary to retard the train of thought as it passes in the mind, so as to be able afterwards to recollect every different step of the process; a habit, which, in fome cases, has such an influence on the intellectual powers, that there are men, who, even in their

their private speculations, not only make use of words as an instrument of thought, but form these words

into regular fentences.

It may perhaps appear, at first, a paradoxical obfervation, that one great employment of philosophers, in a refined age, is to bring to light, and arrange, those rapid and confused trains of thought, which appear from the structure of languages, and from the monuments of ancient laws and governments, to have passed through the minds of men in the most remote and unenlightened periods. In proof, however, of this, it is fufficient to mention, the fystematical analogy which we find, to a certain degree, running through the structure of the most imperfect tongues, (for example, in the formation of the different parts of the verbs,) and those general principles, which the philosophical lawyer traces amidst an apparent chaos of precedents and statutes. In the language, too, of the rudest tribe, we find words transferred from one fubject to another, which indicate, in the mind of the individual who first made the transference, some perception of refemblance or of analogy. Such transferences can hardly be ascribed to accident, but may be confidered as proofs that the analogies which the philosopher afterwards points out between the objects which are distinguished by the same name, had been perceived by the inventors of language, although it is more than probable that they never expressed them in words, nor could even have explained them if they had been questioned on the subject.

Nor will this appear a bold or incredible supposition, if we reflect on the fagacity and ingenuity which

favages,

favages, and even peafants, difcover, in overcoming the difficulties which occur in their fituation. They do not, indeed, engage in long processes of abstract reasoning, for which they have no inclination, and which it is impossible to carry on without the use of a cultivated and a copious language; but, when pressed by present circumstances, they combine means to accomplish particular ends, in a manner which indicates the exercise both of invention and of reasoning. It is probable that fuch processes are carried on in their minds, with much lefs affiftance from language, than a philosopher would derive on a fimilar occasion; and it is almost certain, that they would find themselves perfectly capable of communicating to others the steps by which they were led to their conclusions. In consequence of these circumstances, the attainments of the human mind, in its ruder state, perish with the individual, without being recorded in writing, or perhaps expressed in words; and we are left to infer them indirectly from the structure of language, or from the monuments of ancient customs and institutions.

When a train of thought leads to any interesting conclusion, or excites any pleasant feeling, it becomes peculiarly difficult to arrest our fleeting ideas; because the mind, when once it has felt the pleasure, has little inclination to retrace the steps by which it arrived at it. This is one great cause of the difficulty attending philosophical criticism. When a critic explains to us, why we are pleased with any particular beauty, or offended with any defect, it is evident, that if his theory be just, the circumstances which

he points out as the foundation of our pleasure or uneafinefs, must have occurred to our minds before we were pleafed with the beauty, or offended with the defect. In fuch cases, it sometimes happens, when a critic has been fortunate in his theory, that we recognize at first fight our old ideas, and, without any farther confideration, are ready to bear testimony to the truth, from our own consciousness. So very difficult, however, is it to attend to the ideas which excite fuch feelings, that it often appears to be doubtful, whether a theory be right or wrong; and that where there is every reason to believe that the pleafure is produced in all men in the same way, different critics adopt different theories with respect to its cause. It is long practice alone, joined to what is commonly called a metaphyfical turn of mind, (by which I think is chiefly to be understood, a capacity of reflecting on the fubjects of our confciousness,) that can render fuch efforts of attention eafy. Exquisite sensibility, fo far from being ufeful in this species of criticism, both gives a difrelish for the study, and disqualifies for purfuing it.

Before we leave the fubject of attention, it is proper to take notice of a question which has been stated with respect to it; whether we have the power of attending to more than one thing at one and the same instant; or, in other words, whether we can attend at one and the same instant, to objects which we can attend to separately \*? This question has, if I am not mistaken, been already decided by several philo-

<sup>\*</sup> I have added this explanation to obviate the question, what is meant by one object?

fophers in the negative; and I acknowledge, for my own part, that although their opinion has not only been called in question by others, but even treated with fome degree of contempt as altogether hypothetical, it appears to me to be the most reasonable and

philosophical that we can form on the subject.

There is indeed a great variety of cases, in which the mind apparently exerts different acts of attention at once; but from the inftances which have already been mentioned, of the aftonishing rapidity of thought, it is obvious, that all this may be explained, without fuppofing these acts to be co-existent; and I may even venture to add, it may all be explained in the most fatisfactory manner, without afcribing to our intellectual operations, a greater degree of rapidity than that with which we know from the fact that they are fometimes carried on. The effect of practice in increafing this capacity of apparently attending to different things at once, renders this explanation of the phenomenon in question, more probable than any other.

The case of the equilibrist and rope-dancer already mentioned, is particularly favourable to this explanation; as it affords direct evidence of the possibility of the mind's exerting different fuccessive acts in an interval of time fo short, as to produce the same fenfible effect, as if they had been exerted at one and the fame moment. In this cafe, indeed, the rapidity of thought is fo remarkable, that if the different acts of the mind were not all necessarily accompanied with different movements of the eye, there can be no K reafon

reason for doubting, that the philosophers, whose doctrine I am now controverting, would have afferted, that they are all mathematically co-existent.

Upon a question, however, of this fort, which does not admit of a perfectly direct appeal to the fact, I would by no means be understood to decide with confidence; and therefore I should wish the conclusions I am now to state, to be received as only conditionally established. They are necessary and obvious confequences of the general principle, "that the mind can only attend to one thing at once;" but must stand or fall with the truth of that supposition.

It is commonly understood, I believe, that, in a concert of music, a good ear can attend to the disferent parts of the music separately, or can attend to them all at once, and feel the full effect of the harmony. If the doctrine, however, which I have endeavoured to establish, be admitted, it will follow, that, in the latter case, the mind is constantly varying its attention from the one part of the music to the other, and that its operations are so rapid, as to give us no perception of an interval of time.

The fame doctrine leads to fome curious conclufions with respect to vision. Suppose the eye to be fixed in a particular position, and the picture of an object to be painted on the retina. Does the mind perceive the complete figure of the object at once, or is this perception the result of the various perceptions we have of the different points in the outline? With respect to this question, the principles already stated lead me to conclude, that the mind

does at one and the fame time perceive every point in the outline of the object, (provided the whole of it be painted on the retina at the same instant,) for perception, like confcioufnefs, is an involuntary operation. As no two points, however, of the outline are in the fame direction, every point, by itfelf, constitutes just as distinct an object of attention to the mind, as if it were feparated by an interval of empty space from all the rest. If the doctrine therefore formerly stated be just, it is impossible for the mind to attend to more than one of these points at once; and as the perception of the figure of the object, implies a knowledge of the relative fituation of the different points with respect to each other, we must conclude, that the perception of figure by the eye, is the refult of a number of different acts of attention. These acts of attention, however, are performed with fuch rapidity, that the effect, with respect to us, is the same as if the perception were instantaneous.

In farther confirmation of this reasoning, it may be remarked, that if the perception of visible figure were an immediate confequence of the picture on the retina, we should have, at the first glance, as distinct an idea of a figure of a thousand sides, as of a triangle or a square. The truth is, that when the figure is very simple, the process of the mind is so rapid, that the perception seems to be instantaneous; but when the sides are multiplied beyond a certain number, the interval of time necessary for these different acts of attention becomes perceptible.

K 2

132

It may perhaps be asked, what I mean by a point in the outline of a figure, and what it is that constitutes this point one object of attention? The answer, I apprehend, is, that this point is the minimum visibile. If the point be less, we cannot perceive it: if it be greater, it is not all feen in one direction.

If these observations be admitted, it will follow, that, without the faculty of memory, we could have had no perception of visible figure.

Chap. III.

# CHAPTER THIRD.

# Of Conception.

BY Conception, I mean that power of the mind, which enables it to form a notion of an abfent object of perception; or of a fensation which it has formerly felt. I do not contend that this is exclusively the proper meaning of the word, but I think that the faculty which I have now defined, deserves to be distinguished by an appropriated name.

Conception is often confounded with other powers. When a painter makes a picture of a friend, who is absent or dead, he is commonly said to paint from memory: and the expression is sufficiently correct for common conversation. But in an analysis of the mind, there is ground for a distinction. The power of conception enables him to make the features of his friend an object of thought, so as to copy the resemblance; the power of memory recognises these features as a former object of perception. Every act of memory includes an idea of the past; conception implies no idea of time whatever \*.

Act 1. Scene 4.

<sup>\*</sup> Shakespeare calls this power "the mind's eye."

Hamlet.—" My father! Methinks I see my father.

Horatio.—" Where, my Lord?

Hamlet.—" In my mind's eye, Horatio."

According to this view of the matter, the word conception corresponds to what was called by the schoolmen fimple apprehension; with this difference only, that they included, under this name, our apprehenfion of general propositions; whereas I should wish to limit the application of the word conception to our fensations, and the objects of our perceptions. Dr. Reid, in his Inquiry, fubftitutes the word conception instead of the simple apprehension of the schools, and employs it in the fame extensive fignification. I think it may contribute to make our ideas more diftinct, to restrict its meaning: - and for such a reftriction, we have the authority of philosophers in a cafe perfectly analogous. - In ordinary language, we apply the fame word perception, to the knowledge which we have by our fenses of external objects, and to our knowledge of speculative truth: and yet an author would be justly censured, who should treat of these two operations of the mind under the same article of perception. I apprehend there is as wide a difference between the conception of a truth, and the conception of an absent object of sense, as between the perception of a tree, and the perception of a mathematical theorem. - I have therefore taken the liberty to distinguish also the two former operations of the mind: and under the article of conception, shall confine myfelf to that faculty whose province it is to enable us to form a notion of our past fensations, or of the objects of fense that we have formerly perceived.

Conception is frequently used as fynonymous with imagination. Dr. Reid fays, that "imagination, in

"its proper fense, fignifies a lively conception of objects of fight." "This is a talent" (he remarks)
for importance to poets and orators; and deserves a
proper name, on account of its connexion with
their arts." He adds, that "imagination is distinguished from conception, as a part from a
whole."

I shall not inquire, at present, into the proper English meaning of the words conception and imagination. In a study such as this, so far removed from the common purposes of speech, some latitude may perhaps be allowed in the use of words; provided only we define accurately those we employ, and adhere to our own definitions.

The bufiness of conception, according to the account I have given of it, is to prefent us with an exact transcript of what we have felt or perceived. But we have, moreover, a power of modifying our conceptions, by combining the parts of different ones together, fo as to form new wholes of our own creation. I shall employ the word imagination to express this power; and, I apprehend, that this is the proper fense of the word; if imagination be the power which gives birth to the productions of the poet and the painter. This is not a fimple faculty of the mind. It presupposes abstraction, to separate from each other qualities and circumstances which have been perceived in conjunction; and also judgment and taste to direct us in forming the combinations. If they are made wholly at random, they are proofs of infanity \*.

The

<sup>\*</sup> In common discourse, we often use the phrase of thinking upon an object, to express what I here call, the conception of it. — In

The first remarkable fact which strikes us with respect to conception is, that we can conceive the objects of some senses much more easily than those of others. Thus we can conceive an abfent visible object, fuch as a building that is familiar to us, much more eafily than a particular found, a particular tafte, or a particular pain, which we have formerly felt. It is probable, however, that this power might be improved in the case of some of our senses. Few people, I believe, are able to form a very diffinct conception of founds; and yet it is certain, that, by practice, a person may acquire a power of amusing himself with reading written music. And in the case of poetical numbers, it is univerfally known, that a reader may enjoy the harmony of the verfe, without articulating the words, even in a whifper. In fuch cases, I take for granted, that our pleafure arises from a very ftrong conception of the founds which we have been accustomed to affociate with particular written characters.

the following passage, Shakespeare uses the former of these phrases, and the words imagination and apprehension as synonymous with each other.

—Who can hold a fire in his hand,
By thinking on the frosty Caucasus?
Or cloy the hungry edge of appetite,
By bare imagination of a feast?
Or wallow naked in December's snow,
By thinking on fantastic summer's heat?
Oh no! the apprehension of the good
Gives but the greater feeling to the worse.

K. RICHARD II. Act 1. Scene 6.

The peculiarity in the case of visible objects, seems to arise from this; that when we think of a found or of a taste, the object of our conception is one single detached fenfation; whereas every visible object is complex; and the conception which we form of it as a whole, is aided by the affociation of ideas. To perceive the force of this observation, it is necessary to recollect what was formerly faid on the fubject of attention. As we cannot at one instant attend to every point of the picture of an object on the retina, fo, I apprehend, we cannot at one instant form a conception of the whole of any vifible object; but that our conception of the object as a whole, is the refult of many conceptions. The affociation of ideas connects the different parts together, and prefents them to the mind in their proper arrangement; and the various relations which these parts bear to one another in point of fituation, contribute greatly to ftrengthen the affociations. It is some confirmation of this theory, that it is more eafy to remember a fucceffion of founds, than any particular found which we have heard detached and unconnected.

The power of conceiving visible objects, like all other powers that depend on the affociation of ideas, may be wonderfully improved by habit. A person accustomed to drawing, retains a much more persect notion of a building or of a landscape which he has seen, than one who has never practised that art. A portrait painter traces the form of the human body from memory, with as little exertion of attention, as he employs in writing the letters which compose his name.

In the power of conceiving colours, too, there are striking differences among individuals: and, indeed, I am inclined to fuspect, that, in the greater number of instances, the supposed defects of fight in this respect, ought to be ascribed rather to a defect in the power of conception. One thing is certain, that we often fee men who are perfectly fenfible of the difference between two colours when they are prefented to them, who cannot give names to these colours, with confidence, when they fee them apart; and are perhaps apt to confound the one with the other. Such men, it should seem, feel the sensation of colour like other men, when the object is present, but are incapable (probably in confequence of some early habit of inattention) to conceive the fensation distinctly when the object is removed. Without this power of conception, it is evidently impossible for them, however lively their fenfations may be, to give a name to any colour; for the application of the name supposes not only a capacity of receiving the fenfation, but a power of comparing it with one formerly felt. At the fame time, I would not be understood by these observations to deny, that there are cases, in which there is a natural defect of the organ in the perception of colour. In fome cases, perhaps, the sensation is not felt at all; and in others, the faintness of the fenfation may be one cause of those habits of inattention, from which the incapacity of conception has arifen.

A talent for lively description, at least in the case of sensible objects, depends chiefly on the degree in which the describer possesses the power of conception.

We may remark, even in common conversation, a striking difference among individuals in this respect. One man, in attempting to convey a notion of any object he has feen, feems to place it before him, and to paint from actual perception: another, although not deficient in a ready elocution, finds himself in fuch a fituation confused and embarrassed among a number of particulars imperfectly apprehended, which crowd into his mind without any just order and connection. Nor is it merely to the accuracy of our defcriptions that this power is subservient: it contributes more than any thing elfe to render them striking and expressive to others, by guiding us to a selection of fuch circumstances as are most prominent and characteriffical; infomuch that I think it may reafonably be doubted, if a person would not write a happier description of an object from the conception than from the actual perception of it. It has been often remarked, that the perfection of description does not confift in a minute specification of circumstances, but in a judicious felection of them; and that the best rule for making the felection is, to attend to the particulars that make the deepest impression on our own minds. When the object is actually before us, it is extremely difficult to compare the impressions which different circumstances produce; and the very thought of writing a description, would prevent the impresfions which would otherwife take place. When we afterwards conceive the object, the reprefentation of it we form to ourselves, however lively, is merely an outline; and is made up of those circumstances, which really struck us most at the moment; while others of

lefs importance are obliterated. The impression, indeed, which a circumstance makes on the mind, will vary considerably with the degree of a person's taste; but I am inclined to think, that a man of lively conceptions, who paints from these, while his mind is yet warm from the original scene, can hardly fail to succeed in descriptive composition.

The facts and observations which I have now mentioned, are applicable to conception, as diftinguished from imagination. The two powers, however, are very nearly allied; and are frequently fo blended, that it is difficult to fay, to which of the two, some particular operations of the mind are to be referred. There are also many general facts which hold equally with respect to both. The observations which follow, if they are well founded, are of this number, and might have been introduced with equal propriety under either article. I mention them here, as I shall have occasion to refer to them in the course of the following work, in treating of some subjects, which will naturally occur to our examination, before we have another opportunity of confidering this part of our conflitution.

It is a common, I believe I may fay an univerfal, doctrine among logicians, that conception (or imagination, which is often used as synonymous with it) is attended with no belief of the existence of its object.

- " Perception," fays Dr. Reid, " is attended with a
- " belief of the prefent existence of its object; me-
- "mory, with a belief of its past existence; but ima-
- " gination is attended with no belief at all; and was
- "therefore called by the school-men, apprehensio finplex."

It is with great diffidence, that I prefume to call in question a principle, which has been so generally received; yet there are feveral circumstances which lead me to doubt of it. If it were a specifical distinction between perception and imagination, that the former is always attended with belief, and the latter with none; then the more lively our imagination were of any object, and the more completely that object occupied the attention, the less would we be apt to believe its existence; for it is reasonable to think, that when any of our powers is employed feparately from the rest, and there is nothing to withdraw the attention from it, the laws which regulate its operation will be most obvious to our observation, and will be most completely difcriminated from those which are characteriffical of the other powers of the mind. So very different however is the fact, that it is matter of common remark, that when imagination is very lively, we are apt to ascribe to its objects a real existence, as in the case of dreaming or of madness; and we may add, in the case of those who, in spite of their own general belief of the abfurdity of the vulgar stories of apparitions, dare not trust themselves alone with their own imaginations in the dark. That imagination is in thefe instances attended with belief, we have all the evidence that the nature of the thing admits of; for we feel and act in the fame manner as we should do, if we believed that the objects of our attention were real; which is the only proof that metaphysicians produce, or can produce, of the belief which accompanies perception.

In these cases, the fact that I wish to establish is so striking, that it has never been called in question; but in most cases, the impression which the objects of imagination make on the mind is so momentary, and is so immediately corrected by the surrounding objects of perception, that it has not time to influence our conduct. Hence we are apt to conclude on a superficial view, that imagination is attended with no belief; and the conclusion is surely just in most cases, if by belief we mean a permanent conviction which influences our conduct. But if the word be used in the strict logical fense, I am inclined to think, after the most careful attention to what I experience in myself, that the exercise both of conception and imagination is always accompanied with a belief, that their objects exist.\*

When

\* As the foregoing reasoning, though satisfactory to myself, has not appeared equally so to some of my friends; I should wish the reader to consider the remarks which I now offer, as amount-

ing rather to a query, than to a decided opinion.

se punishment."

May I take the liberty of adding, that one of the arguments which I have stated, in opposition to the common doctrine concerning imagination, appears to me to be authorised, in some measure, by the following reasoning of Dr. Reid's on a different subject? In considering those sudden bursts of passion, which lead us to wreak our vengeance upon inanimate objects, he endeavours to shew, that we have, in such cases, a momentary belief that the object is alive. "I consess," says he, "it seems to be impossible, that there should be resentment against a thing, which, at that very moment, is considered as inanimate; and consequently incapable either of intending hurt, or of being punished.—
"There must, therefore, I conceive, be some momentary notion or conception, that the object of our resentment is capable of

When a painter conceives the face and figure of an abfent friend, in order to draw his picture, he believes for the moment that his friend is before him. The belief, indeed, is only momentary; for it is extremely difficult, in our waking hours, to keep up a fleady and undivided attention to any object we conceive or imagine; and, as foon as the conception or the imagination is over, the belief which attended it is at an end. We find that we can recal and difmifs the ob-

In another paffage, the fame author remarks, that "men may be governed, in their practice, by a belief, which, in speculation,

" they reject."

"I knew a man" (fays he,) "who was as much convinced as any man, of the folly of the popular belief of apparitions in the dark: yet he could not fleep in a room alone, nor go alone into a room in the dark. Can it be faid, that his fear did not imply a belief of danger? This is impossible. Yet his philosophy convinced him, that he was in no more danger in the dark when alone, than with company. Here an unreasonable belief, which was merely a prejudice of the nursery, stuck so fast as to govern his conduct, in opposition to his speculative belief as a philosometr, and a man of sense."

"There are few perfons who can look down from the battlement of a very high tower without fear; while their reason convinces them, that they are in no more danger than when standing upon the ground."

Thefe facts are eafily explicable, on the fupposition, that whenever the objects of imagination engross the attention wholly, (which they may do, in opposition to any speculative opinion with respect to their non-existence,) they produce a temporary belief of their reality.— Indeed, in the last passage, Dr. Reid seems to admit this to be the case; for, to say that a man who has a dread of apparitions, believes himself to be in danger when left alone in the dark, is to say, in other words, that he believes (for the time) that the objects of his imagination are real.

jects of these powers at pleasure; and therefore we learn to confider them as creations of the mind, which have no feparate and independent existence.

The compatibility of fuch a speculative disbelief, as I have here supposed, of the existence of an object, with a contrary momentary belief, may perhaps be more readily admitted, if the following experiment be confidered with attention.

Suppose a lighted candle to be fo placed before a concave mirror, that the image of the flame may be feen between the mirror and the eye of the observer. In this case, a person who is acquainted with the principles of optics, or who has feen the experiment made before, has fo strong a speculative conviction of the non-existence of the object in that place where he sees its image, that he would not hefitate to put his finger to the apparent flame, without any apprehension of injury.

Suppose, however, that in fuch a case it were posfible for the observer to banish completely from his thoughts all the circumstances of the experiment, and to confine his attention wholly to his perception; would he not believe the image to be a reality; and would he not expect the fame confequences from touching it, as from touching a real body in a state of inflammation? If these questions be answered in the affirmative, it will follow; that the effect of the perception, while it engages the attention completely to itself, is to produce belief; and that the speculative disbelief, according to which our conduct in ordinary cases is regulated, is the result of a recollection of the

various

various circumstances with which the experiment is accompanied.

If, in fuch a case as I have now supposed, the appearance exhibited to us is of fuch a nature, as to threaten us with any immediate danger, the effect is the fame as if we were to banish from our thoughts the circumstances of the experiment, and to limit our attention folely to what we perceive: for here the belief, which is the first effect of the perception, alarms our fears, and influences our conduct, before reflection has time to operate. In a very ingenious optical deception, which was lately exhibited in this city, the image of a flower was prefented to the spectator; and when he was about to lay hold of it with his hand, a stroke was aimed at him by the image of a dagger. If a person who has seen this experiment is asked, in his cooler moments, whether or not he believes the dagger which he faw to be real, he will readily answer in the negative; and yet the accurate statement of the fact undoubtedly is, that the first and the proper effect of the perception is belief; and that the difbelief he feels, is the effect of subfequent reflexion.

The speculative disbelief which we feel with respect to the illusions of imagination, I conceive to be analogous to our speculative disbelief of the existence of the object exhibited to the eye in this optical deception; as our belief that the illusions of imagination are real, while that faculty occupies the mind exclusively, is analogous to the belief produced by the optical deception while the attention is limited to our perception,

perception, and is withdrawn from the circumstances in which the experiment is made \*.

These observations lead me to take notice of a circumstance with respect to the belief accompanying perception, which it appears to me necessary to state, in order to render Dr. Reid's doctrine on that subject completely fatisfactory. He has shewn, that certain fenfations are, by a law of our nature, accompanied with an irrefiftible belief of the existence of certain qualities of external objects. But this law extends no farther than to the prefent existence of the quality; that is, to its existence while we feel the corresponding fenfation. Whence is it then, that we ascribe to the quality, an existence independent of our perception? I apprehend we learn to do this by experience alone. We find that we cannot, as in the case of imagination, difmifs or recal the perception of an external object. If I open my eves, I cannot prevent myfelf from feeing the prospect which is before me. I learn, therefore, to ascribe to the objects of my fenses, not only an existence at the time I perceive them, but an independent and a permanent existence.

It is a strong confirmation of this doctrine, that in sleep, when (as I shall endeavour afterwards to shew) the influence of the will over the train of our thoughts is suspended, and when, of consequence, the time of

<sup>\*</sup> It may appear to some readers rather trisling to add, and yet to others the remark may not be altogether superfluous, that it is not my intention to infinuate by the foregoing illustrations, that the relation between perception and imagination has the most distant analogy to that between the perception of the object, and the perception of its optical image.

their continuance in the mind is not regulated by us, we ascribe to the objects of imagination an independent and permanent existence, as we do when awake to the objects of perception. The same thing happens in those kinds of madness, in which a particular idea takes possession of the attention, and occupies it to the exclusion of every thing else. Indeed, madness feems in many cases to arise entirely from a suspension of the influence of the will over the succession of our thoughts; in consequence of which, the objects of imagination appear to have an existence independent of our volition; and are therefore, agreeably to the foregoing doctrine, mistaken for realities.

Numberless other illustrations of the same general fact occur to me; but the following is, I think, one of the most striking. I mention it, in preference to the rest, as it appears to me to connect the doctrine in question with some principles which are now uni-

verfally admitted among philosophers.

The distinction between the original and the acquired perceptions of fight, is familiarly known to every one who has the slightest acquaintance with the elements of optics. That this sense, prior to experience, conveys to us the notion of extension in two dimensions only, and that it gives us no information concerning the distances at which objects are placed from the eye, are propositions which nobody, I presume, in the present state of science, will be disposed to controvert. In what manner we are enabled, by a comparison because the perceptions of sight and those of touch, to extend the province of the former sense to a variety of qualities originally perceived by the latter sense only,

optical writers have explained at great length; but it is not necessary for my present purpose to enter into any particular details with respect to their reasonings on the subject. It is sufficient for me to remark, that, according to the received doctrine, the original perceptions of sight become, in consequence of experience, signs of the tangible qualities of external objects, and of the distances at which they are placed from the organ; and that, although the knowledge we obtain, in this manner, of these qualities and distances, seems, from early and constant habits, to be an instantaneous perception; yet, in many cases, it implies an exercise of the judgment, being sounded on a comparison of a variety of different circumstances.

From these principles, it is an obvious consequence, that the knowledge we obtain, by the eye, of the tangible qualities of bodies, involves the exercise of conception, according to the definition of that power which has already been given. In ordinary discourse, indeed, we ascribe this knowledge, on account of the instantaneousness with which it is obtained, to the power of perception; but if the common doctrine on the subject be just, it is the result of a complex operation of the mind; comprehending, first, the perception of those qualities, which are the proper and original objects of fight; and, fecondly, the conception of those tangible qualities of which the original perceptions of fight are found from experience to be the figns. The notions, therefore, we form, by means of the eye, of the tangible qualities of bodies, and of the distances of these objects from the organ, are mere conceptions; strongly, and indeed indisfolubly, associated,

ciated, by early and constant habit, with the original perceptions of fight.

When we open our eyes on a magnificent prospect, the various distances at which all its different parts are placed from the eye, and the immense extent of the whole scene before us, seem to be perceived as immediately, and as inftantaneously, by the mind, as the coloured furface which is painted on the retina. The truth, however, unquestionably is, that this variety of distance, and this immensity of extent, are not objects of fense but of conception; and the notions we form of them when our eyes are open, differ from those we should form of them with our eyes shut, only in this, that they are kept steadily in the view of the mind, by being strongly affociated with the fentations of colour, and with the original perceptions of fight. -This observation will be the more readily admitted, if it be confidered, that, by a skilful imitation of a natural landscape, in a common shew-box, the mind may be led to form the fame notions of variety of diftance, and even of immense extent, as if the original fcene were prefented to our fenfes: and that, although, in this case, we have a speculative conviction that the sphere of our vision only extends to a few inches; yet fo ftrong is the affociation between the original perceptions of fight, and the conceptions which they habitually produce, that it is not possible for us, by any effort of our will, to prevent these conceptions from taking place.

From these observations it appears, that when the conceptions of the mind are rendered steady and permanent, by being strongly associated with any sensible

impression, they command our belief no less than our actual perceptions; and, therefore, if it were possible for us, with our eyes shut, to keep up, for a length of time, the conception of any sensible object, we should, as long as this effort continued, believe that the object was present to our senses.

It appears to me to be no flight confirmation of thefe remarks, that although, in the dark, the illufions of imagination are much more liable to be miftaken for realities, than when their momentary effects on the belief are continually checked and corrected by the objects which the light of day prefents to our perceptions; yet, even total darkness is not so alarming to a person impressed with the vulgar stories of apparitions, as a faint and doubtful twilight, which affords to the conceptions an opportunity of fixing and prolonging their existence, by attaching themfelves to fomething which is obscurely exhibited to the eye. - In like manner, when we look through a fog, we are frequently apt to mistake a crow for a man; and the conception we have, upon fuch an occasion, of the human figure, is much more diffinct and much more steady, than it would be possible for us to form, if we had no fensible object before us; infomuch that when, on a more attentive observation, the crow fhrinks to its own dimensions, we find it impossible, by any effort, to conjure up the phantom which a moment before we feemed to perceive.

If these observations are admitted, the effects which exhibitions of sictitious distress produce on the mind, will appear less wonderful, than they are supposed to be. During the representation of a tragedy, I acknowledge,

knowledge, that we have a general conviction that the whole is a fiction; but, I believe, it will be found, that the violent emotions which are fometimes produced by the diffresses of the stage, take their rise, in most cases, from a momentary belief, that the distresses are real. I say, in most cases; because, I acknowledge, that, independently of any such belief, there is something contagious in a faithful expression of any of the passions.

The emotions produced by tragedy are, upon this fupposition, somewhat analogous to the dread we feel when we look down from the battlement of a tower\*. In both cases, we have a general conviction, that there is no ground for the feelings we experience; but the momentary influences of imagination are so powerful as to produce these feelings, before reflexion has time to come to our relief.

\* With respect to the dread which we feel in looking down from the battlement of a tower, it is curious to remark the effects of habit in gradually destroying it. The manner in which habit operates in this case, scems to be by giving us a command over our thoughts, fo as to enable us to withdraw our attention from the precipice before us, and direct it to any other object at pleafure. It is thus that the mason and the failor not only can take precautions for their own fafety, but remain completely masters of themfelves in fituations where other men, engroffed with their imaginary danger, would experience a total suspension of their faculties. Any strong passion which occupies the mind produces, for the moment, the same effect with habit. A person alarmed with the apprehension of fire, has been known to escape from the top of a house by a path, which, at another time, he would have confidered as impracticable; and foldiers, in mounting a breach, are faid to have fometimes found their way to the enemy, by a route which appeared inacceffible after their violent passions had subsided.

## CHAPTER FOURTH.

Of Abstraction.

### SECTION I.

General Observations on this Faculty of the Mind.

HE origin of appellatives, or, in other words, the origin of those classes of objects which, in the schools, are called *genera*, and *species*, has been considered by some philosophers as one of the most difficult problems in metaphysics. The account of it which is given by Mr. Smith, in his Differtation on the Origin of Languages, appears to me to be equally simple and satisfactory.

"The affignation" (fays he) "of particular names, to denote particular objects; that is, the inflitu-

" tion of nouns fubitantive; would probably be one

" of the first steps towards the formation of Language.

"The particular cave, whose covering sheltered the

" favage from the weather; the particular tree, whose

" fruit relieved his hunger; the particular fountain,

"whose water allayed his thirst; would first be de-

"nominated by the words, cave, tree, fountain; or

by whatever other appellations he might think

" proper, in that primitive jargon, to mark them.

" Afterwards, when the more enlarged experience of

" this

"this favage had led him to observe, and his necessary coccasions obliged him to make mention of, other caves, and other trees, and other fountains; he would naturally bestow upon each of those new obsects, the same name by which he had been accustomed to express the similar object he was first acquainted with. And thus, those words, which were originally the proper names of individuals, would each of them insensibly become the common name of a multitude \*."

"It is this application" (he continues) "of the name of an individual to a great number of objects, whose resemblance naturally recalls the idea of that individual, and of the name which expresses it, that seems originally to have given occasion to the formation of those classes, and affortments, which, in the schools, are called genera and species; and of which the ingenious and eloquent Rousseau finds himself so much at a loss to account for the origin. What constitutes a species, is merely a number of objects, bearing a certain degree of resemblance to one another; and, on that account, denominated by a single appellation, which may be applied to express any one of them t."

\* The fame account of the progrefs of the mind in the formation of genera, is given by the Abbé de Condillac.

† Differtation on the Origin of Languages, annexed to Mr. Smith's Theory of Moral Sentiments.

This

<sup>&</sup>quot;Un enfant appelle du nom d'Arbre le premier arbre que nous lui montrons. Un fecond arbre qu'il voit enfuite lui rapelle la même idée; il lui donne le même nom; de même à un troissème, à un quatrième, et voilà le mot d'Arbre donné d'abord à un individu, qui devient pour lui un nom de classe ou de geure, une idée abstraite qui comprend tous les arbres en général."

This view of the natural progress of the mind, in forming classifications of external objects, receives fome illustration from a fact mentioned by Captain Cook in his account of a finall island called Wateeoo. which he vifited in failing from New Zealand to the Friendly Islands. "The inhabitants," fays he, " were afraid to come near our cows and horses, nor " did they form the least conception of their nature. " But the fheep and goats did not furpals the limits of " their ideas; for they gave us to understand that "they knew them to be birds. It will appear," he adds, " rather incredible, that human ignorance could " ever make fo strange a mistake, there not being the " most distant similitude between a sheep or goat, " and any winged animal. But these people seemed " to know nothing of the existence of any other land " animals, befides hogs, dogs, and birds. Our fheep " and goats, they could fee, were very different " creatures from the two first, and therefore they in-" ferred that they must belong to the latter class, in " which they knew that there is a confiderable variety " of fpecies."—I would add to Cook's very judicious remarks, that the mistake of these islanders probably did not arife from their confidering a fheep or a goat as bearing a more striking resemblance to a bird, than to the two classes of quadrupeds with which they were acquainted; but to the want of a generic word, fuch as quadruped, comprehending these two species; which men in their fituation would no more be led to form, than a person who had only seen one individual of each species, would think of an appellative to express both, instead of applying a proper name to each.

In confequence of the variety of birds, it appears, that they had a generic name comprehending all of them, to which it was not unnatural for them to refer any new animal they met with.

The claffification of different objects supposes a power of attending to some of their qualities or attributes, without attending to the rest; for no two objects are to be found without some specific difference; and no affortment or arrangement can be formed among things not perfectly alike, but by lofing fight of their distinguishing peculiarities, and limiting the attention to those attributes which belong to them in common. Indeed, without this power of attending feparately to things which our fenses prefent to us in a state of union, we never could have had any idea of number; for, before we can confider different objects as forming a multitude, it is necessary that we should be able to apply to all of them one common name; or, in other words, that we should reduce them all to the same genus. The various objects, for example, animate and inanimate, which are. at this moment, before me, I may class and number in a variety of different ways, according to the view of them that I chuse to take. I may reckon succeffively the number of sheep, of cows, of horses, of elms, of oaks, of beeches; or I may first reckon the number of animals, and then the number of trees; or I may at once reckon the number of all the organised substances which my fenses present to me. But whatever be the principle on which my claffification proceeds, it is evident that the objects numbered together, must be considered in those re**fpects** 

fpects only in which they agree with each other; and that, if I had no power of feparating the combinations of fense, I never could have conceived them as forming a plurality.

This power of confidering certain qualities or attributes of an object apart from the reft; or, as I would rather chuse to define it, the power which the understanding has, of separating the combinations which are presented to it, is distinguished by logicians by the name of abstraction. It has been supposed, by some philosophers, (with what probability I shall not now inquire,) to form the characteristical attribute of a rational nature. That it is one of the most important of all our faculties, and very intimately connected with the exercise of our reasoning powers, is beyond dispute. And, I slatter myself, it will appear from the sequel of this chapter, how much the proper management of it conduces, to the success of our philosophical pursuits, and of our general conduct in life.

The fubserviency of Abstraction to the power of Reasoning, and also, its subserviency to the exertions of a Poetical or Creative Imagination, shall be afterwards fully illustrated. At present, it is sufficient for my purpose to remark, that as abstraction is the ground-work of classification, without this faculty of the mind we should have been perfectly incapable of general speculation, and all our knowledge must necessarily have been limited to individuals; and that some of the most useful branches of science, particularly the different branches of mathematics, in which the very subjects of our reasoning are abstractions of the understanding, could never have possibly had an existence.

existence. With respect to the subserviency of this faculty to poetical imagination, it is no less obvious, that, as the poet is supplied with all his materials by experience; and as his province is limited to combine and modify things which really exist, so as to produce new wholes of his own; so every exertion which he thus makes of his powers, presupposes the exercise of abstraction in decomposing and separating actual combinations. And it was on this account that, in the chapter on Conception, I was led to make a distinction between that faculty, which is evidently simple and uncompounded, and the power of Imagination, which (at least in the sense in which I employ the word in these inquiries) is the result of a combination of various other powers.

I have introduced these remarks, in order to point out a difference between the abstractions which are fubfervient to reasoning, and those which are subservient to imagination. And, if I am not mistaken, it is a distinction which has not been sufficiently attended to by fome writers of eminence. In every instance in which imagination is employed in forming new wholes, by decompounding and combining the perceptions of fense, it is evidently necessary that the poet or the painter should be able to state to himself the circumstances abstracted, as separate objects of conception. But this is by no means requifite in every cafe in which abstraction is subservient to the power of reasoning; for it frequently happens, that we can reason concerning one quality or property of an object abstracted from the rest, while, at the same time, we find it impossible to conceive it separately. Thus, I can rea-

fon concerning extension and figure, without any reference to colour; although it may be doubted, if a person possessed of fight can make extension and figure steady objects of conception, without connecting with them one colour or another. Nor is this always owing (as it is in the instance now mentioned) merely to the affociation of ideas; for there are cases, in which we can reason concerning things separately, which it is impossible for us to suppose any being so constituted as to conceive apart. Thus, we can reafon concerning length, abstracted from any other dimension; although, furely, no understanding can make length, without breadth, an object of conception. And, by the way, this leads me to take notice of an error, which mathematical teachers are apt to commit, in explaining the first principles of geometry. By dwelling long on Euclid's first definitions, they lead the student to suppose that they relate to notions which are extremely mysterious; and to strain his powers in fruitless attempts to conceive, what cannot possibly be made an object of conception. If these definitions were omitted, or very flightly touched upon, and the attention at once directed to geometrical reasonings, the student would immediately perceive, that although the lines in the diagrams are really extended in two dimensions, yet that the demonstrations relate only to one of them; and that the human understanding has the faculty of reasoning concerning things feparately, which are always prefented to us, both by our powers of perception and conception, in a state of union. Such abstractions, in truth, are familiar to the most illiterate of mankind;

and it is in this very way that they are infenfibly formed. When a tradefinan fpeaks of the length of a room, in contradiffinction to its breadth; or when he fpeaks of the distance between any two objects; he forms exactly the fame abstraction, which is referred to by Euclid in his fecond definition; and which most of his commentators have thought it necessary to illustrate by prolix metaphysical disquisitions.

I shall only observe farther, with respect to the nature and province of this faculty of the mind, that notwithstanding its effential subserviency to every act of claffification, yet it might have been exercifed, although we had only been acquainted with one individual object. Although, for example, we had never feen but one rofe, we might still have been able to attend to its colour, without thinking of its other properties. This has led fome philosophers to suppose, that another faculty besides abstraction, to which they have given the name of generalifation, is necessary to account for the formation of genera and species; and they have endeavoured to shew, that although generalifation without abstraction is impoffible; yet that we might have been fo formed, as to be able to abstract, without being capable of generalising. The grounds of this opinion, it is not necessary for me to examine, for any of the purposes which I have at prefent in view.

### SECTION II.

Of the Objects of our Thoughts, when we employ general Terms.

ROM the account which was given in a former chapter, of the common theories of perception, it appears to have been a prevailing opinion among philosophers, that the qualities of external objects are perceived, by means of images or species transmitted to the mind by the organs of fense: an opinion of which I already endeavoured to trace the origin, from certain natural prejudices fuggested by the phenomena of the material world. The fame train of thinking has led them to suppose that, in the case of all our other intellectual operations, there exist in the mind certain ideas diffinct from the mind itself; and that these ideas are the objects about which our thoughts are employed. When I recollect, for example, the appearance of an absent friend, it is supposed that the immediate object of my thoughts is an idea of my friend; which I at first received by my fenses, and which I have been enabled to retain in the mind by the faculty of memory. When I form to myself any imaginary combination by an effort of poetical invention, it is supposed, in like manner, that the parts which I combine, existed previously in the mind; and furnish the materials on which it is the province of imagination to operate. It is to Dr. Reid we owe the important remark, that all these notions are wholly hypothetical; that it is impossible to produce a shadow

a fhadow of evidence in support of them; and that, even although we were to admit their truth, they would not render the phenomena in question more intelligible. According to his principles, therefore, we have no ground for supposing, that, in any one operation of the mind, there exists in it an object distinct from the mind itself; and all the common expressions which involve such a supposition, are to be considered as unmeaning circumlocutions, which serve only to disguise from us the real history of the intellectual phenomena \*.

" We

\* In order to prevent misapprehensions of Dr. Reid's meaning in his reasonings against the ideal theory, it may be necessary to explain, a little more fully than I have done in the text, in what fense he calls in question the existence of ideas: for the meaning which this word is employed to convey in popular discourse, differs widely from that which is annexed to it by the philosophers whose opinion he controverts. This explanation I shall give in his own words:

"In popular language, idea fignifies the fame thing as conception, apprehension, notion. To have an idea of any thing, is
to conceive it. To have a distinct idea, is to conceive it diftinctly. To have no idea of it, is not to conceive it at all.—
"When the word idea is taken in this popular sense, no man can

" poffibly doubt whether he has ideas."

"According to the philosophical meaning of the word idea, it does not fignify that act of the mind which we call thought, or conception, but some object of thought. Of these objects of thought called ideas, different sects of philosophers have given very different accounts."

"Some have held them to be felf-existent; others to be in the divine mind; others in our own minds; and others in the brain,

" or fenforium." p. 213.

"The Peripatetick fystem of species and phantasms, as well as the Platonick system of ideas, is grounded upon this principle.

"We are at a loss to know," (fays this excellent philosopher,) "how we perceive distant objects; " how we remember things past; how we imagine "things that have no existence. Ideas in the mind " feem to account for all these operations; they are " all by the means of ideas reduced to one operation; " to a kind of feeling, or immediate perception of "things prefent, and in contact with the percipient; " and feeling is an operation fo familiar, that we

" objects, is of no confequence in the prefent argument." Ibid.

I have only to add, that when, in this work, I make use of the word idea in flating my own opinions, I employ it uniformly in the popular fense, and not in the philosophical fense, as now explained; it would be better, perhaps, to avoid it altogether; but I have found it difficult to do fo, without adopting unufual modes of expression. I flatter myself that I have used it with due cau-

tion.

<sup>&</sup>quot;that in every kind of thought, there must be some object that " really exists; in every operation of the mind, something to work " upon. Whether this immediate object be called an idea with " Plato, or a phantafm or species with Aristotle; whether it be " eternal and uncreated, or produced by the impressions of external

<sup>&</sup>quot; So much is this opinion fixed in the minds of philosophers. "that, I doubt not but it will appear to most, a very strange pa-" radox, or rather a contradiction, that men should think without "ideas. But this appearance of contradiction arises from the " ambiguity of the word idea. If the idea of a thing means only " the thought of it, which is the most common meaning of the " word, to think without ideas, is to think without thought; " which is undoubtedly a contradiction. But an idea, according " to the definition given of it by philosophers, is not thought, but " an object of thought, which really exists, and is perceived, &c." Ibid. p. 390.

"think it needs no explanation, but may ferve to explain other operations."

"But this feeling, or immediate perception, is as difficult to be comprehended, as the things which we pretend to explain by it. Two things may be in contact, without any feeling or perception; there must therefore be in the percipient, a power to feel, or to perceive. How this power is produced, and how it operates, is quite beyond the reach of our knowledge. As little can we know, whether this power must be limited to things present, and in contact with us. Neither can any man pretend to prove, that the Being who gave us the power to perceive things present, may not give us the power to perceive things distant, to remember things past, and to conceive things that never existed \*."

In another part of this work, Dr. Reid has occasion to trace the origin of the prejudice which has led philosophers to suppose, that, in all the operations of the understanding, there must be an object of thought, which really exists while we think of it. His remarks on this subject, which are highly ingenious and satisfactory, are contained in his account of the different theories concerning conception †.

As in all the ancient metaphyfical fystems it was taken for granted, (probably from the analogy of our external perceptions,) that every exertion of thought implies the existence of an object distinct from the thinking being; it naturally occurred, as a very curious question, What is the immediate object of our

<sup>\*.</sup>Essays on the Intellectual Powers, p. 214. † Ibid. p. 378.

M 2 attention,

attention, when we are engaged in any general speculation; or, in other words, what is the nature of the idea corresponding to a general term? When I think of any particular object which I have formerly perceived, fuch as a particular friend, a particular tree, or a particular mountain, I can comprehend what is meant by a picture or representation of such objects: and therefore the explanation given by the ideal theory of that act of the mind which we formerly called Conception, if not perfectly fatisfactory, is at least not wholly unintelligible. But what account shall we give, upon the principles of this theory, of the objects of my thoughts, when I employ the words, friend, tree, mountain, as generic terms? For, that all the things I have ever perceived are individuals; and confequently, that the ideas denoted by general words, (if fuch ideas exift,) are not copied from any originals that have fallen under my observation; is not only felf-evident, but almost an identical proposition.

In answer to this question, the Platonists, and, at a still earlier period, the Pythagoreans, taught, that, although these universal ideas are not copied from any objects perceivable by sense, yet that they have an existence independent of the human mind, and are no more to be consounded with the understanding, of which they are the proper objects, than material things are to be consounded with our powers of external perception; that as all the individuals which compose a genus, must possess something in common; and as it is in consequence of this, that they belong to that genus, and are distinguishable by the same name, this common thing forms the essence of each; and is the

Chap. IV.

object of the understanding, when we reason concerning the genus. They maintained also, that this common effence \*, notwithstanding its inseparable union with a multitude of different individuals, is in itself one, and indivisible.

On most of these points, the philosophy of Aristotle seems to have coincided very nearly with that of Plato. The language, however, which these philosophers employed on this subject was different, and gave to their doctrines the appearance of a wider diversity than probably existed between their opinions. While Plato was led, by his passion for the marvellous and the mysterious, to insist on the incomprehensible union of the same idea or essence, with a number of individuals, without multiplication or division †; Aristotle, more cautious, and aiming at greater perspicuity, contented himself with faying, that all individuals are composed

\* In this very imperfect sketch of the opinions of the ancients concerning universals, I have substituted, instead of the word idea, the word essence, as better sitted to convey to a modern reader the true import of Plato's expressions. The word essential is said to have been first employed by Cicero; and it was afterwards adopted by the schoolmen, in the same sense in which the Platonists used the word idea. See Dr. Reid's Essays on the Intellectual Powers, p. 473.

† "The idea of a thing," (says Plato,) " is that which makes "one of the many; which, preferving the unity and integrity of its own nature, runs through and mixes with things infinite in "number; and yet, however multiform it may appear, is always the same: so that by it we find out and discriminate the thing, whatever shapes it may assume, and under whatever disguise it may conceal itself."—Plato in Philebo; (quoted by the Author of the Origin and Progress of Language, vol. i. p. 100. 2d edit.)

of matter and form; and that it is in confequence of poffeffing a common form, that different individuals belong to the fame genus. But they both agreed, that, as the matter, or the individual natures of objects were perceived by fenfe; fo the general idea, or effence, or form, was perceived by the intellect; and that, as the attention of the vulgar was chiefly engroffed with the former, fo the latter furnished to the philosopher the materials of his speculations.

The chief difference between the opinions of Plato and Aristotle on the subject of ideas, related to the mode of their existence. That the matter of which all things are made, existed from eternity, was a principle which both admitted; but Plato farther taught, that, of every species of things, there is an idea of form which also existed from eternity; and that this idea is the exemplar or model according to which the individuals of the species were made; whereas Aristotle held, that, although matter may exist without form, yet that forms could not exist without matter \*.

The

The authority of Brucker, in this inflance, has the more weight with me, as it coincides in the most material respects with that of Dr. Reid. See his Essays on the Intellectual Powers of Man, and the conclusion of his Inquiry into the Human Mind.

<sup>\*</sup> In this account of the difference between Plato and Aristotle on the subject of ideas, I have chiefly followed Brucker, whose very laborious researches with respect to this article of the history of philosophy are well known. In stating the distinction, however, I have confined myself to as general terms as possible; as the subject is involved in much obscurity, and has divided the opinions of very eminent writers. The reader will find the result of Brucker's inquiries, in his own words, in Note (F).

The doctrine of the Stoics concerning universals, differed widely from those both of Plato and Aristotle, and seems to have approached to a speculation which is commonly supposed to be of a more recent origin, and which an eminent philosopher of the present age has ranked among the discoveries which do the greatest honour to modern genius\*.

Whether this doctrine of the Stoics coincided entirely with that of the Nominalists, (whose opinions I shall afterwards endeavour to explain,) or whether it did not resemble more, a doctrine maintained by another sect of schoolmen called Conceptualists, I shall not inquire. The determination of this question is interesting only to men of erudition; for the knowledge we possess of this part of the Stoical philosophy, is too impersect to assist us in the farther prosecution of the argument, or even to diminish the merit of those

A very different account of Aristotle's doctrine, in those particulars in which it is commonly supposed to differ from that of Plato, is given by two modern writers of great learning, whose opinions are justly entitled to much respect, from their familiar acquaintance with Aristotle's latter Commentators of the Alexandrian School. — See Origin and Progress of Language, vol. i., and HARRIS'S Hermes.

It is of no confequence, for any of the purposes which I have at present in view, what opinion we form on this much controverted point of philosophical history. In so far as the ideal theory was an attempt to explain the manner in which our general speculations are carried on, it is agreed on all hands, that the doctrines of Plato and Aristotle were essentially the same; and accordingly, what I have said on that subject, coincides entirely with a passage which the reader will find in "Origin and Progress of Language," vol. i. p. 38. 2d edit.

\* Treatise of Human Nature, book i. part i. sect. 7.

philosophers who have, in modern times, been led to fimilar conclusions\*.

As it is not my object, in this work, to enter into historical details, any farther than is necessary for illustrating the subjects of which I treat, I shall pass over the various attempts which were made by the Eclectic philosophers, (a fect which arose at Alexandria about the beginning of the third century,) to reconcile the doctrines of Plato and Aristotle concerning ideas. The endless difficulties, it would appear, to which their fpeculations led, induced, at last, the more cautious and modest inquirers to banish them entirely from Dialectics, and to content themselves with studying the arrangements or claffifications of universals, which the antient philosophers had made, without engaging in any metaphyfical disquisitions concerning their nature. Porphyry, in particular, although he tells us, that he has speculated much on this subject; yet, in his Introduction to Aristotle's Categories, waves the confideration of it as obscure and intricate. On such questions as these; "Whether genera and species exist " in nature, or are only conceptions of the Human " Mind; and (on the supposition that they exist in " nature) whether they are inherent in the objects of " fense, or disjoined from them?" he declines giving any determination.

This passage in Porphyry's Introduction is an object of curiosity; as, by a singular concurrence of circumstances, it served to perpetuate the memory of a controversy from which it was the author's intention to divert the inquiries of his readers. Amidst the

diforders produced by the irruptions of the Barbarians, the knowledge of the Greek tongue was almost entirely loft; and the studies of philosophers were confined to Latin versions of Aristotle's Dialectics, and of Porphyry's Introduction concerning the Categories. With men who had a relish for fuch disquisitions, it is probable that the passage already quoted from Porphyry, would have a tendency rather to excite than to damp curiofity; and accordingly, we have reason to believe, that the controverfy to which it relates continued, during the dark ages, to form a favourite fubject of discussion. The opinion which was prevalent was, (to use the scholastic language of the times,) that univerfals do not exist before things, nor after things, but in things; that is, (if I may be allowed to attempt a commentary upon expressions to which I do not pretend to be able to annex very precise notions,) univerfal ideas have not (as Plato thought) an existence feparable from individual objects; and therefore, they could not have existed prior to them in the order of time; nor yet, (according to the doctrine of the Stoics,) are they mere conceptions of the mind, formed in confequence of an examination and comparifon of particulars; but these ideas or forms are from eternity united inseparably with that matter of which things confift; or, as the Aristotelians sometimes express themselves, the forms of things are from eternity immerfed in matter.—The reader will, I hope, forgive me for entering into these details, not only on account of their connexion with the observations which are to follow; but as they relate to a controverfy which, for many ages, employed all the ingenuity ingenuity and learning in Europe; and which, therefore, however frivolous in itself, deserves the attention of philosophers, as one of the most curious events which occurs in the history of the Human Mind.

Such appears to have been the prevailing opinion concerning the nature of univerfals, till the eleventh century; when a new doctrine, or (as fome authors think) a doctrine borrowed from the school of Zeno, was proposed by Roscelinus\*; and soon after very widely propagated over Europe by the abilities and eloquence of one of his scholars, the celebrated Peter Abelard. According to these philosophers, there are no existences in nature corresponding to general terms; and the objects of our attention in all our general speculations are not ideas, but words.

In consequence of this new doctrine, the schoolmen gradually formed themselves into two sects: one of which attached itself to the opinions of Roscelinus and Abelard; while the other adhered to the principles of Aristotle. Of these sects, the former are known in literary history by the name of the Nominalists; the latter by that of the Realists.

As it is with the doctrine of the Nominalists that my own opinion on this subject coincides; and as I propose to deduce from it some consequences, which appear to me important, I shall endeavour to state it as clearly and precisely as I am able, pursuing, however, rather the train of my own thoughts, than guided by the reasons of any particular author.

I formerly explained in what manner the words, which, in the infancy of language, were proper names,

became gradually appellatives; in confequence of which extension of their fignification, they would exprefs, when applied to individuals, those qualities only which are common to the whole genus. Now, it is evident, that, with respect to individuals of the same genus, there are two classes of truths; the one, particular truths relating to each individual apart, and deduced from a confideration of its peculiar and diffinguishing properties; the other, general truths, deduced from a confideration of their common qualities; and equally applicable to all of them. Such truths may be conveniently expressed, by means of general terms; fo as to form propositions, comprehending under them as many particular truths, as there are individuals comprehended under the general terms. It is farther evident, that there are two ways in which fuch general truths may be obtained; either by fixing the attention on one individual, in fuch a manner that our reasoning may involve no circumstances but those which are common to the whole genus; or, (laying afide entirely the confideration of things,) by means of the general terms with which language fupplies us. In either of these cases, our investigations must necessarily lead us to general conclusions. In the first case; our attention being limited to those circumstances, in which the subject of our reasoning resembles all other individuals of the fame genus, whatever we demonstrate with respect to this subject must be true of every other to which the fame attributes belong. In the fecond case; the subject of our reasoning being expressed by a generic word, which applies in common to a number of individuals.

dividuals, the conclusion we form must be as extenfive in its application, as the name of the fubject is in its meaning. The former process is analogous to the practice of geometers, who, in their most general reasonings, direct the attention to a particular diagram: the latter, to that of algebraists, who carry on their investigations by means of fymbols \*. In cases of this last fort, it may frequently happen, from the affociation of ideas, that a general word may recal fome one individual to which it is applicable; but this is fo far from being necessary to the accuracy of our reasoning, that, excepting in some cases, in which it may be useful to check us in the abuse of general terms, it always has a tendency, more or lefs, to mislead us from the truth. As the decision of a judge must necessarily be impartial, when he is only acquainted with the relations in which the parties stand to each other, and when their names are supplied by letters of the alphabet, or by the fictitious names of Titius, Caius, and Sempronius; fo, in every process of reasoning, the conclusion we form is most likely to be logically just, when the attention is confined

<sup>\*</sup> These two methods of obtaining general truths proceed on the same principles; and are, in sact, much less different from each other, than they appear to be at first view. When we carry on a process of general reasoning, by fixing our attention on a particular individual of a genus, this individual is to be considered merely as a sign or representative; and differs from any other sign only in this, that it bears a certain resemblance to the things it denotes.—The straight lines which are employed in the sifth book of Euclid to represent magnitudes in general, differ from the algebraical expressions of these magnitudes, in the same respects in which picture-writing differs from arbitrary characters.

folely to figns; and when the imagination does not 'prefent to it those individual objects which may warp the judgment by cafual affociations.

To these remarks, it may not be improper to add, that, although in our speculations concerning individuals, it is possible to carry on processes of reasoning, by fixing our attention on the objects themselves. without the use of language; yet it is also in our power to accomplish the same end, by substituting for these objects, words, or other arbitrary figns. The difference between the employment of language in fuch cases, and in our speculations concerning classes or genera, is; that in the former case the use of words is, in a great meafure, optional; whereas, in the latter, it is effentially neceffary. This observation deferves our attention the more, that, if I am not mistaken, it has contributed to mislead some of the Realists; by giving rife to an idea, that the use of language, in thinking about univerfals, however convenient, is not more necessary than in thinking about individuals.

According to this view of the process of the mind, in carrying on general speculations, that IDEA which the antient philosophers considered as the essence of an individual, is nothing more than the particular quality or qualities in which it resembles other individuals of the same class; and in consequence of which, a generic name is applied to it. It is the possession of this quality, that entitles the individual to the generic appellation; and which, therefore, may be said to be essential to its classification with that particular genus; but as all classifications are to a certain degree arbitrary, it does not necessarily follow, that

it is more effential to its existence as an individual, than various other qualities which we are accustomed to regard as accidental. In other words, (if I may borrow the language of modern philosophy,) this quality forms its nominal, but not its real effence.

These observations will, I trust, be sufficient for the fatisfaction of fuch of my readers as are at all converfant with philosophical inquiries. For the fake of others, to whom this difquifition may be new, I have added the following illustrations.

I shall have occasion to examine, in another part of my work, how far it is true, (as is commonly believed,) that every process of reasoning may be refolved into a feries of fyllogifms; and to point out fome limitations, with which, I apprehend, it is necesfary that this opinion should be received. As it would lead me, however, too far from my prefent fubject, to anticipate any part of the doctrine which I am then to propose, I shall, in the following remarks, proceed on the supposition, that the syllogistic theory is well-founded; a supposition which, although not ftrictly agreeable to truth, is yet fufficiently accurate for the use which I am now to make of it. Take then, any step of one of Euclid's demonstrations; for example, the first step of his first proposition, and state it in the form of a fyllogifm. - "All straight lines, drawn from " the centre of a circle to the circumference, are equal " to one another." " But A B, and C D, are ftraight " lines, drawn from the centre of a circle to the cir-"cumference. Therefore, AB is equal to CD."-It is perfectly manifest, that, in order to feel the force of this conclusion, it is by no means necessary, that I should 14

fhould annex any particular notions to the letters A B, or C D, or that I should comprehend what is meant by equality, or by a circle, its centre, and its circumference. Every person must be satisfied, that the truth of the conclusion is necessarily implied in that of the two premifes; whatever the particular things may be to which these premises may relate. In the following fyllogifm, too: - "All men must " die; - Peter is a man; - therefore Peter must die;" -the evidence of the conclusion does not in the least depend on the particular notions I annex to the words man, and Peter; but would be equally complete, if we were to substitute instead of them, two letters of the alphabet, or any other infignificant characters.— "-All X's must die; - Z is an X; therefore Z must "die;"- is a fyllogifm which forces the affent no less than the former. It is farther obvious, that this fyllogism would be equally conclusive, if, instead of the word die, I were to substitute any other verb that the language contains; and, that, in order to perceive the justness of the inference, it is not even necessary that I should understand its meaning.

In general, it might be eafily fhewn, that all the rules of logic, with refpect to fyllogifms, might be demonstrated, without having recourse to any thing but letters of the alphabet; in the same manner, (and I may add, on the very same principles,) on which the algebraist demonstrates, by means of these letters, the various rules for transposing the terms of an equation.

From what has been said, it follows, that the affent we give to the conclusion of a fyllogism does not refult

176 ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. IV. fult from any examination of the notions expressed by the different propositions of which it is composed, but is an immediate confequence of the relations in which the words stand to each other. The truth is, that in every fyllogism, the inference is only a particular instance of the general axiom, that whatever is true univerfally of any fign, must also be true of every individual which that fign can be employed to express. Admitting, therefore, that every process of reasoning may be refolved into a feries of fyllogifms, it follows, that this operation of the mind furnishes no proof of the existence of any thing corresponding to general terms, distinct from the individuals to which these terms are applicable.

These remarks, I am very sensible, do, by no means, exhaust the subject; for there are various modes of reasoning, to which the syllogistic theory does not apply. But, in all of them, without exception, it will be found, on examination, that the evidence of our conclusions appears immediately from the consideration of the words in which the premises are expressed; without any reference to the things which they denote. The impersect account which is given of deductive evidence, in the received systems of logic, makes it impossible for me, in this place, to prosecute the subject any farther.

After all that I have faid on the use of language as an instrument of reasoning, I can easily foresee a variety of objections, which may occur to the doctrine I have been endeavouring to establish. But, without entering into a particular examination of these objections, I believe I may venture to affirm, that most, if

not all, of them take their rife from confounding reasoning, or deduction, properly so called, with certain other intellectual processes, which it is necessary for us to employ in the investigation of truth. That it is frequently of effential importance to us, in our speculations, to withdraw our attention from words, and to direct it to the things they denote, I am very ready to acknowledge. All that I affert is, that, in fo far as our speculations consist of that process of the mind which is properly called reasoning, they may be carried on by words alone; or, which comes to the fame thing, that every process of reasoning is perfectly analogous to an algebraical operation. What I mean by "the other intellectual processes distinct " from reasoning, which it is necessary for us some-" times to employ in the investigation of truth," will, I hope, appear clearly from the following remarks.

In algebraical investigations, it is well known, that the practical application of a general expression, is frequently limited by the conditions which the hypothesis involves; and that, in consequence of a want of attention to this circumstance, some mathematicians of the first eminence have been led to adopt the most paradoxical and absurd conclusions. Without this cautious exercise of the judgment, in the interpretation of the algebraical language, no dexterity in the use of the calculus will be sufficient to preserve us from error. Even in algebra, therefore, there is an application of the intellectual powers perfectly distinct from any process of reasoning; and which is absolutely necessary for conducting us to the truth.

In

In geometry, we are not liable to adopt the fame paradoxical conclusions, as in algebra; because the diagrams, to which our attention is directed, ferve as a continual check on our reasoning powers. These diagrams exhibit to our very fenses, a variety of relations among the quantities under confideration, which the language of algebra is too general to express; in confequence of which, we are not confcious of any effort of the judgment distinct from a process of reafoning. As every geometrical investigation, however, may be expressed algebraically, it is manifest, that in geometry, as well as in algebra, there is an exercise of the intellectual powers, distinct from the logical process; although, in the former science, it is rendered fo eafy, by the use of diagrams, as to escape our attention.

The fame fource of error and of abfurdity, which exists in algebra, is to be found, in a much greater degree, in the other branches of knowledge. Abstracting entirely from the ambiguity of language; and supposing also our reasonings to be logically accurate, it would still be necessary for us, from time to time, in all our speculations, to lay aside the use of words, and to have recourse to particular examples, or illustrations, in order to correct and to limit our general conclusions. — To a want of attention to this circumstance, a number of the speculative absurdities which are current in the world, might, I am persuaded, be easily traced.

Besides, however, this source of error, which is in some degree common to all the sciences, there is a great variety of others, from which mathematics are

Chap. IV.

entirely exempted; and which perpetually tend to lead us aftray in our philosophical inquiries. Of these, the most important is, that ambiguity in the fignification of words, which renders it fo difficult to avoid employing the fame expressions in different senses, in the course of the same process of reasoning. This source of mistake, indeed, is apt, in a much greater degree, to affect our conclusions in metaphysics, morals, and politics, than in the different branches of natural philofophy; but, if we except mathematics, there is no fcience whatever, in which it has not a very fenfible influence. In algebra, we may proceed with perfect fafety through the longest investigations, without carrying our attention beyond the figns, till we arrive at the last result. But in the other sciences, excepting in those cases in which we have fixed the meaning of all our terms by accurate definitions, and have rendered the use of these terms perfectly familiar to us by very long habit, it is but feldom that we can proceed in this manner without danger of error. In many cases, it is necessary for us to keep up, during the whole of our investigations, a scrupulous and constant attention to the fignification of our expressions; and, in most cases, this caution in the use of words. is a much more difficult effort of the mind, than the logical process. But still this furnishes no exception to the general doctrine already delivered; for the attention we find it necessary to give to the import of our words, arises only from the accidental circumstance of their ambiguity, and has no effential connection with that process of the mind, which is properly called reasoning; and which consists in the in-N2 ference

fciences, this process of the mind is perfectly analogous to an algebraical operation; or, in other words, (when the meaning of our expressions is once fixed by definitions,) it may be carried on intirely by the use of figures, without attending, during the time of the pro-

cefs, to the things fignified.

The conclusion to which the foregoing observations lead, appears to me to be decifive of the question, with respect to the objects of our thoughts when we employ general terms; for if it be granted, that words, even when employed without any reference to their particular fignification, form an instrument of thought sufficient for all the purposes of reasoning; the only shadow of an argument in proof of the common doctrine on the fubject, (I mean that which is founded on the impossibility of explaining this process of the mind on any other hypothesis,) falls to the ground. Nothing less, furely, than a conviction of this impossibility, could have so long reconciled philofophers to an hypothesis unsupported by any direct evidence; and acknowledged even by its warmest defenders, to involve much difficulty and myftery.

It does not fall within my plan, to enter, in this part of my work, into a particular confideration of the practical confequences which follow from the foregoing doctrine. I cannot, however, help remarking the importance of cultivating, on the one hand, a talent for ready and various illustration; and, on the other, a habit of reasoning by means of general terms. The former talent is necessary, not only for correcting

and limiting our general conclusions, but for enabling us to apply our knowledge, when occasion requires, to its real practical use. The latter serves the double purpose, of preventing our attention from being distracted during the course of our reasonings, by ideas which are foreign to the point in question; and of diverting the attention from those conceptions of particular objects and particular events which might disturb the judgment, by the ideas and feelings which are apt to be associated with them, in consequence of our own casual experience.

This last observation points out to us, also, one principal foundation of the art of the orator. As his object is not so much to inform and to satisfy the understandings of his hearers, as to force their immediate assent; it is frequently of use to him to clothe his reasonings in that specific and sigurative language, which may either awaken in their minds associations favourable to his purpose, or may divert their attention from a logical examination of his argument. A process of reasoning so expressed, affords at once an exercise to the judgment, to the imagination, and to the passions; and is apt, even when loose and inconsequential, to impose on the best understandings.

It appears farther, from the remarks which have been made, that the perfection of philosophical language, confidered either as an instrument of thought, or as a medium of communication with others, confists in the use of expressions, which, from their generality, have no tendency to awaken the powers of conception and imagination; or, in other words, it con-

N 3 fifts

Chap. IV.

fifts in its approaching, as nearly as possible, in its nature, to the language of algebra. And hence the effects which long habits of philosophical speculation have, in weakening, by disuse, those faculties of the mind, which are necessary for the exertions of the poet and the orator; and of gradually forming a style of composition, which they who read merely for amusement, are apt to censure for a want of vivacity and of ornament.

## SECTION III.

Remarks on the Opinions of Some modern Philosophers on the Subject of the foregoing Section.

AFTER the death of Abelard, through whose abilities and eloquence the fect of Nominalists had enjoyed, for a few years, a very splendid triumph, the fystem of the Realists began to revive; and it was foon fo completely re-established in the schools, as to prevail, with little or no opposition, till the fourteenth century. What the circumstances were, which led philosophers to abandon a doctrine, which seems fo strongly to recommend itself by its simplicity, it is not very eafy to conceive. Probably the heretical opinions, which had subjected both Abelard and Roscelinus to the cenfure of the church, might create a prejudice also against their philosophical principles; and probably too, the manner in which thefe principles were stated and defended, was not the clearest, nor the most

most satisfactory\*. The principal cause, however, I am disposed to think, of the decline of the sect of Nominalists, was their want of some palpable example, by means of which they might illustrate their doctrine. It is by the use which algebraists make of the letters of the alphabet in carrying on their operations, that Leibnitz and Berkeley have been most successful in explaining the use of language as an instrument of thought: and, as in the twelfth century, the algebraical art was entirely unknown, Rofcelinus and Abelard must have been reduced to the necessity of conveying their leading idea by general circumlocutions; and must have found considerable difficulty in stating it in a manner fatisfactory to themselves: a confideration, which, if it accounts for the flow progress which this doctrine made in the world, places in the more striking light, the genius of those men whose fagacity led them, under so great disadvantages, to approach to a conclusion so just and philosophical in itself, and fo opposite to the prevailing opinions of their age.

In the fourteenth century, this fect feems to have been almost completely extinct; their doctrine being equally reprobated by the two great parties which then divided the schools, the followers of Duns Scotus and of Thomas Aquinas. These, although they differed in their manner of explaining the nature of universals, and opposed each other's opinions with much asperity, yet united in rejecting the doctrine of the

<sup>\*</sup> The great argument which the Nominalists employed against the existence of universals was; "Entia non sunt multiplicanda "præter necessitatem."

Nominalists, not only as abfurd, but as leading to the most dangerous consequences. At last, William Occam, a native of England, and a fcholar of Duns Scotus, revived the ancient controversy: and with equal ability and fuccefs vindicated the long-abandoned philosophy of Roscelinus. From this time the dispute was carried on with great warmth, in the univerfities of France, of Germany, and of England; more particularly in the two former countries, where the fovereigns were led, by fome political views, to interest themselves deeply in the contest; and even to employ the civil power in supporting their favourite opinions. The emperor Lewis of Bavaria, in return for the affistance which, in his disputes with the Pope \*, Occam had given to him by his writings, fided with the Nominalists. Lewis the Eleventh of France, on the other hand, attached himfelf to the Realists, and made their antagonists the objects of a cruel perfecution †.

The Protestant Reformation, at length, involved men of learning in discussions of a more interesting nature; but even the zeal of theological controversy could hardly exceed that with which the Nominalists and Realists had for some time before maintained their respective doctrines. "Clamores primum ad ravim," (fays an author who had himself been an eye-witness of these literary disputes,) "hinc improbitas, sannæ, "minæ, convitia, dum luctantur, et uterque alterum "tentat prosternere: consumtis verbis venitur ad

<sup>\*</sup> Occam, we are told, was accustomed to say to the Emperor: "Tu me defendas gladio, et ego te defendam calamo." BRUCKER, vol. iii. p. 848.

<sup>†</sup> Mosheim's Ecclefiaftical History.

<sup>&</sup>quot; pugnos,

" pugnos, ad veram lectam ex ficta et fimulata. " Quin etiam, quæ contingunt in palæftra, illic non " defunt, colaphi, alapæ, confputio, calces, morfus, " etiam quæ jam fupra leges palæftræ, fuftes, ferrum, " faucii multi, nonnunquam occifi \*." That this account is not exaggerated, we have the testimony of no less an author than Erasmus, who mentions it as a common occurrence: " Eos usque ad pallorem, usque " ad convitia, usque ad sputa, nonnunquam et usque " ad pugnos invicem digladiari, alios ut Nominales, " alios ut Reales, loqui †."

The dispute to which the foregoing observations relate, although for some time after the Reformation, interrupted by theological disquisitions, has been since occasionally revived by different writers; and, singular as it may appear, it has not yet been brought to a conclusion in which all parties are agreed. The names, indeed, of Nominalists and Realists exist no longer; but the point in dispute between these two celebrated sects, coincides precisely with a question which has been agitated in our own times, and which has led to one of the most beautiful speculations of modern philosophy.

Of the advocates who have appeared for the doc-

<sup>\*</sup> Ludovicus Vives.

<sup>†</sup> The Nominalists procured the death of John Huss, who was a Realist; and in their Letter to Lewis King of France, do not pretend to deny that he fell a victim to the refentment of their sect. The Realists, on the other hand, obtained, in the year 1479, the condemnation of John de Wesalia, who was attached to the party of the Nominalists. These contending sects carried their sury so far as to charge each other with "the sin against the Holy Ghost."

MOSHEIM'S Ecclesiastical History.

trine of the Nominalists, fince the revival of letters. the most distinguished are, Hobbes, Berkeley, and Hume. The first has, in various parts of his works, reprobated the hypothesis of the Realists; and has stated the opinions of their antagonists with that acuteness, simplicity, and precision, which distinguish all his writings \*. The fecond, confidering (and, in my opinion, justly) the doctrines of the antients concerning universals, in support of which so much ingenuity had been employed by the Realists, as the great fource of mystery and error in the abstract sciences, was at pains to overthrow it completely, by fome very ingenious and original speculations of his own.

\* "The univerfality of one name to many things, hath been the " cause that men think the things themselves are universal; and so " feriously contend, that besides Feter and John, and all the rest of the men that are, have been, or shall be, in the world, there is " yet fomething elfe, that we call Man, viz. Man in general; de-" ceiving themselves, by taking the universal, or general appella-"tion, for the thing it fignifieth: For if one should defire the " painter to make him the picture of a man, which is as much as " to fay, of a man in general; he meaneth no more, but that the " painter should chuse what man he pleaseth to draw, which must " needs be fome of them that are, or have been, or may be : none of which are universal. But when he would have him to draw " the picture of the king, or any particular person, he limiteth the " painter to that one person he chuseth. It is plain, therefore, "that there is nothing universal but names; which are therefore " called indefinite, because we limit them not ourselves, but leave " them to be applied by the hearer: whereas a fingular name is " limited and restrained to one of the many things it significth; as " when we fay, this man, pointing to him, or giving him his pro-" per name, or by fome fuch other way."

HOBBES's Tripos, chap. v. § 6.

Mr. Hume's \* view of the subject, as he himself acknowledges, does not differ materially from that of Berkeley; whom, by the way, he seems to have regarded as the author of an opinion, of which he was only an expositor and defender; and which, since the days of Roscelinus and Abelard, has been familiarly known in all the universities of Europe†.

Notwithstanding, however, the great merit of these writers, in defending and illustrating the system of the Nominalists, none of them seem to me to have been fully aware of the important consequences to which it

\* "A very material question has been started concerning ab"stract or general ideas: Whether they be general or particular
"in the mind's conception of them? A great philosopher has dis"puted the received opinion in this particular; and has afferted,
"that all general ideas are nothing but particular ones annexed to
"a certain term, which gives them a more extensive signification,
"and makes them recal, upon occasion, other individuals, which
"are similar to them. As I look upon this to be one of the
"greatest and most valuable discoveries that have been made of
"late years in the republic of letters, I shall here endeavour to
"consirm it by some arguments, which, I hope, will put it beyond
"all doubt and controversy."

Treatife of Human Nature, book i. part i. fect. 7.

† Leibnitz, too, has declared himself a partisan of this fect, in a differtation "De Stilo Philosophico Marii Nizolii." This Nizolius published a book at Parma, in the year 1553, entitled, "De "Veris Principiis et vera Ratione Philosophandi;" in which he opposed several of the doctrines of Aristotle, particularly his opinion concerning universals. An edition of this work, with a Presace and Notes, was published by Leibnitz at Frankfort, in the year 1670. The Presace and Notes are to be sound in the fourth volume of his works, by Dutens. (Geneva, 1768.) I have inserted a short extract from the former, in Note (I), at the end of the volume.

leads.

1 1 5

leads. The Abbé de Condillac was, I believe, the first (if we except, perhaps, Leibnitz) who perceived that, if this system be true, a talent for reasoning must consist, in a great measure, in a skilful use of language as an instrument of thought. The most valuable of his remarks on this subject are contained in a treatise De l' Art de Penser, which forms the sourth volume of his "Cours d'Etude."

Dr. Campbell, too, in his Philosophy of Rhetoric, has founded, on the principles of Berkeley and Hume, a very curious and interesting speculation, of which I shall have occasion afterwards to take notice.

The explanation which the doctrines of these writers afford, of the process of the mind in general reafoning, is fo fimple, and at the fame time, in my apprehenfion, fo fatisfactory, that, I own, it is with fome degree of furprife I have read the attempts which have lately been made to revive the fystem of the Realists. One of the ablest of these attempts is by Dr. Price; who, in his very valuable Treatife on Morals, has not only employed his ingenuity in support of some of the old tenets of the Platonic school, but has even gone so far as to follow Plato's example, in connecting this fpeculation about universals, with the sublime questions of natural theology. The observations which he has offered in support of these opinions, I have repeatedly perufed with all the attention in my power; but without being able to enter into his views, or even to comprehend fully his meaning. Indeed, I must acknowledge, that it appears to me to afford no flight prefumption against the principles on which he proceeds, when I observe, that an author, remarkable, on most

most occasions, for precision of ideas, and for perfpicuity of style, never fails to lose himself in obscurity and mystery, when he enters on these disquisitions.

Dr. Price's reasonings in proof of the existence of univerfals, are the more curious, as he acquiesces in fome of Dr. Reid's conclusions with respect to the ideal theory of perception. That there are in the mind, images or refemblances of things external, he grants to be impossible; but still he seems to suppose, that in every exertion of thought, there is fomething immediately prefent to the mind, which is the object of its attention. "When abstract truth is contem-" plated, is not" (fays he) "the very object itself " prefent to the mind? When millions of intellects " contemplate the equality of every angle of a femi-" circle to a right angle, have they not all the fame " object in view? Is this object nothing? or is it only " an image, or kind of shadow? These inquiries," he adds, "carry our thoughts high \*."

The

<sup>\*</sup>The whole passage is as follows: "The word idea is sometimes used to signify the immediate object of the mind in thinking, considered as something in the mind, which represents the
real object, but is different from it. This sense of an idea is
derived from the notion, that when we think of any external
resistence, there is something immediately present to the mind,
which it contemplates distinct from the object itself, that being at
a distance. But what is this? It is bad language to call it an image
in the mind of the object. Shall we say then, that there is indeed
no such thing? But would not this be the same as to say that,
when the mind is employed in viewing and examining any object, which is either not present to it, or does not exist, it is
employed in viewing and examining nothing, and therefore does
not then think at all?—When abstract truth is contemplated, is

The difficulty which has appeared fo puzzling to this ingenious writer, is, in truth, more apparent than real. In the case of Perception, Imagination, and Memory, it has been already fully shewn, that we have no reason to believe the existence of any thing in the mind distinct from the mind itself; and that, even upon the supposition that the fact were otherwise, our intellectual operations would be just as inexplicable as they are at present. Why then should we suppose, that in our general speculations, there must exist in the mind some object of its thoughts, when it appears that there is no evidence of the existence of any such object, even when the mind is employed about individuals?

Still, however, it may be urged, that, although, in fuch cases, there should be no object of thought in the mind, there must exist something or other to which its attention is directed. To this difficulty I have no answer to make, but by repeating the fact which I have already endeavoured to establish; that there are only two ways in which we can possibly speculate about classes of objects; the one, by means of a word or generic term; the other, by means of one particular individual of the class which we consider as the representative of the rest; and that these two methods of carrying on our general speculations, are at bottom so much the same, as to authorize us to to lay down as a

<sup>&</sup>quot; not the very object itself prefent to the mind? When millions of

<sup>&</sup>quot; intellects contemplate the equality of every angle in a femicircle to a right angle, have they not all the fame object in view? Is

<sup>&</sup>quot;this object nothing? or is it only an image or kind of shadow?

<sup>&</sup>quot; -These inquiries carry our thoughts high."

principle, that, without the use of signs, all our thoughts must have related to individuals. When we reason, therefore, concerning classes or genera, the objects of our attention are merely signs; or if, in any instance, the generic word should recal some individual, this circumstance is to be regarded only as the consequence of an accidental association, which has rather a tendency to disturb, than to assist us in our reasoning.

Whether it might not have been possible for the Deity to have so formed us, that we might have been capable of reasoning, concerning classes of objects, without the use of signs, I shall not take upon me to determine. But this we may venture to affirm with considence, that man is not such a being. And, indeed, even if he were, it would not therefore necessarily follow, that there exists any thing in a genus, distinct from the individuals of which it is composed; for we know that the power which we have of thinking of particular objects without the medium of signs, does not in the least depend on their existence or non-existence at the moment we think of them.

It would be vain, however, for us, in inquiries of this nature, to indulge ourfelves in speculating about possibilities. It is of more consequence to remark the advantages which we derive from our actual constitution, and which, in the present instance, appear to me to be important and admirable; inasmuch as it fits mankind for an easy interchange of their intellectual acquisitions; by imposing on them the necessity of employing, in their solitary speculations, the same instrument of thought, which forms the established medium of their communications with each other.

In the very flight sketch which I have given of the controverly between the Nominalists and the Realists about the existence of universals, I have taken no notice of an intermediate fect called Conceptualists; whose diffinguishing tenet is faid to have been, that the mind has a power of forming general conceptions \*. From the indiffinctness and inaccuracy of their language on the fubject, it is not a very eafy matter to afcertain precifely what was their opinion on the point in question; but, on the whole, I am inclined to think, that it amounted to the two following propositions: first, that we have no reason to believe the existence of any essences, or universal ideas, corresponding to general terms; and secondly, that the mind has the power of reasoning concerning genera, or classes of individuals, without the mediation of language. Indeed, I cannot think of any other hypothesis which it is possible to form on the subject, distinct

I have taken no notice of the last class of Nominalists here mentioned; as I find myself unable to comprehend their doctrine.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Nominales, deserta paulo Abelardi hypothesi, universalia in notionibus atque conceptibus mentis ex rebus singularibus ab- stractione formatis consistere statuebant, unde conceptuales dicti funt." — BRUCKER, vol. iii. p. 908. (Lips. 1766.)

<sup>&</sup>quot;Nominalium tres crant familiæ. Aliqui ut Rocelinus, univerfalia meras effe voces docuerunt. Alii iterum in folo intellectu pofuerunt, atque meros animi conceptus effe autumarunt, quos conceptuales aliqui vocant, et a nominalibus diftinguunt quanquam alii etiam confundant. Alii fuerunt, qui univerfalia quæ fiverunt, non tam in vocibus, quam in fermonibus integris, quod Joh. Sarifberienfis adferibit Pet. Abelardo; quo quid intelligat ille, mihi non fatis liquet." — MORHOF. Polyhistor. Tom. Sec. lib. i. cap. xiii. § 2.

from those of the two celebrated sects already mentioned. In denying the existence of universals, we know that the Conceptualists agreed with the Nominalists. In what, then, can we suppose that they differed from them, but about the necessity of language as an instrument of thought, in carrying on our general speculations?

With this fect of Conceptualists, Dr. Reid is difposed to rank Mr. Locke; and I agree with him fo far as to think, that, if Locke had any decided opinion on the point in dispute, it did not differ materially from what I have endeavoured to express in the two general propositions which I have just now stated. The apparent inconfistencies which occur in that part of his Essay in which the question is discussed, have led fubfequent authors to represent his fentiments in different lights; but as these inconsistencies plainly fhew, that he was neither fatisfied with the fystem of the Realifts, nor with that of the Nominalists; they appear to me to demonstrate that he leaned to the intermediate hypothesis already mentioned, notwithstanding the inaccurate and paradoxical manner in which he has expressed it \*.

May I take the liberty of adding, that Dr. Reid's own opinion feems to me also to coincide nearly with that of the Conceptualists; or, at least, to coincide with the two propositions which I have already supposed to contain a summary of their doctrine? The absurdity of the ancient opinion concerning universals, as maintained both by Plato and Aristotle, he has exposed

ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. IV. 194 by the clearest and most decisive arguments; not to mention, that, by his own very original and important fpeculations concerning the ideal theory, he has completely destroyed that natural prejudice from which the whole fystem of universal ideas gradually took rife. If, even in the case of individuals, we have no reason to believe the existence of any object of thought in the mind, distinct from the mind itself, we are at once relieved from all the difficulties in which philosophers have involved themselves, by attempting to explain, in confistency with that antient hypothesis, the process of the mind in its general speculations.

On the other hand, it is no less clear, from Dr. Reid's criticisms on Berkeley and Hume, that his opinion does not coincide with that of the Nominalists; and that the power which the mind possesses of reafoning concerning classes of objects, appears to him to imply fome faculty, of which no notice is taken in the fystems of these philosophers.

The long experience I have had of the candour of this excellent author, encourages me to add, that, in stating his opinion on the subject of universals, he has not expressed himself in a manner so completely satisfactory to my mind, as on most other occasions. That language is not an effential inftrument of thought in our general reasonings, he has no where positively afferted. At the fame time, as he has not affirmed the contrary, and as he has declared himself diffatisfied with the doctrines of Berkeley and Hume, his readers are naturally led to conclude, that this is his real opinion on the subject. His silence on this point is the more to be regretted, as it is the only point about which 13

which there can be any reasonable controversy among those who allow his resutation of the ideal hypothesis to be satisfactory. In consequence of that resutation, the whole dispute between the Realists and the Conceptualists falls at once to the ground; but the dispute between the Conceptualists and the Nominalists (which involves the great question concerning the use of signs in general speculation) remains on the same sooting as before.

In order to justify his own expressions concerning univerfals; and in opposition to the language of Berkeley and Hume, Dr. Reid is at pains to illustrate a distinction between conception and imagination, which, he thinks, has not been fufficiently attended to by philosophers. "An universal," fays he, "is not " an object of any external fense, and therefore can-" not be imagined; but it may be diffinely conceived. "When Mr. Pope fays, "The proper study of " mankind is man;" I conceive his meaning dif-"tinctly; although I neither imagine a black or a " white, a crooked or a straight man. I can conceive " a thing that is impossible; but I cannot distinctly " imagine a thing that is impossible. I can conceive " a proposition or a demonstration, but I cannot ima-" gine either. I can conceive understanding and will, "virtue and vice, and other attributes of the mind; " but I cannot imagine them. In like manner, I can " distinctly conceive universals; but I cannot imagine " them "."

It appears from this paffage, that, by conceiving univerfals, Dr. Reid means nothing more than under-

standing the meaning of propositions involving general terms. But the observations he has made (admitting them in their full extent) do not in the least affect the question about the necessity of figns, to enable us to speculate about such propositions. The vague use which metaphyfical writers have made of the word conception, (of which I had occasion to take notice in a former chapter,) has contributed in part to embarrass this fubject. That we cannot conceive univerfals in a way at all analogous to that in which we conceive an absent object of sense, is granted on both sides. Why then should we employ the same word conception, to express two operations of the mind which are effentially different? When we speak of conceiving or understanding a general proposition, we mean nothing more than that we have a conviction, (founded on our previous use of the words in which it is expressed,) that we have it in our power, at pleafure, to fubstitute, instead of the general terms, some one of the individuals comprehended under them. When we hear a proposition announced, of which the terms are not familiar to us; we naturally defire to have it exemplified, or illustrated, by means of some particular instance; and when we are once fatisfied by fuch an application, that we have the interpretation of the proposition at all times in our power, we make no scruple to fay, that we conceive or understand its meaning; although we fhould not extend our views beyond the words in which it is announced, or even although no particular exemplification of it should occur to us at the moment. It is in this fense only, that the terms of any general proposition can possibly be understood:

and therefore Dr. Reid's argument does not, in the least, invalidate the doctrine of the Nominalists, that, without the use of language, (under which term I comprehend every species of signs,) we should never have been able to extend our speculations beyond individuals.

That, in many cases, we may safely employ in our reasonings, general terms, the meaning of which we are not even able to interpret in this way, and consequently, which are to us wholly insignificant, I had occasion already to demonstrate, in a former part of this section.

## SECTION IV.

Continuation of the same Subject.—Inferences with respect to the Use of Language as an Instrument of Thought, and the Errors in Reafoning to which it occasionally gives rise.

In the last Section, I mentioned Dr. Campbell, as an ingenious defender of the fystem of the Nominalists; and I alluded to a particular application which he has made of their doctrine. The reasonings which I had then in view, are to be found in the seventh chapter of the second book of his Philosophy of Rhetoric; in which chapter he proposes to explain how it happens, "that nonsense so often escapes being detected, both by the writer and the reader." The title is somewhat ludicrous in a grave philosophical work; but the disquisition to which it is prefixed, contains many acute and prosound remarks

03

on the nature and power of figns, both as a medium of communication, and as an inftrument of thought.

Dr. Campbell's speculations with respect to language as an instrument of thought, seem to have been fuggested by the following passage in Mr. Hume's Treatife of Human Nature. "I believe, every one " who examines the fituation of his mind in reason-" ing, will agree with me, that we do not annex dif-" tinct and complete ideas to every term we make use " of; and that in talking of Government, Church, " Negotiation, Conquest, we seldom spread out in our " minds all the fimple ideas of which these complex " ones are composed. It is, however, observable, that " notwithstanding this imperfection, we may avoid " talking nonfense on these subjects; and may per-" ceive any repugnance among the ideas, as well as " if we had a full comprehension of them. Thus if, " instead of faying, that, in war, the weaker have " always recourse to negotiation, we should fay, that " they have always recourse to conquest; the custom "which we have acquired, of attributing certain " relations to ideas, still follows the words, and makes " us immediately perceive the abfurdity of that pro-" position."

In the remarks which Dr. Campbell has made on this paffage, he has endeavoured to explain in what manner our habits of thinking and fpeaking, gradually establish in the mind such relations among the words we employ, as enable us to carry on processes of reasoning by means of them, without attending in every instance to their particular signification. With most of his remarks on this subject I perfectly agree; but the illustrations he gives of them, are of too great extent to be introduced here; and I would not wish to run the risk of impairing their perspicuity, by attempting to abridge them. I must therefore refer such of my readers as wish to prosecute the speculation, to his very ingenious and philosophical treatise.

"In confequence of these circumstances," (fays Dr. Campbell,) " it happens that, in matters which " are perfectly familiar to us, we are able to reason " by means of words, without examining, in every " instance, their fignification. Almost all the possible " applications of the terms (in other words, all the " acquired relations of the figns) have become cuf-" tomary to us. The confequence is, that an unufual " application of any term is instantly detected; this " detection breeds doubt, and this doubt occasions an " immediate recourse to ideas. The recourse of the " mind, when in any degree puzzled with the figns, " to the knowledge it has of the things fignified, is " natural, and on fuch fubjects perfectly eafy. And " of this recourse the discovery of the meaning, or of " the unmeaningness of what is faid, is the immediate " effect. But in matters that are by no means fami-" liar, or are treated in an uncommon manner, and in " fuch as are of an abstruse and intricate nature, the " case is widely different." The instances in which we are chiefly liable to be imposed on by words without meaning are, (according to Dr. Campbell,) the three following:

First, Where there is an exuberance of metaphor.

Secondly, When the terms most frequently occurring, denote things which are of a complicated nature, and to which the mind is not fufficiently familiarifed. Such are the words, Government, Church, State, Constitution, Polity, Power, Commerce, Legislature, Jurisdiction, Proportion, Symmetry, Elegance.

Thirdly, When the terms employed are very abstract, and confequently of very extensive fignification \*. For an illustration of these remarks, I must refer the reader to the ingenious work which I just now quoted.

To the observations of these eminent writers, I shall take the liberty of adding, that we are doubly liable to the mistakes they mention, when we make use of a language which is not perfectly familiar to us. Nothing, indeed, I apprehend, can flew more clearly the use we make of words in reasoning than this, that an observation which, when expressed in our own language, feems trite or frivolous, often acquires the appearance of depth and originality, by being translated into another. For my own part, at least, I am con-

-Philosophy of Rhetoric, vol. ii. p. 122.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;The more general any word is in its fignification, it is the " more liable to be abused by an improper or unmeaning applica-"tion. A very general term is applicable alike to a multitude of " different individuals, a particular term is applicable but to a few.

<sup>&</sup>quot; When the rightful applications of a word are extremely nume-

<sup>&</sup>quot; rous, they cannot all be fo strongly fixed by habit, but that, " for greater fecurity, we must perpetually recur in our minds

<sup>&</sup>quot; from the fign to the notion we have of the thing fignified; and,

<sup>&</sup>quot; for the reason aforementioned, it is in such instances difficult or precifely to ascertain this notion. Thus the latitude of a word,

<sup>&</sup>quot;though different from its ambiguity, hath often a fimilar effect."

fcious of having been frequently led, in this way, to form an exaggerated idea of the merits of antient and of foreign authors; and it has happened to me more than once, that a fentence, which feemed at first to contain fomething highly ingenious and profound, when translated into words familiar to me, appeared obviously to be a trite or a nugatory proposition.

The effect produced by an artificial and inverted ftyle in our own language, is fimilar to what we experience when we read a composition in a foreign one. The eye is too much dazzled to fee distinctly. "Aliud ftyli genus," (fays Bacon,) "totum in eo est, ut verba sint aculeata, fententiæ concisæ, oratio denique potius versa quam susa, quo sit, ut omnia, per hujusmodi artificium, magis ingeniosa videantur quam re vera sint. Tale invenitur in Seneca essusius, in Tacito et Plinio secundo moderatius."

The deranged collocation of the words in Latin composition, aids powerfully the imposition we have now been considering, and renders that language an inconvenient medium of philosophical communication; as well as an inconvenient instrument of accurate thought. Indeed, in all languages in which this latitude in the arrangement of words is admitted, the associations among words must be looser, than where one invariable order is followed; and of consequence, on the principles of Hume and Campbell, the mistakes which are committed in reasonings expressed in such languages, will not be so readily detected.

The errors in reasoning, to which we are exposed in consequence of the use of words as an instrument of thought, will appear the less surprising, when we confider that all the languages which have hitherto existed in the world, have derived their origin from popular use; and that their application to philosophical purposes, was altogether out of the view of those men who first employed them. Whether it might not be possible to invent a language, which would at once facilitate philosophical communication, and form a more convenient instrument of reasoning and of invention, than those we possess at present, is a question of very difficult discussion; and upon which I shall not presume to offer an opinion. The failure of Wilkins's very ingenious attempt towards a real character, and a philosophical language, is not perhaps decifive against such a project; for, not to mention fome radical defects in his plan, the views of that very eminent philosopher do not feem to have extended much farther than to promote and extend the literary intercourse among different nations. Leibnitz, fo far as I know, is the only author who has hitherto conceived the possibility of aiding the powers of invention and of reasoning, by the use of a more convenient instrument of thought; but he has no where explained his ideas on this very interesting fubject. It is only from a conversation of his with Mr. Boyle and Mr. Oldenburgh, when he was in England in 1673, and from some imperfect hints in different parts of his works \*, that we find it had engaged his attention. In the course of this conversation he obferved, that Wilkins had mistaken the true end of a real character, which was not merely to enable different nations to correspond easily together, but to affift the reason, the invention, and the memory. In his writings, too, he somewhere speaks of an alphabet of human thoughts, which he had been employed in forming, and which, probably, (as Fontenelle has remarked,) had some relation to his universal language\*.

The new nomenclature which has been introduced into chymistry, seems to me to furnish a striking illustration of the effect of appropriated and well-defined expressions, in aiding the intellectual powers; and the period is probably not far distant, when similar innovations will be attempted in some of the other sciences.

\* " M. Leibnitz avoit conçu le projet d'une langue philoso-" phique et univerfelle. Wilkins Evêque de Cheiter, et Dalgarno, " y avoient travaillé; mais dès le tems qu'il étoit en Angleterre, " il avoit dit à Meffieurs Boyle et d'Oldenbourg qu'il ne croyoit " pas que ces grands hommes eussent encore frappé au but. Ils " pouvoient bien faire que des nations qui ne s'entendoient pas " eussent aisément commerce, mais ils n'avoient pas attrappé les " véritables caractères réels, qui étoient l'instrument le plus fin " dont l'esprit humain se pût servir, et qui devoient extrêmement " faciliter et le raisonnement, et la mémoire, et l'invention des " choses. Ils devoient ressembler, autant qu'il étoit possible, aux " caractères d'algèbre, qui en effet sont très simples, et très ex-" pressifs, qui n'ont jamais ni superfluité, ni équivoque, et dont " toutes les variétés sont raisonnées. Il a parlé en quelque endroit, " d'un alphabet des pensées humaines, qu'il méditoit. Selon toutes " les apparences, cet alphabet avoit rapport à sa langue univer-" felle." Eloge de M. LEIBNITZ par M. de FONTENELLE.

## SECTION V.

Of the Purposes to which the Powers of Abstraction and Generalifation are subservient.

Thas been already shewn, that, without the use of signs, all our knowledge must necessarily have been limited to individuals, and that we should have been perfectly incapable both of claffification and general reasoning. Some authors have maintained, that without the power of generalifation, (which I have endeavoured to shew, means nothing more than the capacity of employing general terms,) it would have been impossible for us to have carried on any species of reasoning whatever. But I cannot help thinking that this opinion is erroneous; or, at least, that it is very imperfectly stated. The truth is, it appears to me to be just in one sense of the word reasoning, but false in another; and I even suspect it is false in that sense of the word in which it is most commonly employed. Before, therefore, it is laid down as a general proposition, the meaning we are to annex to this very vague and ambiguous term, should be afcertained with precision.

It has been remarked by feveral writers, that the expectation which we feel of the continuance of the laws of nature, is not founded upon reasoning; and different theories have of late been proposed to account for its origin. Mr. Hume resolves it into the association of ideas. Dr. Reid, on the other hand, maintains,

maintains, that it is an original principle of our conflitution, which does not admit of any explanation; and which, therefore, is to be ranked among those general and ultimate facts, beyond which, philosophy is unable to proceed \*. Without this principle of expectation, it would be impossible for us to accommodate our conduct to the established course of nature; and, accordingly, we find that it is a principle coëval with our very existence; and, in some measure, common to man with the lower animals.

\* In inquiries of this nature, fo far removed from the common course of literary pursuits, it always gives me pleasure to remark a coincidence of opinion among different philosophers; particularly among men of original genius, and who have been educated in different philosophical systems. The following passage, in which M. de Condorcet gives an account of some of the metaphysical opinions of the late Mr. Turgot, approaches very nearly to Dr. Reid's doctrines.

"La mémoire de nos fensations, et la faculté que nous avons de réfléchir sur ces fensations passées et de les combiner, sont le seul principe de nos connoissances. La supposition qu'il existe des loix constantes auxquelles tous les phénomènes observés font assujettis de manière à reparoître dans tous les temps, dans toutes les circonstances, tels qu'ils sont déterminés par ces loix, est le seul sondement de la certitude de ces connoissances.

"Nous avons la conscience d'avoir observé cette constance, et un sentiment involontaire nous force de croire qu'elle continuera de subsisser. La probabilité qui en resulte, quelque grande qu'elle foit, n'est pas une certitude. Aucune relation nécessaire ne lie pour nous le passé à l'avenir, ni la constance de ce que j'ai vu à celle de ce que j'aurois continué d'observer si j'étois resté dans des circonstances semblables; mais l'impression qui me porte à regarder comme existant, comme réel ce qui m'a présenté ce caractère de constance est irréssible."—Vie de Turgot, partie ii. p. 56. "Quand un François et un Anglois pensent de même, (says

" Voltaire,) il faut bien qu'ils aient raison,"

It is an obvious confequence of this doctrine, that, although philosophers be accustomed to state what are commonly called the laws of nature, in the form of general propositions, it is by no means necessary for the practical purposes of life, that we should express them in this manner; or even that we should express them in words at all. The philosopher, for example, may state it as a law of nature, that "fire fcorches;" or that "heavy bodies, when unfupported, fall downwards:" but, long before the use of artificial figns, and even before the dawn of reason, a child learns to act upon both of these suppositions. In doing fo, it is influenced merely by the inflinctive principle which has now been mentioned, directed in its operation (as is the case with many other instincts) by the experience of the individual. If man, therefore, had been destined for no other purposes, than to acquire such an acquaintance with the course of nature, as is necessary for the prefervation of his animal existence; he might have fulfilled all the ends of his being without the use of language.

As we are enabled, by our inftinctive anticipation of physical events, to accommodate our conduct to what we foresee is to happen, so we are enabled, in many cases, to increase our power, by employing physical causes as instruments for the accomplishment of our purposes; nay, we can employ a series of such causes, so as to accomplish very remote effects. We can employ the agency of air, to increase the heat of a furnace; the furnace, to render iron malleable; and the iron to all the various purposes of the mecha-

nical arts. Now, it appears to me, that all this may be conceived and done without the aid of language: and yet, affuredly, to difcover a feries of means fub-tervient to a particular end; or, in other words, an effort of mechanical invention; implies, according to the common doctrines of philosophers, the exercise of our reasoning powers. In this sense, therefore, of the word reasoning, I am inclined to think, that it is not effentially connected with the faculty of generalisation, or with the use of signs.

It is some confirmation of this conclusion, that favages, whose minds are almost wholly occupied with particulars, and who have neither inclination nor capacity for general speculations, are yet occasionally observed to employ a long train of means for accomplishing a particular purpose. Even something of this kind, but in a very inferior degree, may, I think, be remarked in the other animals; and that they do not carry it farther, is probably not the effect of their want of generalifation, but of the imperfection of fome of those faculties which are common to them with our species; particularly of their powers of attention and recollection. The instances which are commonly produced, to prove that they are not destitute of the power of reasoning, are all examples of that species of contrivance which has been mentioned; and are perfectly diffinct from those intellectual processes to which the use of signs is essentially fubservient \*.

Whether

<sup>\*</sup> One of the best attested instances which I have met with, of sagacity in the lower animals, is mentioned by M. Bailly, in his Lettre fur les Animana, addressed to M. Le Roy:

"Un

Whether that particular species of mechanical contrivance which has now been mentioned, and which confists merely in employing a feries of physical causes to accomplish an effect which we cannot produce immediately, should or should not be dignified with the name of reasoning, I shall not now inquire. It is sufficient for my present purpose to remark, that it is effentially different from those intellectual processes to which the use of signs is indispensably necessary.

Admitting these facts to be accurately stated, they still leave an essential distinction between man and brutes; for in none of the contrivances here mentioned, is there any thing analogous to those intellectual processes which lead the mind to general conclusions, and which (according to the foregoing doctrine) imply the use of general terms. Those powers, therefore, which enable us to classify objects, and to employ signs as an instrument of thought, are, as far as we can judge, peculiar to the human species.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Un de mes amis, homme d'esprit et digne de consiance, m'a " raconté deux faits dont il a été témoin. Il avoit un singe très " intelligent ; il s'amusoit à lui donner des noix dont l'animal étoit très friand; mais il les plaçoit assez loin, pour que retenu par sa " chaîne, le finge ne pût pas les atteindre : après bien des efforts " inutiles qui ne fervent qu'à préparer l'invention, le finge, voyant " passer un domestique portant un serviette sous le bras, se saisit " de cette serviette, et s'en servit pour atteindre à la noix et " l'amener jusqu'à lui. La manière de casser la noix exigea une " nouvelle invention; il en vint à bout, en plaçant la noix à terre, " en y faifant tomber de haut une pierre ou un caillou pour la " brifer. Vous voyez, Monfieur, que fans avoir connu, comme "Gallilée, les loix de la chûte des corps, le finge avoit bien " remarqué la force que ces corps acquièrent par la chûte. Ce " moyen cependant se trouva en défaut. Un jour qu'il avoit plu, " la terre étoit molle, la noix enfonçoit, et la pierre n'avoit plus " d'action pour la briser. Que sit le singe ? Il alla chercher un " tuileau, plaça la noix dessus, et en laissant tomber la pierre il " brisa la noix qui n'enfonçoit plus." - Discours et mémoires par l'Auteur de l' Histoire de l'Astronomie. A Paris, 1790, tome ii. p. 126.

At the fame time, I am ready to acknowledge, that what I have now faid, is not strictly applicable to those more complicated mechanical inventions, in which a variety of powers are made to conspire at once to produce a particular effect. Such contrivances, perhaps, may be found to involve processes of the mind which cannot be carried on without signs. But these questions will fall more properly under our consideration when we enter on the subject of reasoning.

In general, it may be remarked, that, in fo far as our thoughts relate merely to individual objects, or to individual events, which we have actually perceived, and of which we retain a diffinct remembrance \*.

\* I have thought it proper to add this limitation of the general proposition; because individual objects, and individual events, which have not fallen under the examination of our senses, cannot possibly be made the subjects of our consideration, but by means of language. The manner in which we think of such objects and events, is accurately described in the following passage of Wollaston; however unphilosophical the conclusion may be which he deduces from his reasoning.

"A man is not known ever the more to posterity, because his name is transmitted to them; he doth not live, because his name does. When it is said, Julius Cæsar subdued Gaul, beat Pompey, changed the Roman commonwealth into a monarchy, &c. it is the same thing as to say the conqueror of Pompey was Cæsar; that is, Cæsar, and the conqueror of Pompey, are the same thing; and Cæsar is as much known by the one distinction as the other. The amount then is only this: that the conqueror of Pompey conquered Pompey; or somebody conquered Pompey; or rather, since Pompey is as little known now as Cæsar, somebody conquered somebody. Such a poor business is this boasted immortality; and such, as has been here described, is the thing called glory among us!"

we are not under the necessity of employing words. It frequently, however, happens, that when the fubjects of our confideration are particular, our reasoning with respect to them may involve very general notions; and, in fuch cases, although we may conceive, without the use of words, the things about which we reason, yet we must necessarily have recourse to language in carrying on our fpeculations concerning them. If the subjects of our reasoning be general (under which description I include all our reasonings, whether more or less comprehensive, which do not relate merely to individuals,) words are the fole objects about which our thoughts are employed. According as these words are comprehensive or limited in their fignification, the conclusions we form will be more or lefs general; but this accidental circumstance does not in the least affect the nature of the intellectual process; so that it may be laid down as a proposition which holds without any exception, that, in every case in which we extend our speculations beyond individuals, language is not only an ufeful auxiliary, but is the fole instrument by which they are carried on.

These remarks naturally lead me to take notice of what forms the characteristical distinction between the speculations of the philosopher and of the vulgar. It is not, that the former is accustomed to carry on his processes of reasoning to a greater extent than the latter; but that the conclusions he is accustomed to form, are far more comprehensive, in consequence of the habitual employment of more comprehensive terms. Among the most unenlightened of mankind, we often meet with individuals who possess the reasoning

reasoning faculty in a very eminent degree; but as this faculty is employed merely about particulars, it never can conduct them to general truths; and, of consequence, whether their pursuits in life lead them to speculation or to action, it can only fit them for diftinguishing themselves in some very limited and fubordinate fphere. The philosopher, whose mind has been familiarifed by education, and by his own reflexions, to the correct use of more comprehensive terms, is enabled, without perhaps a greater degree of intellectual exertion than is necessary for managing the details of ordinary bufiness, to arrive at general theorems; which, when illustrated to the lower classes of men, in their particular applications, feem to indicate a fertility of invention, little short of supernatural \*.

The analogy of the algebraical art may be of use in illustrating these observations. The difference, in fact, between the investigations we carry on by its affistance, and other processes of reasoning, is more inconsiderable than is commonly imagined; and, if I am not mistaken, amounts only to this,

Hume's Political Difcourfes.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;General reasonings seem intricate, merely because they are general; nor is it easy for the bulk of mankind to distinguish, in a great number of particulars, that common circumstance in which they all agree, or to extract it, pure and unmixt, from the other superfluous circumstances. Every judgment or conclusion with them is particular. They cannot enlarge their view to those universal propositions, which comprehend under them an infinite number of individuals, and include a whole science in a single theorem. Their eye is consounded with such an extensive prospect; and the conclusions derived from it, even though clearly expressed, seem intricate and obscure."

that the former are expressed in an appropriated language, with which we are not accustomed to affociate particular notions. Hence they exhibit the efficacy of figns as an instrument of thought in a more distinct and palpable manner, than the speculations we carry on by words, which are continually awakening the power of Conception.

When the celebrated Vieta shewed algebraists that, by fubflituting in their investigations letters of the alphabet, instead of known quantities, they might render the folution of every problem fubfervient to the discovery of a general truth, he did not increase the difficulty of algebraical reasonings: he only enlarged the fignification of the terms of which they were expressed. And if, in teaching that science, it is found expedient to accustom students to folve problems by means of the particular numbers which are given, before they are made acquainted with literal or specious arithmetic, it is not because the former processes are less intricate than the latter, but because their scope and utility are more obvious, and because it is more easy to illustrate, by examples than by words, the difference between a particular conclusion and a general theorem.

The difference between the intellectual processes of the vulgar and of the philosopher, is perfectly analogous to that between the two states of the algebraical art before and after the time of Vieta; the general terms which are used in the various sciences, giving to those who can employ them with correctness and dexterity, the same fort of advantage over the uncultivated fagacity of the bulk of mankind, which the expert algebraift possesses over the arithmetical accomptant.

If the foregoing doctrine be admitted as just, it exhibits a view of the utility of language, which appears to me to be peculiarly striking and beautiful; as it shews that the same faculties which, without the use of figns, must necessarily have been limited to the confideration of individual objects and particular events, are, by means of figns, fitted to embrace, without effort, those comprehensive theorems, to the discovery of which, in detail, the united efforts of the whole human race would have been unequal. The advantage our animal strength acquires by the use of mechanical engines, exhibits but a faint image of that increase of our intellectual capacity which we owe to language. - It is this increase of our natural powers of comprehension, which feems to be the principal foundation of the pleasure we receive from the discovery of general theorems. Such a difcovery gives us at once the command of an infinite variety of particular truths, and communicates to the mind a fentiment of its own power, not unlike to what we feel when we contemplate the magnitude of those physical effects, of which we have acquired the command by our mechanical contrivances.

It may perhaps appear, at first, to be a farther consequence of the principles I have been endeavouring to establish, that the difficulty of philosophical discoveries is much less than is commonly imagined; but the truth is, it only follows from

them, that this difficulty is of a different nature from what we are apt to suppose, on a superficial view of the subject. To employ, with skill, the very delicate instrument which nature has made effentially subservient to general reasoning, and to guard against the errors which result from an injudicious use of it, require an uncommon capacity of patient attention, and a cautious circumspection in conducting our various intellectual processes, which can only be acquired by early habits of philosophical reflection. To affift and direct us in making this acquifition ought to form the most important branch of a rational logic; a science of far more extensive utility, and of which the principles lie much deeper in the philosophy of the human mind, than the trifling art which is commonly dignified with that name. The branch in particular to which the foregoing observations more immediately relate, must for ever remain in its infancy, till a most difficult and important defideratum in the history of the mind is supplied, by an explanation of the gradual steps by which it acquires the use of the various classes of words which compose the language of a cultivated and enlightened people. Of fome of the errors of reasoning to which we are exposed by an incautious use of words, I took notice in the preceding Section; and I shall have occasion afterwards to treat the fame subject more in detail in a subsequent part of my work.

## SECTION VI.

Of the Errors to which we are liable in Speculation, and in the conduct of Affairs, in consequence of a rash Application of general Principles.

It appears fufficiently from the reasonings which I offered in the preceding Section, how important are the advantages which the philosopher acquires, by quitting the study of particulars, and directing his attention to general principles. I flatter myself it appears farther, from the same reasonings, that it is in consequence of the use of language alone that the human mind is rendered capable of these comprehensive speculations.

In order, however, to proceed with fafety in the use of general principles, much caution and address are necessary, both in establishing their truth, and in applying them to practice. Without a proper attention to the circumstances by which their application to particular cases must be modified, they will be a perpetual source of mistake, and of disappointment, in the conduct of affairs, however rigidly just they may be in themselves, and however accurately we may reason from them. If our general principles happen to be false, they will involve us in errors, not only of conduct but of speculation; and our errors will be the more nume-

rous, the more comprehensive the principles are on which we proceed.

To illustrate these observations fully, would lead to a minuteness of disquisition inconsistent with my general plan; and I shall therefore, at present, confine myself to such remarks as appear to be of most effential importance.

And, in the first place, it is evidently impossible to establish folid general principles, without the previous study of particulars: in other words, it is neceffary to begin with the examination of individual objects, and individual events; in order to lay a ground-work for accurate classification, and for a just investigation of the laws of nature. It is in this way only that we can expect to arrive at general principles, which may be fafely relied on, as guides to the knowledge of particular truths: and unless our principles admit of such a practical application, however beautiful they may appear to be in theory, they are of far less value than the limited acquisitions of the vulgar. The truth of these remarks is now so universally admitted, and is indeed fo obvious in itself, that it would be fuperfluous to multiply words in fupporting them; and I should scarcely have thought of stating them in this Chapter, if some of the most celebrated philosophers of antiquity had not been led to dispute them, in confequence of the mistaken opinions which they entertained concerning the nature of universals. Forgetting that genera and species are mere arbitrary creations which the human mind forms, by withdrawing the attention from the diftinguishing

tinguishing qualities of objects, and giving a common name to their refembling qualities, they conceive universals to be real existences, or (as they expressed it) to be the effences of individuals; and flattered themselves with the belief, that by directing their attention to these essences in the first instance, they might be enabled to penetrate the fecrets of the universe, without submitting to the study of nature in detail. These errors, which were common to the Platonists and the Peripatetics, and which both of them feem to have adopted from the Pythagorean fchool, contributed, perhaps more than any thing elfe, to retard the progress of the antients in phyfical knowledge. The late learned Mr. Harris is almost the only author of the present age who has ventured to defend this plan of philosophising, in opposition to that which has been so successfully followed by the disciples of lord Bacon.

"The Platonists," fays he, "considering science as fomething ascertained, definite, and steady, would admit nothing to be its object which was vague, indefinite, and passing. For this reason they excluded all individuals or objects of sense, and (as Ammonius expresses it) raised themselves in their contemplations from beings particular to beings universal, and which, from their own nature, were eternal and definite."—" Consonant to this was the advice of Plato, with respect to the progress of our speculations and inquiries, to descend from those higher genera, which include many subordinate species, down to the lowest rank of species, those which include only individuals. But here it

"was his opinion, that our inquiries should stop, and, as to individuals, let them wholly alone; because of these there could not possibly be any fcience\*."

"Such," continues this author, "was the method of antient philosophy. The fashion, at present, appears to be somewhat altered, and the business of philosophers to be little else, than the collecting from every quarter, into voluminous records, an infinite number of sensible, particular, and unconnected facts, the chief effect of which is to excite our admiration."—In another part of his works the same author observes, that "the mind, truly wise, quitting the study of particulars, as knowing their multitude to be infinite and incomprehensible, turns its intellectual eye to what is general and comprehensive, and through generals learns to see, and recognise whatever exists."

If we abstract from these obvious errors of the antient philosophers, with respect to the proper order to be observed in our inquiries, and only suppose them to end where the Platonists said that they should begin, the magnificent encomiums they bestowed on the utility of those comprehensive truths which form the object of science (making allowance for the obscure and mysterious terms in which they expressed them) can scarcely be regarded as extravagant. It is probable that from a few accidental instances of successful investigation, they had been struck with the wonderful

<sup>\*</sup> HARRIS'S Three Treatifes, pages 341, 342.

effect of general principles in increasing the intellectual power of the human mind; and, misled by that impatience in the study of particulars which is so often connected with the consciousness of superior ability, they laboured to persuade themselves, that, by a life devoted to abstract meditation, such principles might be rendered as immediate objects of intellectual perception, as the individuals which compose the material world are of our external senses. By connecting this opinion with their other doctrines concerning universals, they were unfortunately enabled to exhibit it in so mysterious a form, as not only to impose on themselves, but to perplex the understandings of all the learned in Europe, for a long succession of ages.

The conclusion to which we are led by the foregoing observations is, that the foundation of all human knowledge must be laid in the examination of particular objects and particular facts; and that it is only as far as our general principles are refolvable into these primary elements, that they possess either truth or utility. It must not, however, be understood to be implied in this conclusion, that all our knowledge must ultimately rest on our own proper experience. If this were the case, the progress of science, and the progrefs of human improvement, must have been wonderfully retarded; for, if it had been neceffary for each individual to form a classification of objects, in confequence of observations and abstractions of his own, and to infer from the actual examination of particular facts, the general truths on which his conduct proceeds; human affairs would at this

day remain nearly in the fame state to which they were brought by the experience of the first generation. In fact, this is very nearly the fituation of the species in all those parts of the world, in which the existence of the race depends on the separate efforts which each individual makes, in procuring for himself the necessaries of life; and in which, of consequence, the habits and acquirements of each individual must be the result of his own personal experience. In a cultivated fociety, one of the first acquifitions which children make, is the use of language; by which means they are familiarifed, from their earliest years, to the consideration of classes of objects, and of general truths; and before that time of life at which the favage is possessed of the knowledge necessary for his own preservation, are enabled to appropriate to themselves the accumulated discoveries of ages.

Notwithstanding, however, the stationary condition in which the race must, of necessity, continue, prior to the separation of arts and professions; the natural disposition of the mind to ascend from particular truths to general conclusions, could not fail to lead individuals, even in the rudest state of society, to collect the results of their experience, for their ewn instruction and that of others. But, without the use of general terms, the only possible way of communicating such conclusions, would be by means of some particular example, of which the general application was striking and obvious. In other words, the wisdom of such ages will necessarily be expressed in the form of sables or parables, or in the

ftill fimpler form of proverbial inflances; and not in the scientific form of general maxims. In this way, undoubtedly, much useful instruction, both of a prudential and moral kind, might be conveyed: at the same time, it is obvious, that, while general truths continue to be expressed merely by particular exemplifications, they would afford little or no opportunity to one generation to improve on the speculations of another; as no effort of the understanding could combine them together, or employ them as premises, in order to obtain other conclusions more remote and comprehensive. For this purpose, it is absolutely necessary that the scope or moral of the sable should be separated entirely from its accessary circumstances, and stated in the form of a general proposition.

From what has now been faid, it appears, how much the progress of human reason, which neces-farily accompanies the progress of society, is owing to the introduction of general terms, and to the use of general propositions. In consequence of the gradual improvements which take place in language as an instrument of thought, the classifications both of things and facts with which the infant faculties of each fuccessive race are conversant, are more just and more comprehensive than those of their predeceffors: the discoveries which, in one age, were confined to the studious and enlightened few, becoming in the next, the established creed of the learned; and in the third, forming part of the elementary principles of education. Indeed, among those, who enjoy the advantages of early instruction, some of the most remote and wonderful conclusions

of the human intellect, are, even in infancy, as completely familiarifed to the mind, as the most obvious phenomena which the material world exhibits to their fenfes.

If these remarks be just, they open an unbounded prospect of intellectual improvement to future ages; as they point out a provision made by nature to facilitate and abridge, more and more, the process of study, in proportion as the truths to be acquired increase in number. Nor is this prospect derived from theory alone. It is encouraged by the past history of all the sciences; in a more particular manner, by that of mathematics and physics, in which the state of discovery, and the prevailing methods of instruction, may, at all times, be easily compared together. In this last observation I have been anticipated by a late eminent mathematician, whose eloquent and philosophical statement of the argument cannot fail to carry conviction to those, who are qualified to judge of the facts on which his conclusion is founded:

" To fuch of my readers as may be flow in admit-" ting the poffibility of this progreffive improvement " in the human race, allow me to state, as an example, " the history of that science in which the advances " of discovery are the most certain, and in which "they may be measured with the greatest precision. "Those elementary truths of geometry and of astro-" nomy, which, in India and Egypt, formed an occult " fcience, upon which an ambitious priesthood " founded its influence, were become, in the times " of Archimedes and Hipparchus, the subjects of " common education in the public schools of Greece.

"In the last century, a few years of study were suf-" ficient for comprehending all that Archimedes and "Hipparchus knew; and, at prefent, two years " employed under an able teacher, carry the student " beyond those conclusions, which limited the in-"quiries of Leibnitz and of Newton. Let any " person reflect on these facts: let him follow the " immense chain which connects the inquiries of " Euler with those of a Priest of Memphis; let him " observe, at each epoch, how genius outstrips the " present age, and how it is overtaken by medio-" crity in the next; he will perceive, that nature has " furnished us with the means of abridging and " facilitating our intellectual labour, and that " there is no reason for apprehending that such sim-" plifications can ever have an end. He will per-" ceive, that at the moment when a multitude of " particular folutions, and of infulated facts, begin " to diffract the attention, and to overcharge the " memory, the former gradually lofe themselves in " one general method, and the latter unite in one " general law; and that thefe generalisations con-" tinually fucceeding one to another, like the fuc-" ceffive multiplications of a number by itself, have " no other limit, than that infinity which the human " faculties are unable to comprehend \*."

\* See Note [M].

## SECTION VII.

Continuation of the same Subject.—Differences in the Intellectual Characters of Individuals, arising from their different Habits of Abstraction and Generalisation.

N mentioning as one of the principal effects of civilifation, its tendency to familiarife the mind to general terms and to general propositions, I did not mean to fay, that this influence extends equally to all the classes of men in fociety. On the contrary, it is evidently confined, in a great measure, to those who receive a liberal education; while the minds of the lower orders, like those of favages, are so habitually occupied about particular objects and particular events, that, although they are fometimes led, from imitation, to employ general expressions, the use which they make of them is much more the refult of memory than judgment; and it is but feldom that they are able to comprehend fully, any process of reasoning in which they are involved.

It is hardly necessary for me to remark, that this observation, with respect to the incapacity of the vulgar for general speculations, (like all observations of a similar nature,) must be received with some restrictions. In such a state of society as that in which we live, there is hardly any individual to be sound to whom some general terms, and some general truths, are not perfectly samiliar; and, therefore, the foregoing

going conclusions are to be confidered as descriptive of those habits of thought alone, which are most prevalent in their mind. To abridge the labour of reasoning, and of memory, by directing the attention to general principles, instead of particular truths, is the professed aim of all philosophy; and according as individuals have more or less of the philosophic spirit, their habitual speculations (whatever the nature of their pursuits may be) will relate to the former, or to the latter, of these objects.

There are, therefore, among the men who are accustomed to the exercise of their intellectual powers, two classes, whose habits of thought are remarkably distinguished from each other; the one class comprehending what we commonly call men of business, or, more properly, men of detail; the other, men of abstraction; or, in other words, philosophers.

The advantages which, in certain respects, the latter of these possess over the former, have been already pointed out; but it must not be supposed, that these advantages are always purchased without some inconvenience. As the folidity of our general principles depends on the accuracy of the particular observations into which they are ultimately resolvable, so their utility is to be estimated by the practical applications of which they admit: and it unfortunately happens, that the same turn of mind which is favourable to philosophical pursuits, unless it be kept under proper regulation, is extremely apt to disqualify us for applying our knowledge to use, in the exercise of the arts, and in the conduct of affairs.

In

In order to perceive the truth of these remarks, it is almost fufficient to recollect, that as classification, and, of confequence, general reasoning, presuppose the exercise of abstraction; a natural disposition to indulge in them, cannot fail to lead the mind to overlook the specific differences of things, in attending to their common qualities. To succeed, however, in practice, a familiar and circumstantial acquaintance with the particular objects which fall under our observation, is indifpenfably necessary.

But, farther: As all general principles are founded on claffifications which imply the exercise of abstraction; it is necessary to regard them, in their practical applications, merely as approximations to the truth; the defects of which, must be supplied by habits acquired by personal experience. In confidering, for example, the theory of the mechanical powers; it is usual to simplify the objects of our conception, by abstracting from friction, and from the weight of the different parts of which they are composed. Levers are considered as mathematical lines, perfectly inflexible; and ropes, as mathematical lines, perfectly flexible; - and by means of thefe, and fimilar abstractions, a subject, which is in itself extremely complicated, is brought within the reach of elementary geometry. In the theory of politics, we find it necessary to abstract from many of the peculiarities which diffinguish different forms of government from each other, and to reduce them to certain general classes, according to their prevailing tendency. Although all the governments we have ever feen, have had more or less of mixture in their compocomposition, we reason concerning pure monarchies, pure aristocracies, and pure democracies, as if there really existed political establishments corresponding to our definitions. Without such a classification, it would be impossible for us to fix our attention, amidst the multiplicity of particulars which the subject presents to us, or to arrive at any general principles, which might serve to guide our enquiries in comparing different institutions together.

It is for a fimilar reason, that the speculative farmer reduces the infinite variety of soils to a few general descriptions; the physician, the infinite variety of bodily constitutions to a few temperaments; and the moralist, the infinite variety of human characters to a few of the ruling principles of action.

Notwithstanding, however, the obvious advantages we derive from these classifications, and the general conclusions to which they lead; it is evidently impossible, that principles, which derived their origin from efforts of abstraction, should apply literally to practice; or, indeed, that they should afford us any confiderable affiftance in conduct, without a certain degree of practical and experimental skill. Hence it is, that the mere theorist fo frequently exposes himself, in real life, to the ridicule of men whom he despifes; and in the general estimation of the world, falls below the level of the common drudges in business and the arts. The walk, indeed, of these unenlightened practitioners, must necessarily be limited by their accidental opportunities of experience; but, fo far as they go, they operate with facility and fuccess; while the merely speculative philosopher, although Q 2

although poffeffed of principles which enable him to approximate to the truth, in an infinite variety of untried cases, and although he sees, with pity, the narrow views of the multitude, and the ludicrous pretensions with which they frequently oppose their trisling successes to his theoretical speculations, finds himself perfectly at a loss, when he is called upon, by the simplest occurrences of ordinary life, to carry his principles into execution. Hence the origin of that maxim, "which" (as Mr. Hume remarks) "has been "fo industriously propagated by the dunces of every age, that a man of genius is unfit for business."

In what confifts practical or experimental skill, it is not eafy to explain completely; but, among other things, it obviously implies, a talent for minute and comprehensive and rapid observation; a memory, at once attentive and ready; in order to prefent to us accurately, and without reflection, our theoretical knowledge: a prefence of mind, not to be difconcerted by unexpected occurrences; and, in some cases, an uncommon degree of perfection in the external fenses, and in the mechanical capacities of the body. All these elements of practical skill, it is obvious, are to be acquired only by habits of active exertion, and by a familiar acquaintance with real occurrences; for, as all the practical principles of our nature, both intellectual and animal, have a reference to particulars, and not to generals, fo it is in the active fcenes of life alone, and amidst the details of business, that they can be cultivated and improved.

The remarks, which have been already made, are fufficient to illustrate the impossibility of acquiring

a talent

a talent for business, or for any of the practical arts of life, without actual experience. They shew also. that mere experience, without theory, may qualify a man, in certain cases, for distinguishing himself in both. It is not, however, to be imagined, that in this way individuals are to be formed for the uncommon, or for the important fituations of fociety, or even for enriching the arts by new inventions; for as their address and dexterity are founded entirely on imitation, or derived from the leffons which experience has fuggested to them, they cannot possibly extend to new combinations of circumstances. Mere experience, therefore, can, at best, prepare the mind for the fubordinate departments of life; for conducting the established routine of business, or for a servile repetition in the arts of common operations.

In the character of Mr. George Grenville, which Mr. Burke introduced in his celebrated Speech on American Taxation, a lively picture is drawn of the infufficiency of mere experience to qualify a man for new and untried fituations in the administration of government. The observations he makes on this subject, are expressed with his usual beauty and felicity of language; and are of so general a nature, that, with some trifling alterations, they may be extended to all the practical pursuits of life.

"Mr. Grenville was bred to the law, which is, in my opinion, one of the first and noblest of human sciences; a science which does more to quicken and invigorate the understanding, than all the other kinds of learning put together; but it is not apt, except in persons very happily born,

" to open and to liberalife the mind exactly in the " fame proportion. Passing from that study, he did " not go very largely into the world, but plunged " into business; I mean, into the business of office, " and the limited and fixed methods and forms " established there. Much knowledge is to be had, " undoubtedly, in that line; and there is no know-" ledge which is not valuable. But it may be truly " faid, that men too much converfant in office, are " rarely minds of remarkable enlargement. Their " habits of office are apt to give them a turn to think "the fubstance of business not to be much more " important, than the forms in which it is con-"ducted. These forms are adapted to ordinary " occasions; and, therefore, persons who are nurtured " in office, do admirably well, as long as things go on in their common order; but when the high " roads are broken up, and the waters out, when a " new and troubled scene is opened, and the file " affords no precedent, then it is that a greater " knowledge of mankind, and a far more extensive " comprehension of things, is requisite, than ever " office gave, or than office can ever give."

Nor is it in new combinations of circumstances alone, that general principles affist us in the conduct of affairs; they render the application of our practical skill more unerring, and more perfect. For as general principles limit the utility of practical skill to supply the imperfections of theory, they diminish the number of cases in which this skill is to be employed; and thus, at once, facilitate its improvement, wherever it is requisite; and lessen the errors to which

but

which it is liable, by contracting the field within which it is possible to commit them.

It would appear then, that there are two opposite extremes into which men are apt to fall, in preparing themselves for the duties of active life. The one arises from habits of abstraction and generalisation carried to an excess; the other from a minute, an exclusive, and an unenlightened attention to the objects and events which happen to fall under their actual experience.

In a perfect fystem of education, care should be taken to guard against both extremes, and to unite habits of abstraction with habits of business, in such a manner as to enable men to confider things, either in general, or in detail, as the occasion may require. Whichever of these habits may happen to gain an undue ascendant over the mind, it will necessarily produce a character limited in its powers, and fitted only for particular exertions. Hence fome of the apparent inconfiftencies which we may frequently remark in the intellectual capacities of the fame person. One man, from an early indulgence in abstract speculation, possesses a knowledge of general principles, and a talent for general reasoning, united with a fluency and eloquence in the use of general terms, which feem, to the vulgar, to announce abilities fitted for any given fituation in life: while, in the conduct of the fimplest affairs, he exhibits every mark of irrefolution and incapacity. Another, not only acts with propriety, and skill, in circumstances which require a minute attention to details, but possesses an acuteness of reasoning, and a facility of expression on all subjects, in which nothing

Q 4

but what is particular is involved; while, on general topics, he is perfectly unable either to reason, or to judge. It is this last turn of mind, which I think we have, in most instances, in view, when we speak of good fense, or common fense, in opposition to fcience and philosophy. Both philosophy and good fense, imply the exercise of our reasoning powers; and they differ from each other only, according as these powers are applied to particulars or to generals. It is on good fense (in the acceptation in which I have now explained the term) that the fuccess of men in the inferior walks of life chiefly depends; but, that it does not always indicate a capacity for abstract fcience, or for general speculation, or for able conduct in fituations which require comprehensive views, is matter even of vulgar remark.

Although, however, each of these defects has a tendency to limit the utility of the individuals in whom it is to be found, to certain stations in fociety; no comparison can be made, in point of original value, between the intellectual capacities of the two classes of men to which they characteristically belong. The one is the defect of a vigorous, an ambitious, and a comprehensive genius, improperly directed; the other, of an understanding, minute and circumscribed in its views, timid in its exertions, and formed for fervile imitation. Nor is the former defect, (however difficult it may be to remove it when confirmed by long habit,) by any means fo incurable as the latter; for it arises, not from original constitution, but from fome fault in early education; while every tendency to the opposite extreme is more or less characteristical

of a mind, useful, indeed, in a high degree, when confined to its proper sphere, but destined, by the hand that formed it, to borrow its lights from another.

As an additional proof of the natural fuperiority which men of general views possess over the common drudges in business, it may be farther observed, that the habits of inattention incident to the former arife in part from the little interest which they take in particular objects and particular occurrences, and are not wholly to be afcribed to an incapacity of attention. When the mind has been long accustomed to the consideration of classes of objects and of comprehensive theorems, it cannot, without fome degree of effort, descend to that humble walk of experience, or of action, in which the meanest of mankind are on a level with the greatest. In important fituations, accordingly, men of the most general views, are found not to be inferior to the vulgar in their attention to details; because the objects and occurrences which fuch fituations prefent, rouse their passions, and interest their curiosity, from the magnitude of the confequences to which they lead.

When theoretical knowledge and practical skill are happily combined in the same person, the intellectual power of man appears in its full persection; and fits him equally to conduct, with a masterly hand, the details of ordinary business, and to contend successfully with the untried difficulties of new and hazardous situations. In conducting the former, mere experience may frequently be a sufficient guide, but experience and speculation must be combined to-

gether

gether to prepare us for the latter. "Expert men," fays Lord Bacon, "can execute and judge of par"ticulars one by one; but the general counfels,
"and the plots, and the marshalling of affairs, come
best from those that are learned."

## SECTION VIII.

Continuation of the same Subject. — Use and Abuse of general Principles in Politics \*.

THE foregoing remarks, on the dangers to be apprehended from a rash application of general principles, hold equally with respect to most of the practical arts. Among these, however, there is one of far superior dignity to the rest; which, partly on

\* The events which have happened fince the publication of the first edition of this volume in 1792, might have enabled me to confirm many of the observations in this Section, by an appeal to facts still fresh in the recollection of my Readers; and in one or two instances, by slight verbal corrections, to guard against the possibility of uncandid misinterpretation: but, for various reasons, which it is unnecessary to state at present, I feel it to be a duty which I owe to myself, to fend the whole discussion again to the press in its original form. That the doctrine it inculcates is favourable to the good order and tranquillity of society, cannot be disputed; and, as far as I myself am personally interested, I have no wish to vitiate the record which it exhibits of my opinions.

On fome points which are touched upon very flightly here, I have explained myself more fully, in the fourth Section of my Biographical Account of Mr. SMITH, read before the Royal Society of Edinburgh in 1793, and published in the third Volume of their Transactions. — (Second Edition, 1802.)

account of its importance, and partly on account of fome peculiarities in its nature, feems to be entitled to a more particular confideration. The art I allude to, is that of Legislation; an art which differs from all others in fome very effential respects, and to which, the reasonings in the last Section must be applied with many restrictions.

Before proceeding farther, it is necessary for me to premise, that it is chiefly in compliance with common language and common prejudices, that I am fometimes led, in the following observations, to contrast theory with experience. In the proper fense of the word Theory, it is so far from standing in opposition to Experience, that it implies a knowledge of principles, of which the most extenfive experience alone could put us in possession. Prior to the time of Lord Bacon, indeed, an acquaintance with facts was not confidered as effential to the formation of theories; and from these ages, has descended to us, an indiscriminate prejudice against general principles, even in those cases in which they have been fairly obtained in the way of induction.

But not to dispute about words: there are plainly two sets of political reasoners; one of which consider the actual institutions of mankind as the only safe foundation for our conclusions, and think every plan of legislation chimerical, which is not copied from one which has already been realised; while the other apprehend that, in many cases, we may reason safely a priori from the known principles of human nature combined with

with the particular circumstances of the times. The former are commonly understood as contending for experience in opposition to theory; the latter are accused of trusting to theory unsupported by experience; but it ought to be remembered, that the political theorift, if he proceeds cautioufly and philosophically, founds his conclusions ultimately on experience, no less than the political empiric; — as the aftronomer, who predicts an eclipfe from his knowledge of the principles of the science, rests his expectation of the event, on facts which have been previously ascertained by observation, no less than if he inferred it, without any reasoning, from his knowledge of a cycle.

There is, indeed, a certain degree of practical skill which habits of business alone can give, and without which the most enlightened politician must always appear to disadvantage when he attempts to carry his plans into execution. And as this skill is often (in confequence of the ambiguity of language) denoted by the word Experience; while it is feldom poffeffed by those men, who have most carefully studied the theory of legislation; it has been very generally concluded, that politics is merely a matter of routine, in which philosophy is rather an obstacle to success. The statesman, who has been formed among official details, is compared to the practical engineer; the fpeculative legislator, to the theoretical mechanician who has paffed his life among books and diagrams. - In order to afcertain how far this opinion is just, it may be of use to compare the art of legislation with those practical applications of mechanical principles, by which the opposers of political theories have so often endeavoured to illustrate their reasonings.

I. In the first place, then, it may be remarked, that the errors to which we are liable, in the use of general mechanical principles, are owing, in most instances, to the effect which habits of abstraction are apt to have, in withdrawing the attention from those applications of our knowledge, by which alone we can learn to correct the imperfections of theory. Such errors, therefore, are, in a peculiar degree, incident to men who have been led by natural taste, or by early habits, to prefer the speculations of the closet, to the bustle of active life, and to the fatigue of minute and circumstantial observation.

In politics, too, one species of principles is often misapplied from an inattention to circumstances; those which are deduced from a few examples of particular governments, and which are occasionally quoted as universal political axioms, which every wise legislator ought to assume as the ground-work of his reasonings. But this abuse of general principles should by no means be ascribed, like the absurdities of the speculative mechanician, to overresinement, and the love of theory; for it arises from weaknesses, which philosophy alone can remedy; an unenlightened veneration for maxims which are supposed to have the sanction of time in their favour, and a passive acquiescence in received opinions.

There is another class of principles, from which political conclusions have fometimes been deduced;

and which, notwithstanding the common prejudice against them, are a much furer foundation for our reasonings: I allude, at present, to those principles which we obtain from an examination of the human constitution, and of the general laws which regulate the course of human affairs; principles, which are certainly the refult of a much more extensive induction, than any of the inferences that can be drawn from the history of actual establishments.

In applying, indeed, fuch principles to practice, it is neceffary (as well as in mechanics) to pay attention to the peculiarities of the case; but it is by no means necessary to pay the same scrupulous attention to minute circumstances, which is effential in the mechanical arts, or in the management of private bufinefs. There is even a danger of dwelling too much on details, and of rendering the mind incapable of those abstract and comprehensive views of human affairs, which can alone furnish the statesman with fixed and certain maxims for the regulation of his conduct. " When a man (fays Mr. Hume) de-" liberates concerning his conduct in any particular " affair, and forms schemes in politics, trade, economy, " or any business in life, he never ought to draw his " arguments too fine, or connect too long a chain of " confequences together. Something is fure to hap-" pen, that will disconcert his reasoning, and produce " an event different from what he expected. But "when we reason upon general subjects, one may " justly affirm, that our speculations can scarce ever " be too fine, provided they are just; and that the " difference betwixt a common man and a man of " genius,

"genius, is chiefly feen in the shallowness or depth of the principles upon which they proceed.—"Tis certain that general principles, however intricate they may feem, must always, if they are just and found, prevail in the general course of things, though they may fail in particular cases; and it is the chief business of philosophers to regard the general course of things. I may add, that it is also the chief business of politicians; especially in the domestic government of the state, where the public good, which is, or ought to be, their object, depends on the concurrence of a multitude of cases, not, as in foreign politics, upon accidents, and chances, and the caprices of a few persons\*."

II. The difficulties which, in the mechanical arts, limit the application of general principles, remain invariably the same from age to age: and whatever observations we have made on them in the course of our past experience, lay a fure foundation for future practical skill; and supply, in so far as they reach, the defects of our theories. In the art of government, however, the practical difficulties which occur, are of a very different nature. They do not prefent to the statesman, the same steady subject of examination, which the effects of friction do to the engineer. They arife chiefly from the passions and opinions of men, which are in a state of perpetual change: and, therefore, the address which is necessary to overcome them, depends less on the accuracy of our observations with respect to the past, than on the fagacity of our conjectures with respect to the future. In the present

<sup>\*</sup> Political Discourses.

age, more particularly, when the rapid communication, and the univerfal diffusion of knowledge, by means of the press, render the situation of political focieties effentially different from what it ever was formerly, and fecure infallibly, against every accident, the progress of human reason; we may venture to predict, that they are to be the most successful statesmen. who, paying all due regard to past experience, search for the rules of their conduct chiefly in the peculiar circumstances of their own times, and in an enlightened anticipation of the future history of mankind.

III. In the mechanical arts, if, at any time, we are at a loss about the certainty of a particular fact, we have it always in our power to bring it to the test of experiment. But it is very feldom that we can obtain in this way any ufeful conclusion in politics: not only because it is difficult to find two cases in which the combinations of circumstances are precifely the same, but because our acquaintance with the political experience of mankind is much more imperfect than is commonly imagined. By far the greater part of what is called matter of fact in politics, is nothing elfe than theory; and, very frequently, in this fcience, when we think we are opposing experience to speculation, we are only opposing one theory to another.

To be fatisfied of the truth of this observation, it is almost fufficient to recollect how extremely difficult it is to convey, by a general description, a just idea of the actual state of any government. That every such description must necessarily be more or less theoretical,

will appear from the following remarks.

1. Of the governments which have hitherto appeared in the history of mankind, few or none have taken their rife from political wifdom, but have been the gradual refult of time and experience, of circumftances and emergencies. In process of time, indeed, every government acquires a fystematical appearance: for, although its different parts arose from circumstances which may be regarded as accidental and irregular; yet there must exist, among these parts, a certain degree of confiftency and analogy. Whereever a government has existed for ages, and men have enjoyed tranquillity under it, it is a proof that its principles are not effentially at variance with each other. Every new inflitution which was introduced, must have had a certain reference to the laws and usages existing before, otherwise it could not have been permanent in its operation. If any one, contrary to the spirit of the rest, should have occasionally mingled with them, it must soon have fallen into defuetude and oblivion; and those alone would remain, which accorded in their general tendency. " Quæ " ufu obtinuere," fays Lord Bacon, "fi non bona, at " faltem apta inter fe funt."

The necessity of studying particular constitutions of government, by the help of systematical descriptions of them, (such descriptions, for example, as are given of that of England by Montesquieu and Blackstone,) arises from the same circumstances, which render it expedient, in most instances, to study particular languages, by consulting the writings of grammarians. In both cases, the knowledge we wish to acquire, comprehends an infinite number of particulars, the consideration

- deration of which, in detail, would distract the attention, and overload the memory. The systematical descriptions of politicians, like the general rules of grammarians, are in a higher degree useful, for arranging, and simplifying, the objects of our study; but in both cases, we must remember, that the knowledge we acquire in this manner, is to be received with great limitations, and that it is no more possible to convey, in a systematical form, a just and complete idea of a particular government, than it is to teach a language completely by means of general rules, without any practical assistance from reading or conversation.
- 2. The nature and fpirit of a government, as it is actually exercised at a particular period, cannot always be collected; perhaps it can feldom be collected from an examination of written laws, or of the established forms of a constitution. These may continue the same for a long course of ages, while the government may be modified in its exercise, to a great extent, by gradual and undescribable alterations in the ideas, manners, and character, of the people; or by a change in the relations which different orders of the community bear to each other. In every country whatever, befide the established laws, the political state of the people is affected by an infinite variety of circumstances, of which no words can convey a conception, and which are to be collected only from actual observation. Even in this way, it is not eafy for a person who has received his education in one country, to study the government of another; on account of the difficulty which he.

must

must necessarily experience, in entering into the associations which influence the mind under a different system of manners, and in ascertaining (especially upon political subjects) the complex ideas conveyed by a foreign language.

In confequence of the causes which have now been mentioned, it sometimes happens, that there are effential circumstances in the actual state of a government, about which the constitutional laws are not only silent, but which are directly contrary to all the written laws, and to the spirit of the constitution as delineated by theoretical writers.

IV. The art of government differs from the mechanical arts in this, that, in the former, it is much more difficult to refer effects to their causes, than in the latter; and, of consequence, it rarely happens, even when we have an opportunity of feeing a political experiment made, that we can draw from it any certain inference, with respect to the justness of the principles by which it was fuggested. In those complicated machines, to which the structure of civil society has been frequently compared, as all the different parts of which they are composed are subjected to physical laws, the errors of the artist must necessarily become apparent in the last result; but in the political system, as well as in the animal body, where the general constitution is found and healthy, there is a fort of vis medicatrix, which is fufficient for the cure of partial disorders; and in the one case, as well as in the other, the errors of human art are frequently corrected and concealed by the wifdom R 2 of

of nature. Among the many false estimates which we daily make of human ability, there is perhaps none more groundless than the exaggerated conceptions we are apt to form of that species of political wifdom, which is supposed to be the fruit of long experience and of professional habits. "Go;" (faid the chancellor Oxenstiern to his fon, when he was fending him to a congress of ambassadors, and when the young man was expressing his diffidence of his own abilities for fuch an employment;) "Go, and fee with your own eyes, Quam " parva sapientia regitur mundus!" The truth is, (however paradoxical the remark may appear at first view,) that the speculative errors of statesmen are frequently less sensible in their effects, and, of confequence, more likely to escape without detection, than those of individuals who occupy inferior stations in fociety. The effects of misconduct in private life, are easily traced to their proper source, and therefore the world is feldom far wrong in the judgments which it forms of the prudence or of the imprudence of private characters. But in confidering the affairs of a great nation, it is fo difficult to trace events to their proper causes, and to distinguish the effects of political wisdom, from those which are the natural result of the situation of the people, that it is fcarcely poslible, excepting in the case of a very long administration, to appreciate the talents of a statesman from the success or the failure of his measures. In every fociety, too, which, in confequence of the general spirit of its government, enjoys the bleffings of tranquillity and liberty,

liberty, a great part of the political order which we are apt to ascribe to legislative sagacity, is the natural refult of the felfish pursuits of individuals; nay, in every fuch fociety, (as I already hinted,) the natural tendency to improvement is fo strong, as to overcome many powerful obstacles, which the imperfection of human institutions opposes to its progress.

From these remarks, it seems to follow, that, although in the mechanical arts, the errors of theory may frequently be corrected by repeated trials, without having recourse to general principles; yet, in the machine of government, there is fo great a variety of powers at work, befide the influence of the statesman, that it is vain to expect the art of legislation should be carried to its greatest possible perfection by experience alone.

Still, however, it may be faid, that in the most imperfect governments of modern Europe, we have an experimental proof, that they fecure, to a very great degree, the principal objects of the focial union. Why hazard these certain advantages, for the uncertain effects of changes, fuggested by mere theory; and not rest satisfied with a measure of political happiness, which appears, from the history of the world, to be greater than has commonly fallen to the lot of nations?

With those who would carry their zeal against reformation fo far, it is impossible to argue; and it only remains for us to regret, that the number of fuch reasoners has, in all ages of the world, been fo great, and their influence on human affairs so extensive.

"There are fome men," (fays Dr. Johnson,)

of narrow views, and grovelling conceptions,

who, without the instigation of personal malice,

treat every new attempt as wild and chimerical;

and look upon every endeavour to depart from

the beaten tract, as the rash effort of a warm

imagination, or the glittering speculation of an

exalted mind, that may please and dazzle for a

time, but can produce no real or lasting ad
vantage.

"These men value themselves upon a perpetual feepticism; upon believing nothing but their own fenses; upon calling for demonstration where it cannot possibly be obtained; and, sometimes, upon holding out against it when it is laid before them; upon inventing arguments against the success of any new undertaking; and, where arguments cannot be found, upon treating it with contempt and ridicule.

"Such have been the most formidable enemies of the great benefactors of the world; for their notions and discourse are so agreeable to the lazy, the envious, and the timorous, that they seldom fail of becoming popular, and directing the opinions of mankind \*."

With refpect to this fceptical difposition, as applicable to the present state of society, it is of importance to add, that, in every government, the stability and the influence of established authority must depend on the coincidence between its measures and the tide of public opinion; and that, in

<sup>\*</sup> Life of DRAKE, by Dr. Johnson.

modern Europe, in confequence of the invention of printing, and the liberty of the prefs, public opinion has acquired an afcendant in human affairs. which it never possessed in those states of antiquity from which most of our political examples are drawn. The danger, indeed, of fudden and rash innovations cannot be too ftrongly inculcated; and the views of those men who are forward to promote them, cannot be reprobated with too great feverity. But it is possible also to fall into the oppofite extreme; and to bring upon fociety the very evils we are anxious to prevent, by an obstinate opposition to those gradual and necessary reformations which the genius of the times demands. The violent revolutions which, at different periods, have convulfed modern Europe, have arisen, not from a spirit of innovation in sovereigns and statesmen; but from their bigotted attachment to antiquated forms, and to principles borrowed from lefs enlightened ages. It is this reverence for abuses which have been fanctioned by time, accompanied with an inattention to the progrefs of public opinion, which has, in most instances, blinded the rulers of mankind, till government has loft all its efficiency; and till the rage of innovation has become too general and too violent, to be fatisfied with changes, which, if proposed at an earlier period, would have united, in the support of established institutions, every friend to order, and to the prosperity of his country.

These observations I state with the greater confidence, that the substance of them is contained in the following aphorisms of Lord Bacon; a philosopher

losopher who (if we except, perhaps, the late Mr. Turgot) feems, more than any other, to have formed enlightened views with respect to the possible attainments of mankind; and whose fame cannot fail to increase as the world grows older, by being attached, not to a particular fystem of variable opinions, but to the general and infallible progress of human reafon.

- " Quis novator tempus imitatur, quod novationes " ita infinuat, ut fenfus fallant?
- " Novator maximus tempus; quidni igitur tem-" pus imitemur?
- " Morofa morum retentio, res turbulenta est, æque " ac novitas.
- " Cum per se res mutentur in deterius, si consilio " in melius non mutentur, quis finis erit mali?"

The general conclusion to which these observations lead, is fufficiently obvious; that the perfection of political wifdom does not confift in an indifcriminate zeal against reformers, but in a gradual and prudent accommodation of established institutions to the varying opinions, manners, and circumstances of mankind. In the actual application, however, of this principle, many difficulties occur, which it requires a very rare combination of talents to furmount: more particularly in the prefent age; when the press has, to so wonderful a degree, emancipated human reason from the tyranny of antient prejudices; and has roused a spirit of free discussion, unexampled in the history of former times.

That this fudden change in the state of the world, fhould be accompanied with fome temporary diforders.

diforders, is by no means furprifing. While the multitude continue imperfectly enlightened, they will be occasionally misled by the artifices of demagogues; and even good men, intoxicated with ideas of theoretical perfection, may be expected, fometimes to facrifice, unintentionally, the tranquillity of their cotemporaries, to an over-ardent zeal for the good of posterity. Notwithstanding, however, these evils, which every friend to humanity must lament, I would willingly believe, that the final effects refulting from this spirit of reformation, cannot fail to be favourable to human happiness: and there are fome peculiarities in the prefent condition of mankind, which appear to me to justify more fanguine hopes upon the fubject, than it would have been reasonable for a philosopher to indulge at any former period. An attention to these peculiarities is absolutely necessary to enable us to form a competent judgment on the question to which the foregoing observations relate; and it leads to the illustration of a doctrine to which I have frequently referred in this work; the gradual improvement in the condition of the species, which may be expected from the progrefs of reason and the diffusion of knowledge.

Among the many circumstances favourable to human happines in the present state of the world, the most important, perhaps, is, that the same events which have contributed to loosen the foundations of the antient sabrics of despotism, have made it practicable, in a much greater degree than it ever was formerly, to reduce the principles of legislation to a science, and to anticipate the probable course

of popular opinions. It is eafy for the statesman to form to himself a distinct and steady idea of the ultimate objects at which a wife legislator ought to aim, and to foresee that modification of the social order, to which human affairs have, of themselves, a tendency to approach; and, therefore, his practical sagacity and address are limited to the care of accomplishing the important ends which he has in view, as effectually and as rapidly as is consistent with the quiet of individuals, and with the rights arising from actual establishments.

In order to lay a folid foundation for the science of politics, the first step ought to be, to ascertain that form of fociety which is perfectly agreeable to nature and to justice; and what are the principles of legislation necessary for maintaining it. Nor is the inquiry fo difficult as might at first be apprehended; for it might be easily shewn, that the greater part of the political diforders which exist among mankind, do not arise from a want of foresight in politicians, which has rendered their laws too general, but from their having trusted too little to the operation of those simple institutions which nature and justice recommend; and, of consequence, that, as society advances to its perfection, the number of laws may be expected to diminish, instead of increasing, and the science of legislation to be gradually simplified.

The Economical fystem which, about thirty years ago, employed the speculations of some ingenious men in France, seems to me to have been the first attempt to ascertain this ideal perfection of the social order; and the light which, since that period, has

clusions\*.

been thrown on the subject, in different parts of Europe, is a proof of what the human mind is able to accomplish in fuch inquiries, when it has once received a proper direction. To all the various tenets of these writers, I would, by no means, be underflood to fubscribe; nor do I consider their system as fo perfect in every different part, as some of its more fanguine admirers have reprefented it to be. A few of the most important principles of political economy, they have undoubtedly established with demonstrative evidence; but what the world is chiefly indebted to them for, is, the commencement which they have given to a new branch of science, and the plan of investigation which they have exhibited to their fuccessors. A short account of what I conceive to be the scope of their speculations, will justify these remarks, and will comprehend every thing which I have to offer at present, in answer to the question by which they were fuggested. Such an account I attempt with the greater fatisfaction, that the leading views of the earliest and most enlightened patrons of the economical fystem have, in my opinion, been not more mifrepresented by its opponents, than mifapprehended by fome who have adopted its con-

In the first place, then, I think it of importance to remark, that the object of the economical fystem ought by no means to be confounded (as I believe it commonly is in this country) with that of the Utopian plans of government, which have, at different times, been offered to the world; and which have fo

251

often excited the just ridicule of the more sober and reasonable inquirers. Of these plans, by far the greater number proceed on the supposition, that the focial order is entirely the effect of human art; and that wherever this order is imperfect, the evil may be traced to some want of forefight on the part of the legislator; or to some inattention of the magistrate to the complicated structure of that machine of which he regulates the movements. The projects of reform, therefore, which fuch plans involve, are, in general, well entitled to all the ridicule and contempt they have met with; inafmuch as they imply an arrogant and prefumptuous belief in their authors, of the fuperiority of their own political fagacity, to the accumulated wifdom of former ages. The cafe is very different with the economical fystem; of which the leading views (fo far as I am able to judge) proceed on the two following suppositions: First, that the social order is, in the most effential respects, the result of the wifdom of nature, and not of human contrivance; and, therefore, that the proper business of the politician, is not to divide his attention among all the different parts of a machine, which is by far too complicated for his comprehension; but by protecting the rights of individuals, and by allowing to each, as complete a liberty as is compatible with the perfect fecurity of the rights of his fellow-citizens; to remove every obstacle which the prejudices and vices of men have opposed to the establishment of that order which fociety has a tendency to assume. Secondly; that, in proportion to the progrefs and the diffusion of knowledge, those prejudices, on a skilful management of which, all the old fystems of policy proceeded, must gradually disappear; and, consequently, that (whatever may be his predilection for antient usages) the inevitable course of events imposes on the politician the necessity of forming his measures on more solid and permanent principles, than those by which the world has hitherto been governed. Both of these suppositions are of modern origin. The former, so far as I know, was first stated and illustrated by the French Economists. The latter has been obviously suggested by that rapid improvement which has actually taken place in every country of Europe where the press has enjoyed a moderate degree of liberty.

It may be farther remarked, with respect to the greater part of the plans proposed by Utopian projectors, that they proceed on the supposition of a miraculous reformation in the moral character of a people, to be effected by some new system of education. All fuch plans (as Mr. Hume has justly obferved) may be fafely abandoned as impracticable and visionary. But this objection does not apply to the economical fystem; the chief expedient of which, for promoting moral improvement, is not that education which depends on the attention and care of our inftructors; but an education which necesfarily refults from the political order of fociety. "How ineffec-"tual" (faid the Roman poet) "are the wifeft laws, " if they be not supported by good morals!" How ineffectual (fay the Economists) are all our efforts to preferve the morals of a people, if the laws which regulate the political order, doom the one half of mankind to indigence, to fraud, to fervility, to ignorance, to superstition; and the other half to be the flaves of all the follies and vices which refult from

the infolence of rank, and the felfishness of opulence? Suppose for a moment, that the inordinate accumulation of wealth in the hands of individuals, which we every where meet with in modern Europe, were gradually diminished by abolishing the law of entails, and by establishing a perfect freedom of commerce and of industry; it is almost felf-evident, that this fimple alteration in the order of fociety; an alteration which has been often demonstrated to be the most effectual and the most infallible measure for promoting the wealth and population of a country; would contribute, more than all the labours of moralifts, to fecure the virtue and the happiness of all the classes of mankind. It is worthy too of remark, that fuch a plan of reformation does not require, for its accomplishment, any new and complicated institutions; and therefore does not proceed upon any exaggerated conception of the efficacy of human policy. On the contrary, it requires only (like most of the other expedients proposed by this fystem) the gradual abolition of those arbitrary and unjust arrangements, by which the order of nature is disturbed.

Another mistaken idea concerning the economical system is, that it is founded entirely upon theory, and unsupported by facts. That this may be the case with respect to some of its doctrines, I shall not dispute: but, in general, it may be fasely assirtmed, that they rest on a broader basis of facts, than any other political speculations which have been yet offered to the world; for they are founded, not on a sew examples collected from the small number of governments of which we possess an accurate knowledge; but on those laws of human nature, and those maxims of

common fense, which are daily verified in the intercourse of private life.

Of those who have speculated on the subject of legislation, by far the greater part feem to have confidered it as a science sui generis; the first principles of which can be obtained in no other way, than by an examination of the conduct of mankind in their political capacity. The Economists, on the contrary, have fearched for the causes of national prosperity, and national improvement, in those arrangements, which our daily observations shew to be favourable to the prosperity and to the improvement of individuals. The former refemble those philosophers of antiquity, who, affirming that the phenomena of the heavens are regulated by laws peculiar to themfelves, difcouraged every attempt to investigate their physical causes, which was founded upon facts collected from common experience. The latter have aimed at accomplishing a reformation in politics, fimilar to what Kepler and Newton accomplished in astronomy; and, by subjecting to that common sense, which guides mankind in their private concerns, those questions, of which none were supposed to be competent judges, but men initiated in the mysteries of government, have given a beginning to a science which has already extended very widely our political profpects; and which, in its progrefs, may probably afford an illustration, not less striking than that which phyfical astronomy exhibits, of the simplicity of those laws by which the universe is governed.

When a political writer, in order to expose the folly of those commercial regulations which aim at the encouragement of domestic industry by restraints

on importation, appeals to the maxims upon which men act in private life; when he remarks, that the taylor does not attempt to make his own shoes, but buys them of the shoemaker; that the shoemaker does not attempt to make his own clothes, but employs a taylor; and when he concludes, that what is prudence in the conduct of every private family, can fcarcely be folly in that of a great kingdom \*; he may undoubtedly be faid, in one fenfe, to indulge in theory; as he calls in question the utility of institutions which appear, from the fact, to be not incompatible with a certain degree of political prosperity. But, in another fense, and in a much more philosophical one, he may be faid to oppose to the false theories of statesmen, the common sense of mankind; and those maxims of expediency, of which every man may verify the truth by his own daily obfervation.

There is yet another mistake, (of still greater confequence, perhaps, than any of those I have mentioned,) which has missed most of the opponents, and even some of the friends, of the economical system; an idea that it was meant to exhibit a political order, which is really attainable in the present state of Europe. So disserent from this were the views of its most enlightened advocates, that they have uniformly rested their only hopes of its gradual establishment in the world, on that influence in the conduct of human affairs, which philosophy may be expected gradually to acquire, in consequence of the progress of reason and civilisation. To suppose that a period is

<sup>\*</sup> See Mr. Smith's profound and original "Inquiry into the "Nature and Caufes of the Wealth of Nations."

ever to arrive, when it shall be realised in its sull extent, would be the height of enthusiasm and absurdity; but it is surely neither enthusiasm nor absurdity to affirm, that governments are more or less perfect, in proportion to the greater or smaller number of individuals to whom they afford the means of cultivating their intellectual and moral powers, and whom they admit to live together on a liberal footing of equality; — or even to expect, that, in proportion to the progress of reason, governments will actually approach nearer and nearer to this description.

To delineate that state of political fociety to which governments may be expected to approach nearer and nearer as the triumphs of philosophy extend, was, I apprehend, the leading object of the earliest and most enlightened patrons of the economical fystem. a state of society, which they by no means intended to recommend to particular communities, as the most eligible they could adopt at prefent; but as an ideal order of things, to which they have a tendency of themselves to approach, and to which it ought to be the aim of the legislator to facilitate their progress. In the language of mathematicians, it forms a limit to the progressive improvement of the political order; and, in the mean time, it exhibits a standard of comparison, by which the excellence of particular institutions may be estimated.

According to the view which has now been given of the economical fystem, its principles appear highly favourable to the tranquillity of society; inasmuch as, by inspiring us with a confidence in the triumph which truth and liberty must infallibly gain in the end over error and injustice, it has a tendency to dis-

" of ages!
" And what is the kind of liberty enjoyed by those
" nations, which have recovered it by force of arms,
" and not by the influence of philosophy? Have not
" most of them consounded the forms of republican" ism with the enjoyment of right, and the despotism
" of numbers with liberty? How many laws, contrary
" to the rights of nature, have dishonoured the code
" of every people which has recovered its freedom,
" during those ages in which reason was still in its
" infancy!"

"infancy!"

"Why not profit by this fatal experience, and
"wifely wait the progress of knowledge, in order to
"obtain freedom more effectual, more substantial,
and more peaceful? Why pursue it by blood and
inevitable confusion, and trust that to chance,
which time must certainly, and without bloodshed,
bestow? A fortunate struggle may, indeed, relieve
us of many grievances under which we labour at
present, but if we wish to secure the perfection, and
the permanence of freedom, we must patiently wait

"the period when men, emancipated from their prejudices, and guided by philosophy, shall be rendered
worthy of liberty, by comprehending its claims \*."

Nor is it the employment of violent and fanguinary means alone, in order to accomplish political innovations, that this enlightened and humane philosophy has a tendency to discourage. By extending our views to the whole plan of civil fociety, and shewing us the mutual relations and dependencies of its most distant parts, it cannot fail to check that indiferiminate zeal against established institutions, which arises from partial views of the focial fystem; as well as to produce a certain degree of scepticism with respect to every change, the fuccess of which is not infured by the prevailing ideas and manners of the age. Sanguine and inconfiderate projects of reformation are frequently the offspring of clear and argumentative and fystematical understandings; but rarely of comprehensive minds. For checking them, indeed, nothing is fo effectual, as a general furvey of the complicated structure of fociety. Even although such a furvey should be superficial, provided it be conducted on an extensive scale, it is more useful, at least, for this purpose, than the most minute and successful inquiries, which are circumfcribed within a narrow circle. If it should teach us nothing elfe, it will at least

<sup>\*</sup> To fome of my readers it may appear trifling to remark, that, in availing myfelf of an occasional coincidence of sentiment with a contemporary Author, I would not be understood to become responsible for the consistency of his personal conduct with his philosophical principles, nor to subscribe to any one of his opinions, but those to which I have expressed my affent by incorporating them with my own composition. [Note to Second Edition.]

fatisfy us of the extreme difficulty of predicting, with confidence, the remote effects of new arrangements; and that the perfection of political wifdom confifts not in incumbering the machine of government with new contrivances to obviate every partial inconvenience, but in removing gradually, and imperceptibly, the obftacles which difturb the order of nature, and (as Mr. Addifon fomewhere expresses it) "in grafting upon her institutions."

When the economical fystem, indeed, is first prefented to the mind, and when we compare the perfection which it exhibits, with the actual state of human affairs, it is by no means unnatural, that it should suggest plans of reformation too violent and fudden to be practicable. A more complete acquaintance, however, with the fubject, will effectually cure these first impressions, by pointing out to us the mischiefs to be apprehended from an injudicious combination of theoretical perfection with our established laws, prejudices, and manners. As the various unnatural modes and habits of living, to which the bodily conftitution is gradually reconciled by a course of luxurious indulgences, have fuch a tendency to correct each other's effects, as to render a partial return to a more fimple regimen, a dangerous, and, fometimes, a fatal experiment; fo it is possible, that many of our imperfect political inflitutions may be fo accommodated to each other, that a partial execution of the most plausible and equitable plans of reformation, might tend, in the first instance, to frustrate those important purpofes which we are anxious to promote. Is it not possible.



BY HOLMAN & SILSBY. R. W. Holman. Located at the termination of the Norwich, Worcester, and Western Railroad Corner of Kingston, Beach and Lincoln Streets.

To UNITED STATES HOTEL....DR.

For Board \$4.50



possible, for example, that the influence which is founded on a respect for hereditary rank, may have its use in counteracting that aristocracy which arises from inequality of wealth; and which so many laws and prejudices conspire to support? That the former species of influence is rapidly declining of itself, in consequence of the progress which commerce and philosophy have already made, is sufficiently obvious; and, I think, it may reasonably be doubted, whether a well-wisher to mankind would be disposed to accelerate its destruction, till the true principles of political economy are completely understood and acknowledged by the world.

Various other examples might be produced, to illustrate the dangers to be apprehended from the partial influence of general principles in politics; or, in other words, from an exclusive attention to particular circumstances in the political order, without comprehensive views of the subject. It is only upon a limited mind, therefore, that fuch studies will produce a passion for violent innovations. In more comprehensive and enlightened understandings, their natural effect is caution and diffidence with respect to the issue of every experiment, of which we do not perceive distinctly all the remote confequences. Nor is this caution at all inconfiftent with a firm confidence in the certainty of that triumph which truth and liberty must infallibly gain in the end over error and injuftice. On the contrary, it is a natural and obvious confequence of fuch a conviction; inafmuch as the fame arguments on which this conviction is founded, prove to us, that the progress of mankind towards the perfection S 3

perfection of the focial order, must necessarily, in every case, be gradual; and that it must be diversified in the course it takes, according to the situations and characters of nations. To direct, and, as far as poffible, to accelerate, this progrefs, ought to be the great aim of the enlightened statesman, and, indeed, of every man who wishes well to his species; but it is necessary for him always to remember, that confiderable alterations in the established order, are very feldom to be effected immediately and directly by political regulations; and that they are, in all cases, most successful and most permanent, when they are accomplished gradually by natural causes, freed from those restraints which had formerly checked their operation. In the governments, indeed, of modern Europe, it is much more necessary to abolish old institutions, than to introduce new ones; and if this reformation be kept steadily in view, and not pushed farther at any time than circumstances render expedient, or the ideas of the times recommend, the effential principles of a more perfect order of things, will gradually establish themselves, without any convulfion.

According to this view of the fubject, the speculation concerning the perfect order of society, is to be regarded merely as a description of the ultimate objects at which the statesman ought to aim. The tranquillity of his administration, and the immediate success of his measures, depend on his good sense, and his practical skill. And his theoretical principles only enable him to direct his measures steadily and wisely, to promote the improvement and happiness of mankind; and prevent him from being

ever led aftray from these important objects, by more limited views of temporary expedience\*.

Before

\* The foregoing observations on the general aim of the Economical System refer folely (as must appear evident to those who have perufed them with attention) to the doctrines it contains on the article of Political Economy. The Theory of Government which it inculcates, is of the most dangerous tendency; recommending, in ftrong and unqualified terms, an unmixed defpotifm; and reprobating all conflitutional checks on the fovereign authority. Many English writers, indeed, with an almost incredible ignorance of the works which they have prefumed to cenfure, have spoken of them, as if they encouraged political principles of a very different complexion; but the truth is, that the disciples of Quefnay (without a fingle exception) carried their zeal for the power of the monarch, and what they called the Unity of Legislation, to fo extravagant a length, as to treat with contempt, those mixed establishments which allow any share whatever of legislative influence to the reprefentatives of the people. On the one hand, the evidence of this fystem appeared to its partisans so complete and irrefistible, that they flattered themselves, monarchs would foon fee, with an intuitive conviction, the identity of their own interests with those of the nations they are called to govern; and, on the other hand, they contended, that it is only under the ftrong and Ready government of a race of hereditary princes, undistracted by the prejudices and local interests which warp the deliberations of popular affemblies, that a gradual and fystematical approach can be made to the perfection of law and policy. The very first of Quefnay's maxims states, as a fundamental principle, that the fovereign authority, unrestrained by any constitutional checks or balances, should be lodged in the hands of a single person; and the fame doctrine is maintained zealoufly by all his followers; - by none of them more explicitly than by Mercier de la Riviere, whose treatife on " the natural and effential order of political focieties," might have been expected to attract fome notice in this country, from the praife which Mr. Smith has bestowed on the perspicuity of his style, and the distinctness of his arrangement.

If some individuals who formerly professed an enthusiastic attachment to the doctrines of this sect, have, at a later period of

Before closing this disquisition, it may be proper for me to attempt to obviate a little more fully than I have done, an objection which has been frequently drawn from the past experience of mankind, against that fupposition of their progressive improvement on which all the foregoing reasonings proceed. How mournful are the viciflitudes which history exhibits to us, in the course of human affairs; and how little foundation do they afford to our fanguine prospects concerning futurity! If, in those parts of the earth which were formerly inhabited by barbarians, we now fee the most splendid exertions of genius, and the happiest forms of civil policy, we behold others which, in ancient times, were the feats of science, of civilifation, and of liberty, at present immersed in superstition, and laid waste by despotism. After a fhort period of civil, of military, and of literary glory, the prospect has changed at once: the career of degeneracy has begun, and has proceeded till it could advance no farther; or fome unforefeen calamity has occurred, which has obliterated, for a time, all memory of former improvements, and has condemned mankind to re-trace, step by step, the same path by which their forefathers had risen to greatness. In a word; on such a retrospective view of human affairs, man appears to

their lives, distinguished themselves by an enthusiasm no less ardent in opposition to the principles advanced in their writings, the fact only affords an additional illustration of a truth verified by daily experience, that the most solid foundation for political consistency is a spirit of moderation, and that the most natural and easy of all transitions is from the violence and intolerance of one extreme to those of another. [Note to Second Edition.]

be the mere fport of fortune and of accident; or rather, he appears to be doomed, by the condition of his nature, to run alternately the career of improvement and of degeneracy; and to realife the beautiful, but melancholy fable of Sifyphus, by an eternal renovation of hope and of difappointment.

In opposition to these discouraging views of the state and prospects of man; it may be remarked in general, that in the course of these latter ages, a variety of events have happened in the history of the world, which render the condition of the human race effentially different from what it ever was among the nations of antiquity; and which, of confequence, render all our reasonings concerning their future fortunes, in fo far as they are founded merely on their past experience, unphilosophical and inconclufive. The alterations which have taken place in the art of war, in confequence of the invention of firearms, and of the modern fcience of fortification, have given to civilifed nations a fecurity against the irruptions of barbarians, which they never before possessed. The more extended, and the more constant intercourfe, which the improvements in commerce and in the art of navigation have opened, among the distant quarters of the globe, cannot fail to operate in undermining local and national prejudices, and in imparting to the whole species the intellectual acquisitions of each particular community. The accumulated experience of ages has already taught the rulers of mankind, that the most fruitful and the most permanent fources of revenue, are to be derived, not from conquered and tributary provinces, but from from the internal prosperity and wealth of their own subjects:—and the same experience now begins to teach nations, that the increase of their own wealth, so far from depending on the poverty and depression of their neighbours, is intimately connected with their industry and opulence; and consequently, that those commercial jealousies, which have hitherto been so fertile a source of animosity among different states, are sounded entirely on ignorance and prejudice. Among all the circumstances, however, which distinguish the present state of mankind from that of ancient nations, the invention of printing is by far the most important; and, indeed, this single event, independently of every other, is sufficient to change the whole course of human affairs.

The influence which printing is likely to have on the future history of the world, has not, I think, been hitherto examined, by philosophers, with the attention which the importance of the subject deferves. One reason for this may, probably, have been, that, as the invention has never been made but once, it has been confidered rather as the effect of a fortunate accident, than as the refult of those general causes on which the progress of society seems to depend. But it may be reasonably questioned, how far this idea be just. For, although it should be allowed, that the invention of printing was accidental, with respect to the individual who made it, it may, with truth, be confidered as the natural refult of a state of the world, when a number of great and contiguous nations are all engaged in the fludy of literature, in the pursuit of science, and in the practice

of the arts: infomuch, that I do not think it extravagant to affirm, that, if this invention had not been made by the particular person to whom it is ascribed, the fame art, or fome analogous art, answering a fimilar purpofe, would have infallibly been invented by fome other perfon, and at no very diftant period. The art of printing, therefore, is intitled to be confidered as a step in the natural history of man, no lefs than the art of writing; and they who are fceptical about the future progrefs of the race, merely in confequence of its past history, reason as unphilosophically, as the member of a favage tribe, who, deriving his own acquaintance with former times from oral tradition only, should affect to call in question the efficacy of written records, in accelerating the progrefs of knowledge and of civilifation.

What will be the particular effects of this invention, (which has been, hitherto, much checked in its operation, by the restraints on the liberty of the press in the greater part of Europe,) it is beyond the reach of human fagacity to conjecture; but, in general, we may venture to predict with confidence, that, in every country, it will gradually operate to widen the circle of science and civilisation; to distribute more equally, among all the members of the community, the advantages of the political union; and to enlarge the basis of equitable governments, by increasing the number of those who understand their value, and are interested to defend them. The fcience of legislation, too, with all the other branches of knowledge which are connected with human improvement, may be expected to advance with ra-

pidity;

pidity; and, in proportion as the opinions and inflitutions of men approach to truth and to justice, they will be fecured against those revolutions to which human affairs have always been hitherto subject. Opinionum cnim commenta delet dies, natura judicia confirmat.

The revolutions incident to the democratical states of antiquity furnish no folid objection to the foregoing observations; for none of these states enjoyed the advantages which modern times derive from the diffusion, and from the rapid circulation of knowledge. In these states, most of the revolutions which happened, arofe from the struggles of demagogues, who employed the passions of the multitude, in subferviency to their own interest and ambition; and to all of them, the ingenious and striking remark of Hobbes will be found applicable; that "Democracy " is nothing but an aristocracy of orators, interrupted "fometimes by the temporary monarchy of a "fingle orator." While this continued to be the cafe, democratical conflitutions were, undoubtedly, the most unfavourable of any to the tranquillity of mankind; and the only way to preserve the order of fociety was, by skilfully balancing against each other, the prejudices, and the feparate interests, of different orders of citizens. That fuch balances, however, will every day become lefs necessary for checking the turbulence of the democratical fpirit in free governments, appears probable from this; that among the various advantages to be expected from the liberty of the press, one of the greatest is, the effect which it must necessarily have in diminishing the influence of popular eloquence; both

by curing men of those prejudices upon which it operates, and by subjecting it to the irresistible control of enlightened opinions. In the republican states of antiquity, the eloquence of demagogues was indeed a dangerous engine of faction, while it aspired to govern nations by its unlimited sway in directing popular councils. But, now, when the effusions of the orator are, by means of the press, subjected to the immediate tribunal of an inquisitive age, the eloquence of legislative assemblies is forced to borrow its tone from the spirit of the times; and if it retain its ascendant in human affairs, it can only be, by lending its aid to the prevailing cause, and to the permanent interests of truth and of freedom.

Of the progrefs which may yet be made in the different branches of moral and political philosophy, we may form fome idea, from what has already happened in physics, fince the time that Lord Bacon united, in one ufeful direction, the labours of those who cultivate that science. At the period when he wrote, physics was certainly in a more hopeless state, than that of moral and political philosophy in the present age. A perpetual succession of chimerical theories had, till then, amused the world; and the prevailing opinion was, that the cafe would continue to be the fame for ever. Why then should we despair of the competency of the human faculties to establish solid and permanent systems, upon other fubjects, which are of still more ferious importance? Physics, it is true, is free from many difficulties which obstruct our progress in moral and political inquiries; but, perhaps, this advantage may be more than counterbalanced, by the tendency they

have to engage a more univerfal, and a more earnest attention, in confequence of their coming home more immediately to our "bufinefs and our bofoms." When these sciences too begin to be prosecuted on a regular and fystematical plan, their improvement will go on with an accelerated velocity; not only as the number of fpeculative minds will be every day increafed by the diffusion of knowledge, but as an acquaintance with the just rules of inquiry, will more and more place important discoveries within the reach of ordinary understandings. "Such rules," (fays Lord Bacon,) "do, in fome fort, equal men's wits, " and leave no great advantage or pre-eminence to " the perfect and excellent motions of the spirit. To " draw a straight line, or to describe a circle, by aim " of hand only, there must be a great difference be-" tween an unfteady and an unpractifed hand, and a " fleady and practifed; but, to do it by rule or com-" pafs, it is much alike."

Nor must we omit to mention the value which the art of printing communicates to the most limited exertions of literary industry, by treasuring them up as materials for the future examination of more enlightened inquirers. In this respect the press bestows upon the fciences, an advantage fomewhat analogous to that which the mechanical arts derive from the division of labour. As in these arts, the exertions of an uninformed multitude, are united by the comprehenfive skill of the artist, in the accomplishment of effects aftonishing by their magnitude, and by the complicated ingenuity they display; fo, in the sciences, the observations and conjectures of obscure individuals

on those subjects which are level to their capacities, and which fall under their own immediate notice, accumulate for a course of years; till at last, some philosopher arises, who combines these scattered materials, and exhibits, in his system, not merely the force of a single mind, but the intellectual power of the age in which he lives.

It is upon these last considerations, much more than on the efforts of original genius, that I would rest my hopes of the progrefs of the race. What genius alone could accomplish in science, the world has already feen: and I am ready to fubfcribe to the opinion of those who think, that the splendor of its past exertions is not likely to be obscured by the fame of future philosophers. But the experiment yet remains to be tried, what lights may be thrown on the most important of all fubjects, by the free discussions of inquisitive nations, unfettered by prejudice, and stimulated in their inquiries by every motive that can awaken whatever is either generous or felfish in human nature. How trifling are the effects which the bodily strength of an individual is able to produce, (however great may be his natural endowments,) when compared with those which have been accomplished by the confpiring force of an ordinary multitude? It was not the fingle arm of a Thefeus, or a Hercules, but the hands of fuch men as ourfelves, that, in antient Egypt, raifed those monuments of architecture, which remain from age to age, to attest the wonders of combined and of perfevering industry; and, while they humble the importance of the individual, to exalt the dignity, and to animate the labours, of the species.

Thefe

These views with respect to the probable improvement of the world, are fo conducive to the comfort of those who entertain them, that even, although they were founded in delufion, a wife man would be difpofed to cheriff them. What should have induced some refpectable writers to controvert them, with fo great an afperity of expression, it is not easy to conjecture; for whatever may be thought of their truth, their practical tendency is furely favourable to human happiness; nor can that temper of mind, which disposes a man to give them a welcome reception, be candidly suspected of defigns hostile to the interests of humanity. One thing is certain, that the greatest of all obstacles to the improvement of the world, is that prevailing belief of its improbability, which damps the exertions of fo many individuals; and that in proportion as the contrary opinion becomes general, it realifes the event which it leads us to anticipate. Surely, if any thing can have a tendency to call forth in the public fervice the exertions of individuals, it must be an idea of the magnitude of that work in which they are conspiring, and a belief of the permanence of those benefits, which they confer on mankind by every attempt to inform and to enlighten them. As in antient Rome, therefore, it was regarded as the mark of a good citizen, never to despair of the fortunes of the republic; - fo the good citizen of the world, whatever may be the political aspect of his own times, will never despair of the fortunes of the human race; but will act upon the conviction, that prejudice, flavery, and corruption, must gradually give way to truth, liberty, and virtue; and that, in the moral world, as well as in the material,

the farther our observations extend, and the longer they are continued, the more we shall perceive of order and of benevolent defign in the universe.

Nor is this change in the condition of Man, in confequence of the progress of reason, by any means contrary to the general analogy of his natural history. In the infancy of the individual, his existence is preferved by instincts, which disappear afterwards, when they are no longer necessary. In the favage state of our species, there are instincts which seem to form a part of the human constitution; and of which no traces remain in those periods of fociety in which their use is superfeded by a more enlarged experience. Why then should we deny the probability of something fimilar to this, in the history of mankind confidered in their political capacity? I have already had occasion to observe, that the governments which the world has hitherto feen, have feldom or never taken their rife from deep-laid schemes of human policy. In every state of fociety which has yet existed, the multitude has, in general, acted from the immediate impulse of passion, or from the pressure of their wants and necessities; and, therefore, what we commonly call the political order, is, at least in a great measure, the result of the passions and wants of man, combined with the circumstances of his fituation; or, in other words, it is chiefly the refult of the wifdom of nature. So beautifully, indeed, do these passions and circumstances act in fubserviency to her defigns, and fo invariably have they been found, in the history of past ages, to conduct him in time to certain beneficial arrangements, that we can hardly bring ourselves to believe, that the

end was not forefeen by those who were engaged in the pursuit. Even in those rude periods of fociety, when, like the lower animals, he follows blindly his inftinctive principles of action, he is led by an invisible hand, and contributes his share to the execution of a plan, of the nature and advantages of which he has no conception. The operations of the bee, when it begins, for the first time, to form its cell, conveys to us a striking image of the efforts of unenlightened Man, in conducting the operations of an infant government.

A great variety of prejudices might be mentioned, which are found to prevail univerfally among our fpecies in certain periods of fociety, and which feem to be effentially necessary for maintaining its order, in ages when men are unable to comprehend the purposes for which governments are instituted. As fociety advances, these prejudices gradually lose their influence on the higher classes, and would probably foon disappear altogether, if it were not found expedient to prolong their existence, as a source of authority over the multitude. In an age, however, of univerfal and of unrestrained discussion, it is impossible that they can long maintain their empire; nor ought we to regret their decline, if the important ends to which they have been subservient in the past experience of mankind, are found to be accomplished by the growing light of philosophy. On this supposition, a history of human prejudices, as far as they have supplied the place of more enlarged political views, may, at fome future period, furnish to the philosopher a subject of speculation, no less pleasing and instructive, than that 14

beneficent wifdom of nature, which guides the operations of the lower animals; and which, even in our own fpecies, takes upon itself the care of the individual in the infancy of human reason.

I have only to observe farther, that, in proportion as these prospects, with respect to the progress of reason, the diffusion of knowledge, and the consequent improvement of mankind, shall be realised; the political history of the world will be regulated by steady and uniform causes, and the philosopher will be enabled to form probable conjectures with respect to the future course of human affairs.

It is justly remarked by Mr. Hume, that "what " depends on a few persons is, in a great measure, to " be afcribed to chance, or fecret and unknown " causes: what arises from a great number, may often " be accounted for by determinate and known causes." "To judge by this rule," (he continues,) "the do-" mestic and the gradual revolutions of a state must " be a more proper object of reasoning and observa-" tion, than the foreign and the violent, which are " commonly produced by fingle perfons, and are more " influenced by whim, folly, or caprice, than by " general passions and interests. The depression of "the Lords, and rife of the Commons, in England, " after the statutes of alienation and the increase of " trade and industry, are more easily accounted for " by general principles, than the depression of the " Spanish, and rife of the French monarchy, after the " death of Charles the Fifth. Had Harry the Fourth, " Cardinal Richlieu, and Louis the Fourteenth, been " Spaniards; and Philip the Second, Third, and " Fourth, and Charles the Second, been Frenchmen; T 2 66 the

276 ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. IV.

"the hiftory of these nations had been entirely re"versed."

From these principles, it would seem to be a necesfary confequence, that, in proportion as the circumstances shall operate which I have been endeavouring to illustrate, the whole fystem of human affairs, including both the domestic order of society in particular states, and the relations which exist among different communities, in confequence of war and negotiation, will be subjected to the influence of causes which are "known and determinate." Those domestic affairs, which, according to Mr. Hume, are already proper fubjects of reasoning and observation, in confequence of their dependence on general interests and passions, will become so, more and more, daily, as prejudices shall decline, and knowledge shall be diffused among the lower orders; while the relations among different states, which have depended hitherto, in a great meafure, on the "whim, folly, and ca-" price," of fingle perfons, will be gradually more and more regulated by the general interests of the individuals who compose them, and by the popular opinions of more enlightened times. Already, during the very fhort interval which has elapfed fince the publication of Mr. Hume's writings, an aftonishing change has taken place in Europe. The mysteries of courts have been laid open; the influence of fecret negotiation on the relative fituation of states has declined; and the studies of those men whose public fpirit or ambition devotes them to the fervice of their country, have been diverted from the intrigues of cabinets, and the details of the diplomatic code, to the liberal and manly pursuits of political philosophy.

### CHAPTER FIFTH.

Of the Affociation of Ideas.

THE fubject on which I am now to enter, naturally divides itself into two Parts. The First, relates to the influence of Affociation, in regulating the fuccession of our thoughts; the Second, to its influence on the intellectual powers, and on the moral character, by the more intimate and indiffoluble combinations which it leads us to form in infancy and in early youth. The two inquiries, indeed, run into each other; but it will contribute much to the order of our speculations, to keep the foregoing arrangement in view.

## PART FIRST.

Of the Influence of Affociation in regulating the Succession of our Thoughts.

#### SECTION I.

General Observations on this Part of our Constitution, and on the Language of Philosophers with respect to it.

THAT one thought is often suggested to the mind by another; and that the fight of an external object often recals former occurrences, and revives former feelings, are facts which are perfectly familiar, even

T 3

even to those who are the least disposed to speculate concerning the principles of their nature. In passing along a road which we have formerly travelled in the company of a friend, the particulars of the converfation in which we were then engaged, are frequently fuggested to us by the objects we meet with. In such a scene, we recollect that a particular subject was started; and, in passing the different houses, and plantations, and rivers, the arguments we were difcuffing when we last faw them, recur spontaneously to the memory. The connexion which is formed in the mind between the words of a language and the ideas they denote; the connexion which is formed between the different words of a discourse we have committed to memory; the connexion between the different notes of a piece of music in the mind of the musician, are all obvious inftances of the fame general law of our nature.

The influence of perceptible objects in reviving former thoughts and former feelings, is more particularly remarkable. After time has, in fome degree, reconciled us to the death of a friend, how wonderfully are we affected the first time we enter the house where he lived! Every thing we see; the apartment where he studied; the chair upon which he fat, recal to us the happiness we have enjoyed together; and we should feel it a fort of violation of that respect we owe to his memory, to engage in any light or indifferent discourse when such objects are before us. In the case, too, of those remarkable scenes which interest the curiosity, from the memorable persons or transactions which we have been accustomed to connect with them.

in the course of our studies, the fancy is more awakened by the actual perception of the scene itself, than by the mere conception or imagination of it. Hence the pleasure we enjoy in visiting classical ground; in beholding the retreats which inspired the genius of our favourite authors, or the fields which have been dignified by exertions of heroic virtue. How seelle are the emotions produced by the liveliest conception of modern Italy, to what the poet felt, when, amidst the ruins of Rome,

- " He drew th' inspiring breath of antient arts,
- " And trod the facred walks
- "Where, at each step, imagination burns \*!"

The well-known effect of a particular tune on Swifs regiments when at a diftance from home, furnishes a very striking illustration of the peculiar power of a perception, or of an impression on the senses, to awaken affociated thoughts and feelings; and numberless facts of a similar nature must have occurred to every person of moderate sensibility, in the course of his own experience.

"Whilft we were at dinner," (fays Captain King,) "in this miferable hut, on the banks of the "river Awatíka; the guests of a people with whose existence we had before been scarce acquainted, and at the extremity of the habitable globe; a solitary, half-worn pewter spoon, whose shape was familiar to us, attracted our attention; and, on examination, we found it stamped on the back with the

<sup>\* &</sup>quot; Quacunque ingredimur," (fays Cicero, fpeaking of Athens,)
" in aliquam historiam vestigium ponimus."

"word London. I cannot pass over this circumstance in filence, out of gratitude for the many pleasant thoughts, the anxious hopes, and tender remembrances, it excited in us. Those who have experienced the effects that long absence, and extreme distance from their native country, produce on the mind, will readily conceive the pleasure such a trifling incident can give."

The difference between the effect of a perception and an idea, in awakening affociated thoughts and feelings, is finely described in the introduction to the fifth book *De finibus*.

"We agreed," (fays Cicero,) "that we should " take our afternoon's walk in the academy, as at " that time of the day it was a place where there was " no refort of company. Accordingly, at the hour " appointed, we went to Pifo's. We passed the time " in converfing on different matters during our short " walk from the double gate, till we came to the " academy, that justly celebrated spot; which, as we " wished, we found a perfect solitude." "I know not," (faid Pifo,) "whether it be a natural feeling, or an " illusion of the imagination founded on habit, that " we are more powerfully affected by the fight of " those places which have been much frequented by " illustrious men, than when we either listen to the " recital, or read the detail, of their great actions. " At this moment, I feel strongly that emotion which " I speak of. I see before me, the perfect form of " Plato, who was wont to dispute in this very place: " thefe gardens not only recal him to my memory, " but prefent his very person to my senses. I fancy

" to myfelf, that here stood Speusippus; there Xeno-" crates, and here, on this bench, fat his disciple Po-" lemo. To me, our ancient fenate-house feems peo-" pled with the like vifionary forms; for, often, when " I enter it, the shades of Scipio, of Cato, and of " Lælius, and, in particular, of my venerable grand-" father, rife to my imagination. In short, such is " the effect of local fituation in recalling affociated " ideas to the mind, that it is not without reason, some " philosophers have founded on this principle a species " of artificial memory."

This influence of perceptible objects, in awakening affociated thoughts and affociated feelings, feems to arife, in a great measure, from their permanent operation as exciting or fuggesting causes. When a train of thought takes its rife from an idea or conception, the first idea soon disappears, and a series of others fucceeds, which are gradually lefs and lefs related to that with which the train commenced; but, in the case of perception, the exciting cause remains fleadily before us; and all the thoughts and feelings which have any relation to it, crowd into the mind in rapid fuccession; strengthening each other's effects, and all confpiring in the fame general impression.

I already observed, that the connexions which exist among our thoughts, have been long familiarly known to the vulgar, as well as to philosophers. is, indeed, only of late, that we have been poffeffed of an appropriated phrase to express them; but that the general fact is not a recent discovery, may be inferred from many of the common maxims of prudence and of propriety, which have plainly been fug-

gested by an attention to this part of our constitution. When we lay it down, for example, as a general rule, to avoid in conversation all expressions, and all topics of discourse, which have any relation, however remote, to ideas of an unpleafant nature, we plainly proceed on the supposition that there are certain connexions among our thoughts, which have an influence over the order of their fuccession. It is unneceffary to remark, how much of the comfort and good-humour of focial life depends on an attention to this confideration. Such attentions are more particularly effential in our intercourse with men of the world; for the commerce of fociety has a wonderful effect in increasing the quickness and the facility with which we affociate all ideas which have any reference to life and manners \*; and, of confequence, it must render the fenfibility alive to many circumstances which, from the remoteness of their relation to the fituation and history of the parties, would otherwise have paffed unnoticed.

When an idea, however, is thus fuggested by affociation, it produces a flighter impression, or, at least, it produces its impression more gradually, than if it were presented more directly and immediately to the

<sup>\*</sup> The fuperiority which the man of the world possesses over the reclufe student, in his knowledge of mankind, is partly the refult of this quickness and facility of affociation. Those trifling circumstances in conversation and behaviour, which, to the latter, convey only their most obvious and avowed meaning, lay open to the former, many of the trains of thought which are connected with them, and frequently give him a distinct view of a character, on that very fide where it is supposed to be most concealed from his observation.

mind. And hence, when we are under a necessity of communicating any disagreeable information to another, delicacy leads us, instead of mentioning the thing itself, to mention something else from which our meaning may be understood. In this manner, we prepare our hearers for the unwelcome intelligence.

The distinction between gross and delicate flattery, is founded upon the same principle. As nothing is more offensive than flattery which is direct and pointed, praise is considered as happy and elegant, in proportion to the slightness of the associations by which it

is conveyed.

To this tendency which one thought has to introduce another, philosophers have given the name of the Affociation of Ideas; and, as I would not wish, excepting in a case of necessity, to depart from common language, or to expose myfelf to the charge of delivering old doctrines in a new form, I shall continue to make use of the same expression. I am sensible, indeed, that the expression is by no means unexceptionable; and that, if it be used (as it frequently has been) to comprehend those laws by which the fuccession of all our thoughts and of all our mental operations is regulated, the word idea must be understood in a fense much more extensive than it is commonly employed in. It is very justly remarked by Dr. Reid, that "memory, judgment, reasoning, passions, affec-" tions, and purpofes; in a word, every operation of " the mind, excepting those of sense, is excited oc-" casionally in the train of our thoughts: so that, if " we make the train of our thoughts to be only a "train of ideas, the word idea must be understood

"to denote all these operations." In continuing, therefore, to employ, upon this subject, that language, which has been confecrated by the practice of our best philosophical writers in England, I would not be understood to dispute the advantages which might be derived from the introduction of a new phrase, more precise and more applicable to the fact.

The ingenious author whom I last quoted, seems to think that the association of ideas has no claim to be considered as an original principle, or as an ultimate fact in our nature. "I believe," (says he,) "that the "original principles of the mind, of which we can give no account, but that such is our constitution, are more in number than is commonly thought. But we ought not to multiply them without necessity. That trains of thinking, which by frequent repetition have become familiar, should spontaneously offer themselves to our fancy, seems to require no other original quality but the power of habit."

With this observation I cannot agree; because I think it more philosophical to resolve the power of habit into the affociation of ideas, than to resolve the affociation of ideas into habit.

The word *habit*, in the fense in which it is commonly employed, expresses that facility which the mind acquires, in all its exertions, both animal and intellectual, in consequence of practice. We apply it to the dexterity of the workman; to the extemporary fluency of the orator; to the rapidity of the arithmetical accountant. That this facility is the effect of practice, we know from experience to be a fact; but

it does not feem to be an ultimate fact, nor incapable

of analysis.

In the Essay on Attention, I shewed that the essects of practice are produced partly on the body, and partly on the mind. The muscles which we employ in mechanical operations, become stronger, and become more obedient to the will. This is a fact, of which it is probable that philosophy will never be able to give any explanation.

But even in mechanical operations, the effects of practice are produced partly on the mind; and, as far as this is the cafe, they are refolvable into what philosophers call the affociation of ideas; or into that general fact, which Dr. Reid himself has stated, "that "trains of thinking, which, by frequent repetition, "have become familiar, spontaneously offer themselves "to the mind." In the case of habits which are purely intellectual, the effects of practice resolve themselves completely into this principle: and it appears to me more precise and more fatisfactory, to state the principle itself as a law of our constitution, than to slur it over under the concise appellation of habit, which we apply in common to mind and to body.

The tendency in the human mind to affociate or connect its thoughts together, is fometimes called (but very improperly) the *imagination*. Between these two parts of our constitution, there is indeed a very intimate relation; and it is probably owing to this relation, that they have been so generally confounded under the same name. When the mind is occupied about absent objects of sense, (which, I believe, it is habitually in the great majority of mankind,)

kind,) its train of thought is merely a feries of conceptions; or, in common language, of imaginations\*. In the case, too, of poetical imagination, it is the association of ideas that supplies the materials out of which its combinations are formed; and when such an imaginary combination is become familiar to the mind, it is the association of ideas that connects its different parts together, and unites them into one whole. The association of ideas, therefore, although persectly distinct from the power of imagination, is immediately and effentially subservient to all its exertions.

The last observation feems to me to point out, also, the circumstance which has led the greater part of English writers, to use the words Imagination and Fancy as fynonymous. It is obvious that a creative imagination, when a perfon poffesses it so habitually that it may be regarded as forming one of the characteriftics of his genius, implies a power of fummoning up, at pleafure, a particular class of ideas; and of ideas related to each other in a particular manner; which power can be the refult only, of certain habits of affociation, which the individual has acquired. It is to this power of the mind, which is evidently a particular turn of thought, and not one of the common principles of our nature, that our best writers (so far as I am able to judge) refer, in general, when they make use of the word fancy: I fay, in general; for in disquisitions of this fort, in which the best writers are

<sup>\*</sup> Accordingly, Hobbes calls the train of thought in the mind, "Confequentia five feries imaginationum." "Per feriem imaginationum intelligo fuccessionem unius cogitationis ad aliam."—LEVIATHAN, cap. iii.

feldom precise and steady in the employment of words, it- is only to their prevailing practice that we can appeal as an authority. What the particular relations are, by which those ideas are connected that are subfervient to poetical imagination, I shall not inquire at present. I think they are chiefly those of resemblance and analogy. But whatever they may be, the power of summoning up at pleasure the ideas so related, as it is the ground-work of poetical genius, is of sufficient importance in the human constitution to deserve an appropriated name; and, for this purpose, the word fancy would appear to be the most convenient that our language affords.

Dr. Reid has somewhere observed, that "the part of our constitution on which the association of ideas depends, was called, by the older English writers, the fantasy or fancy;" an use of the word, we may remark, which coincides, in many instances, with that which I propose to make of it. It differs from it only in this, that these writers applied it to the association of ideas in general, whereas I restrict its application to that habit of association, which is subservient to poetical imagination.

According to the explanation which has now been given of the word Fancy, the office of this power is to collect materials for the Imagination; and therefore the latter power prefuppofes the former, while the former does not neceffarily fuppofe the latter. A man whose habits of affociation present to him, for illustrating or embellishing a subject, a number of refembling or of analogous ideas, we call a man of fancy; but for an effort of imagination, various other

other powers are necessary, particularly the powers of taste and of judgment; without which, we can hope to produce nothing that will be a source of pleasure to others. It is the power of fancy which supplies the poet with metaphorical language, and with all the analogies which are the soundation of his allusions; but it is the power of imagination that creates the complex scenes he describes, and the sistince characters he delineates. To fancy, we apply the epithets of rich or luxuriant; to imagination, those of beautiful or sublime.

#### SECTION II.

Of the Principles of Association among our Ideas.

THE facts which I stated in the former fection, to illustrate the tendency of a perception, or of an idea, to suggest ideas related to it, are so obvious as to be matter of common remark. But the relations which connect all our thoughts together, and the laws which regulate their succession, were but little attended to before the publication of Mr. Hume's writings.

It is well known to those who are in the least conversant with the present state of metaphysical science, that this eminent writer has attempted to reduce all the principles of affociation among our ideas to three: Resemblance, Contiguity in time and place, and Cause and Effect. The attempt was great, and worthy of his genius; but it has been shewn by several

writers fince his time \*, that his enumeration is not only incomplete, but that it is even indiffinct, fo far

as it goes.

It is not necessary for my present purpose, that I should enter into a critical examination of this part of Mr. Hume's system; or that I should attempt to specify those principles of association which he has omitted. Indeed, it does not seem to me, that the problem admits of a satisfactory solution; for there is no possible relation among the objects of our knowledge, which may not serve to connect them together in the mind: and, therefore, although one enumeration may be more comprehensive than another, a persectly complete enumeration is scarcely to be expected.

Nor is it merely in confequence of the relations among things, that our notions of them are affociated:

\* See, in particular, Lord Kaimes's Elements of Criticism, and Dr. Gerard's Essay on Genius. See also Dr. Campbell's

Philosophy of Rhetoric, vol. i. p. 197.

It is observed by Dr. Beattie, that fomething like an attempt to enumerate the laws of affociation is to be found in Aristotle; who, in speaking of Recollection, infinuates, with his usual brevity, that "the relations, by which we are led from one thought to another, in tracing out, or bunting after," (as he calls it,) any particular thought which does not immediately occur, are chiefly three; Resemblance, Contrariety, and Contiguity."

See Differtations, Moral and Critical, p. 9. Also p. 145.

The passage to which Dr. Beattie refers is as follows:

Οταν ει αναμιμινησκωμιθα, κινεμιθα των προτείων τινα κινησεων, έως αν κινηθωμεν, μιθ΄ ήν εκείνη είωθε. Διο και το εφεξης θηρευομέν νοησαντες απο το νυε, η αλλο τίνος, και αφ΄ όμωιο, η εναιτίο, η το συνεγγυς. Δια τον γινεται ή αναμιησίς.

ARISTOT. de Memor. et Reminisc. vol. i. p. 681. Edit. Du VAL.
U they

290 they are frequently coupled together by means of relations among the words which denote them; fuch as a fimilarity of found, or other circumstances still more The alliteration which is fo common in poetry, and in proverbial fayings, feems to arife, partly at least, from affociations of ideas founded on the accidental circumstance, of the two words which express them beginning with the fame letter.

- " But thousands die, without or this or that,
- " Die; and endow a College, or a Cat."

Pope's Ep. to Lord Bathurst.

- " Ward tried, on Puppies, and the Poor, his drop." Id. Imitat. of HORACE.
- " Puffs, powders, patches; Bibles, billets-doux."

RAPE of the Lock.

This indeed pleafes only on flight occasions, when it may be supposed that the mind is in some degree playful, and under the influence of those principles of affociation which commonly take place when we are careless and disengaged. Every person must be offended with the fecond line of the following couplet, which forms part of a very fublime description of the Divine power:

- " Breathes in our foul, informs our mortal part,
- " As full, as perfect, in a Hair as Heart."

Essay on Man, Ep. i.

To these observations, it may be added, that things which have no known relation to each other are often affociated, in confequence of their producing fimilar effects on the mind. Some of the finest poetical allusions are founded on this principle; and accordingly, if the reader is not possessed of sensibility congenial to that of the poet, he will be apt to overlook their meaning, or to censure them as absurd. To such a critic it would not be easy to vindicate the beauty of the following stanza, in an Ode addressed to a Lady by the Author of the Seasons:

Oh thou, whose tender, serious eye
Expressive speaks the soul I love;
The gentle azure of the sky,
The pensive shadows of the grove.

I have already faid, that the view of the fubiect which I propose to take, does not require a complete enumeration of our principles of affociation. is, however, an important distinction among them, to which I shall have occasion frequently to refer; and which, as far as I know, has not hitherto attracted the notice of philosophers. The relations upon which fome of them are founded, are perfectly obvious to the mind; those which are the foundation of others, are discovered only in consequence of particular efforts of attention. Of the former kind, are the relations of Refemblance and Analogy, of Contrariety, of Vicinity in time and place, and those which arife from accidental coincidences in the found of different words. Thefe, in general, connect our thoughts together, when they are fuffered to take their natural course, and when we are conscious of little or no active exertion. Of the latter kind, are the relations of Caufe and Effect, of Means and End. of Premifes and Conclusion; and those others, which regulate the train of thought in the mind of the philo-U 2 fopher.

fopher, when he is engaged in a particular investigation.

It is owing to this distinction, that transitions, which would be highly offensive in philosophical writing, are the most pleasing of any in poetry. In the former species of composition, we expect to see an Author lay down a distinct plan or method, and observe it rigorously; without allowing himself to ramble into digressions, suggested by the accidental ideas or expressions, which may occur to him in his progress. In that state of mind in which Poetry is read, such digressions are not only agreeable, but necessary to the effect; and an arrangement founded on the spontaneous and seemingly casual order of our thoughts, pleases more than one suggested by an accurate analysis of the subject.

How abfurd would the long digression in praise of Industry, in Thomson's Autumn, appear, if it occurred in a prose essay!—a digression, however, which, in that beautiful poem, arises naturally and infensibly from the view of a luxuriant harvest; and which as naturally leads the Poet back to the point where his excursion began:

All is the gift of Industry; whate'er Exalts, embellishes, and renders life
Delightful. Pensive Winter, cheer'd by him,
Sits at the social fire, and happy hears
Th' excluded tempest idly rave along;
His harden'd singers deck the gaudy Spring;
Without him Summer were an arid waste;
Nor to th' Autumnal months could thus transmit
Those full, mature, immeasurable stores,
That waving round, recal my wand ring Song.

In Goldsmith's Traveller, the transitions are managed with confummate skill; and yet, how different from that logical method which would be fuited to a philosophical discourse on the state of society in the different parts of Europe! Some of the finest are fuggested by the affociating principle of Contrast. Thus, after describing the effeminate and debased Romans, the Poet proceeds to the Swifs:

> My foul, turn from them — turn we to furvey Where rougher climes a nobler race difplay.

And, after painting some defects in the manners of this gallant but unrefined people, his thoughts are led to those of the French:

> To kinder skies, where gentler manners reign, I turn - and France displays her bright domain.

The transition which occurs in the following lines, feems to be fuggested by the accidental mention of a word; and is certainly one of the happiest in our language:

> Heavens! how unlike their Belgic Sires of old! Rough, poor, content, ungovernably bold; War in each breast, and freedom on each brow, How much unlike the fons of Britain now !-- Fir'd at the found, my Genius spreads her wing, And flies, where Britain courts the western spring.

Numberless illustrations of the same remark might be collected from the antient Poets, more particularly from the Georgics of Virgil, where the fingular felicity of the transitions has attracted the notice even of those, who have been the least disposed to indulge themfelves U 3

themselves in philosophical refinements concerning the principles of criticism. A celebrated instance of this kind occurs in the end of the first Book;—the consideration of the weather and of its common prognostics leading the fancy, in the first place, to those more extraordinary phenomena which, according to the superstitious belief of the vulgar, are the forerunners of political Revolutions; and, afterwards, to the Death of Cæsar, and the battles of Pharsalia

and Philippi. The manner in which the Poet returns to his original fubject, displays that exquisite art which is to be derived only from the diligent and enlightened

294

study of nature.

ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. V.

Scilicet et tempus veniet, cum finibus illis Agricola, incurvo terram molitus aratro, Exefa inveniet scabra rubigine pila; Aut gravibus rastris galeas pulsabit inanes, Grandiaque effossis mirabitur ossa sepulsabitis.

The facility with which ideas are affociated in the mind; is very different in different individuals: a circumftance which, as I shall afterwards shew, lays the foundation of remarkable varieties among men, both in respect of genius and of character. I am inclined, too, to think that, in the other fex (probably in confequence of early education) ideas are more easily affociated together, than in the minds of men. Hence the liveliness of their fancy, and the superiority they possess in epistolary writing, and in those kinds of poetry, in which the principal recommendations are, ease of thought and expression. Hence, too, the facility with which they contract or lose habits, and accommodate their minds to new situations; and, I

may add, the disposition they have to that species of superstition which is founded on accidental combinations of circumstances. The influence which this facility of association has on the power of Taste, shall be afterwards considered.

#### SECTION III.

Of the Power which the Mind has over the Train of its Thoughts.

BY means of the Affociation of Ideas, a conftant current of thoughts, if I may use the expression, is made to pass through the mind while we are awake. Sometimes the current is interrupted, and the thoughts diverted into a new channel, in consequence of the ideas suggested by other men, or of the objects of perception with which we are surrounded. So completely, however, is the mind in this particular subjected to physical laws, that it has been justly observed \*, we cannot, by an effort of our will, call up any one thought; and that the train of our ideas depends on causes which operate in a manner inexplicable by us.

This observation, although it has been censured as paradoxical, is almost felf-evident; for, to call up a particular thought, supposes it to be already in the mind. As I shall have frequent occasion, however, to refer to the observation afterwards, I shall endeavour to obviate the only objection which, I think, can reasonably be urged against it; and which is founded

U 4

<sup>\*</sup> By Lord KAIMES and others.

on that operation of the mind which is commonly called recollection or intentional memory.

It is evident, that before we attempt to recollect the particular circumstances of any event, that event in general must have been an object of our attention. We remember the outlines of the story, but cannot at first give a complete account of it. If we wish to recal these circumstances, there are only two ways in which we can proceed. We must either form different suppositions, and then consider which of these tallies best with the other circumstances of the event; or, by revolving in our mind the circumstances we remember, we must endeavour to excite the recollection of the other circumstances affociated with them. The first of these processes is, properly speaking, an inference of reason, and plainly furnishes no exception to the doctrine already delivered. We have an instance of the other mode of recollection, when we are at a loss for the beginning of a fentence in reciting a composition that we do not perfectly remember; in which case we naturally repeat over, two or three times, the concluding words of the preceding fentence, in order to call up the other words which used to be connected with them in the memory. this instance, it is evident, that the circumstances we defire to remember, are not recalled to the mind in immediate confequence of an exertion of volition, but are fuggested by some other circumstances with which they are connected, independently of our will, by the laws of our constitution.

Notwithstanding, however, the immediate dependence of the train of our thoughts on the laws of affociation,

affociation, it must not be imagined that the will possessible posses is not exercifed directly and immediately, as we are apt to suppose, on a superficial view of the subject: but it is, nevertheless, very extensive in its effects; and the different degrees in which it is poffeffed by different individuals, constitute some of the most striking inequalities among men, in point of intellectual capacity.

Of the powers which the mind possesses over the train of its thoughts, the most obvious is its power of fingling out any one of them at pleafure; of detaining it; and of making it a particular object of attention. By doing fo, we not only stop the succession that would otherwise take place; but, in confequence of our bringing to view the lefs obvious relations among our ideas, we frequently divert the current of our thoughts into a new channel. If, for example, when I am indolent and inactive, the name of Sir Isaac Newton accidentally occur to me, it will perhaps fuggest, one after another, the names of some other eminent mathematicians and aftronomers, or of fome of his illustrious contemporaries and friends: and a number of them may pass in review before me, without engaging my curiofity in any confiderable degree. In a different state of mind, the name of Newton will lead my thoughts to the principal incidents of his life, and the more striking features of his character: or, if my mind be ardent and vigorous, will lead my attention to the fublime discoveries he made; and gradually engage me in fome philosophical investigation.

vestigation. To every object, there are others which bear obvious and striking relations; and others, also, whose relation to it does not readily occur to us, unless we dwell upon it for fome time, and place it before us in different points of view.

But the principal power we possess over the train of our ideas, is founded on the influence which our habits of thinking have on the laws of Affociation: an influence which is fo great, that we may often form a pretty shrewd judgment concerning a man's prevailing turn of thought, from the transitions he makes in conversation or in writing. It is well known, too, that by means of habit, a particular affociating principle may be strengthened to such a degree, as to give us a command of all the different ideas in our mind, which have a certain relation to each other; fo that when any one of the class occurs to us, we have almost a certainty that it will suggest the rest. What confidence in his own powers must a speaker possess, when he rises without premeditation, in a popular affembly, to amuse his audience with a lively or an humorous speech! Such a confidence, it is evident, can only arife from a long experience of the strength of particular affociating principles.

To how great a degree this part of our constitution may be influenced by habit, appears from facts which are familiar to every one. A man who has an ambition to become a punster, seldom or never fails in the attainment of his object; that is, he feldom or never fails in acquiring a power which other men have not, of fummoning up, on a particular occasion,

a number of words different from each other in A meaning, and refembling each other, more or lefs, in found. I am inclined to think that even genuine wit is a habit acquired in a fimilar way; and that, although fome individuals may, from natural constitution, be more fitted than others to acquire this habit; it is founded in every cafe on a peculiarly ftrong affociation among certain classes of our ideas, which gives the perfon who possesses it, a command over those ideas which is denied to ordinary men. But there is no instance in which the effect of habits of affociation is more remarkable, than in those men who possess a facility of rhyming. That a man fhould be able to express his thoughts perspicuously and elegantly, under the restraints which rhyme impofes, would appear to be incredible, if we did not know it to be fact. Such a power implies a wonderful command both of ideas and of expressions; and yet daily experience shews that it may be gained with very little practice. Pope tells us with respect to himself, that he could express himself not only more concifely, but more eafily, in rhyme than in profe \*.

Nor is it only in these trisling accomplishments that we may trace the influence of habits of affociation. In every inftance of invention, either in the

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;When habit is once gained, nothing fo easy as practice. " Cicero writes, that Antipater the Sidonian could pour forth

<sup>&</sup>quot; hexameters extempore; and that, whenever he chofe to verfify,

<sup>&</sup>quot; words followed him of courfe. We may add to Antipater,

<sup>&</sup>quot; the antient rhapfodifts of the Greeks, and the modern impro-

fine arts, in the mechanical arts, or in the sciences, there is fome new idea, or fome new combination of ideas, brought to light by the inventor. This, undoubtedly, may often happen in a way which he is unable to explain; that is, his invention may be fuggested to him by some lucky thought, the origin of which he is unable to trace. But when a man poffesses a habitual fertility of invention in any particular art or science, and can rely, with confidence, on his inventive powers, whenever he is called upon to exert them, he must have acquired, by previous habits of study, a command over certain classes of his ideas, which enables him, at pleafure, to bring them under his review. The illustration of these subjects may throw light on fome processes of the mind, which are not in general well understood: and I shall accordingly, in the following Section, offer a few hints with respect to those habits of affociation which are the foundation of wit; of the power of rhyming; of poetical fancy; and of invention in matters of science.

#### SECTION IV.

Illustrations of the Dostrine stated in the preceding Section.

# I. Of Wit.

"affemblage of ideas; and putting those toge"ther with quickness and variety, wherein can be
"found any resemblance or congruity \*." I would
add to this definition, (rather by way of comment
than of amendment,) that wit implies a power of calling up at pleasure the ideas which it combines: and
I am inclined to believe, that the entertainment which
it gives to the hearer, is founded, in a considerable
degree, on his surprise, at the command which the
man of wit has acquired over a part of the constitution, which is so little subject to the will.

That the effect of wit depends partly, at least, on the circumstance now mentioned, appears evidently from this, that we are more pleased with a bon mot, which occurs in conversation, than with one in print; and that we never fail to receive disgust from wit, when we suspect it to be premeditated. The pleasure, too, we receive from wit, is heightened, when the original idea is started by one person, and the related idea by another. Dr. Campbell has remarked, that "a witty repartee is infinitely more pleasing, than a "witty attack; and that an allusion will appear ex-

<sup>\*</sup> Effay on Human Understanding, book ii. chap. 11.
" cellent

" cellent when thrown out extempore in converfa-" tion, which would be deemed execrable in print." In all these cases, the wit considered absolutely is the fame. The relations which are discovered between the compared ideas are equally new: and yet, as foon as we suspect that the wit was premeditated, the pleafure we receive from it is infinitely diminished. Instances indeed may be mentioned, in which we are pleafed with contemplating an unexpected relation between ideas, without any reference to the habits of affociation in the mind of the person who discovered it. A bon mot produced at the game of cross-purposes, would not fail to create amusement; but in fuch cases, our pleasure seems chiefly to arise from the furprife we feel at fo extraordinary a coincidence between a question and an answer coming from perfons who had no direct communication with each other.

Of the effect added to wit by the promptitude with which its combinations are formed, Fuller appears to have had a very just idea, from what he has recorded of the focial hours of our two great English Dramatists. "Johnson's parts were not so ready " to run of themselves, as able to answer the spur: " fo that it may be truly faid of him, that he had " an elaborate wit, wrought out by his own industry. " - Many were the wit combats between him and "Shakefpeare, which two I behold like a Spanish " great galleon, and an English man of war. John-" fon (like the former) was built far higher in learn-" ing; folid, but flow in his performances. Shake-" fpeare, with the English man of war, lesser in bulk, " but 13

" but lighter in failing, could turn with all tides, tack about and take advantage of all winds, by the quick-

" ness of his wit and invention \*."

I before observed, that the pleasure we receive from wit is increased, when the two ideas between which the relation is discovered, are suggested by different persons. In the case of a bon mot occurring in conversation, the reason of this is abundantly obvious; because, when the related ideas are suggested by different persons, we have a proof that the wit was not premeditated. But even in a written compofition, we are much more delighted when the fubject was furnished to the author by another person, than when he chuses the topic on which he is to difplay his wit. How much would the pleafure we receive from the Key to the Lock be diminished, if we fuspected that the author had the key in view when he wrote that poem; and that he introduced fome expressions, in order to furnish a subject for the wit of the commentator? How totally would it destroy the pleasure we receive from a parody on a poem, if we fuspected that both were productions of the same author? The truth feems to be, that when both the related ideas are fuggested by the same person, we have not a very fatisfactory proof of any thing uncommon in the intellectual habits of the author. We may suspect that both ideas occurred to him at the fame time; and we know that in the dullest and most phlegmatic minds, fuch extraordinary affociations will fometimes take place. But when the fubject of the

<sup>\*</sup> History of the Worthies of England. London, 1662.

As an additional confirmation of these observations. we may remark, that the more an author is limited by his fubject, the more we are pleafed with his wit. And, therefore, the effect of wit does not arife folely from the unexpected relations which it prefents to the mind, but arises, in part, from the surprise it excites at those intellectual habits which give it birth. It is evident, that the more the author is circumscribed in the choice of his materials, the greater must be the command which he has acquired over those affociating principles on which wit depends, and of confequence, according to the foregoing doctrine the greater must be the surprise and the pleasure which his wit produces. In Addison's celebrated verfes to Sir Godfrey Kneller on his picture of George the First, in which he compares the painter to Phidias, and the fubjects of his pencil to the Grecian Deities, the range of the Poet's wit was necessarily confined within very narrow bounds; and what principally delights us in that performance is, the furprifing eafe and felicity with which he runs the parallel between the English history and the Greek mythology. Of all the allusions which the following passage contains, there is not one, taken fingly, of very extraordinary merit; and yet the effect of the whole is uncommonly great, from the fingular power of combination, which fo long and fo difficult an exertion difcovers.

- " Wife Phidias thus, his skill to prove,
- "Thro' many a god advanced to Jove,
- " And taught the polish'd rocks to shine
- " With airs and lineaments divine,
- " Till Greece amaz'd and half afraid,
- " Th' affembled Deities furvey'd.
  - " Great Pan, who wont to chase the fair,
- " And lov'd the fpreading oak, was there;
- " Old Saturn, too, with up-cast eyes,
- " Beheld his abdicated skies;
- " And mighty Mars, for war renown'd,
- " In adamantine armour frown'd;
- " By him the childless Goddess rose,
- " Minerva, studious to compose
- " Her twifted threads; the web she strung,
- " And o'er a loom of marble hung;
- "Thetis, the troubled ocean's queen,
- " Match'd with a mortal next was feen,
- " Reclining on a funeral urn,
- " Her short-liv'd darling son to mourn:
- "The last was he, whose thunder slew
- " The Titan race, a rebel crew,
- "That from a hundred hills ally'd,
- " In impious league their King defy'd."

According to the view which I have given of the nature of Wit, the pleafure we derive from that affemblage of ideas which it prefents, is greatly heightened and enlivened by our furprife at the command displayed over a part of the constitution, which, in our own case, we find to be so little subject to the will. We confider Wit as a fort of feat or trick of intellectual dexterity, analogous, in fome respects, to the extraordinary performances of jugglers and ropedancers; and, in both cases, the pleasure we receive from the exhibition, is explicable in part, (I, by no means, fay entirely) on the fame principles.

If these remarks be just, it seems to follow as a confequence, that those men who are most deficient in the power of prompt combination, will be most poignantly affected by it, when exerted at the will of another: and therefore, the charge of jealoufy and envy brought against rival Wits, when disposed to look grave at each other's jefts, may perhaps be obviated in a way less injurious to their character.

The fame remarks fuggest a limitation, or rather an explanation, of an affertion of Lord Chesterfield's, that "genuine wit never made any man laugh fince " the creation of the world." The observation, I believe, to be just, if by genuine wit, we mean wit wholly divested of every mixture of humour: and if by laughter, we mean that convulfive and noify agitation which is excited by the ludicrous. But there is unquestionably a fmile appropriated to the flashes of wit; — a smile of surprise and wonder; not altogether unlike the effect produced on the mind and the countenance, by a feat of legerdemain when executed with uncommon fuccefs.

## II. Of Rhyme.

THE pleasure we receive from rhyme, feems also to arife, partly, from our furprife at the command which the Poet must have acquired over the train of his ideas, in order to be able to express himself with elegance, and the appearance of eafe, under the restraint which rhyme imposes. In witty or in humorous performances, this furprife ferves to enliven that which the wit or the humour produces,

and

and renders its effects more fensible. How flat do the liveliest and most ludicrous thoughts appear in blank verse? And how wonderfully is the wit of Pope heightened, by the easy and happy rhymes in which it is expressed?

It must not, however, be imagined, either in the case of wit or of rhyme, that the pleasure arises folely from our furprise at the uncommon habits of affociation which the author discovers. In the former case, there must be presented to the mind, an unexpected analogy or relation between different ideas: and perhaps other circumstances must concur to render the wit perfect. If the combination has no other merit than that of bringing together two ideas which never met before, we may be furprifed at its oddity, but we do not confider it as a proof of wit. On the contrary, the want of any analogy or relation between the combined ideas, leads us to fuspect, that the one did not suggest the other, in confequence of any habits of affociation; but that the two were brought together by ftudy, or by mere accident. All that I affirm is, that when the analogy or relation is pleafing in itfelf, our pleasure is heightened by our surprise at the author's habits of affociation when compared with our own. In the case of Rhyme, too, there is undoubtedly a certain degree of pleafure arifing from the recurrence of the fame found. We frequently observe children amuse themselves with repeating over fingle words which rhyme together: and the lower people, who derive little pleafure from poetry, excepting in fo far as it affects the ear, are fo pleafed with

 $X_2$ 

the echo of the rhymes, that when they read verfes where it is not perfect, they are apt to fupply the the Poet's defects, by violating the common rules of pronunciation. This pleafure, however, is heightened by our admiration at the miraculous powers which the Poet must have acquired over the train of his ideas, and over all the various modes of expression which the language affords, in order to convey instruction and entertainment, without transgreffing the established laws of regular versification. In fome of the lower kinds of poetry; for example, in acrostics, and in the lines which are adapted to bouts-rimés, the merit lies entirely in this command of thought and expression; or, in other words, in a command of ideas founded on extraordinary habits of affociation. Even fome authors of a superior class, occasionally shew an inclination to display their knack at rhyming, by introducing, at the end of the first line of a couplet, some word to which the language hardly affords a corresponding found. Swift, in his more trifling pieces, abounds with instances of this; and in Hudibras, when the author uses his double and triple rhymes, many couplets have no merit whatever but what arifes from difficulty of execution.

The pleasure we receive from rhyme in ferious compositions, arises from a combination of different circumstances which my present subject does not lead me to investigate particularly\*. I am perfuaded,

<sup>\*</sup> In Elegiac poetry, the recurrence of the fame found, and the uniformity in the structure of the versification which this neces-

fuaded, however, that it arifes, in part, from our furprise at the Poet's habits of affociation, which enable him to convey his thoughts with eafe and beauty, notwithstanding the narrow limits within which his choice of expression is confined. proof of this is, that if there appear any mark of constraint, either in the ideas or in the expression, our pleasure is proportionally diminished. thoughts must feem to fuggest each other, and the rhymes to be only an accidental circumstance. The fame remark may be made on the meafure of the verse. When in its greatest perfection, it does not appear to be the refult of labour, but to be dictated by nature, or prompted by inspiration. In Pope's best verses, the idea is expressed with as little inverfion of style, and with as much concifeness, precifion, and propriety, as the author could have attained, had he been writing profe: without any apparent exertion on his part, the words feem fpontaneously to arrange themselves in the most musical numbers.

necessarily occasions, are peculiarly suited to the inactivity of the mind, and to the slow and equable succession of its ideas, when under the influence of tender or melancholy passions and accordingly, in such cases, even the Latin poets, though the genius of their language be very ill sitted for compositions in rhyme, occasionally indulge themselves in something very nearly approaching to it:

Many other inflances of the same kind might be produced from the Elegiac verses of Ovid and Tibullus.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Memnona fi mater, mater ploravit Achillem,

<sup>&</sup>quot; Et tangant magnas tristia fata Deas;

<sup>&</sup>quot; Flebilis indignos Elegeia folve capillos,

<sup>&</sup>quot; Ah nimis ex vero nunc tibi nomen erit."

- "While still a child, nor yet a fool to fame,
- " I lifp'd in numbers, for the numbers came."

This facility of verification, it is true, may be, and probably is, in most cases, only apparent: and it is reasonable to think, that in the most perfect poetical productions, not only the choice of words, but the choice of ideas, is influenced by the rhymes. In a prose composition, the author holds on in a direct course, according to the plan he has previously formed; but in a poem, the rhymes which occur to him are perpetually diverting him to the right hand or to the left, by suggesting ideas which do not naturally rise out of his subject. This, I presume, is Butler's meaning in the following couplet:

- " Rhymes the rudder are of verfes
- " With which, like ships, they steer their courses."

But although this may be the case in fact, the Poet must employ all his art to conceal it: insomuch that if he finds himself under a necessity to introduce, on account of the rhymes, a superfluous idea, or an awkward expression, he must place it in the first line of the couplet, and not in the second; for the reader, naturally presuming that the lines were composed in the order in which the author arranges them, is more apt to suspect the second line to be accommodated to the first, than the first to the second. And this slight artifice is, in general, sufficient to impose on that degree of attention with which poetry is read. Who can doubt that, in the following lines, Pope wrote the first for the sake of the second?

" A wit's a feather, and a chief a rod;

" An honeit man's the nobleft work of God."

Were the first of these lines, or a line equally unmeaning, placed last, the couplet would have appeared execrable to a person of the most moderate taste.

'It affords a strong confirmation of the foregoing observations, that the Poets of some nations have delighted in the practice of alliteration, as well as of rhyme; and have even confidered it as an effential circumstance in versification. Dr. Beattie observes, that " fome antient English poems are more distinguished " by alliteration, than by any other poetical contri-" vance. In the works of Langland, even when no " regard is had to rhyme, and but little to a rude " fort of anapestic measure, it seems to have been a " rule, that three words, at least, of each line should " begin with the fame letter." A late author informs us, that, in the Icelandic poetry, alliteration is' confidered as a circumstance no less effential than rhyme\*. He mentions also feveral other restraints, which must add wonderfully to the difficulty of verfification; and which appear to us to be perfectly arbitrary and capricious. If that really be the cafe, the whole pleafure of the reader or hearer arises from his surprise at the facility of the Poet's composition under these com-

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;The Icelandic poetry requires two things; viz. words " with the fame initial letters, and words of the fame found. It was divided into stanzas, each of which consisted of four " couplets; and each of these couplets was again composed of "two hemisticks, of which every one contained fix fyllables; " and it was not allowed to augment this number, except in " cases of the greatest necessity." See VAN TROIL'S Letters on Iceland, p. 208. plicated

plicated restraints; that is, from his surprise at the command which the Poet has acquired over his thoughts and expressions. In our rhyme, I acknowledge, that the coincidence of sound is agreeable in itself; and only affirm, that the pleasure which the ear receives from it, is heightened by the other consideration.

## III. Of Poetical Fancy.

THERE is another habit of affociation, which, in fome men, is very remarkable; that which is the foundation of Poetical Fancy: a talent which agrees with Wit in fome circumstances, but which differs from it effentially in others.

The pleasure we receive from Wit, agrees in one particular with the pleasure which arises from poetical allusions; that in both cases we are pleased with contemplating an analogy between two different subjects. But they differ in this, that the man of Wit has no other aim than to combine analogous ideas \*; whereas no allusion can, with propriety, have a place in serious poetry, unless it either illustrate or adorn the principal subject. If it has both these recommendations, the allusion is perfect. If it has neither, as is often the case with the allusions of Cowley and of Young, the Fancy of the Poet degenerates into Wit.

If these observations be well-founded, they suggest a rule with respect to poetical allusions, which has not always been sufficiently attended to. It frequently

<sup>\*</sup> I fpeak here of pure and unmixed wit; and not of wit, blended, as it is most commonly, with some degree of humour.

happens, that two fubjects bear an analogy to each other in more respects than one; and where such can be found, they undoubtedly furnish the most favourable of all occasions for the display of Wit. But, in ferious poetry, I am inclined to think, that however ftriking these analogies may be; and although each of them might, with propriety, be made the foundation of a feparate allufion; it is improper, in the course of the same allusion, to include more than one of them; as, by doing fo, an author discovers an affectation of Wit, or a defire of tracing analogies, instead of illustrating or adorning the subject of his composition.

I formerly defined Fancy to be a power of affociating ideas according to relations of refemblance and analogy. This definition will probably be thought too general; and to approach too near to that given of Wit. In order to discover the necessary limitations, we shall consider what the circumstances are, which please us in poetical allusions. As these allusions are fuggested by Fancy, and are the most striking instances in which it displays itself, the received rules of Critics with refpect to them, may throw fome light on the mental power which gives them birth.

1. An allusion pleases, by illustrating a subject comparatively obscure. Hence, I apprehend, it will be found, that allusions from the intellectual world to the material, are more pleafing, than from the material world to the intellectual. Mason, in his Ode to Memory, compares the influence of that faculty over our ideas, to the authority of a general over his

troops:

"thou, whose fway

- " The throng'd ideal hofts obey;
- " Who bidst their ranks now vanish, now appear;
- " Flame in the van, or darken in the rear."

Would the allufion have been equally pleafing, from a general marshalling his foldiers, to Memory and the fuccession of ideas?

The effect of a literal and spiritless translation of a work of genius, has been compared to that of the figures which we see, when we look at the wrong side of a beautiful piece of tapestry. The allusion is ingenious and happy; but the pleasure which we receive from it arises, not merely from the analogy which it presents to us, but from the illustration which it affords of the author's idea. No one, surely, in speaking of a piece of tapestry, would think of comparing the difference between its sides, to that between an original composition and a literal translation!

Cicero, and after him Mr. Locke, in illustrating the difficulty of attending to the subjects of our confciousness, have compared the Mind to the Eye, which sees every object around it, but is invisible to itself. To have compared the Eye, in this respect, to the Mind, would have been absurd.

Mr. Pope's comparison of the progress of youthful curiofity, in the pursuits of science, to that of a traveller among the Alps, has been much, and justly, admired. How would the beauty of the allusion have been diminished, if the Alps had furnished the original subject, and not the illustration!

But

But although this rule holds, in general, I acknowledge, that inflances may be produced, from our most celebrated poetical performances, of allufions from material objects, both to the intellectual and the moral worlds. Thefe, however, are comparatively few in number, and are not to be found in descriptive or in didactic works; but in compositions written under the influence of fome particular passion, or which are meant to express some peculiarity in the mind of the author. Thus, a melancholy man, who has met with many misfortunes in life, will be apt to moralize on every physical event, and every appearance of nature; because his attention dwells more habitually on human life and conduct, than on the material objects around him. This is the case with the banished Duke, in Shakefpeare's As you like it; who, in the language of that Poet,

" Finds tongues in trees, books in the running brooks,

" Sermons in ftones, and good in every thing."

But this is plainly a diftempered state of the mind; and the allusions please, not so much by the analogies they present, as by the picture they give of the character of the person to whom they have occurred.

2. An allufion pleafes, by prefenting a new and beautiful image to the mind. The analogy or the refemblance between this image and the principal fubject, is agreeable of itfelf, and is indeed neceffary, to furnish an apology for the transition which the writer makes, but the pleafure is wonderfully heightened, when the new image thus prefented is a beautiful one.

The following allufion, in one of Mr. Home's tragedies, appears to me to unite almost every excellence:

-- " Hope and fear, alternate, fway'd his breaft;

" Like light and shade upon a waving field,

" Courfing each other, when the flying clouds

" Now hide, and now reveal, the Sun."

Here the analogy is remarkably perfect; not only between light and hope, and between darkness and fear; but between the rapid fuccession of light and shade, and the momentary influences of these opposite emotions: while, at the same time, the new image which is presented to us, recals one of the most pleasing and impressive incidents in rural scenery.

The foregoing observations suggest a reason why the principal stores of Fancy are commonly supposed to be borrowed from the material world. Wit has a more extensive province, and delights to display it's power of prompt and unexpected combination over all the various classes of our ideas: but the favourite excursions of Fancy, are from intellectual and moral fubjects to the appearances with which our fenfes are conversant. The truth is, that fuch allusions please more than any others in poetry. According to this limited idea of Fancy, it prefupposes, where it is poffeffed in an eminent degree, an extensive observation of natural objects, and a mind fusceptible of strong impressions from them. It is thus only that a stock of images can be acquired; and that these images will be ready to prefent themselves, whenever any analogous fubject occurs. And hence probably it is, that poetical genius is almost always united with an exquisite fenfibility to the beauties of nature.

Before leaving the fubject of Fancy, it may not be improper to remark, that its two qualities are, livelines and luxuriancy. The word *lively* refers to the quickness of the association. The word *rich* or *luxuriant*, to the variety of associated ideas.

## IV. Of Invention in the Arts and Sciences.

To these powers of Wit and Fancy, that of Invention in the Arts and Sciences has a striking resemblance. Like them it implies a command over certain classes of ideas, which, in ordinary men, are not equally subject to the will: and like them, too, it is the result of acquired habits; and not the original gift of nature.

Of the process of the mind in scientific invention, I propose afterwards to treat fully, under the article of Reasoning; and I shall therefore confine myself at present to a few detached remarks upon some views of the subject which are suggested by the foregoing inquiries.

Before we proceed, it may be proper to take notice of the distinction between Invention and Discovery. The object of the former, as has been frequently remarked, is to produce something which had no existence before; that of the latter, to bring to light something which did exist, but which was concealed from common observation. Thus we say, Otto Guerricke invented the air-pump; Sanctorius invented the thermometer; Newton and Gregory invented the reslecting telescope; Galileo discovered the solar spots; and Harvey discovered the circulation of the

blood. It appears, therefore, that improvements in the Arts are properly called *inventions*; and that facts brought to light by means of observation, are properly called *discoveries*.

Agreeable to this analogy, is the use which we make of these words, when we apply them to subjects purely intellectual. As truth is eternal and immutable, and has no dependence on our belief or disbelief of it, a person who brings to light a truth formerly unknown, is said to make a discovery. A person, on the other hand, who contrives a new method of discovering truth, is called an inventor. Pythagoras, we say, discovered the forty-seventh proposition of Euclid's first book; Newton discovered the binomial theorem: but he invented the method of prime and ultimate ratios; and he invented the method of fluxions.

In general, every advancement in knowledge is confidered as a difcovery; every contrivance by which we produce an effect, or accomplish an end, is confidered as an invention. Difcoveries in science, therefore, unless they are made by accident, imply the exercise of invention; and accordingly, the word invention is commonly used to express originality of genius in the Sciences, as well as in the Arts. It is in this general sense that I employ it in the following observations.

It was before remarked, that in every instance of invention, there is some new idea, or some new combination of ideas, which is brought to light by the inventor; and that, although this may sometimes happen, in a way which he is unable to explain, yet

when

when a man poffesses an habitual fertility of invention in any particular Art or Science, and can rely, with confidence, on his inventive powers, whenever he is called upon to exert them; he must have acquired, by previous habits of study, a command over those classes of his ideas, which are subservient to the particular effort that he wishes to make. In what manner this command is acquired, it is not possible, perhaps, to explain completely; but it appears to me to be chiefly in the two following ways. In the first place, by his habits of speculation, he may have arranged his knowledge in fuch a manner as may render it eafy for him to combine, at pleasure, all the various ideas in his mind, which have any relation to the fubject about which he is occupied: or, fecondly, he may have learned by experience, certain general rules, by means of which, he can direct the train of his thoughts into those channels in which the ideas he is in quest of may be most likely to occur to him.

I. The former of these observations, I shall not stop to illustrate particularly, at present; as the same subject will occur afterwards, under the article of Memory. It is fufficient for my purpose, in this chapter, to remark, that as habits of fpeculation have a tendency to claffify our ideas, by leading us to refer particular facts and particular truths to general principles; and as it is from an approximation and comparison of related ideas, that new discoveries in most instances refult; the knowledge of the philosopher, even supposing that it is not more extensive, is arranged in a manner much more favourable to invention, than in a mind unaccustomed to system.

How

How much invention depends on a proper combination of the materials of our knowledge, appears from the refources which occur to men of the lowest degree of ingenuity, when they are pressed by any alarming difficulty and danger; and from the unexpected exertions made by very ordinary characters, when called to fituations which rouse their latent powers. In fuch cases, I take for granted, that neceffity operates in producing invention, chiefly by concentrating the attention of the mind to one fet of ideas; by leading us to view thefe in every light, and to combine them variously with each other. As the fame idea may be connected with an infinite variety of others by different relations; it may, according to circumstances, at one time, suggest one of these ideas, and, at another time, a different one. When we dwell long on the fame idea, we obtain all the others to which it is any way related; and thus are furnished with materials on which our powers of judgment and reafoning may be employed. The effect of the division of labour, in multiplying mechanical contrivances, is to be explained partly on the fame principle. It limits the attention to a particular subject, and familiarises to the mind all the possible combinations of ideas which have any relation to it.

These observations suggest a remarkable difference between Invention and Wit. The former depends, in most instances, on a combination of those ideas, which are connected by the less obvious principles of affociation; and it may be called forth in almost any mind by the pressure of external circumstances. The

ideas

ideas which must be combined, in order to produce the latter, are chiefly fuch as are affociated by those flighter connexions which take place when the mind is careless and disengaged. "If you have real wit," fays Lord Chesterfield, " it will flow spontaneously, " and you need not aim at it; for in that case, the " rule of the gospel is reversed; and it will prove, " feek and you shall not find." Agreeably to this observation, wit is promoted by a certain degree of intoxication, which prevents the exercise of that attention, which is necessary for invention in matters of Science. Hence too it is, that those who have the reputation of Wits, are commonly men confident in their own powers, who allow the train of their ideas to follow, in a great measure, its natural course; and hazard, in company, every thing, good or bad, that occurs to them. Men of modesty and taste feldom attempt wit in a promiscuous society; or if they are forced to make fuch an exertion, they are feldom fuccefsful. Such men, however, in the circle of their friends, to whom they can unbosom themselves without referve, are frequently the most amusing and the most interesting of companions; as the vivacity of their wit is tempered by a correct judgment, and refined manners; and as its effect is heightened by that fenfibility and delicacy, with which we fo rarely find it accompanied in the common intercourse of life.

When a man of wit makes an exertion to diftinguish himself, his fallies are commonly too far fetched to please. He brings his mind into a state approaching to that of the inventor, and becomes rather ingenious than witty. This is often the case with the wri-

ters whom Johnson distinguishes by the name of the Metaphysical Poets.

Those powers of invention, which necessity occafionally calls forth in uncultivated minds, fome individuals possess habitually. The related ideas which, in the cafe of the former, are brought together by the flow efforts of attention and recollection, prefent themselves to the latter, in consequence of a more fystematical arrangement of their knowledge. The instantaneousness with which such remote combinations are effected, fometimes appear fo wonderful, that we are apt to ascribe it to something like inspiration; but it must be remembered, that when any subject strongly and habitually occupies the thoughts, it gives us an interest in the observation of the most trivial circumstance which we suspect to have any relation to it, however diftant; and by thus rendering the common objects and occurrences which the accidents of life prefent to us, fubfervient to one particular employment of the intellectual powers, establishes in the memory a connexion between our favourite pursuit, and all the materials with which experience and reflection have supplied us for the farther profecution of it.

II. I observed, in the second place, that invention may be facilitated by general rules, which enable the inventor to direct the train of his thoughts into particular channels. These rules (to ascertain which, ought to be one principal object of the logician) will afterwards fall under my consideration, when I come to examine those intellectual processes which are subservient to the discovery of truth. At present, I shall

confine myself to a few general remarks; in stating which I have no other aim than to fhew, to how great a degree invention depends on cultivation and habit, even in those sciences in which it is generally supposed

that every thing depends on natural genius.

When we confider the geometrical discoveries of the antients, in the form in which they are exhibited in the greater part of the works which have furvived to our times, it is feldom possible for us to trace the steps by which they were led to their conclusions: and, indeed, the objects of this science are so unlike those of all others, that it is not unnatural for a perfon when he enters on the fludy, to be dazzled by its novelty, and to form an exaggerated conception of the genius of those men who first brought to light fuch a variety of truths, fo profound and fo remote from the ordinary course of our speculations. We find, however, that even at the time when the antient analysis was unknown to the moderns; such mathematicians as had attended to the progress of the mind in the discovery of truth, concluded a priori, that the discoveries of the Greek geometers did not, at first, occur to them in the order in which they are stated in their writings. The prevailing opinion was, that they had possessed some secret method of investigation, which they carefully concealed from the world; and that they published the result of their labours in fuch a form, as they thought would be most likely to excite the admiration of their readers. "O quam bene foret," fays Petrus Nonius, "fi qui " in fcientiis mathematicis fcripferint authores, fcripta " reliquissent inventa sua eadem methodo, et per " eofdem

Y 2

"eofdem difcurfus, quibus ipfi in ea primum incide"runt; et non, ut in mechanica loquitur Ariftoteles
de artificibus, qui nobis foris oftendunt fuas quas
fecerint machinas, fed artificium abfcondunt, ut
magis appareant admirabiles. Est utique inventio
in arte qualibet diversa multum a traditione: neque
putandum est plurimas Euclidis et Archimedis propositiones fuisse ab illis ea via inventas qua nobis
illi ipsa tradiderunt \*." The revival of the antient
analysis, by some late mathameticians in this country,
has, in part, justified these remarks, by shewing to
how great a degree the inventive powers of the Greek
geometers were aided by that method of investigation; and by exhibiting some striking specimens of
address in the practical application of it.

The folution of problems, indeed, it may be faid, is but one mode in which mathematical invention may be difplayed. The difcovery of new truths is what we chiefly admire in an original genius; and the method of analysis gives us no satisfaction with respect to the process by which they are obtained.

To remove this difficulty completely, by explaining all the various ways in which new theorems may be brought to light, would lead to inquiries foreign to this work. In order, however, to render the process of the mind, on fuch occasions, a little less mysterious than it is commonly supposed to be; it may be proper to remark, that the most copious source of discoveries is the investigation of problems; which

<sup>\*</sup> See some other passages to the same purpose, quoted from different writers, by Dr. Simson, in the presace to his Restoration of the Loci Plani of Appollonius Pergæus, Glasg. 1749.

feldom fails (even although we should not succeed in the attainment of the object which we have in veiw) to exhibit to us fome relations formerly unobserved among the quantities which are under confideration. Of fo great importance is it to concentrate the attention to a particular fubject, and to check that wandering and diffipated habit of thought, which, in the cafe of most persons, renders their speculations barren of any profit either to themselves or to others. Many theorems, too, have been fuggefted by analogy; many have been investigated from truths formerly known by altering or by generalifing the hypothesis; and many have been obtained by a species of induction. An illustration of these various processes of the mind would not only lead to new and curious remarks, but would contribute to diminish that blind admiration of original genius, which is one of the chief obstacles to the improvement of fcience.

The history of natural philosophy, before and after the time of Lord Bacon, affords another proof, how much the powers of invention and discovery may be affished by the study of method: and in all the sciences, without exception, whoever employs his genius with a regular and habitual success, plainly shews, that it is by means of general rules that his inquiries are conducted. Of these rules, there may be many which the inventor never stated to himself in words; and, perhaps, he may even be unconscious of the assistance which he derives from them; but their influence on his genius appears unquestionably from the uniformity with which it proceeds;

and in proportion as they can be afcertained by his own fpeculations, or collected by the logician from an examination of his refearches, fimilar powers of invention will be placed within the reach of other men, who apply themselves to the same study.

The following remarks, which a truly philosophical artist has applied to painting, may be extended, with fome trifling alterations, to all the different em-

ployments of our intellectual powers.

"What we now call genius, begins, not where " rules, abstractedly taken, end; but where known, " vulgar, and trite rules have no longer any place. It " must of necessity be, that works of genius, as well " as every other effect, as it must have its cause, " must likewise have its rules; it cannot be by " chance, that excellencies are produced with any " constancy, or any certainty, for this is not the na-" ture of chance; but the rules by which men of " extraordinary parts, and fuch as are called men of " genius, work, are either fuch as they difcover by "their own peculiar observation, or of such a nice " texture as not eafily to admit handling or expressing " in words.

" Unfubstantial, however, as these rules may feem, " and difficult as it may be to convey them in writ-" ing, they are still feen and felt in the mind of the " artift; and he works from them with as much cer-" tainty, as if they were embodied, as I may fay, " upon paper. It is true, these refined principles " cannot be always made palpable, like the more " grofs rules of art; yet it does not follow, but that " the

"the mind may be put in fuch a train, that it shall

" perceive, by a kind of scientific sense, that propriety,

" which words can but very feebly fuggest \*."

## SECTION V.

Application of the Principles stated in the foregoing Sections of this Chapter, to explain the Phenomena of Dreaming.

TITH respect to the Phenomena of Dreaming, three different questions may be proposed. First; What is the state of the mind in sleep? or, in other words, what faculties then continue to operate, and what faculties are then fuspended? Secondly; how far do our dreams appear to be influenced by our bodily fenfations; and in what respects do they vary, according to the different conditions of the body in health, and in fickness? Thirdly; what is the change which fleep produces on those parts of the body, with which our mental operations are more immediately connected; and how does this change operate, in diverfifying, fo remarkably, the phenomena which our minds then exhibit, from those of which we are confcious in our waking hours? Of these three questions, the first belongs to the Philosophy of the Human Mind; and it is to this question that the following enquiry is almost entirely confined. The second is more particularly interesting to the medical inquirer, and does not properly fall under the plan of this work. The third feems to me to relate to a fubject, which is placed beyond the reach of the human faculties.

<sup>\*</sup> Discourses by Sir Joshua Reynolds.

It will be granted, that, if we could afcertain the flate of the mind in fleep, fo as to be able to refolve the various phenomena of dreaming into a fmaller number of general principles; and still more, if we could refolve them into one general fact; we should be advanced a very important step in our inquiries upon this fubject; even although we should find it impossible to shew, in what manner this change in the ftate of the mind refults from the change which fleep produces in the flate of the body. Such a step would at least gratify, to a certain extent, that disposition of our nature which prompts us to ascend from particular facts to general laws; and which is the foundation of all our philosophical refearches; and, in the present instance, I am inclined to think, that it carries us as far as our imperfect faculties enable us to proceed.

In conducting this inquiry with refpect to the flate of the mind in fleep, it feems reasonable to expect, that some light may be obtained from an examination of the circumstances which accelerate or retard its approach; for when we are disposed to rest, it is natural to imagine, that the state of the mind approaches to its state in sleep, more nearly, than when we feel ourselves alive and active, and capable of applying all our various faculties to their proper purposes.

In general, it may be remarked, that the approach of fleep is accelerated by every circumstance which diminishes or suspends the exercise of the mental powers; and is retarded by every thing which has a contrary tendency. When we wish for sleep, we naturally

endeavour to withhold, as much as possible, all the active exertions of the mind, by disengaging our attention from every interesting subject of thought. When we are disposed to keep awake, we naturally fix our attention on some subject which is calculated to afford employment to our intellectual powers, or to rouse and exercise the active principles of our nature.

It is well known, that there is a particular class of founds which compose us to sleep. The hum of bees; the murmur of a fountain; the reading of an uninteresting discourse; have this tendency in a remarkable degree. If we examine this class of sounds, we shall find that it consists wholly of such as are sitted to withdraw the attention of the mind from its own thoughts; and are, at the same time, not sufficiently interesting to engage its attention to themselves.

It is also matter of common observation, that children and persons of little reslexion, who are chiefly occupied about sensible objects, and whose mental activity is, in a great measure, suspended, as soon as their perceptive powers are unemployed; find it extremely dissicult to continue awake, when they are deprived of their usual engagements. The same thing has been remarked of savages, whose time, like that of the lower animals, is almost completely divided between sleep and their bodily exertions\*.

From

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;The existence of the Negro slaves in America, appears to "participate more of fensation than reflection. To this must be afcribed, their disposition to sleep when abstracted from their diversions.

From a confideration of these facts, it seems reasonable to conclude, that in fleep those operations of the mind are fufpended, which depend on our volition; for if it be certain, that before we fall afleep, we must withhold, as much as we are able, the exercise of all our different powers; it is fcarcely to be imagined, that, as foon as fleep commences, these powers should again begin to be exerted. The more probable conclusion is, that when we are defirous to procure fleep, we bring both mind and body, as nearly as we can, into that state in which they are to continue after sleep commences. The difference, therefore, between the state of the mind when we are inviting sleep, and when we are actually afleep, is this; that in the former case, although its active exertions be suspended, we can renew them, if we pleafe. In the other cafe, the will loses its influence over all our powers both of mind and body; in confequence of some physical alteration in the fystem, which we shall never, probably, be able to explain.

In order to illustrate this conclusion a little farther, it may be proper to remark, that if the suspension of our voluntary operations in sleep be admitted as a fact, there are only two suppositions which can be formed concerning its cause. The one is, that the power of volition is suspended; the other, that the will loses its influence over those faculties of the mind, and those members of the body, which, during our waking

<sup>&</sup>quot; diversions, and unemployed in their labour. An animal whose

<sup>&</sup>quot; body is at rest, and who does not restect, must be disposed to

<sup>&</sup>quot; fleep of course." Notes on Virginia, by Mr. JEFFERSON, p. 225.

hours, are subjected to its authority. If it can be shewn, then, that the former supposition is not agreeable to fact, the truth of the latter seems to follow as a necessary consequence.

I. That the power of volition is not suspended during sleep, appears from the efforts which we are conscious of making while in that situation. We dream, for example, that we are in danger; and we attempt to call out for assistance. The attempt, indeed, is, in general, unsuccessful; and the sounds which we emit, are feeble and indistinct; but this only confirms, or, rather, is a necessary consequence of the supposition, that, in sleep, the connexion between the will and our voluntary operations, is disturbed, or interrupted. The continuance of the power of volition is demonstrated by the effort, however ineffectual.

In like manner, in the course of an alarming dream, we are sometimes conscious of making an exertion to save ourselves, by slight, from an apprehended danger; but in spite of all our efforts, we continue in bed. In such cases, we commonly dream, that we are attempting to escape, and are prevented by some external obstacle; but the fact seems to be, that the body is, at that time, not subject to the will. During the disturbed rest which we sometimes have when the body is indisposed, the mind appears to retain some power over it; but as, even in these cases, the motions which are made, consist rather of a general agitation of the whole system, than of the regular exertion of a particular member of it, with a view to produce a certain effect; it is reasonable to conclude, that, in perfectly sound sleep,

the mind, although it retains the power of volition, retains no influence whatever over the bodily organs.

In that particular condition of the fystem, which is known by the name of incubus, we are conscious of a total want of power over the body: and, I believe, the common opinion is, that it is this want of power which diffinguishes the incubus from all the other modifications of fleep. But the more probable fupposition feems to be, that every species of sleep is accompanied with a suspension of the faculty of voluntary motion; and that the incubus has nothing peculiar in it but this, that the uneafy fenfations which are produced by the accidental posture of the body, and which we find it impossible to remove by our own efforts, render us diffinctly confcious of our incapacity to move. One thing is certain, that the instant of our awaking, and of our recovering the command of our bodily organs, is one and the same.

2. The fame conclusion is confirmed by a different view of the fubject. It is probable, as was already observed, that when we are anxious to procure fleep, the state into which we naturally bring the mind, approaches to its state after sleep commences. Now it is manifest, that the means which nature directs us to employ on fuch occasions, is not to suspend the power of volition, but to fuspend the exertion of those powers whose exercise depends on volition. If it were necessary that volition should be suspended before we fall asleep, it would be impossible for us, by our own efforts, to haften the moment of rest. The very supposition of such efforts is absurd; for it implies a continued will to fuspend the acts of the will.

According

fo.

According to the foregoing doctrine with respect to the state of the mind in sleep, the effect which is produced on our mental operations, is ftrikingly analogous to that which is produced on our bodily powers. From the observations which have been already made, it is manifest that in sleep, the body is, in a very inconfiderable degree, if at all, fubject to our command. The vital and involuntary motions, however, fuffer no interruption, but go on as when we are awake, in confequence of the operation of some cause unknown to us. In like manner, it would appear, that those operations of the mind which depend on our volition are fuspended; while certain other operations are, at leaft, occasionally, carried on. This analogy naturally fuggefts the idea, that all our mental operations, which are independent of our will, may continue during fleep; and that the phenomena of dreaming may, perhaps, be produced by thefe, diverfified in their apparent effects, in confequence of the fuspension of our voluntary powers.

If the appearances which the mind exhibits during fleep, are found to be explicable on this general principle, it will possess all the evidence which the nature

of the fubject admits of.

It was formerly shewn, that the train of thought in the mind does not depend *immediately* on our will, but is regulated by certain general laws of association. At the same time, it appeared, that among the various subjects which thus spontaneously present themselves to our notice, we have the power of singling out any one that we chuse to consider, and of making it a particular object of attention; and that by doing

fo, we not only can stop the train that would otherwife have succeeded, but frequently can divert the current of our thoughts into a new channel. It also appeared, that we have a power (which may be much improved by exercise) of recalling past occurrences to the memory, by a voluntary effort of recollection.

The indirect influence which the mind thus poffeffes over the train of its thoughts is fo great, that during the whole time we are awake, excepting in those cases in which we fall into what is called a reverie, and suffer our thoughts to follow their natural course, the order of their succession is always regulated more or less by the will. The will, indeed, in regulating the train of thought, can operate only (as I already shewed) by availing itself of the established laws of association; but still it has the power of rendering this train very different from what it would have been, if these laws had taken place without its interference.

From these principles, combined with the general fact which I have endeavoured to establish, with respect to the state of the mind in sleep, two obvious consequences follow: First, That when we are in this situation, the succession of our thoughts, in so far as it depends on the laws of association, may be carried on by the operation of the same unknown causes by which it is produced while we are awake; and, Secondly, that the order of our thoughts, in these two states of the mind, must be very different; inasinuch as, in the one, it depends solely on the laws of association; and in the other, on these laws combined with our own voluntary exertions.

In order to afcertain how far these conclusions are agreeable to truth, it is necessary to compare them with the known phenomena of dreaming. For which purpose, I shall endeavour to shew, First, That the succession of our thoughts in sleep, is regulated by the same general laws of affociation, to which it is subjected while we are awake; and, Secondly, That the circumstances which discriminate dreaming from our waking thoughts, are such as must necessarily arise from the suspension of the influence of the will.

- I. That the fuccession of our thoughts in sleep, is regulated by the same general laws of association, which influence the mind while we are awake, appears from the following considerations.
- I. Our dreams are frequently fuggested to us by bodily sensations; and with these it is well known, from what we experience while awake, that particular ideas are frequently very strongly associated. I have been told by a friend, that having occasion, in consequence of an indisposition, to apply a bottle of hot water to his feet when he went to bed, he dreamed that he was making a journey to the top of Mount Ætna, and that he found the heat of the ground almost insupportable. Another person, having a blister applied to his head, dreamed that he was scalped by a party of Indians. I believe every one who is in the habit of dreaming, will recollect instances, in his own case, of a similar nature.
- 2. Our dreams are influenced by the prevailing temper of the mind; and vary, in their complexion, according as our habitual difposition, at the time, inclines

clines us to cheerfulness or to melancholy. Not that this observation holds without exception; but it holds so generally, as must convince us, that the state of our spirits has some effect on our dreams, as well as on our waking thoughts. Indeed, in the latter case, no less than in the former, this effect may be counteracted, or modified by various other circumstances.

After having made a narrow escape from any alarming danger, we are apt to awake, in the course of our sleep, with sudden startings; imagining that we are drowning, or on the brink of a precipice. A severe misfortune, which has affected the mind deeply, influences our dreams in a similar way; and suggests to us a variety of adventures, analogous, in some measure, to that event from which our distress arises. Such, according to Virgil, were the dreams of the forsaken Dido.

" ---- Agit ipse furentem,

3. Our dreams are influenced by our prevailing habits of affociation while awake.

In a former part of this work, I confidered the extent of that power which the mind may acquire over the train of its thoughts; and I observed, that those intellectual diversities among men, which we commonly refer to peculiarities of genius, are, at least in a great measure, resolvable into differences in their habits of association. One man possesses a rich and beautiful fancy, which is at all times obedient to

<sup>&</sup>quot; In fomnis ferus Æneas; femperque relinqui,

<sup>&</sup>quot; Sola fibi; femper longam incomitata videtur,

<sup>&</sup>quot; Ire viam, et Tyrios desertà quærere terrà."

his will. Another possesses a quickness of recollection, which enables him, at a moment's warning, to bring together all the refults of his past experience, and of his past reflections, which can be of use for illustrating any proposed subject. A third can, without effort, collect his attention to the most abstract questions in philosophy; can perceive, at a glance, the shortest and the most effectual process for arriving at the truth; and can banish from his mind every extraneous idea, which fancy or cafual affociation may fuggest, to distract his thoughts, or to mislead his judgment. A fourth unites all these powers in a capacity of perceiving truth with an almost intuitive rapidity; and in an eloquence which enables him to command, at pleafure, whatever his memory and his fancy can fupply, to illustrate and to adorn it. The occasional exercise which such men make of their powers, may undoubtedly be faid, in one fense, to be unpremeditated or unftudied; but they all indicate previous habits of meditation or study, as unquestionably, as the dexterity of the expert accountant, or the rapid execution of the professional mufician.

From what has been faid, it is evident, that a train of thought which, in one man, would require a painful effort of study, may, in another, be almost spontaneous: nor is it to be doubted, that the reveries of studious men, even when they allow, as much as they can, their thoughts to follow their own course, are more or less connected together by those principles of affociation, which their favourite pursuits tend more particularly to strengthen.

Z

The influence of the fame habits may be traced distinctly in sleep. There are probably few mathematicians, who have not dreamed of an interesting problem, and who have not even fancied that they were profecuting the investigation of it with much success. They whose ambition leads them to the study of eloquence, are frequently conscious, during sleep, of a renewal of their daily occupations; and sometimes feel themselves possessed of a sluency of speech, which they never experienced before. The Poet, in his dreams, is transported into Elysium, and leaves the vulgar and unsatisfactory enjoyments of humanity, to dwell in those regions of enchantment and rapture, which have been created by the divine imaginations of Virgil and of Tasso.

- " And hither Morpheus fent his kindest dreams,
- " Raifing a world of gayer tinct and grace;
- " O'er which were shadowy cast Elysian gleams,
- "That play'd, in waving lights, from place to place,
- " And shed a roseate smile on Nature's face.
- " Not Titian's pencil e'er could fo array,
- " So fleece with clouds the pure etherial space;
- " Ne could it e'er fuch melting forms difplay,
- " As loofe on flowery beds all languishingly lay.
  - " No, fair illusions! artful phantoms, no!
  - " My muse will not attempt your fairy land:
  - " She has no colours, that like your's can glow;
  - " To catch your vivid fcenes, too gross her hand \*."

As a farther proof that the fuccession of our thoughts in dreaming, is influenced by our prevailing

habits of affociation; it may be remarked, that the fcenes and occurrences which most frequently present themselves to the mind while we are asleep, are the fcenes and occurrences of childhood and early youth. The facility of affociation is then much greater than in more advanced years; and although, during the day, the memory of the events thus affociated, may be banished by the objects and pursuits which press upon our fenses, it retains a more permanent hold of the mind than any of our fubfequent acquifitions: and, like the knowledge which we possess of our mother tongue, is, as it were, interwoven and incorporated with all its most effential habits. Accordingly, in old men, whose thoughts are, in a great measure, difengaged from the world, the transactions of their middle age, which once feemed fo important, are often obliterated; while the mind dwells, as in a dream, on the fports and the companions of their infancy.

I shall only observe farther, on this head, that in our dreams, as well as when awake, we occasionally make use of words as an instrument of thought. Such dreams, however, do not affect the mind with fuch emotions of pleafure and of pain, as those in which the imagination is occupied with particular objects of fense. The effect of philosophical studies, in habituating the mind to the almost constant employment of this instrument, and, of confequence, its effect in weakening the imagination, was formerly remarked. If I am not mistaken, the influence of these circumstances may also be traced in the history of our dreams; which, in youth commonly involve, in a much greater degree, the exercife of imagination; and affect the mind with much

Z 2

more powerful emotions, than when we begin to employ our maturer faculties in more general and abstract speculations.

II. From these different observations, we are authorised to conclude, that the same laws of affociation which regulate the train of our thoughts while we are awake, continue to operate during sleep. I now proceed to consider, how far the circumstances which discriminate dreaming from our waking thoughts, correspond with those which might be expected to result from the sufpension of the influence of the will.

1. If the influence of the will be fufpended during fleep, all our voluntary operations, fuch as recollection,

reasoning, &c. must also be suspended.

That this really is the cafe, the extravagance and inconfistency of our dreams are sufficient proofs. We frequently confound together times and places the most remote from each other; and, in the course of the same dream, conceive the fame person as existing in different parts of the world. Sometimes we imagine ourselves converfing with a dead friend, without remembering the circumstance of his death, although, perhaps, it happened but a few days before, and affected us deeply. All this proves clearly, that the fubjects which then occupy our thoughts, are fuch as prefent themselves to the mind fpontaneously; and that we have no power of employing our reason in comparing together the different parts of our dreams; or even of exerting an act of recollection, in order to afcertain how far they are confistent and possible.

The proceffes of reasoning in which we sometimes fancy ourselves to be engaged during sleep, furnish no exception

exception to the foregoing observation; for although every fuch process, the first time we form it, implies volition; and, in particular, implies a recollection of the premifes, till we arrive at the conclusion; yet when a number of truths have been often prefented to us as necessarily connected with each other, this series may afterwards pass through the mind, according to the laws of affociation, without any more activity on our part, than in those trains of thought which are the most loose and incoherent. Nor is this mere theory. I may venture to appeal to the consciousness of every man accustomed to dream, whether his reasonings during fleep do not feem to be carried on without any exertion of his will; and with a degree of facility, of which he was never confcious while awake. Addison, in one of his Spectators, has made this obfervation; and his testimony, in the present instance, is of the greater weight, that he had no particular theory on the fubject to fupport. "There is not," (fays he,) " a more painful action of the mind than invention, " yet in dreams it works with that eafe and activity, "that we are not fenfible when the faculty is employ-" ed. For instance, I believe every one, some time " or other, dreams that he is reading papers, books, " or letters; in which case the invention prompts so " readily, that the mind is imposed on, and mif-" takes its own fuggestions for the composition of " another \*."

2. If the influence of the will during fleep be fufpended, the mind will remain as paffive, while its thoughts change from one fubject to another, as it does during our waking hours, while different perceptible objects are prefented to our fenses.

Of this paffive state of the mind in our dreams, it is unneceffary to multiply proofs; as it has always been confidered as one of the most extraordinary circumstances with which they are accompanied. If our dreams, as well as our waking thoughts, were fubject to the will, is it not natural to conclude, that in the one cafe, as well as in the other, we would endeavour to banish, as much as we could, every idea which had a tendency to diffurb us; and detain those only which we found to be agreeable? So far, however, is this power over our thoughts from being exercised, that we are frequently oppressed, in spite of all our efforts to the contrary, with dreams which affect us with the most painful emotions. And, indeed, it is matter of vulgar remark, that our dreams are, in every case, involuntary on our part; and that they appear to be obtruded on us by fome external cause. This fact appeared fo unaccountable to the late Mr. Baxter, that it gave rife to his very whimfical theory, in which he ascribes dreams to the immediate influence of separate fpirits on the mind.

3. If the influence of the will be fuspended during fleep, the *conceptions* which we then form of fensible objects, will be attended with a belief of their real existence, as much as the *perception* of the same objects is while we are awake.

In treating of the power of Conception, I formerly observed, that our belief of the separate and independent existence of the objects of our perceptions, is the result of experience; which teaches us that these per-

ceptions

ceptions do not depend on our will. If I open my eyes, I cannot prevent myfelf from feeing the profpect The case is different with respect to our before me. conceptions. While they occupy the mind, to the exclusion of every thing else, I endeavoured to shew, that they are always accompanied with belief; but as we can banish them from the mind, during our waking hours, at pleafure; and as the momentary belief which they produce, is continually checked by the furrounding objects of our perceptions, we learn to confider them as fictions of our own creation; and, excepting in fome accidental cases, pay no regard to them in the conduct of life. If the doctrine, however, formerly flated with respect to conception be just, and if, at the fame time it be allowed, that fleep fuspends the influence of the will over the train of our thoughts, we should naturally be led to expect, that the same belief which accompanies perception while we are awake, should accompany the conceptions which occur to us in our dreams. It is scarcely necessary for me to remark, how strikingly this conclusion coincides with acknowledged facts.

May it not be confidered as some confirmation of the foregoing doctrine, that when opium fails in producing complete sleep, it commonly produces one of the effects of sleep, by suspending the activity of the mind, and throwing it into a reverie; and that while we are in this state, our conceptions frequently affect us nearly in the same manner, as if the objects conceived were present to our senses.\*

<sup>\*</sup> See the Baron de Tott's Account of the Opium-takers at Constantinople.

Another circumstance with respect to our conceptions during fleep, deferves our notice. As the fubjects which we then think upon, occupy the mind exclufively; and as the attention is not diverted by the objects of our external fenses, our conceptions must be proportionably lively and fleady. Every person knows how faint the conception is which we form of any thing, with our eyes open, in comparison of what we can form with our eyes shut: and that in proportion as we can fuspend the exercise of all our other senses, the liveliness of our conception increases. cause is to be ascribed, in part, the effect which the dread of spirits in the dark, has on some persons, who are fully convinced in speculation, that their apprehenfions are groundless; and to this also is owing, the effect of any accidental perception in giving them a momentary relief from their terrors. Hence the remedy which nature points out to us, when we find ourselves overpowered by imagination. If every thing around us be filent, we endeavour to create a noise, by fpeaking aloud, or beating with our feet; that is, we strive to divert the attention from the subjects of our imagination, by prefenting an object to our powers of perception. The conclusion which I draw from these observations is, that, as there is no state of the body in which our perceptive powers are fo totally unemployed as in fleep, it is natural to think, that the objects which we conceive or imagine, must then make an impression on the mind, beyond comparifon greater, than any thing of which we can have experience while awake.

From these principles may be derived a simple, and. I think, a fatisfactory explanation of what fome writers have represented as the most mysterious of all the circumftances connected with dreaming; the inaccurate effimates we are apt to form of Time, while we are thus employed; - an inaccuracy which fometimes extends fo far, as to give to a fingle inflant, the appearance of hours, or perhaps of days. A fudden noife, for example, fuggests a dream connected with that perception; and, the moment afterwards, this noise has the effect of awaking us; and yet, during that momentary interval, a long feries of circumstances has passed before the imagination. The story quoted by Mr. Addison\* from the Turkish Tales, of the miracle wrought by a Mahometan Doctor, to convince an infidel Sultan, is, in fuch cases, nearly verified.

The facts I allude to at prefent are generally explained by supposing, that, in our dreams, the rapidity of thought is greater than while we are awake: — but there is no necessity for having recourse to such a supposition. The rapidity of thought is, at all times, such, that in the twinkling of an eye, a crowd of ideas may pass before us, to which it would require a long discourse to give utterance; and transactions may be conceived, which it would require days to realize. But, in sleep, the conceptions of the mind are mistaken for realities; and therefore, our estimates of Time will be formed, not according to our experience of the rapidity of thought, but according to our experience of the time requisite for realizing what we conceive. Something perfectly analogous to this

346 ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. V.

may be remarked in the perceptions we obtain by the fense of fight. When I look into a shew-box, where the deception is imperfect, I see only a set of paltry dawbings of a few inches diameter; but, if the representation be executed with so much skill, as to convey to me the idea of a distant prospect, every object before me swells in its dimensions, in proportion to the extent of space which I conceive it to occupy; and what seemed before to be shut up within the limits of a small wooden frame, is magnissed, in my apprehension, to an immense landscape of woods, rivers, and mountains.

The phenomena which we have hitherto explained, take place when fleep feems to be complete; that is, when the mind lofes its influence over all those powers whose exercise depends on its will. There are, however, many cases in which sleep seems to be partial; that is, when the mind loses its influence over fome powers, and retains it over others. In the cafe of the fomnambuli, it retains its power over the limbs, but it poffesses no influence over its own thoughts, and scarcely any over the body; excepting those particular members of it which are employed in walking. In madnefs, the powers of the will over the body remains undiminished, while its influence in regulating the train of thought is in a great measure suspended; either in consequence of a particular idea, which engroffes the attention, to the exclusion of every thing elfe, and which we find it impossible to banish by our efforts; or in consequence of our thoughts fucceeding each other with fuch rapidity, that we are unable to stop the train. In both of these kinds of madness, it is worthy of remark, that

the conceptions or imaginations of the mind becoming independent of our will, they are apt to be mistaken for actual perceptions, and to affect us in the same manner.

By means of this supposition of a partial sleep, any apparent exceptions which the history of dreams may afford to the general principles already stated, admit of an easy explanation.

Upon reviewing the foregoing observations, it does not occur to me, that I have in any instance transgreffed those rules of philosophising, which, since the time of Newton, are commonly appealed to, as the tests of found investigation. For, in the first place, I have not supposed any causes which are not known to exift; and fecondly, I have shewn, that the phenomena under our confideration are necessary confequences of the causes to which I have referred them. I have not fupposed that the mind acquires in sleep, any new faculty of which we are not confcious while awake; but only (what we know to be a fact) that it retains fome of its powers, while the exercife of others is fufpended: and I have deduced fynthetically, the known phenomena of dreaming, from the operation of a particular class of our faculties, uncorrected by the operation of another. I flatter myfelf, therefore, that this inquiry will not only throw fome light on the state of the mind in fleep; but that it will have a tendency to illustrate the mutual adaptation and subserviency which exists among the different parts of our constitution, when we are in complete possession of all the faculties and principles which belong to our nature \*.

## CHAPTER FIFTH.

## PART SECOND.

Of the Influence of Affociation on the Intellectual and on the Active Powers.

## SECTION I.

Of the Influence of cafual Associations on our speculative Conclusions.

HE Affociation of ideas has a tendency to warp our speculative opinions chiefly in the three following ways:

First, by blending together in our apprehensions, things which are really distinct in their nature; so as to introduce perplexity and error into every process of reasoning in which they are involved.

Secondly, by misleading us in those anticipations of the future from the past, which our constitution disposes us to form, and which are the great foundation of our conduct in life.

Thirdly, by connecting in the mind erroneous opinions, with truths which irrefiftibly command our affent, and which we feel to be of importance to human happiness.

A fhort illustration of these remarks, will throw light on the origin of various prejudices; and may, perhaps, suggest fuggest some practical hints with respect to the conduct of the understanding.

I. I formerly had occasion to mention several instances of very intimate affociations formed between two ideas which have no neceffary connexion with each other. One of the most remarkable is, that which exists in every person's mind between the notions of colour and of extension. The former of these words expresses (at least in the fense in which we commonly employ it) a fenfation in the mind; the latter denotes a quality of an external object; fo that there is, in fact. no more connexion between the two notions than between those of pain and of folidity\*; and yet, in confequence of our always perceiving extension, at the fame time at which the fenfation of colour is excited in the mind, we find it impossible to think of that sensation, without conceiving extension along with it.

Another intimate affociation is formed in every mind between the ideas of space and of time. When we think of an interval of duration, we always conceive it as fomething analogous to a line, and we apply the fame language to both fubjects. We speak of a long and short time, as well as of a long and short distance; and we are not conscious of any metaphor in doing so. Nay, fo very perfect does the analogy appear to us, that Boscovich mentions it as a curious circumstance. that extension should have three dimensions, and duration only one.

This apprehended analogy feems to be founded wholly on an affociation between the ideas of space and

of time, arifing from our always measuring the one of these quantities by the other. We measure time by motion, and motion by extension. In an hour, the hand of the clock moves over a certain space; in two hours, over double the space; and so on. Hence the ideas of space and of time become very intimately united, and we apply to the latter the words long and short, before and after, in the same manner as to the former.

The apprehended analogy between the relation which the different notes in the scale of music bear to each other, and the relation of superiority and inferiority, in respect of position, among material objects, arises also from an accidental association of ideas.

What this affociation is founded upon, I shall not take upon me to determine; but that it is the effect of accident, appears clearly from this, that it has not only been confined to particular ages and nations; but is the very reverse of an affociation which was once equally prevalent. It is observed by Dr. Gregory, in the preface to his edition of Euclid's works, that the more antient of the Greek writers looked upon grave founds as high, and acute ones as low; and that the present mode of expression on that subject, was an innovation introduced at a later period \*.

In the instances which have now been mentioned, our habits of combining the notions of two things, become so strong, that we find it impossible to think of the one, without thinking at the same time of the other. Various other examples of the same species

of combination, although, perhaps, not altogether fo striking in degree, might easily be collected from the fubjects about which our metaphyfical fpeculations are employed. The fensations, for instance, which are excited in the mind by external objects, and the perceptions of material qualities which follow these fensations, are to be distinguished from each other only by long habits of patient reflexion. A clear conception of this distinction may be regarded as the key to all Dr. Reid's reasonings concerning the procefs of nature in perception; and, till it has once been rendered familiar to the reader, a great part of his writings must appear unfatisfactory and obscure. — In truth, our progress in the philosophy of the human mind depends much more on that fevere and discriminating judgment, which enables us to separate ideas which nature or habit have immediately combined, than on acuteness of reasoning or fertility of invention. And hence it is, that metaphyfical studies are the best of all preparations for those philosophical pursuits which relate to the conduct of life. In none of these do we meet with casual combinations so intimate and indiffoluble as those which occur in metaphysics; and he who has been accustomed to such discriminations as this science requires, will not easily be imposed on by that confusion of ideas, which warps the judgments of the multitude in moral, religious, and political inquiries.

From the facts which have now been stated, it is easy to conceive the manner in which the affociation of ideas has a tendency to mislead the judgment, in the first of the three cases already enumerated. When

two fubjects of thought are fo intimately connected together in the mind, that we find it fcarcely possible to confider them apart; it must require no common efforts of attention, to conduct any process of reasoning which relates to either. I formerly took notice of the errors to which we are exposed in confequence of the ambiguity of words; and of the necessity of frequently checking and correcting our general reafonings by means of particular examples; but in the cases to which I allude at present, there is (if I may use the expression) an ambiguity of things; so that even when the mind is occupied about particulars, it finds it difficult to separate the proper objects of its attention from others with which it has been long accustomed to blend them. The cases, indeed, in which fuch obstinate and invincible affociations are formed among different fubjects of thought, are not very numerous, and occur chiefly in our metaphyfical refearches; but in every mind, cafual combinations of an inferior degree of strength, have an habitual effect in difturbing the intellectual powers, and are not to be conquered without perfevering exertions, of which few men are capable. The obvious effects which this tendency to combination produces on the judgment, in confounding together those ideas which it is the province of the metaphysician to distinguish, sufficiently illustrate the mode of its operation in those numerous instances, in which its influence, though not fo complete and striking, is equally real, and far more dangerous.

II. The affociation of ideas is a fource of speculative error, by misleading us in those anticipations of

the future from the past, which are the foundation of our conduct in life.

The great object of philosophy, as I have already remarked more than once, is to afcertain the laws which regulate the fuccession of events, both in the phyfical and moral worlds; in order that, when called upon to act in any particular combination of circumstances, we may be enabled to anticipate the probable course of nature from our past experience, and to regulate our conduct accordingly.

As a knowledge of the established connexions among events, is the foundation of fagacity and of skill, both in the practical arts, and in the conduct of life, nature has not only given to all men a strong difposition to remark, with attention and curiosity, those phenomena which have been observed to happen nearly at the fame time; but has beautifully adapted to the uniformity of her own operations, the laws of affociation in the human mind. By rendering contiguity in time one of the strongest of our associating principles, she has conjoined together in our thoughts, the fame events which we have found conjoined in our experience, and has thus accommodated (without any effort on our part) the order of our ideas to that fcene in which we are destined to act.

The degree of experience which is necessary for the prefervation of our animal existence, is acquired by all men without any particular efforts of fludy. The laws of nature, which it is most material for us to know, are exposed to the immediate observation of our fenses; and establish, by means of the principle of affociation, a corresponding order in our thoughts,

A a

long before the dawn of reason and reflexion; or at least long before that period of childhood, to which our recollection afterwards extends.

This tendency of the mind to affociate together events which have been presented to it nearly at the fame time; although, on the whole, it is attended with infinite advantages, yet, like many other principles of our nature, may occasionally be a source of inconvenience, unless we avail ourselves of our reason and of our experience in keeping it under proper regulation. Among the various phenomena which are continually passing before us, there is a great proportion, whose vicinity in time does not indicate a constancy of conjunction; and unless we be careful to make the distinction between these two classes of connexions, the order of our ideas will be apt to correspond with the one as well as with the other; and our unenlightened experience of the past, will fill the mind, in numberless instances, with vain expectations, or with groundless alarms, concerning the future. This disposition to confound together accidental and permanent connexions, is one great fource of popular fuperstitions. Hence the regard which is paid to unlucky days; to unlucky colours; and to the influence of the planets; apprehensions, which render human life, to many, a continued feries of abfurd terrors. Lucretius compares them to those which children feel, from an idea of the existence of spirits in the dark.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Ac veluti pueri trepidant, atque omnia cæcis

<sup>&</sup>quot; In tenebris metuunt, fic nos in luce timemus,

<sup>&</sup>quot; Interdum nihilo quæ funt metuenda magis."

Such spectres can be dispelled by the light of philosophy only; which, by accustoming us to trace established connexions, teaches us to despise those which are casual; and, by giving a proper direction to that bias of the mind which is the foundation of superstition, prevents it from leading us aftray.

In the inftances which we have now been confidering, events come to be combined together in the mind, merely from the accidental circumstance of their contiguity in time, at the moment when we perceived them. Such combinations are confined, in a great measure, to uncultivated and unenlightened minds; or to those individuals who, from nature or education, have a more than ordinary facility of affociation. But there are other accidental combinations, which are apt to lay hold of the most vigorous understandings; and from which, as they are the natural and necessary result of a limited experience, no superiority of intellect is sufficient to preserve a philosopher, in the infancy of physical science.

As the connexions among physical events are discovered to us by experience alone, it is evident, that when we see a phenomenon preceded by a number of different circumstances, it is impossible for us to determine, by any reasoning a priori, which of these circumstances are to be regarded as the constant, and which as the accidental, antecedents of the effect. If, in the course of our experience, the same combination of circumstances is always exhibited to us without any alteration, and is invariably followed by the same result, we must for ever remain ignorant, whether this result be connected with the whole combi-

Aa2

nation,

each other; of combining them variously together; and of observing the effects which result from these different experiments, that we can ascertain with precision, the general laws of nature, and strip physical causes of their accidental and unessential concomitants.

To illustrate this by an example. Let us suppose that a favage, who, in a particular instance, had found himself relieved of some bodily indisposition by a draught of cold water, is a fecond time afflicted with a fimilar diforder, and is defirous to repeat the fame remedy. With a limited degree of experience which we have here supposed him to possess, it would be impossible for the acutest philosopher, in his fituation, to determine, whether the cure was owing to the water which was drunk, to the cup in which it was contained, to the fountain from which it was taken, to the particular day of the month, or to the particular age of the moon. In order, therefore, to ensure the success of the remedy, he will very naturally, and very wifely, copy, as far as he can recollect, every circumstance which accompanied the first application of it. He will make use of the fame cup, draw the water from the fame fountain, hold his body in the same posture, and turn his face in the fame direction; and thus all the accidental circumstances in which the first experiment was made, will come to be affociated equally in his mind with the effect produced. The fountain from which the water was drawn, will be confidered as poffeffed of particular virtues; and the cup from which it was drunk, will be fet apart from vulgar uses, for the fake of those who may afterwards have occasion to apply the remedy. It is the enlargement of experience alone, and not any progress in the art of reasoning, which can cure the mind of thefe affociations, and free the practice of medicine from those fuperflitious observances with which we always find it incumbered among rude nations.

Many inflances of this species of superstition might be produced from the works of philosophers who have flourished in more enlightened ages. In particular, many might be produced from the writings of those physical inquirers who immediately succeeded to Lord Bacon; and who, convinced by his arguments, of the folly of all reasonings a priori, concerning the laws of nature, were frequently apt to run into the opposite extreme, by recording every circumstance, even the most ludicrous, and the most obviously ineffential, which attended their experiments \*.

<sup>\*</sup> The reader will fcarcely believe, that the following cure for a dyfentery is copied verbatim from the works of Mr. Boyle:

<sup>&</sup>quot; Take the thigh-bone of a hanged man, (perhaps another may " ferve, but this was still made use of,) calcine it to whiteness, and " having purged the patient with an antimonial medicine, give him

<sup>&</sup>quot; one drachm of this white powder for one dofe, in fome good

<sup>&</sup>quot; cordial, whether conferve or liquor."

The observations which have been hitherto made, relate entirely to affociations founded on casual combinations of *material* objects, or of *physical* events. The effects which these affociations produce on the understanding, and which are so palpable, that they cannot fail to strike the most careless observer, will prepare the reader for the remarks I am now to make, on some analogous prejudices which warp our opinions on still more important subjects.

As the established laws of the material world, which have been exhibited to our fenses from our infancy, gradually accommodate to themselves the order of our thoughts; fo the most arbitrary and capricious institutions and customs, by a long and conftant and exclusive operation on the mind, acquire fuch an influence in forming the intellectual habits, that every deviation from them not only produces furprife, but is apt to excite fentiments of contempt and of ridicule. A person who has never extended his views beyond that fociety of which he himfelf is a member, is apt to confider many peculiarities in the manners and customs of his countrymen as founded on the univerfal principles of the human constitution; and when he hears of other nations, whose practices in fimilar cases are different, he is apt to censure them as unnatural, and to despise them as absurd. There are two classes of men who have more particularly been charged with this weakness; those who are placed at the bottom, and those who have reached the fummit of the scale of refinement; the former from ignorance, and the latter from national vanity.

For curing this class of prejudices, the obvious expedient which nature points out to us, is to extend our acquaintance with human affairs, either by means of books, or of personal observation. The effects of travelling, in enlarging and in enlightening the mind, are obvious to our daily experience; and Emilar advantages may be derived (although, perhaps, not in an equal degree) from a careful study of the manners of past ages or of distant nations, as they are described by the historian. In making, however, these attempts for our intellectual improvement, it is of the utmost confequence to us to vary, to a confiderable degree, the objects of our attention; in order to prevent any danger of our acquiring an exclusive preference for the caprices of any one people, whose political fituation, or whose moral character, may attach us to them as faultless models for our imitation. The same weakness and versatility of mind; the same facility of association, which, in the case of a person who has never extended his views beyond his own community, is a fource of national prejudice and of national bigotry, renders the mind, when forced into new fituations, eafily fusceptible of other prejudices no less capricious; and frequently prevents the time, which is devoted to travelling, or to study, from being subservient to any better purpose, than an importation of foreign fafhions, or a still more ludicrous imitation of antient follies.

The philosopher whose thoughts dwell habitually, not merely upon what is, or what has been, but upon what is best and most expedient for mankind; who, to the study of books, and the observation of manners,

has

has added a careful examination of the principles of the human constitution, and of those which ought to regulate the focial order; is the only perfon who is effectually fecured against both the weaknesses which I have described. By learning to separate what is effential to morality and to happiness, from those adventitious trifles which it is the province of fashion to direct, he is equally guarded against the follies of national prejudice, and a weak deviation, in matters of indifference, from established ideas. Upon his mind, thus occupied with important subjects of reflexion, the fluctuating caprices and fashions of the times lose their influence; while accustomed to avoid the slavery of local and arbitrary habits, he possesses, in his own genuine fimplicity of character, the fame power of accommodation to external circumstances, which men of the world derive from the pliability of their taste, and the verfatility of their manners. As the order, too, of his ideas is accommodated, not to what is cafually prefented from without, but to his own fystematical principles, his affociations are fubject only to those flow and pleafing changes which arife from his growing light and improving reason; and, in such a period of the world as the prefent, when the prefs not only excludes the poffibility of a permanent retrogradation in human affairs, but operates with an irrefiftible though gradual progrefs, in undermining prejudices and in extending the triumphs of philosophy, he may reasonably indulge the hope, that fociety will every day approach nearer and nearer to what he wishes it to be. A man of such a character, instead of looking back on the past with regret, finds himfelf (if I may use the expression) more

at home in the world, and more fatisfied with its order, the longer he lives in it. The melancholy contrafts which old men are fometimes difposed to state, between its condition, when they are about to leave it, and that in which they found it at the commencement of their career, arises, in most cases, from the unlimited influence which in their early years they had allowed to the fashions of the times, in the formation of their characters. How different from those sentiments and prospects which dignified the retreat of Turgot, and brightened the declining years of Franklin!

The querulous temper, however, which is incident to old men, although it renders their manners difagreeable in the intercourse of social life, is by no means the most contemptible form in which the prejudices I have now been describing may display their influence. Such a temper indicates at least a certain degree of observation, in marking the viciflitudes of human affairs, and a certain degree of fenfibility in early life, which has connected pleafing ideas with the fcenes of infancy and youth. A very great proportion of mankind are, in a great measure, incapable either of the one or of the other; and, fuffering themselves to be carried quietly along with the stream of fashion, and finding their opinions and their feelings always in the fame relative fituation to the fleeting objects around them, are perfectly unconscious of any progress in their own ideas, or of any change in the manners of their age. In vain the philosopher reminds them of the opinions they yesterday held; and forewarns them, from the spirit of the times, of those which they are to hold to-morrow. The opinions of the prefent moment feem to them to be infeparable from their conflitution; and when the profpects are realifed, which they lately treated as chimerical, their minds are fo gradually prepared for the event, that they behold it without any emotions of wonder or curiofity; and it is to the philosopher alone, by whom it was predicted, that it appears to furnish a subject worthy of future reflexion.

The prejudices to which the last observations relate, have their origin in that disposition of our nature, which accommodates the order of our ideas, and our various intellectual habits, to whatever appearances have been long and familiarly presented to the mind. But there are other prejudices, which, by being intimately associated with the essential principles of our constitution, or with the original and universal laws of our belief, are incomparably more inveterate in their nature, and have a far more extensive influence on human character and happiness.

III. The manner in which the affociation of ideas operates in producing this third class of our speculative errors, may be conceived, in part, from what was formerly said, concerning the superstitious observances, which are mixed with the practice of medicine among rude nations. As all the different circumstances which accompanied the first administration of a remedy, come to be considered as essential to its suture success, and are blended together in our conceptions, without any discrimination of their relative importance; so, whatever tenets and ceremonies we have been taught to connect with the religious creed of our infancy, become almost a part of our constitution, by being indissolubly

folubly united with truths which are effential to happiness, and which we are led to reverence and to love. by all the best dispositions of the heart. The astonishment which the peafant feels, when he fees the rites of a religion different from his own, is not less great than if he faw fome flagrant breach of the moral duties, or some direct act of impiety to God; nor is it easy for him to conceive, that there can be any thing worthy in a mind which treats with indifference, what awakens in his own breaft, all its best and sublimest emotions. " Is it possible," (fays the old and expiring Bramin, in one of Marmontel's tales, to the young English officer who had faved the life of his daughter,) " is it pof-" fible, that he to whose compassion I owe the prefer-" vation of my child, and who now foothes my last " moments with the confolations of piety, should not " believe in the god Vistnou, and his nine metamor-" phofes!"

What has now been faid on the nature of religious fuperstition, may be applied to many other subjects. In particular, it may be applied to those political prejudices which bias the judgment even of enlightened men in all countries of the world.

How deeply rooted in the human frame are those important principles, which interest the good man in the prosperity of the world; and more especially in the prosperity of that beloved community to which he belongs! How fmall, at the fame time, is the number of individuals who, accustomed to contemplate one modification alone of the focial order, are able to diftinguish the circumstances which are effential to human happiness, from those which are indifferent or hurtful! In fuch a fituation, how natural is it for a man of benevolence, to acquire an indifcriminate and fuperflitious veneration for all the inflitutions under which he has been educated; as these institutions, however capricious and absurd in themselves, are not only familiarised by habit to all his thoughts and feelings, but are consecrated in his mind by an indissoluble association with duties which nature recommends to his affections, and which reason commands him to fulfil. It is on these accounts that a superstitious zeal against innovation, both in religion and politics, where it is evidently grafted on piety to God, and good-will to mankind, however it may excite the forrow of the more enlightened philosopher, is justly entitled, not only to his indulgence, but to his esteem and affection.

The remarks which have been already made, are fufficient to shew, how necessary it is for us, in the formation of our philosophical principles, to examine with care all those opinions which, in our early years, we have imbibed from our instructors; or which are connected with our own local fituation. Nor does the univerfality of an opinion among men who have received a fimilar education, afford any prefumption in its favour; for however great the deference is, which a wife man will always pay to common belief, upon those Subjects which have employed the unbiassed reason of mankind, he certainly owes it no respect, in so far as he suspects it to be influenced by fashion or authority. Nothing can be more just than the observation of Fontenelle, that "the number of those who believe in a " fystem already established in the world, does not, " in the least, add to its credibility; but that the " number

"number of those who doubt of it, has a tendency to diminish it."

The fame remarks lead, upon the other hand, to another conclusion of still greater importance; that, notwithstanding the various salse opinions which are current in the world, there are some truths, which are inseparable from the human understanding, and by means of which, the errors of education, in most instances, are enabled to take hold of our belief.

A weak mind, unaccustomed to reflexion, and which has paffively derived its most important opinions from habits or from authority, when, in confequence of a more enlarged intercourse with the world, it finds, that ideas which it had been taught to regard as facred, are treated by enlightened and worthy men with ridicule, is apt to lofe its reverence for the fundamental and eternal truths on which these accessory ideas are grafted, and easily falls a prey to that fceptical philosophy which teaches, that all the opinions, and all the principles of action by which mankind are governed, may be traced to the influence of education and example. Amidst the infinite variety of forms, however, which our verfatile nature assumes, it cannot fail to strike an attentive obferver, that there are certain indelible features common to them all. In one fituation, we find good men attached to a republican form of government; in another, to a monarchy; but in all fituations, we find them devoted to the fervice of their country and of mankind, and disposed to regard, with reverence and love, the most absurd and capricious institutions which custom has led them to connect with the order of fociety. The different appearances, therefore, which the political opinions and the political conduct of men exhibit, while they demonstrate to what a wonderful degree human nature may be influenced by fituation and by early instruction, evince the existence of some common and original principles, which sit it for the political union, and illustrate the uniform operation of those laws of affociation, to which, in all the stages of society, it is equally subject.

Similar observations are applicable, and, indeed, in a ftill more ftriking degree, to the opinions of mankind on the important questions of religion and morality. The variety of fystems which they have formed to themselves concerning these subjects, has often excited the ridicule of the fceptic and the libertine; but if, on the one hand, this variety shews the folly of bigotry, and the reasonableness of mutual indulgence; the curiofity which has led men in every fituation to fuch speculations, and the influence which their conclusions, however abfurd, have had on their character and their happiness, prove, no less clearly, on the other, that there must be some principles fromwhich they all derive their origin; and invite the philosopher to ascertain what are these original and immutable laws of the human mind.

"Examine" (fays Mr. Hume) "the religious" principles which have prevailed in the world. You will fcarcely be perfuaded, that they are any thing but fick men's dreams; or, perhaps, will regard them more as the playfome whimfies of monkeys in human fhape, than the ferious, positive, dog-matical affeverations of a being, who dignifies him-

" felf

" felf with the name of rational." — "To oppose "the torrent of scholastic religion by such feeble " maxims as thefe, that it is impossible for the same "thing to be and not to be; that the whole is " greater than a part; that two and three make five; " is pretending to ftop the ocean with a bulrufh." But what is the inference to which we are led by these observations? Is it, (to use the words of this ingenious writer,) "that the whole is a riddle, an " ænigma, an inexplicable mystery; and that doubt, " uncertainty, and fuspense, appear the only result of " our most accurate scrutiny concerning this subject?" Or should not rather the melancholy histories which he has exhibited of the follies and caprices of fuperflition, direct our attention to those facred and indelible characters on the human mind, which all thefe perversions of reason are unable to obliterate; like that image of himfelf, which Phidias wished to perpetuate, by stamping it so deeply on the buckler of his Minerva; "ut nemo delere posset aut divellere, "qui totam statuam non imminueret \*." In truth, the more strange the contradictions, and the more ludicrous the ceremonies to which the pride of human reason has thus been reconciled; the stronger is our evidence that religion has a foundation in the nature of man. When the greatest of modern philosophers declares, that "he would rather believe all the fables " in the Legend, and the Talmud, and the Alcoran, " than that this univerfal frame is without mind t;"

<sup>\*</sup> Select Discourses by John Smith, p. 119. Cambridge, 1673.

<sup>†</sup> Lord Bacon, in his Effavs.

he has expressed the same feeling, which, in all ages and nations, has led good men, unaccustomed to reasoning, to an implicit faith in the creed of their infancy; - a feeling which affords an evidence of the existence of the Deity, incomparably more striking, than if, unmixed with error and undebased by superstition, this most important of all principles had commanded the univerfal affent of mankind. Where are the other truths, in the whole circle of the sciences, which are so effential to human happiness, as to procure an easy access, not only for themselves, but for whatever opinions may happen to be blended with them? Where are the truths fo venerable and commanding, as to impart their own fublimity to every trifling memorial which recals them to our remembrance; to bestow solemnity and elevation on every mode of expression by which they are conveyed; and which, in whatever fcene they have habitually occupied the thoughts, confecrate every object which it prefents to our fenfes, and the very ground we have been accustomed to tread? To attempt to weaken the authority of fuch impressions, by a detail of the endless variety of forms, which they derive from cafual affociations, is furely an employment unfuitable to the dignity of philosophy. To the vulgar, it may be amufing, in this, as in other instances, to indulge their wonder at what is new or uncommon; but to the philosopher it belongs to perceive, under all these various disguises, the workings of the fame common nature; and in the fuperstitions of Egypt, no less than in the lofty visions of Plato, to recognize the existence of those moral ties which

which unite the heart of man to the Author of his being.

## SECTION II.

Influence of the Association of Ideas on our Judgments in Matters of Tasle.

THE very general observations which I am to make in this Section, do not presuppose any particular theory concerning the nature of Taste. It is sufficient for my purpose to remark, that Taste is not a simple and original faculty, but a power gradually formed by experience and observation. It implies, indeed, as its ground-work, a certain degree of natural sensibility; but it implies also the exercise of the judgment; and is the slow result of an attentive examination and comparison of the agreeable or disagreeable effects produced on the mind by external objects.

Such of my readers as are acquainted with "An "Effay on the Nature and Principles of Taste," lately published by Mr. Alison, will not be surprised that I decline the discussion of a subject which he has treated with so much ingenuity and elegance.

The view which was formerly given of the process by which the general laws of the material world are investigated, and which I endeavoured to illustrate by the state of medicine among rude nations, is strictly applicable to the history of Taste. That certain objects are sitted to give pleasure, and others disgust, to the mind, we know from experience alone; and it is

Bb

impossible for us, by any reasoning a priors, to explain, how the pleasure or the pain is produced. In the works of nature we find, in many instances, Beauty and Sublimity involved among circumstances, which are either indifferent, or which obstruct the general effect: and it is only by a train of experiments, that we can separate those circumstances from the rest, and ascertain with what particular qualities the pleasing effect is connected. Accordingly, the inexperienced artist, when he copies Nature, will copy her fervilely, that he may be certain of fecuring the pleafing effect; and the beauties of his performances will be encumbered with a number of fuperfluous or of difagreeable concomitants. Experience and obfervation alone can enable him to make this difcrimination; to exhibit the principles of beauty pure and unadulterated, and to form a creation of his own, more faultless than ever fell under the observation of his fenfes.

This analogy between the progress of Taste from rudeness to refinement; and the progress of physical knowledge from the superstitions of a savage tribe, to the investigation of the laws of nature, proceeds on the supposition, that, as in the material world there are general facts, beyond which philosophy is unable to proceed; so, in the constitution of man, there is an inexplicable adaptation of the mind to the objects with which these faculties are conversant; in consequence of which, these objects are fitted to produce agreeable or disagreeable emotions. In both cases, reasoning may be employed with propriety to refer particular phenomena to general principles; but in both

both cases, we must at last arrive at principles of which no account can be given, but that such is the will of our Maker.

A great part, too, of the remarks which were made in the last Section on the origin of popular prejudices, may be applied to explain the influence of cafual affociations on Tafte; but these remarks do not fo completely exhauft the fubject, as to superfede the necessity of farther illustration. In matters of Taste, the effects which we consider, are produced on the Mind itself; and are accompanied either with pleasure or with pain. Hence the tendency to casual affociation, is much stronger than it commonly is, with respect to physical events; and when such associations are once formed, as they do not lead to any important inconvenience, fimilar to those which result from phyfical miftakes, they are not fo likely to be corrected by mere experience, unaffifted by study. To this it is owing, that the influence of affociation on our judgments concerning beauty and deformity, is still more remarkable than on our speculative conclusions; a circumstance which has led some philosophers to suppose, that affociation is sufficient to account for the origin of these notions; and that there is no fuch thing as a standard of Taste, founded on the principles of the human constitution. But this is undoubtedly pushing the theory a great deal too far. The affociation of ideas can never account for the origin of a new notion; or of a pleasure essentially different from all the others which we know. It may, indeed, enable us to conceive how a thing indifferent in itself, may become a fource of pleasure, by being B b 2 con372

connected in the mind with fomething elfe which is naturally agreeable; but it prefupposes, in every inflance, the existence of those notions and those feelings which it is its province to combine: insomuch that, I apprehend, it will be found, wherever association produces a change in our judgments on matters of Taste, it does so, by co-operating with some natural principle of the mind, and implies the existence of certain original sources of pleasure and uneasiness.

A mode of dress, which at first appeared awkward, acquires, in a few weeks or months, the appearance of elegance. By being accustomed to see it worn by those whom we consider as models of Taste, it becomes affociated with the agreeable impressions which we receive from the ease and grace and refinement of their manners. When it pleases by itself, the effect is to be ascribed, not to the object actually before us, but to the impressions with which it has been generally connected, and which it naturally recalls to the mind.

This observation points out the cause of the perpetual vicissitudes in dress, and in every thing whose chief recommendation arises from fashion. It is evivident that, as far as the agreeable effect of an ornament arises from association, the effect will continue only while it is confined to the higher orders. When it is adopted by the multitude, it not only ceases to be associated with ideas of taste and refinement, but it is affociated with ideas of affectation, absurd imitation, and vulgarity. It is accordingly laid aside by the higher orders, who studiously avoid every circumstance

and

cumstance in external appearance, which is debased by low and common use; and they are led to exercife their invention, in the introduction of some new peculiarities, which first become fashionable, then common, and last of all, are abandoned as vulgar.

It has been often remarked, that after a certain period in the progress of society, the public Taste becomes corrupted; and the different productions of the fine arts begin to degenerate from that fimplicity, which they had attained in their state of greatest perfection. One reason of this decline is suggested by the foregoing observations.

From the account which has been given of the natural progress of Taste, in separating the genuine principles of beauty from superfluous and from offenfive concomitants, it is evident, that there is a limit, beyond which the love of fimplicity cannot be carried. No bounds, indeed, can be fet to the creations of genius; but as this quality occurs feldom in an eminent degree, it commonly happens, that after a period of great refinement of Taste, men begin to gratify their love of variety, by adding fuperfluous circumstances to the finished models exhibited by their predecessors, or by making other trisling alterations on them, with a view merely of diversifying the effect. These additions and alterations, indifferent, perhaps, or even in fome degree offensive in themfelves, acquire foon a borrowed beauty, from the connexion in which we fee them, or from the influence of fashion: the same cause which at first produced them, continues perpetually to increase their number; Bb3

and Taste returns to barbarism, by almost the same steps which conducted it to perfection.

The truth of these remarks will appear still more striking to those who consider the wonderful effect which a writer of splendid genius, but of incorrect taste, has in misleading the public judgment. peculiarities of fuch an author are confecrated by the connexion in which we fee them, and even pleafe, to a certain degree, when detached from the excellencies of his composition, by recalling to us the agreeable impressions with which they have been formerly associated. How many imitations have we feen, of the affectations of Sterne, by men who were unable to copy his beauties? And yet these imitations of his defects; of his abrupt manner; of his minute specification of circumstances; and even of his dashes, produce, at first, some effect on readers of sensibility, but of uncultivated tafte, in confequence of the exquifite strokes of the pathetic, and the fingular vein of humour, with which they are united in the original.

From what has been faid, it is obvious, that the circumstances which please, in the objects of Taste, are of two kinds: First, those which are fitted to please by nature, or by affociations which all mankind are led to form by their common condition; and Secondly, those which please in consequence of associations arising from local and accidental circumstances. Hence, there are two kinds of Taste: the one enabling us to judge of those beauties which have a foundation in the human constitution; the other, of such objects as derive their principal recommendation from the influence of fashion.

These two kinds of Taste are not always united in the fame person: indeed, I am inclined to think, that they are united but rarely. The perfection of the one, depends much upon the degree in which we are able to free the mind from the influence of cafual affociations; that of the other, on the contrary, depends on a facility of affociation, which enables us to fall in, at once, with all the turns of the fashion, and (as Shakefpeare expresses it) "to catch the tune of the times."

I shall endeavour to illustrate some of the foregoing remarks, by applying them to the fubject of language, which affords numberless instances to exemplify the influence which the affociation of ideas has on our judgments in matters of Taste.

In the fame manner in which an article of drefs acquired an appearance of elegance or of vulgarity from the persons by whom it is habitually worn; so a particular mode of pronunciation acquires an air of fashion or of rusticity, from the persons by whom it is habitually employed. The Scotch accent is furely in itself as good as the English; and with a few exceptions, is as agreeable to the ear: and yet how offensive does it appear, even to us, who have been accustomed to hear it from our infancy, when compared with that which is used by our fouthern neighbours!-No reason can be given for this, but that the capital of Scotland is now become a provincial town, and London is the feat of our court.

The diffinction which is to be found, in the languages of all civilifed nation, between low and polite modes of expression, arises from similar causes. It is, indeed, amufing to remark, the folicitude with which the higher B b 4 orders.

orders, in the monarchies of modern Europe, avoid every circumstance in their exterior appearance and manner, which, by the most remote affociation, may, in the minds of others, connect them with the idea of the multitude. Their whole dress and deportment and conversation are studiously arranged to convey an imposing notion of their consequence; and to recal to the spectator, by numberless slight and apparently unintentional hints, the agreeable impressions which are associated with the advantages of fortune.

To this influence of affociation on language, it is necessary for every writer to attend carefully, who wishes to express himself with elegance. For the attainment of correctness and purity in the use of words, the rules of grammarians and of critics may be a fufficient guide; but it is not in the works of this class of authors, that the higher beauties of style are to be studied. As the air and manner of a gentleman can be acquired only by living habitually in the best fociety, so grace in composition must be attained by an habitual acquaintance with classical writers. It is indeed necessary for our information, that we should peruse occasionally, many books which have no merit in point of expression; but I believe it to be extremely useful to all literary men, to counteract the effect of this mifcellaneous reading, by maintaining a conftant and familiar acquaintance with a few of the most faultless models which the language affords. For want of fome standard of this fort, we frequently fee an author's taste in writing alter much to the worfe in the course of his life; and his later productions fall below the level of his early effays. D'Alembert tells us, that Voltaire had always lying on his table, the Petit Carême of Massillon, and the tragedies of Racine; the former to fix his taste in prose composition, and the latter in poetry.

In avoiding, however, expressions which are debased by vulgar use, there is a danger of running into the other extreme, in quest of fashionable words and phrases. Such an affectation may, for a few years, gratify the vanity of an author, by giving him the air of a man of the world; but the reputation it bestows, is of a very transitory nature. The works which continue to please from age to age, are written with perfect simplicity; while those which captivate the multitude by a difplay of meretricious ornaments, if, by chance, they should furvive the fashions to which they are accommodated, remain only to furnish a subject of ridicule to posterity. The portrait of a beautiful woman, in the fashionable dress of the day, may please at the moment it is painted; nay, may perhaps pleafe more than in any that the fancy of the artist could have suggested; but it is only in the plainest and simplest drapery, that the most perfect form can be transmitted with advantage to future times.

The exceptions which the hiftory of literature feems to furnish to these observations, are only apparent. That, in the works of our best authors, there are many beauties which have long and generally been admired, and which yet owe their whole effect to association, cannot be disputed; but in such cases, it will always be found, that the associations which are the foundation of our pleasure, have, in consequence of some peculiar combination of circumstances, been more widely diffused, and more permanently established among man-

kind, than those which date their origin from the caprices of our own age are ever likely to be. An admiration for the claffical remains of antiquity is, at prefent, not less general in Europe, than the advantages of a liberal education: and fuch is the effect of this admiration, that there are certain caprices of Tafte, from which no man who is well educated is entirely free. A composition in a modern language, which should fometimes depart from the ordinary modes of expreffion, from an affectation of the idioms which are confecrated in the claffics, would pleafe a very wide circle of readers, in confequence of the prevalence of claffical affociations; and, therefore, fuch affectations, however abfurd, when carried to a degree of fingularity, are of a far fuperior class to those which are adapted to the fashions of the day. But still the general principle holds true, That whatever beauties derive their original merely from cafual affociation, must appear capricious to those to whom the affociation does not extend; and that the fimplest style is that which continues longest to please, and which pleases most universally. In the writings of Mr. Harris, there is a certain classical air, which will always have many admirers, while antient learning continues to be cultivated; but which, to a mere English reader, appears fomewhat unnatural and ungraceful, when compared with the composition of Swift or of Addison.

The analogy of the arts of statuary and painting, may be of use in illustrating these remarks. The influence of antient times has extended to thefe, as well as to the art of writing; and in this case, no less than in the other, the transcendent power of genius has established a propriety of choice in matters of indifference, and has, perhaps, confecrated, in the opinion of mankind, some of its own caprices.

" Many of the ornaments of art," (fays Sir Joshua Reynolds,) "those at least for which no reason can be " given, are transmitted to us, are adopted, and ac-" quire their confequence, from the company in which " we have been used to see them. As Greece and " Rome are the fountains from whence have flowed " all kinds of excellence, to that veneration which they " have a right to claim for the pleafure and knowledge " which they have afforded us, we voluntarily add our "approbation of every ornament and every custom " that belonged to them, even to the fashion of their "drefs. For it may be observed, that, not satisfied " with them in their own place, we make no difficulty " of dreffing statues of modern heroes or fenators " in the fashion of the Roman armour, or peaceful " robe; and even go fo far as hardly to bear a statue " in any other drapery.

"The figures of the great men of those nations have come down to us in sculpture. In sculpture remain almost all the excellent specimens of antient art. We have so far affociated personal dignity to the persons thus represented, and the truth of art to their manner of representation, that it is not in our power any longer to separate them. This is not fo in painting: because, having no excellent antient portraits, that connection was never formed. Indeed, we could no more venture to paint a general officer in a Roman military habit, than we could "make"

"make a statue in the present uniform. But since we have no antient portraits, to shew how ready we are to adopt those kind of prejudices, we make the best authority among the moderns serve the same purpose. The great variety of excellent portraits with which Vandyke has enriched this nation, we are not content to admire for their real excellence, but extend our approbation even to the dress which happened to be the fashion of that age. By this means, it must be acknowledged, very ordinary pictures acquired something of the air and effect of the works of Vandyke, and appeared therefore, at first sight, better pictures than they really were. They appeared so, however, to those only who had the means of making this association \*."

The influence of affociation on our notions concerning language, is still more strongly exemplified in poetry than in prose. As it is one great object of the poet, in his serious productions, to elevate the imagination of his readers above the grossness of sensible objects, and the vulgarity of common life, it becomes peculiarly necessary for him to reject the use of all words and phrases which are trivial and hackneyed. Among those which are equally pure and equally perspicuous, he, in general, finds it expedient to adopt that which is the least common. Milton prefers the words Rhene and Danaw, to the more common words Rhine and Danube:

<sup>&</sup>quot; A multitude, like which the populous North

<sup>&</sup>quot; Pour'd never from his frozen loins, to pass

<sup>&</sup>quot; Rhene or the Danaw t."

<sup>\*</sup> REYNOLDS's Discourses, p. 313, et seq.

<sup>†</sup> Paradife Loft, book i. l. 351.

In the following line,

"Things unattempted yet in profe or rhyme,"

how much more fuitable to the poetical style does the expression appear, than if the author had said,

"Things unattempted yet in profe or verse."

In another passage, where, for the sake of variety, he has made use of the last phrase, he adds an epithet, to remove it a little from the familiarity of ordinary discourse,

" in profe or numerous verfe \*."

In confequence of this circumstance, there arises gradually in every language a poetical diction, which differs widely from the common diction of prose. It is much less subject to the vicissitudes of fashion, than the polite modes of expression in familiar conversation; because, when it has once been adopted by the poet, it is avoided by good prose-writers, as being too elevated for that species of composition. It may therefore retain its charm, as long as the language exists; nay, the charm may increase, as the language grows older.

Indeed, the charm of poetical diction must increase to a certain degree, as polite literature advances. For when once a set of words has been consecrated to poetry, the very sound of them, independently of the ideas they convey, awakens, every time we hear it, the agreeable impressions which were connected with it when we met with them in the performances of our favourite authors. Even when strung together in sentences

<sup>\*</sup> Paradife Loft, book i. l. 150, See Newton's Edit.

which convey no meaning, they produce some effect on the mind of a reader of sensibility: an effect, at least, extremely different from that of an unmeaning sentence in prose.

Languages differ from each other widely in the copioufness of their poetical diction. Our own possess, in this respect, important advantages over the French: not that, in this language, there are no words appropriated to poetry, but because their number is, comparatively speaking, extremely limited.

The scantiness of the French poetical diction is, probably, attended with the lefs inconvenience, that the phrases which occur in good prose-writing are less degraded by vulgar application than in English, in confequence of the line being more distinctly and more ftrongly drawn between polite and low expressions in that language than in ours. Our poets, indeed, by having a language appropriated to their own purpofes. not only can preserve dignity of expression, but can connect with the perusal of their compositions, the pleafing impressions which have been produced by those of their predecessors. And hence, in the higher forts of poetry, where their object is to kindle, as much as possible, the enthusiasm of their readers, they not only avoid, studiously, all expressions which are vulgar, but all fuch as are borrowed from fashionable life. This certainly cannot be done in an equal degree by a poet who writes in the French language.

In English, the poetical diction is so extremely copious, that it is liable to be abused; as it puts it in the power of authors of no genius, merely by ringing changes on the poetical vocabulary, to give a certain degree of currency to the most unmeaning compositions. In Pope's Song by a Person of Quality, the incoherence of ideas is scarcely greater than what is to be found in some admired passages of our fashionable poetry.

Nor is it merely by a difference of words, that the language of poetry is diffinguished from that of profe. When a poetical arrangement of words has once been established by authors of reputation, the most common expressions, by being presented in this confectated order, may serve to excite poetical associations.

On the other hand, nothing more completely deftroys the charm of poetry, than a string of words which the custom of ordinary discourse has arranged in so invariable an order, that the whole phrase may be anticipated from hearing its commencement. A single word frequently strikes us as stat and profaic, in confequence of its familiarity; but two such words coupled together in the order of conversation, can scarcely be introduced into serious poetry without appearing ludicrous.

No poet in our language has shewn so strikingly as Milton, the wonderful elevation which style may derive from an arrangement of words, which, while it is perfectly intelligible, departs widely from that to which we are in general accustomed. Many of his most sublime periods, when the order of the words is altered, are reduced nearly to the level of prose.

To copy this artifice with fuccess, is a much more difficult attainment than is commonly imagined; and, of consequence, when it is acquired, it secures an author, to a great degree, from that crowd of imitators who spoil the effect of whatever is not beyond their reach. To the poet who uses blank verse, it is an acquisition of still more essential consequence than to him who expresses himself in rhyme; for the more that the structure of the verse approaches to prose, the more it is necessary to give novelty and dignity to the composition. And accordingly, among our magazine poets, ten thousand catch the structure of Pope's versification, for one who approaches to the manner of Milton, or of Thomson.

The facility, however, of this imitation, like every other, increases with the number of those who have studied it with success; for the more numerous the authors who have employed their genius in any one direction, the more copious are the materials out of which mediocrity may felect and combine, fo as to escape the charge of plagiarism. And, in fact, in our own language, this, as well as the other great refource of poetical expression, the employment of appropriated words, has had its effect fo much impaired by the abuse which has been made of it, that a few of our best poets of late have endeavoured to strike out a new path for themselves, by resting the elevation for their composition chiefly on a singular, and, to an ordinary writer, an unattainable union of harmonious versification, with a natural arrangement of words, and a fimple elegance of expression. It is this union which feems to form the diftinguishing charm of the poetry of Goldsmith.

From the remarks which have been made on the influence of the affociation of ideas on our judgments in matters of tafte, it is obvious how much the opinions of a nation with respect to merit in the fine

arts, are likely to be influenced by the form of their government, and the state of their manners. Voltaire, in his discourse pronounced at his reception into the French academy, gives feveral reasons why the poets of that country have not fucceeded in describing rural fcenes and employments. The principal one is, the ideas of meannefs, and poverty, and wretchedness, which the French are accustomed to affociate with the profession of husbandry. The same thing is alluded to by the Abbé de Lille, in the preliminary discourse prefixed to his translation of the Georgics. " A translation," fays he, " of this poem, if it had " been undertaken by an author of genius, would " have been better calculated than any other work, " for adding to the riches of our language. A ver-" fion of the Æneid itself, however well executed, " would, in this respect, be of less utility; inasmuch " as the genius of our tongue accommodates itself " more easily to the description of heroic atchieve-" ments, than to the details of natural phenomena, " and of the operations of husbandry. To force it " to express these with fuitable dignity, would have "been a real conquest over that false delicacy, "which it has contracted from our unfortunate pre-" judices."

How different must have been the emotions with which this divine performance of Virgil was read by an antient Roman, while he recollected that period in the history of his country, when dictators were called from the plough to the defence of the state, and after having led monarchs in triumph, returned again to the fame happy and independent occupation. Cc A ffate A state of manners to which a Roman author of a later age looked back with such enthusiasm, that he ascribes, by a bold poetical figure, the flourishing state of agriculture under the republic, to the grateful returns which the earth then made to the illustrious hands by which she was cultivated. "Gaudente terra vomere laureato, et triumphali aratore \*."

## SECTION III.

Of the Influence of Association on our active Principles, and on our moral Judgments.

IN order to illustrate a little farther, the influence of the Affociation of Ideas on the human mind, I shall add a few remarks on some of its effects on our active and moral principles. In stating these remarks, I shall endeavour to avoid, as much as possible, every occasion of controversy, by confining myself to such general views of the subject, as do not presuppose any particular enumeration of our original principles of action, or any particular system concerning the nature of the moral faculty. If my health and leisure enable me to carry my plans into execution, I propose, in the sequel of this work, to resume these inquiries, and to examine the various opinions to which they have given rise.

The manner in which the affociation of ideas operates in producing new principles of action, has been explained very diffinctly by different writers. What-

<sup>\*</sup> PLIN. Nat. Hift, xviii. 4.

ever conduces to the gratification of any natural appetite, or of any natural defire, is itself defired on account of the end to which it is fubfervient; and by being thus habitually affociated in our apprehenfion with agreeable objects, it frequently comes, in process of time, to be regarded as valuable in itself, independently of its utility. It is thus that wealth becomes, with many, an ultimate object of purfuit; although, at first, it is undoubtedly valued, merely on account of its subserviency to the attainment of other objects. In like manner, men are led to defire drefs, equipage, retinue, furniture, on account of the estimation in which they are supposed to be held by the public. Such defires are called by Dr. Hutchefon \* fecondary defires: and their origin is explained by him in the way which I have mentioned. "Since " we are capable," fays he, " of reflection, memory, " observation, and reasoning about the distant tend-" encies of objects and actions, and not confined to " things prefent, there must arise, in consequence " of our original defires, fecondary defires of every " thing imagined useful to gratify any of the primary " defires; and that with ftrength proportioned to the " feveral original defires, and imagined ufefulness or " necessity of the advantageous object." "Thus," he continues, " as foon as we come to apprehend the " use of wealth or power to gratify any of our ori-" ginal defires, we must also defire them; and hence " arifes the universality of these desires of wealth and " power, fince they are the means of gratifying all

<sup>\*</sup> See his Essay on the Nature and Conduct of the Passions.

"other defires." The only thing that appears to me exceptionable in the foregoing passage is, that the author classes the defire of power with that of wealth; whereas I apprehend it to be clear, (for reasons which I shall state in another part of this work,) that the former is a primary defire, and the latter a secondary one.

Our moral judgments, too, may be modified, and even perverted, to a certain degree, in confequence of the operation of the fame principle. In the fame manner in which a perfon who is regarded as a model of tafte may introduce, by his example, an abfurd or fantastical dress; so a man of splendid virtues may attract some esteem also to his imperfections; and, if placed in a conspicuous situation, may render his vices and sollies objects of general imitation among the multitude.

multitude.

"In the reign of Charles II." fays Mr. Smith \*,

"a degree of licentioufness was deemed the characteristic of a liberal education. It was connected,

according to the notions of those times, with generosity, sincerity, magnanimity, loyalty; and proved

that the person who acted in this manner, was a

gentleman, and not a puritan. Severity of manners, and regularity of conduct, on the other hand,

were altogether unfashionable, and were connected,

in the imagination of that age, with cant, cunning,

hypocrify, and low manners. To superficial minds,

the vices of the great seem at all times agreeable.

They connect them, not only with the splendour of

fortune, but with many superior virtues which they

<sup>\*</sup> Theory of Moral Sentiments.

"afcribe to their fuperiors; with the spirit of freedom and independency; with frankness, generosity,
humanity, and politeness. The virtues of the inferior ranks of people, on the contrary, their parsimonious frugality, their painful industry, and rigid
adherence to rules, feem to them mean and disagreeable. They connect them both with the meanness of the station to which these qualities commonly belong, and with many great vices which
they suppose usually accompany them; such as
an abject, cowardly, ill-natured, lying, pilfering
disposition."

The theory which, in the foregoing passages from Hutcheson and Smith, is employed so justly and philofophically to explain the origin of our fecondary defires, and to account for some perversions of our moral judgments, has been thought fufficient, by fome later writers, to account for the origin of all our active principles without exception. The first of these attempts to extend so very far the application of the doctrine of Affociation was made by the Reverend Mr. Gay, in a differtation "concerning the fun-"damental Principle of Virtue," which is prefixed by Dr. Law to his translation of Archbishop King's Essay "on the Origin of Evil." In this differtation, the author endeavours to fhew, "that our approba-" tion of morality, and all affections whatfoever, are " finally refolvable into reason, pointing out private " happinefs, and are converfant only about things ap-" prehended to be means tending to this end; and " that wherever this end is not perceived, they are to " be accounted for from the affociation of ideas, and "may properly be called habits." The fame principles have been fince pushed to a much greater length by Dr. Hartley, whose system (as he himself informs us) took rise from his accidentally hearing it mentioned as an opinion of Mr. Gay, "that the association of ideas was sufficient to account for all our intellectual pleasures and pains \*."

It must, I think, in justice, be acknowledged, that this theory, concerning the origin of our affections, and of the moral fense, is a most ingenious refinement upon the felfish system, as it was formerly taught; and that, by means of it, the force of many of the common reasonings against that system is eluded. Among these reasonings, particular stress has always been laid on the instantaneousness with which our affections operate, and the moral fense approves or condemns; and on our total want of consciousness, in fuch cases, of any reference to our own happiness. The modern advocates for the felfish system admit the fact to be as it is stated by their opponents; and grant, that after the moral fense and our various affections are formed, their exercise, in particular cases, may become completely difinterested; but still they contend, that it is upon a regard to our own happiness that all these principles are originally grafted.

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Hume too, who, in my opinion, has carried this principle of the Affociation of Ideas a great deal too far, has compared the univerfality of its applications in the philosophy of mind, to that of the principle of attraction in physics. "Here," fays he, "is a "kind of attraction, which in the mental world will be found to have as extraordinary effects as in the natural, and to shew itself in as many and as various forms." Treat. of Hum. Nat. vol. i. p. 30.

The analogy of avarice will ferve to illustrate the fcope of this theory. It cannot be doubted that this principle of action is artificial. It is on account of the enjoyments which it enables us to purchase, that money is originally desired; and yet, in process of time, by means of the agreeable impressions which are associated with it, it comes to be desired for its own sake; and even continues to be an object of our pursuit, long after we have lost all relish for those enjoyments which it enables us to command.

Without meaning to engage in any controverfy on the subject, I shall content myself with observing, in general, that there must be some limit, beyond which the theory of association cannot possibly be carried; for the explanation which it gives, of the formation of new principles of action, proceeds on the supposition that there are other principles previously existing in the mind. The great question then is, when we are arrived at this limit; or, in other words, when we are arrived at the simple and original laws of our constitution.

In conducting this inquiry, philosophers have been apt to go into extremes. Lord Kaimes, and some other authors, have been censured, and perhaps justly, for a disposition to multiply original principles to an unnecessary degree. It may be questioned, whether Dr. Hartley, and his followers, have not sometimes been misled by too eager a desire of abridging their number.

Of these two errors, the former is the least common, and the least dangerous. It is the least common, because it is not so flattering as the other to the vanity of a theorist; and it is the least dangerous, be-

C c 4 cause

ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY

cause it has no tendency, like the other, to give rise to a suppression, or to a misrepresentation of facts; or to retard the progress of the science, by bestowing upon it an appearance of fystematical perfection, to which, in its present state, it is not entitled.

Abstracting, however, from these inconveniences, which must always result from a precipitate reference of phenomena to general principles, it does not feem to me, that the theory in question has any tendency to weaken the foundation of morals. It has, indeed, fome tendency, in common with the philosophy of Hobbes and of Mandeville, to degrade the dignity of human nature; but it leads to no fceptical conclusions concerning the rule of life. For, although we were to grant, that all our principles of action are acquired; fo striking a difference among them must still be admitted, as is fufficient to diftinguish clearly those univerfal laws which were intended to regulate human conduct, from the local habits which are formed by education and fashion. It must still be admitted, that while fome active principles are confined to particular individuals, or to particular tribes of men, there are others, which, arifing from circumstances in which all the fituations of mankind must agree, are common to the whole species. Such active principles as fall under this last description, at whatever period of life they may appear, are to be regarded as a part of human nature, no less than the instinct of suction; in the fame manner as the acquired perception of distance by the eye, is to be ranked among the perceptive powers of man, no less than the original perceptions of any of our other fenfes.

Leaving, therefore, the question concerning the origin of our active principles, and of the moral faculty, to be the subject of future discussion, I shall conclude this Section with a few remarks of a more practical nature.

It has been shewn by different writers, how much of the beauty and sublimity of material objects arise from the ideas and feelings which we have been taught to affociate with them. The impression produced on the external senses of a poet, by the most striking scene in nature, is precisely the same with what is produced on the senses of a peasant or a tradesman: yet how different is the degree of pleasure resulting from this impression! A great part of this difference is undoubtedly to be ascribed, to the ideas and feelings which the habitual studies and amusements of the poet have associated with his organical perceptions.

A fimilar observation may be applied to all the various objects of our pursuit in life. Hardly any one of them is appreciated by any two men in the same manner; and frequently what one man considers as effential to his happiness, is regarded with indifference or dislike by another. Of these differences of opinion, much is, no doubt, to be ascribed to a diversity of constitution, which renders a particular employment of the intellectual or active powers agreeable to one man, which is not equally so to another. But much is also to be ascribed to the effect of association; which, prior to any experience of human life, connects pleasing ideas and pleasing feelings with different objects, in the minds of different persons.

In confequence of these associations, every man appears to his neighbour to pursue the object of his wishes, with a zeal disproportioned to its intrinsic value; and the philosopher (whose principal enjoyment arises from speculation) is frequently apt to smile at the ardour with which the active part of mankind pursue, what appear to him to be mere shadows. This view of human affairs, some writers have carried so far, as to represent life as a scene of mere illusions, where the mind refers to the objects around it, a colouring which exists only in itself; and where, as the Poet expresses it.

" Opinion gilds with varying rays,"Those painted clouds which beautify our days."

It may be questioned, if these representations of human life be useful or just. That the casual associations which the mind forms in childhood, and in early youth, are frequently a source of inconvenience and of misconduct, is sufficiently obvious; but that this tendency of our nature increases, on the whole, the sum of human enjoyment, appears to me to be indisputable; and the instances in which it misleads us from our duty and our happiness, only prove, to what important ends it might be subservient, if it were kept under proper regulation.

Nor do these representations of life (admitting them in their full extent) justify the practical inferences which have been often deduced from them, with respect to the vanity of our pursuits. In every case, indeed, in which our enjoyment depends upon association, it may be said, in one sense, that it arises from the mind itself; but it does not therefore follow, that the external object which custom has rendered the cause or the occasion

of agreeable emotions, is indifferent to our happiness. The effect which the beauties of nature produce on the mind of the poet, is wonderfully heightened by affociation; but his enjoyment is not, on that account, the less exquisite: nor are the objects of his admiration of the less value to his happiness, that they derive their principal charms from the embellishments of his fancy.

It is the business of education, not to counteract, in any instance, the established laws of our constitution, but to direct them to their proper purposes. That the influence of early affociations on the mind might be employed, in the most effectual manner, to aid our moral principles, appears evidently from the effects which we daily fee it produce, in reconciling men to a course of action which their reason forces them to condemn; and it is no less obvious that, by means of it, the happiness of human life might be increased, and its pains diminished, if the agreeable ideas and feelings which children are fo apt to connect with events and with fituations which depend on the caprice of fortune, were firmly affociated in their apprehensions with the duties of their stations, with the pursuits of science, and with those beauties of nature which are open to all.

These observations coincide nearly with the ancient stoical doctrine concerning the influence of imagination\* on morals; a subject, on which many important remarks, (though expressed in a form different from that which modern philosophers have introduced, and, perhaps, not altogether so precise and accurate,) are

<sup>\*</sup> According to the use which I make of the words Imagination and Association, in this work, their effects are obviously distinguishable. I have thought it proper, however, to illustrate the difference between them a little more fully in Note [R].

" Action treads the path

- " In which Opinion fays he follows good,
- " Or flies from evil; and Opinion gives
- " Report of good or evil, as the scene
- " Was drawn by fancy, lovely or deform'd:
- "Thus her report can never there be true,
- "Where fancy cheats the intellectual eye
- " With glaring colours and difforted lines.
- " Is there a man, who at the found of death
- " Sees ghaftly shapes of terror conjur'd up,
- " And black before him: nought but death-bed groans
- " And fearful prayers, and plunging from the brink
- " Of light and being, down the gloomy air,
- " An unknown depth? Alas! in fuch a mind,
- " If no bright forms of excellence attend
- " The image of his country; nor the pomp
- " Of facred fenates, nor the guardian voice
- " Of justice on her throne, nor aught that wakes
- " The conscious bosom with a patriot's flame:
- " Will not Opinion tell him, that to die,
- " Or fland the hazard, is a greater ill
- " Than to betray his country? And in act
- " Will he not chuse to be a wretch and live?
- " Here vice begins then †."
- \* See what Epictetus has remarked on the χεησις δια δεί φαντασιω: (Arrian, l. i. c. 12.) Όια αν πολλακις φαιτασθης, τοιαυτη σοι εσται ή διανοια. βαπτεται γας ύπο των φαντασιων ή ψυχη. βαπτε εν αυτη, τη συνεχεια των τοιετων φαντασιων, &c. &c. Anton. l. v. c. 16.

<sup>†</sup> Pleasures of Imagination, b. iii.

## SECTION IV.

General Remarks on the Subjects treated in the foregoing Sections of this Chapter.

In perufing the foregoing Sections of this Chapter, I am aware, that fome of my readers may be apt to think that many of the observations which I have made, might easily be resolved into more general principles. I am also aware, that, to the followers of Dr. Hartley, a similar objection will occur against all the other parts of this work; and that it will appear to them the effect of inexcusable prejudice, that I should stop short so frequently in the explanation of phenomena; when he has accounted in so satisfactory a manner, by means of the association of ideas, for all the appearances which human nature exhibits.

To this objection, I shall not feel myself much interested to reply, provided it be granted that my observations are candidly and accurately stated, so far as they reach. Supposing that in some cases I may have stopped short too soon, my speculations, although they may be censured as imperfect, cannot be considered as standing in opposition to the conclusions of more successful inquirers.

May I be allowed farther to observe, that fuch views of the human mind as are contained in this work, (even supposing the objection to be well founded,)

founded,) are, in my opinion, indifpensably necessary, in order to prepare the way for those very general and comprehensive theories concerning it, which some eminent writers of the present age have been ambitious to form?

Concerning the merit of these theories, I shall not presume to give any judgment. I shall only remark, that, in all the other sciences, the progress of discovery has been gradual, from the less general to the more general laws of nature; and that it would be ingular, indeed, if, in the Philosophy of the Human Mind, a science, which but a few years ago was confessedly in its infancy, and which certainly labours under many disadvantages peculiar to itself, a step should, all at once, be made to a single principle comprehending all the particular phenomena which we know.

Supposing such a theory to be completely established, it would still be proper to lead the minds of students to it by gradual steps. One of the most important uses of theory, is to give the memory a permanent hold, and a prompt command, of the particular facts which we were previously acquainted with; and no theory can be completely understood, unless the mind be led to it nearly in the order of investigation.

It is more particularly useful, in conducting the studies of others, to familiarise their minds, as completely as possible, with those laws of nature for which we have the direct evidence of sense, or of consciousness, before directing their inquiries to the more abstruse and refined generalizations of specula-

16 tive

tive curiofity. In natural philosophy, supposing the theory of Boscovich to be true, it would still be proper, or rather indeed absolutely necessary, to accustom students, in the first stage of their physical education, to dwell on those general physical facts which fall under our actual observation, and about which all the practical arts of life are converfant. In like manner, in the philosophy of mind, there are many general facts for which we have the direct evidence of consciousness. The words, Attention, Conception, Memory, Abstraction, Imagination, Curiofity, Ambition, Compassion, Resentment, express powers and principles of our nature, which every man may study by reflecting on his own internal operations. Words corresponding to these, are to be found in all languages, and may be confidered as forming the first attempt towards a philosophical classification of intellectual and moral phenomena. Such a claffification however imperfect and indiffinct, we may be affured, must have some foundation in nature; and it is at least prudent, for a philosopher to keep it in view as the ground-work of his own arrangement. It not only directs our attention to those facts in the human constitution, on which every folid theory in this branch of science must be founded; but to the facts, which, in all ages, have appeared, to the common fense of mankind, to be the most striking and important; and of which it ought to be the great object of theorists, not to superfede, but to facilitate the study.

There is indeed good reason for believing, that many of the facts which our consciousness would lead us to consider,

confider, upon a fuperficial view, as ultimate facts are refolvable into other principles still more general. "Long before we are capable of reflection," (fays Dr. Reid,) " the original perceptions and notions of the " mind are fo mixed, compounded, and decompound-" ed, by habits, affociations, and abstractions, that it " is extremely difficult for the mind to return upon " its own footsteps, and trace back those operations "which have employed it fince it first began to think and to act." The same author remarks, that, "if " we could obtain a distinct and full history of all that " hath paffed in the mind of a child, from the begin-" ning of life and fenfation, till it grows up to the use " of reason; how its infant faculties began to work, and " how they brought forth and ripened all the various " notions, opinions, and fentiments, which we find in " ourselves when we come to be capable of reslection; " this would be a treasure of Natural History, which " would probably give more light into the human " faculties than all the fystems of philosophers about " them, fince the beginning of the world." To accomplish an analysis of these complicated phenomena into the fimple and original principles of our constitution, is the great object of this branch of philosophy; but, in order to succeed, it is necessary to ascertain facts, before we begin to reason, and to avoid generalizing, in any inftance, till we have completely fecured the ground that we have gained. Such a caution, which is necessary in all the sciences, is, in a more peculiar manner, necessary here, where the very facts from which all our inferences must be drawn, are to be afcertained only by the most patient attention; and, where

where almost all of them are, to a great degree, difguised; partly by the inaccuracies of popular language, and partly by the mistaken theories of philofophers.

I have only to add, that, although I have retained the phrase of the Association of Ideas, in compliance with common language, I am far from being completely satisfied with this mode of expression. I have retained it, chiesly that I might not expose myself to the censure of delivering old doctrines in a new form.

As I have endeavoured to employ it with caution, I hope that it has not often misled me in my reasonings. At the same time, I am more and more convinced of the advantages to be derived from a reformation of the common language, in most of the branches of science. How much such a reformation has effected in Chemistry is well known; and it is evidently much more necessary in the Philosophy of Mind, where the prevailing language adds to the common inaccuracies of popular expressions, the peculiar disadvantage of being all suggested by the analogy of matter. Often, in the composition of this work, have I recollected the advice of Bergman to Morveau \*: "In reforming the "nomenclature of chemistry, spare no word which is improper. They who understand the subject

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Le favant Professeur d'Upsal, M. Bergman, écrivoit à M. "de Morveau dans les derniers temps de sa vie, ne faites graces "à aucune dénomination impropre. Ceux qui favent déja, enten- "dront toujours; ceux qui ne savent pas encore, entendront "plutôt." Méthode de Nomenclat. Chémique, par M. MORVEAU, LAVOISIER, &c.

" already, will fuffer no inconvenience; and they to whom the fubject is new, will comprehend it with the greater facility." But it belongs to fuch authors alone, as have extended the boundaries of fcience by their own difcoveries, to introduce innovations in language with any hopes of fuccefs.

## CHAPTER SIXTH.

Of Memory.

## SECTION I.

General Observations on Memory.

MONG the various powers of the understanding, there is none which has been fo attentively examined by philosophers, or concerning which so many important facts and observations have been collected, as the faculty of Memory. This is partly to be afcribed to its nature, which renders it eafily diftinguishable from all the other principles of our constitution, even by those who have not been accustomed to metaphyfical investigations; and partly to its immediate subferviency, not only to the purfuits of science, but to the ordinary business of life; in consequence of which, many of its most curious laws had been observed, long before any analysis was attempted of the other powers of the mind; and have, for many ages, formed a part of the common maxims which are to be found in every treatife of education. Some important remarks on the fubject may, in particular, be collected from the writings of the ancient rhetoricians.

The word Memory is not employed uniformly in the fame precise sense; but it always expresses some modification of that faculty, which enables us to treasure up, and preserve for future use, the knowledge we ac-

Dd2

quire;

quire; a faculty which is obvioufly the great foundation of all intellectual improvement, and without which, no advantage could be derived from the most enlarged experience. This faculty implies two things: a capacity of retaining knowledge; and a power of recalling it to our thoughts when we have occasion to apply it to use. The word Memory is sometimes employed to express the capacity, and sometimes the power. When we speak of a retentive memory, we use it in the former fense; when, of a ready memory, in the latter.

The various particulars which compose our stock of knowledge are, from time to time, recalled to our thoughts, in one of two ways: sometimes they recur to us spontaneously, or at least, without any interference on our part; in other cases, they are recalled, in consequence of an effort of our will. For the former operation of the mind, we have no appropriated name in our language, distinct from Memory. The latter, too, is often called by the same name, but is more properly distinguished by the word Recollection.

There are, I believe, fome other acceptations befides thefe, in which the word Memory has been occasionally employed; but as its ambiguities are not of such a nature as to mislead us in our present inquiries, I shall not dwell any longer on the illustration of distinctions, which to the greater part of readers might appear uninteresting and minute. One distinction only, relative to this subject, occurs to me, as deserving particular attention.

The operations of Memory relate either to things and their relations, or to events. In the former case, thoughts which have been previously in the mind, may

recur

main-

recur to us, without fuggefting the idea of the paft, or of any modification of time whatever; as when I repeat over a poem which I have got by heart, or when I think of the features of an abfent friend. In this last instance, indeed, philosophers distinguish the act of the mind by the name of Conception; but in ordinary difcourfe, and frequently even in philosophical writing, it is confidered as an exertion of Memory. In thefe and fimilar cases, it is obvious, that the operations of this faculty do not necessarily involve the idea of the past.

The case is different with respect to the memory of events. When I think of thefe, I not only recal to the mind the former objects of its thoughts, but I refer the event to a particular point of time; fo that, of every fuch act of memory, the idea of the past is a

necessary concomitant.

I have been led to take notice of this distinction, in order to obviate an objection which fome of the phenomena of Memory feem to prefent, against a doctrine which I formerly stated, when treating of the powers of Conception and Imagination.

It is evident, that when I think of an event, in which any object of fense was concerned, my recollection of the event must necessarily involve an act of Conception. Thus, when I think of a dramatic representation which I have recently feen, my recollection of what I faw. necessarily involves a conception of the different actors by whom it was performed. But every act of recollection which relates to events, is accompanied with a belief of their past existence. How then are we to reconcile this conclusion with the doctrine formerly

Dd3

maintained concerning Conception, according to which every exertion of that power is accompanied with a belief, that its object exists before us at the present moment?

The only way that occurs to me of removing this difficulty, is by supposing, that the remembrance of a past event is not a fimple act of the mind; but that the mind first forms a conception of the event, and then judges from circumstances, of the period of time to which it is to be referred: a supposition which is by no means a gratuitous one, invented to answer a particular purpose; but which, as far as I am able to judge, is agreeable to fact: for if we have the power, as will not be disputed, of conceiving a past event without any reference to time, it follows, that there is nothing in the ideas or notions which Memory prefents to us, which is neceffarily accompanied with a belief of past existence, in a way analogous to that in which our perceptions are accompanied with a belief of the present existence of their objects; and therefore, that the reference of the event to the particular period at which it happened, is a judgment founded on concomitant circumstances. So long as we are occupied with the conception of any particular object connected with the event, we believe the present existence of the object; but this belief, which, in most cases, is only momentary, is instantly corrected by habits of judging acquired by experience; and as foon as the mind is difengaged from fuch a belief, it is left at liberty to refer the event to the period at which it actually happened. Nor will the apparent instantaneousness of such judgments be considered as an unfurmountable objection to the doctrine now advanced, by those who have reflected on the perception of distance obtained by fight, which, although it seems to be as immediate as any perception of touch, has been shewn by philosophers to be the result of a judgment founded on experience and observation. The reference we make of past events to the particular points of time at which they took place, will, I am inclined to think, the more we consider the subject, be found the more strikingly analogous to the estimates of distance we learn to form by the eye.

Although, however, I am, myself, fatisfied with the conclusion to which the foregoing reasonings lead, I am far from expecting that the case will be the same with all my readers. Some of their objections, which I can eafily anticipate, might, I believe, be obviated by a little farther discussion; but as the question is merely a matter of curiofity, and has no necessary connexion with the observations I am to make in this Chapter, I shall not profecute the subject at present. The opinion, indeed, we form concerning it, has no reference to any of the doctrines maintained in this work, excepting to a particular fpeculation concerning the belief accompanying conception, which I ventured to state, in treating of that subject, and which, as it appears to be extremely doubtful to fome whose opinions I respect, I proposed with a degree of diffidence fuitable to the difficulty of fuch an inquiry. remaining observations which I am to make on the power of memory, whatever opinion may be formed of their importance, will furnish but little room for a diverfity of judgment concerning their truth.

In confidering this part of our conflitution, one of the most obvious and striking questions that occurs, is, what the circumstances are which determine the memory to retain some things in preference to others? Among the subjects which successively occupy our thoughts, by far the greater number vanish, without leaving a trace behind them; while others become, as it were, a part of ourselves, and, by their accumulations, lay a foundation for our perpetual progress in knowledge. Without pretending to exhaust the subject, I shall content myself at present with a partial solution of this difficulty, by illustrating the dependence of memory upon two principles of our nature, with which it is plainly very intimately connected; attention, and the association of ideas.

I endeavoured in a former Chapter to shew, that there is a certain act of the mind, (distinguished, both by philosophers and the vulgar, by the name of attention,) without which even the objects of our perceptions make no impression on the memory. It is also matter of common remark, that the permanence of the impression which any thing leaves in the memory, is proportioned to the degree of attention which was originally given to it. The observation has been so often repeated, and is so manifestly true, that it is unnecessary to offer any illustration of it \*.

I have

<sup>\*</sup> It feems to be owing to this dependence of memory on attention, that it is easier to get by heart a composition, after a very few readings, with an attempt to repeat it at the end of each, than after a hundred readings without such an effort. The effort rouses the attention from that languid state in which it remains, while the

I have only to observe farther, with respect to attention, confidered in the relation in which it stands to memory, that although it be a voluntary act, it requires experience to have it always under command. In the case of objects to which we have been taught to attend at an early period of life, or which are calculated to rouse the curiofity, or to affect any of our passions, the attention fixes itself upon them, as it were fpontaneously, and without any effort on our part, of which we are conscious. How perfectly do we remember, and even retain, for a long course of years, the faces and the hand-writings of our acquaintances, although we never took any particular pains to fix them in the memory? On the other hand, if an object does not interest some principle of our nature, we may examine it again and again, with a wish to treasure up the knowledge of it in the mind, without our being able to command that degree of attention which may lead us to recognize it the next time we fee it. A perfon, for example, who has not been accustomed to attend particularly to horses or to cattle, may study for a considerable time the appearance of a horse or of a bullock, without

mind is giving a paffive reception to foreign ideas. The fact is remarked by lord Bacon, and is explained by him on the fame principle to which I have referred it.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Quæ expectantur et attentionem excitant, melius hærent quam quæ prætervolant. Itaque si scriptum aliquod vicies per-

<sup>&</sup>quot; legeris, non tam facile illud memoriter disces, quam si illud legas decies, tentando interim illud recitare, et ubi deficit memoria,

<sup>&</sup>quot; inspiciendo librum."

being able a few days afterwards to pronounce on his identity; while a horfe-dealer or a grazier recollects many hundreds of that class of animals with which he is converfant, as perfectly as he does the faces of his acquaintances. In order to account for this, I would remark, that although attention be a voluntary act, and although we are always able, when we choose, to make a momentary exertion of it; yet, unless the object to which it is directed be really interesting, in some degree, to the curiosity, the train of our ideas goes on, and we immediately forget our purpofe. When we are employed, therefore, in studying such an object, it is not an exclufive and fleady attention that we give to it, but we are lofing fight of it, and recurring to it every instant; and the painful efforts of which we are confcious, are not (as we are apt to suppose them to be) efforts of uncommon attention, but unfuccefsful attempts to keep the mind steady to its object, and to exclude the extraneous ideas, which are from time to time foliciting its notice.

If these observations be well founded, they afford an explanation of a fact which has been often remarked, that objects are easily remembered which affect any of the passions\*. The passion assists the memory, not in consequence of any immediate con-

Ad Herenn. lib. 3.

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Si quas res in vita videmus parvas, ufitatas, quotidianas, "eas meminisse non folemus; propterea quod nulla nisi nova aut admirabili re commovetur animus. At si quid videmus aut "audimus egregie turpe, aut honestum, inustatum, magnum, in. "credibile, ridiculum, id diu meminisse consuevimus."

nexion between them, but as it prefents, during the time it continues, a fleady and exclusive object to the attention.

The connexion between memory and the affociation of ideas is fo striking, that it has been supposed by fome, that the whole of its phenomena might be refolved into this principle. But this is evidently not the cafe. The affociation of ideas connects our various thoughts with each other, fo as to prefent them to the mind in a certain order; but it presupposes the existence of these thoughts in the mind; or, in other words, it presupposes a faculty of retaining the knowledge which we acquire. It involves also a power of recognizing, as former objects of attention, the thoughts that from time to time occur to us; a power which is not implied in that law of our nature which is called the affociation of ideas. It is possible, furely, that our thoughts might have fucceeded each other, according to the fame laws as at prefent, without fuggesting to us at all the idea of the past; and, in fact, this supposition is realised to a certain degree in the case of some old men, who retain pretty exactly the information which they receive, but are fometimes unable to recollect in what manner the particulars which they find connected together in their thoughts, at first came into the mind; whether they occurred to them in a dream, or were communicated to them in converfation.

On the other hand, it is evident, that without the affociating principle, the powers of retaining our thoughts, and of recognizing them when they occur to us, would have been of little use; for the most

import-

important articles of our knowledge might have remained latent in the mind, even when those occafions prefented themselves to which they are immediately applicable. In confequence of this law of our nature, not only are all our various ideas made to pass, from time to time, in review before us, and to offer themselves to our choice as subjects of meditation, but when an occasion occurs which calls for the aid of our past experience, the occasion itself recalls to us all the information upon the fubject which that experience has accumulated.

The foregoing observations comprehend an analysis of memory fufficiently accurate for my prefent purpose: fome other remarks, tending to illustrate the fame fubject more completely, will occur in the re-

maining Sections of this Chapter.

It is hardly necessary for me to add, that when we have proceeded fo far in our inquiries concerning Memory, as to obtain an analysis of that power, and to afcertain the relation in which it stands to the other principles of our constitution, we have advanced as far towards an explanation of it as the nature of the fubject permits. The various theories which have attempted to account for it by traces or impressions in the fenforium, are obvioufly too unphilosophical to deferve a particular refutation \*. Such, indeed, is the poverty of language, that we cannot fpeak on the fubject without employing expressions which suggest one theory or another; but it is of importance for us always to recollect, that these expressions are entirely figurative, and afford no explanation of the phenomena to which they refer. It is partly with a view to remind my readers of this confideration, that, finding it impossible to lay aside completely metaphorical or analogical words, I have studied to avoid such an uniformity in the employment of them, as might indicate a preference to one theory rather than another; and, by doing fo, have perhaps fometimes been led to vary the metaphor oftener and more fuddenly, than would be proper in a composition which aimed at any degree of elegance. This caution in the ufe of the common language concerning memory, it feemed to me the more necessary to attend to, that the general disposition which every person feels at the commencement of his philosophical pursuits, to explain the phenomena of thought by the laws of matter, is, in the cafe of this particular faculty, encouraged by a variety of peculiar circumstances. The analogy between committing a thing to memory that we wish to remember, and engraving on a tablet a fact that we wish to record, is so striking as to prefent itself even to the vulgar; nor is it perhaps less natural to indulge the fancy in confidering memory as a fort of repolitory, in which we arrange and preferve for future use the materials of our information. The immediate dependence, too, of this faculty on the state of the body, which is more remarkable than that of any other faculty whatever, (as appears from the effects produced on it by old age, difeafe, and intoxication,) is apt to strike those who have not been much converfant with these inquiries, as beflowing fome plaufibility on the theory which attempts to explain its phenomena on mechanical principles.

I cannot

# 414 ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. VI.

I cannot help taking this opportunity of expressing a wish, that medical writers would be at more pains than they have been at hitherto, to afcertain the various effects which are produced on the memory by difeafe and old age. Thefe effects are widely diverfified in different cases. In some it would seem that the memory is impaired, in confequence of a diminution of the power of attention; in others, that the power of recollection is diffurbed, in confequence of a derangement of that part of the constitution on which the affociation of ideas depends. The decay of memory, which is the common effect of age, feems to arife from the former of these causes. It is probable, that, as we advance in years, the capacity of attention is weakened by fome phyfical change in the constitution; but it is also reasonable to think, that it lofes its vigour partly from the effect which the decay of our fensibility, and the extinction of our paffions, have, in diminishing the interest which we feel in the common occurrences of life. That no derangement takes place, in ordinary cases, in that part of the constitution on which the affociation of ideas depends, appears from the distinct and circumstantial recollection which old men retain of the transactions of their youth \*. In some diseases, this part

Liv. i. cap. ix. (Des Menteurs.)

cf

<sup>\*</sup> Swift fomewhere expresses his surprise, that old men should remember their anecdotes so distinctly, and should, notwithstanding, have so little memory as to tell the same story twice in the course of the same conversation; and a similar remark is made by Montaigne, in one of his Essays: "Surtout les Vieillards sont dangereux, à qui la souvenance des choses passées demeure, et ont perdu la souvenance de leurs redites."

of the constitution is evidently affected. A stroke of the palfy has been known, (while it did not deftroy the power of speech,) to render the patient incapable of recollecting the names of the most familiar objects. What is still more remarkable, the name of an object has been known to fuggest the idea of it as formerly, although the fight of the object ceased to fuggest the name.

In fo far as this decay of memory which old age brings along with it, is a necessary consequence of a physical change in the constitution, or a necessary consequence of a diminution of sensibility, it is the part of a wife man to fubmit cheerfully to the lot of his nature. But it is not unreasonable to think, that fomething may be done by our own efforts, to obviate the inconveniences which commonly refult from it. If individuals, who, in the early part of life, have weak memories, are fometimes able to remedy this defect, by a greater attention to arrangement in their transactions, and to classification among their ideas, than is necessary to the bulk of mankind. might it not be possible, in the same way, to ward off, at least to a certain degree, the encroachments which time makes on this faculty? The few old men who continue in the active scenes of life to the last moment, it has been often remarked, complain, in general, much less of a want of recollection, than

The fact feems to be, that all their old ideas remain in the mind, connected as formerly by the different affociating principles; but that the power of attention to new ideas and new occurrences is impaired.

ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. VI. their cotemporaries. This is undoubtedly owing partly to the effect which the pursuits of business must necessarily have, in keeping alive the power of attention. But it is probably owing also to new habits of arrangement, which the mind gradually and infenfibly forms, from the experience of its growing infirmities. The apparent revival of memory in old men, after a temporary decline, (which is a cafe that

happens not unfrequently,) feems to favour this fup-

position.

416

One old man, I have, myfelf, had the good fortune to know, who, after a long, an active, and an honourable life, having begun to feel fome of the usual effects of advanced years, has been able to find refources in his own fagacity, against most of the inconveniences with which they are commonly attended; and who, by watching his gradual decline with the cool eye of an indifferent observer, and employing his ingenuity to retard its progress, has converted even the infirmities of age into a fource of philosophical amusement.

## SECTION II.

Of the Varieties of Memory in different Individuals.

T is generally fupposed, that, of all our faculties, Memory is that which nature has bestowed in the most unequal degrees on different individuals; and it is far from being impossible that this opinion may be well founded. If, however, we confider, that there is fcarcely any man who has not memory sufficient to learn the use of language, and to learn to recognize, at the first glance, the appearances of an infinite number of familiar objects; besides acquiring such an acquaintance with the laws of nature, and the ordinary course of human affairs, as is necessary for directing his conduct in life; we shall be fatisfied that the original disparities among men, in this respect, are by no means so immense as they seem to be at first view; and that much is to be ascribed to different habits of attention, and to a difference of selection among the various objects and events presented to their curiosity.

As the great purpose to which this faculty is sub-fervient, is to enable us to collect, and to retain, for the future regulation of our conduct, the results of our past experience; it is evident that the degree of persection which it attains in the case of different persons, must vary; first, with the facility of making the original acquisition; secondly, with the permanence of the acquisition; and thirdly, with the quick-ness or readiness with which the individual is able, on particular occasions, to apply it to use. The qualities, therefore, of a good memory are, in the first place, to be susceptible; secondly, to be retentive; and thirdly, to be ready.

It is but rarely that these three qualities are united in the same person. We often, indeed, meet with a memory which is at once susceptible and ready; but I doubt much, if such memories be commonly very retentive: for, susceptibility and readiness are both connected with a facility of affociating ideas, accord-

Еe

ing to their more obvious relations; whereas retentiveness, or tenaciousness of memory, depends principally on what is feldom united with this facility, a disposition to system and to philosophical arrangement. These observations it will be necessary to illustrate more particularly.

I have already remarked, in treating of a different fubject, that the bulk of mankind, being but little accustomed to reflect and to generalise, affociate their ideas chiefly according to their more obvious relations; those, for example, of refemblance and of analogy; and above all, according to the cafual relations arifing from contiguity in time and place: whereas, in the mind of a philosopher, ideas are commonly affociated according to those relations which are brought to light in confequence of particular efforts of attention; fuch as the relations of Cause and Effect, or of Premifes and Conclusion. This difference in the modes of affociation of these two classes of men, is the foundation of some very striking diverfities between them in respect of intellectual character.

In the first place, in consequence of the nature of the relations which connect ideas together in the mind of the philosopher, it must necessarily happen, that when he has occasion to apply to use his acquired knowledge, time and reflexion will be requifite to enable him to recollect it. In the case of those, on the other hand, who have not been accustomed to scientific pursuits; as their ideas are connected together according to the most obvious relations; when any one idea of a class is presented to the mind, it

is immediately followed by the others, which fucceed each other fpontaneously according to the laws of association. In managing, therefore, the little details of fome fubaltern employment, in which all that is required, is a knowledge of forms, and a disposition to observe them, the want of a systematical genius is an important advantage; because this want renders the mind peculiarly fusceptible of habits, and allows the train of its ideas to accommodate itself perfectly to the daily and hourly occurrences of its fituation. But if, in this respect, men of no general principles have an advantage over the philosopher, they fall greatly below him in another point of view; inafmuch as all the information which they possess, must necessarily be limited by their own proper experience; whereas the philosopher, who is accustomed to refer every thing to general principles, is not only enabled, by means of thefe, to arrange the facts which experience has taught him, but by reasoning from his principles synthetically, has it often in his power to determine facts a priori, which he has no opportunity of afcertaining by obfervation.

It follows farther, from the foregoing principles, that the intellectual defects of the philosopher, are of a much more corrigible nature, than those of the mere man of detail. If the former is thrown by accident into a scene of business, more time will perhaps be necessary to qualify him for it, than would be requisite for the generality of mankind; but time and experience will infallibly, sooner or later, familiarise his mind completely with his situation. A capacity for system and for philosophical arrangement, unless it has been

carefully cultivated in early life, is an acquisition which can scarcely ever be made afterwards; and, therefore, the defects which I already mentioned, as connected with early and constant habits of business, adopted from imitation, and undirected by theory; may, when once these habits are consirmed, be pronounced to be incurable.

I am also inclined to believe, both from a theoretical view of the fubject, and from my own observations as far as they have reached, that if we wish to fix the particulars of our knowledge very permanently in the memory, the most effectual way of doing it, is to refer them to general principles. Ideas which are connected together merely by cafual relations, prefent themselves with readiness to the mind, so long as we are forced by the habits of our fituation to apply them daily to use; but when a change of circumstances leads us to vary the objects of our attention, we find our old ideas gradually to escape from the recollection: and if it should happen that they escape from it altogether, the only method of recovering them, is by renewing those studies by which they were at first acquired. The case is very different with a man whose ideas, presented to him at first by accident, have been afterwards philosophically arranged and referred to general principles. When he wishes to recollect them, some time and reflexion will, frequently, be necessary to enable him to do fo; but the information which he has once completely acquired, continues, in general, to be an acquifition for life; or if, accidentally, any article of it should be lost, it may often be recovered by a process of reasoning.

Something very fimilar to this happens in the study of languages. A person who acquires a foreign language merely by the ear, and without any knowledge of its principles, commonly speaks it, while he remains in the country where it is spoken, with more readiness and sluency, than one who has studied it grammatically; but in the course of a few years absence, he finds himself almost as ignorant of it as before he acquired it. A language of which we once understand the principles thoroughly, it is hardly possible to lose by difuse.

A philosophical arrangement of our ideas is attended with another very important advantage. In a mind where the prevailing principles of affociation are founded on casual relations among the various objects of its knowledge, the thoughts must necessarily succeed each other in a very irregular and diforderly manner; and the occasions on which they prefent themselves, will be determined merely by accident. They will often occur, when they cannot be employed to any purpose; and will remain concealed from our view, when the recollection of them might be useful. They cannot therefore be confidered as under our own proper command. But in the case of a philosopher, how slow soever he may be in the recollection of his ideas, he knows always where he is to fearch for them, fo as to bring them all to bear on their proper object. When he wishes to avail himself of his past experience, or of his former conclusions, the occasion, itself, summons up every thought in his mind which the occasion requires. Or if he is called upon to exert his powers of invention, and of discovery, the materials of both are always at Ee 3 hand. hand, and are prefented to his view with fuch a degree of connexion and arrangement, as may enable him to trace, with ease, their various relations. How much invention depends upon a patient and attentive examination of our ideas, in order to discover the less obvious relations which subfift among them, I had occasion to show, at some length, in a former Chapter.

The remarks which have been now made, are fufficient to illustrate the advantages which the philosopher derives, in the pursuits of science, from that fort of fystematical memory which his habits of arrangement give him. It may however be doubted, whether fuch habits be equally favourable to a talent for agreeable conversation; at least, for that lively, varied, and unstudied conversation, which forms the principal charm of a promiscuous society. The conversation which pleafes generally, must unite the recommendations of quickness, of ease, and of variety: and in all these three respects, that of the philosopher is apt to be deficient. It is deficient in quickness, because his ideas are connected by relations which occur only to an attentive and collected mind. It is deficient in ease, because thefe relations are not the cafual and obvious ones, by which ideas are affociated in ordinary memories; but the flow discoveries of patient, and often painful, exertion. As the ideas, too, which he affociates together, are commonly of the same class, or at least are referred to the fame general principles, he is in danger of becoming tedious, by indulging himself in long and fyftematical discourses; while another, possessed of the most inferior accomplishments, by laying his mind completely open to impressions from without, and by accom-

accommodating continually the course of his own ideas, not only to the ideas which are started by his companions, but to every trifling and unexpected accident that may occur to give them a new direction, is the life and foul of every fociety into which he enters. Even the anecdotes which the philosopher has collected, however agreeable they may be in themselves, are seldom introduced by him into conversation, with that unstudied but happy propriety, which we admire in men of the world, whose facts are not referred to general principles, but are fuggested to their recollection by the familiar topics and occurrences of ordinary life. Nor is it the imputation of tediousness merely, to which the fystematical thinker must submit from common ob-It is but rarely possible to explain completely, in a promiscuous society, all the various parts of the most fimple theory; and as nothing appears weaker or more abfurd than a theory which is partially stated, it frequently happens, that men of ingenuity, by attempting it, fink, in the vulgar apprehension, below the level of ordinary understandings. "Theoriarum vires" (fays Lord Bacon) " in apta et se mutuo sustinente " partium harmonia et quadam in orbem demonstra-" tione confistunt, ideoque per partes traditæ infirmæ " funt."

Before leaving the fubject of Cafual Memory, it may not be improper to add, that, how much foever it may difqualify for fystematical speculation, there is a species of loose and rambling composition, to which it is peculiarly favourable. With such performances, it is often pleasant to unbend the mind in solitude, when we are more in the humour for conversation, than for connected thinking. Montaigne is unquestionably at the head of this class of authors. "What, indeed, are his Essays," (to adopt his own account of them,) "but grotesque "pieces of patchwork, put together without any cer- tain figure; or any order, connexion, or proportion, but what is accidental \*?"

It is, however, curious, that in confequence of the predominance in his mind of this species of Memory above every other, he is forced to acknowledge his total want of that command over his ideas, which can only be founded on habits of systematical arrangement. As the passage is extremely characteristical of the author, and affords a striking confirmation of some of the preceding observations, I shall give it in his own words. "Je ne me tiens pas bien en ma possession et disposition: le hazard y a plus de droit que moy: l'occa-

" fion, la compagnie, le branle même de ma voix tire plus de mon esprit, que je n'y trouve lors que je sonde

" et employe à part moy. Ceci m'advient aussi, que

" je ne me trouve pas où je me cherche; et me trouve

" plus par rencontre, que par l'inquisition de mon iugement t."

The differences which I have now pointed out between philosophical and casual Memory, constitute the most remarkable of all the varieties which the minds of different individuals, considered in respect to this faculty, present to our observation. But there are other varieties, of a less striking nature, the consideration of which may also suggest some useful reslexions.

It was before remarked, that our ideas are frequently

<sup>\*</sup> Liv. i. chap. 27.

<sup>†</sup> Liv. 1. chap. 10. (Du parler prompt ou tardif.)

affociated, in confequence of the affociations which take place among their arbitrary figns. Indeed, in the case of all our general speculations, it is difficult to see in what other way our thoughts can be affociated; for, I before endeavoured to shew, that, without the use of signs of one kind or another, it would be impossible for us to make classes or genera, objects of our attention.

All the figns by which our thoughts are expressed, are addressed either to the eye or to the ear; and the impressions made on these organs, at the time when we first receive an idea, contribute to give us a firmer hold of it. Visible objects (as I observed in the Chapter on Conception) are remembered more eafily than those of any of our other fenses: and hence it is, that the bulk of mankind are more aided in their recollection by the impressions made on the eye, than by those made on the ear. Every person must have remarked, in studying the elements of geometry, how much his recollection of the theorems was aided, by the diagrams which are connected with them: and I have little doubt, that the difficulty which students commonly find to remember the propositions of the fifth book of Euclid, arises chiefly from this, that the magnitudes to which they relate, are reprefented by straight lines, which do not make fo strong an impression on the memory, as the figures which illustrate the propositions in the other five books.

This advantage, which the objects of fight naturally have over those of hearing, in the distinctness and the permanence of the impressions which they make on the memory, continues, and even increases, through life, in the case of the bulk of mankind; because their minds, being but little addicted to general and abstract disquisition, are habitually occupied, either with the immediate perception of such objects, or with speculations in which the conception of them is more or less involved; which speculations, so far as they relate to individual things and individual events, may be carried on with little or no assistance from language.

The case is different with the philosopher, whose habits of abstraction and generalisation lay him continually under a necessity of employing words as an instrument of thought. Such habits co-operating with that inattention, which he is apt to contract to things external, must have an obvious tendency to weaken the original powers of recollection and conception with respect to visible objects; and, at the same time, to strengthen the power of retaining propositions and reasonings expressed in language. The common system of education, too, by exercising the memory so much in the acquisition of grammar rules, and of passages from the antient authors, contributes greatly, in the case of men of letters, to cultivate a capacity for retaining words.

It is furprifing, of what a degree of culture, our power of retaining a fuccession, even of infignificant founds, is susceptible. Instances sometimes occur, of men who are easily able to commit to memory, a long poem, composed in a language of which they are wholly ignorant; and I have, myself, known more than one instance, of an individual, who, after having forgotten completely the classical studies of his childhood, was yet able to repeat, with fluency, long passages from Homer

and Virgil, without annexing an idea to the words that he uttered.

This fusceptibility of memory with respect to words. is possessed by all men in a very remarkable degree in their early years, and is, indeed, necessary to enable them to acquire the use of language; but unless it be carefully cultivated afterwards by constant exercise, it gradually decays as we advance to maturity. The plan of education which is followed in this country, however imperfect in many respects, falls in happily with this arrangement of nature, and stores the mind richly, even in infancy, with intellectual treasures, which are to remain with it through life. The rules of grammar, which comprehend systems, more or less perfect, of the principles of the dead languages, take a permanent hold of the memory, when the understanding is yet unable to comprehend their import; and the classical remains of antiquity, which, at the time we acquire them, do little more than furnish a gratification to the ear, fupply us with inexhaustible fources of the most refined enjoyment; and, as our various powers gradually unfold themselves, are poured forth, without effort, from the memory, to delight the imagination, and to improve the heart. It cannot be doubted, that a great variety of other articles of useful knowledge, particularly with refpect to geographical and chronological details, might be communicated with advantage to children in the form of memorial lines. It is only in childhood, that fuch details can be learned with facility; and if they were once acquired, and rendered perfectly familiar to the mind, our riper years would be spared much of that painful and uninteresting labour, which

is perpetually distracting our intellectual powers, from those more important exertions, for which, in their mature state, they seem to be destined.

This tendency of literary habits in general, and more particularly of philosophical pursuits, to exercise the thoughts about words, can fcarcely fail to have fome effect in weakening the powers of recollection and conception with respect to sensible objects; and, in fact, I believe it will be found, that whatever advantage the philosopher may possess over men of little education, in flating general propositions and general reasonings, he is commonly inferior to them in point of minuteness and accuracy, when he attempts to describe any object which he has feen, or any event which he has witneffed; fupposing the curiosity of both, in such cases, to be interested in an equal degree. I acknowledge, indeed, that the undivided attention, which men unaccustomed to reflexion are able to give to the objects of their perceptions, is, in part, the cause of the liveliness and correctness of their conceptions.

With this diversity in the intellectual habits of cultivated and of uncultivated minds, there is another variety of memory which seems to have some connexion. In recognizing visible objects, the memory of one man proceeds on the general appearance, that of another attaches itself to some minute and distinguishing marks. A peasant knows the various kinds of trees from their general habits; a botanist, from those characteristical circumstances on which his classification proceeds. The last kind of memory is, I think, most common among literary men, and arises from their habit of recollecting by means of words. It is evidently much

easier to express by a description, a number of botanical marks, than the general habit of a tree; and the same remark is applicable to other cases of a similar nature. But to whatever cause we ascribe it, there can be no doubt of the fact, that many individuals are to be found, and chiefly among men of letters, who, although they have no memory for the general appearances of objects, are yet able to retain, with correctness, an immense number of technical discriminations.

Each of these kinds of memory, has its peculiar advantages and inconveniencies, which the dread of being tedious induces me to leave to the investigation of my readers.

#### SECTION III.

Of the Improvement of Memory. —— Analysis of the Principles on which the Culture of Memory depends.

THE improvement of which the mind is susceptible by culture, is more remarkable, perhaps, in the case of Memory, than in that of any other of our faculties. The fact has been often taken notice of in general terms; but I am doubtful if the particular mode in which culture operates on this part of our constitution, has been yet examined by philosophers with the attention which it deserves.

Of one fort of culture, indeed, of which Memory is fusceptible in a very striking degree, no explanation can be given; I mean the improvement which the original faculty acquires by mere exercise; or, in other words, the tendency which practice has to increase our

natural

natural facility of affociation. This effect of practice upon the memory, feems to be an ultimate law of our nature; or rather, to be a particular inflance of that general law, that all our powers, both of body and mind, may be ftrengthened, by applying them to their

proper purpofes.

Befides, however, the improvement which Memory admits of, in consequence of the effects of exercise on the original faculty, it may be greatly aided in its operations, by those expedients which reason and experience fuggest for employing it to the best advantage. These expedients furnish a curious subject of philosophical examination: perhaps, too, the inquiry may not be altogether without use; for, although our principal refources for affifting the memory be fuggefted by nature, yet it is reasonable to think, that in this, as in fimilar cases, by following out fystematically the hints which fhe fuggefts to us, a farther preparation may be made for our intellectual improvement.

Every perfon must have remarked, in entering upon any new species of study, the difficulty of treasuring up in the memory its elementary principles; and the growing facility which he acquires in this respect, as his knowledge becomes more extensive. By analysing the different causes which concur in producing this facility, we may, perhaps, be led to fome conclusions which may admit of a practical application.

1. In every science, the ideas about which it is peculiarly converfant, are connected together by fome particular affociating principle; in one science, for example, by affociations founded on the relation of cause and effect; in another, by associations founded

on the necessary relations of mathematical truths; in a third, on affociations founded on contiguity in place or time. Hence one cause of the gradual improvement of memory with respect to the familiar objects of our knowledge; for whatever be the prevailing affociating principle among the ideas about which we are habitually occupied, it must necessarily acquire additional strength from our favourite study.

2. In proportion as a fcience becomes more familiar to us, we acquire a greater command of attention with respect to the objects about which it is conversant; for the information which we already possess, gives us an interest in every new truth and every new fact which have any relation to it. In most cases, our habits of inattention may be traced to a want of curiosity; and therefore such habits are to be corrected, not by endeavouring to force the attention in particular instances, but by gradually learning to place the ideas which we wish to remember, in an interesting point of view.

3. When we first enter on any new literary pursuit, we are unable to make a proper discrimination in point of utility and importance, among the ideas which are presented to us; and by attempting to grasp at every thing, we fail in making those moderate acquisitions which are suited to the limited powers of the human mind. As our information extends, our selection becomes more judicious and more confined; and our knowledge of useful and connected truths advances rapidly, from our ceasing to distract the attention with such as are detached and insignificant.

4. Every object of our knowledge is related to a variety of others; and may be prefented to the thoughts,

fome-

fometimes by one principle of affociation, and fometimes by another. In proportion, therefore, to the multiplication of mutual relations among our ideas, (which is the natural refult of growing information, and in particular, of habits of philosophical study,) the greater will be the number of occasions on which they will recur to the recollection, and the firmer will be the root which each idea, in particular, will take in the memory.

It follows, too, from this observation, that the facility of retaining a new fact, or a new idea, will depend on the number of relations which it bears to the former objects of our knowledge; and, on the other hand, that every such acquisition, so far from loading the memory, gives us a firmer hold of all that part of our previous information, with which it is in any degree connected.

It may not, perhaps, be improper to take this opportunity of observing, although the remark be not immediately connected with our present subject, that the accession made to the stock of our knowledge, by the new facts and ideas which we acquire, is not to be estimated merely by the number of these facts and ideas considered individually; but by the number of relations which they bear to one another, and to all the different particulars which were previously in the mind; for "new knowledge," (as Mr. Maclaurin has well remarked \*,) "does not consist so much in our having access to a new object, as in comparing it with others already known, observing its relations to them, or

<sup>\*</sup> See the Conclusion of his View of NEWTON's Discoveries.

<sup>&</sup>quot; difcern-

" differing what it has in common with them, and 
wherein their differity confifts: and, therefore, our 
knowledge is vaftly greater than the fum of what

" all its objects feparately could afford; and when a

" all its objects separately could afford; and when a mew object comes within our reach, the addition to

" our knowledge is the greater, the more we already

" know; fo that it increases, not as the new objects

" increase, but in a much higher proportion."

5. In the last place, the natural powers of Memory are, in the case of the philosopher, greatly aided by his peculiar habits of classification and arrangement. As this is by far the most important improvement of which Memory is susceptible, I shall consider it more particularly than any of the others I have mentioned.

The advantages which the memory derives from a proper claffification of our ideas, may be best conceived by attending to its effects in enabling us to conduct with ease, the common business of life. In what inextricable confusion would the lawyer or the merchant be immediately involved, if he were to deposit, in his cabinet, promifcuoufly, the various written documents which daily and hourly pass through his hands? Nor could this confusion be prevented by the natural powers of memory, however vigorous they might happen to be. By a proper distribution of these documents, and a judicious reference of them to a few general titles, a very ordinary memory is enabled to accomplish more, than the most retentive, unaffished by method. We know, with certainty, where to find any article we may have occasion for, if it be in our posfession; and the search is confined within reasonable Ff limits.

limits, instead of being allowed to wander at random

amidst a chaos of particulars.

434

Or, to take an inflance still more immediately applicable to our purpose: suppose that a man of letters were to record, in a common-place book, without any method, all the various ideas and facts which occurred to him in the course of his studies; what difficulties would he perpetually experience in applying his acquifitions to use? and how completely and easily might these difficulties be obviated by referring the particulars of his information to certain general heads? It is obvious, too, that, by doing fo, he would not only have his knowledge much more completely under his command, but as the particulars claffed together would all have fome connexion, more or lefs, with each other, he would be enabled to trace, with advantage, those mutual relations among his ideas, which it is the object of philosophy to ascertain.

A common-place book, conducted without any method, is an exact picture of the memory of a man whose inquiries are not directed by philosophy. And the advantages of order in treasuring up our ideas in the mind, are perfectly analogous to its effects when

they are recorded in writing.

Nor is this all. In order to retain our knowledge distinctly and permanently, it is necessary that we should frequently recal it to our recollection. But how can this be done without the aid of arrangement? Or supposing that it were possible, how much time and labour would be necessary for bringing under our review the various particulars of which our information is composed? In proportion as it is properly systematised,

this time and labour are abridged. The mind dwells habitually, not on detached facts, but on a comparatively fmall number of general principles; and, by means of these, it can summon up, as occasions may require, an infinite number of particulars affociated with them; each of which, considered as a solitary truth, would have been as burthensome to the memory, as the general principle with which it is connected.

I would not wish it to be understood from these obfervations, that philosophy consists in classification alone; and that its only use is to affift the memory. I have often, indeed, heard this afferted in general terms; but it appears to me to be obvious, that although this be one of its most important uses, yet something more is necessary to complete the definition of it. Were the case otherwise, it would follow, that all classifications are equally philosophical, provided they are equally comprehensive. The very great importance of this subject will, I hope, be a sufficient apology for me, in taking this opportunity to correct some mistaken opinions which have been formed concerning it.

### SECTION IV.

Continuation of the same Subject. — Aid which the Memory derives from Philosophical Arrangement.

The was before observed, that the great use of the faculty of Memory, is to enable us to treasure up, for the future regulation of our conduct, the results of our past experience, and of our past reslexions. But in every

case in which we judge of the future from the past, we must proceed on the belief, that there is, in the course of events, a certain degree, at least, of uniformity. And, accordingly, this belief is not only justified by experience, but (as Dr. Reid has shewn, in a very satisfactory manner,) it forms a part of the original constitution of the human mind. In the general laws of the material world, this uniformity is found to be complete; insomuch that, in the same combinations of circumstances, we expect, with the most perfect assurance, that the same results will take place. In the moral world, the course of events does not appear to be equally regular; but still it is regular, to so great a degree, as to afford us many rules of importance in the conduct of life.

A knowledge of Nature, in fo far as it is abfolutely necessary for the prefervation of our animal existence, is obtruded on us, without any reflexion on our part, from our earliest infancy. It is thus that children learn of themselves to accommodate their conduct to the established laws of the material world. In doing so, they are guided merely by memory, and the instinctive principle of anticipation, which has just been mentioned.

In forming conclusions concerning future events, the philosopher, as well as the infant, can only build with fafety on past experience; and he, too, as well as the infant, proceeds on an instinctive belief, for which he is unable to account, of the uniformity of the laws of nature. There are, however, two important respects, which distinguish the knowledge he possesses from that of ordinary men. In the first place, it is far more extensive,

extensive, in consequence of the affistance which science gives to his natural powers of invention and discovery. Secondly, it is not only more easily retained in the memory, and more conveniently applied to use, in consequence of the manner in which his ideas are arranged; but it enables him to ascertain, by a process of reasoning, all those truths which may be synthetically deduced from his general principles. The illustration of these particulars will lead to some useful remarks; and will at the same time shew, that, in discussing the subject of this Section, I have not lost sight of the inquiry which occasioned it.

- I. I. It was already remarked, that the natural powers of Memory, together with that instinctive anticipation of the future from the past, which forms one of the original principles of the mind, are fufficient to enable infants, after a very short experience, to preferve their animal existence. The laws of nature, which it is not so important for us to know, and which are the objects of philosophical curiofity, are not fo obvioufly exposed to our view, but are, in general, brought to light by means of experiments which are made for the purpose of discovery; or, in other words, by artificial combinations of circumstances, which we have no opportunity of feeing conjoined in the courfe of our ordinary experience. In this manner, it is evident, that many connexions may be afcertained, which would never have occurred fpontaneously to our observation.
- 2. There are, too, fome inflances, particularly in the case of the astronomical phenomena, in which events, that appear to common observers to be altogether.

  Ff 3 gether

gether anomalous, are found, upon a more accurate and continued examination of them, to be subjected to a regular law. Such are those phenomena in the heavens, which we are able to predict by means of Cycles. In the cases formerly described, our knowledge of nature is extended by placing her in new fituations. In these cases, it is extended by continuing our observations beyond the limits of ordinary curiofity.

3. In the case of human affairs, as long as we confine our attention to particulars, we do not observe the fame uniformity, as in the phenomena of the material world. When, however, we extend our views to events which depend on a combination of different circumstances, such a degree of uniformity appears, as enables us to establish general rules, from which probable conjectures may often be formed with refpect to futurity. It is thus, that we can pronounce, with much greater confidence, concerning the proportion of deaths which shall happen in a certain period among a given number of men, than we can predict the death of any individual; and that it is more reasonable to employ our fagacity, in speculating concerning the probable determinations of a numerous fociety, than concerning events which depend on the will of a fingle person.

In what manner this uniformity in events depending on contingent circumftances is produced, I shall not inquire at prefent. The advantages which we derive from it are obvious, as it enables us to collect, from our past experience, many general rules, both with respect to the history of political societies, and the characters and conduct of men in private life.

4. In the last place; the knowledge of the philofopher is more extensive than that of other men, in consequence of the attention which he gives, not merely to objects and events, but to the *relations* which different objects and different events bear to each other.

The observations and the experience of the vulgar are almost wholly limited to things perceived by the senses. A similarity between different objects, or between different events, rouses their curiosity, and leads them to classification, and to general rules. But a similarity between different relations, is seldom to be traced without previous habits of philosophical inquiry. Many such similarities or connexions, however, are to be found in nature; and when once they are ascertained, they frequently lead to important discoveries; not only with respect to other relations, but with respect to the objects or to the events which are related. These remarks it will be necessary to illustrate more particularly.

The great object of Geometry is to afcertain the relations which exist between different quantities, and the connexions which exist between different relations. When we demonstrate, that the angle at the centre of a circle is double of the angle at the circumference on the same base, we afcertain a relation between two quantities. When we demonstrate, that triangles of the same altitude are to each other as their bases, we afcertain a connexion between two relations. It is obvious, how much the mathematical sciences must contribute to enlarge our knowledge of the universe, in consequence of such discoveries. In

Ff4

that simplest of all processes of practical geometry, which teaches us to measure the height of an acceffible tower, by comparing the length of its shadow with that of a staff fixed vertically in the ground, we proceed on the principle, that the relation between the shadow of the staff and the height of the staff is the fame with the relation between the shadow of the tower and the height of the tower. But the former relation we can afcertain by actual measurement; and, of confequence, we not only obtain the other relation; but, as we can measure one of the related quantities, we obtain also the other quantity. In every case in which mathematics assists us in measuring the magnitudes or the distances of objects, it proceeds on the fame principle; that is, it begins with afcertaining connexions among different relations, and thus enables us to carry our inquiries from facts which are exposed to the examination of our fenses, to the most remote parts of the universe.

I observed also, that there are various relations existing among physical events, and various connexions existing among these relations. It is owing to this circumstance, that mathematics is so useful an instrument in the hands of the physical inquirer. In that beautiful theorem of Huyghens, which demonstrates, that the time of a complete oscillation of a pendulum in the cycloid, is to the time in which a body would fall through the axis of the cycloid, as the circumsternee of a circle is to its diameter, we are made acquainted with a very curious and unexpected connexion between two relations; and the knowledge of this connexion facilitates the determination of a most import-

important fact with respect to the descent of heavy bodies near the earth's furface, which could not be afcertained conveniently by a direct experiment.

In examining, with attention, the relations among different phyfical events, and the connexions among different relations, we fometimes are led by mere induction to the discovery of a general law; while, to ordinary observers, nothing appears but irregularity. From the writings of the earlier opticians we learn, that, in examining the first principles of dioptrics, they were led, by the analogy of the law of reflexion, to fearch for the relation between the angles of incidence and refraction, (in the case of light passing from one medium into another,) in the angles themfelves; and that fome of them, finding this inquiry unfuccefsful, took the trouble to determine, by experiments, (in the case of the media which most frequently fall under confideration,) the angle of refraction corresponding to every minute of incidence. Some very laborious tables, deduced from fuch experiments, are to be found in the works of Kircher. At length, Snellius discovered what is now called the law of refraction, which comprehends their whole contents in a fingle fentence.

The law of the planetary motions, deduced by Kepler, from the observations of Tycho Brahe, is another striking illustration of the order, which an attentive inquirer is sometimes able to trace, among the relations of physical events, when the events themselves appear, on a superficial view, to be perfectly anomalous.

442

Such laws are, in fome refpects, analogous to the cycles which I have already mentioned; but they differ from them in this, that a cycle is, commonly, deduced from observations made on physical events which are obvious to the fenses; whereas the laws we have now been considering, are deduced from an examination of relations which are known only to men of science. The most celebrated astronomical cycles, accordingly, are of a very remote antiquity, and were probably discovered at a period, when the study of astronomy consisted merely in accumulating and recording the more striking appearances of the heavens.

II. Having now endeavoured to fhew, how much philosophy contributes to extend our knowledge of facts, by aiding our natural powers of invention and discovery, I proceed to explain, in what manner it superfedes the necessity of studying particular truths, by putting us in possession of a comparatively small number of general principles in which they are involved.

I already remarked the affiftance which philosophy gives to the memory, in consequence of the arrangement it introduces among our ideas. In this respect even a hypothetical theory may facilitate the recollection of facts; in the same manner in which the memory is aided in remembering the objects of natural history by artificial classifications.

The advantages, however, we derive from true philosophy, are incomparably greater than what are to be expected from any hypothetical theories. These, indeed, may affift us in recollecting the particulars

we are already acquainted with; but it is only from the laws of nature, which have been traced analytically from facts, that we can venture, with fafety, to deduce confequences by reafoning *a priori*. An example will illustrate and confirm this observation.

Suppose that a glass tube, thirty inches long, is filled with mercury, excepting eight inches, and is inverted as in the Torricellian experiment, fo that the eight inches of common air may rife to the top; and that I wish to know at what height the mercury will remain suspended in the tube, the barometer being at that time twenty-eight inches high. There is here a combination of different laws, which it is necessary to attend to, in order to be able to predict the refult. 1. The air is a heavy fluid, and the pressure of the atmosphere is measured by the column of mercury in the barometer. 2. The air is an elastic fluid; and its elasticity at the earth's furface (as it resists the preffure of the atmosphere) is measured by the column of mercury in the barometer. 3. In different states, the elastic force of the air is reciprocally as the spaces which it occupies. But, in this experiment, the mercury which remains fuspended in the tube, together with the elastic force of the air in the top of the tube, is a counterbalance to the pressure of the atmosphere; and therefore their joint effect must be equal to the pressure of a column of mercury twenty-eight inches high. Hence we obtain an algebraical equation, which affords an eafy folution of the problem. It is further evident, that my knowledge of the phyfical laws which are here combined, puts it in my power to foretel the refult, not only in this cafe, but in all the

444

the cases of a similar nature which can be supposed. The problem, in any particular instance, might be solved by making the experiment; but the result would be of no use to me, if the slightest alteration were made on the data.

It is in this manner that philosophy, by putting us in possession of a few general facts, enables us to determine, by reasoning, what will be the result of any supposed combination of them, and thus to comprehend an infinite variety of particulars, which no memory, however vigorous, would have been able to retain. In confequence of the knowledge of fuch general facts the philosopher is relieved from the necessity of treasuring up in his mind, all those truths which are involved in his principles, and which may be deduced from them by reasoning; and he can often prosecute his discoveries synthetically, in those parts of the universe which he has no access to examine by immediate obfervation. There is, therefore, this important difference between the hypothetical theory, and a theory obtained by induction; that the latter not only enables us to remember the facts we already know, but to afcertain by reasoning, many facts which we have never had an opportunity of examining: whereas when we reason from a hypothesis a priori, we are almost certain of running into error; and, confequently, whatever may be its use to the memory, it can never be trusted to, in judging of cases which have not previously fallen within our experience.

There are fome sciences, in which hypothetical theories are more useful than in others; those sciences, to wit, in which we have occasion for an extensive

knowledge and a ready recollection of facts, and which, at the fame time, are yet in too imperfect a ftate to allow us to obtain just theories by the method of induction. This is particularly the case in the science of medicine, in which we are under a necessity to apply our knowledge, fuch as it is, to practice. It is also, in fome degree, the cafe in agriculture. In the merely speculative parts of physics and chemistry, we may go on patiently accumulating facts, without forming any one conclusion, farther than our facts authorise us; and leave to posterity the credit of establishing the theory to which our labours are fubservient. But in medicine, in which it is of confequence to have our knowledge at command, it feems reasonable to think, that hypothetical theories may be used with advantage; provided always, that they are confidered merely in the light of artificial memories, and that the student is prepared to lay them aside, or to correct them, in proportion as his knowledge of nature becomes more extensive. I am, indeed, ready to confess, that this is a caution which it is more easy to give than to follow: for it is painful to change any of our habits of arrangement, and to relinquish those fystems in which we have been educated, and which have long flattered us with an idea of our own wifdom. Dr. Gregory mentions \* it as a striking and distinguishing circumstance in the character of Sydenham, that, although full of hypothetical reasoning, it did not render him the less attentive to observation; and that his hypotheses seem to have sat so

<sup>\*</sup> Lectures on the Duties and Qualifications of a Phylician. loofely

definition of the Philosophy Chap. VI. loofely about him, that either they did not influence his practice at all, or he could eafily abandon them, whenever they would not bend to his experience.

## SECTION V.

Continuation of the same Subject. — Effects produced on the Memory by committing to Writing our acquired Knowledge.

AVING treated at confiderable length of the improvement of memory, it may not be improper, before leaving this part of the subject, to confider what effects are likely to be produced on the mind by the practice of committing to writing our acquired knowledge. That such a practice is unfavourable, in some respects, to the faculty of memory, by superfeding, to a certain degree, the necessity of its exertions, has been often remarked, and I believe is true; but the advantages with which it is attended in other respects, are so important, as to overbalance greatly this trisling inconvenience.

It is not my intention at prefent to examine and compare together the different methods which have been proposed, of keeping a common-place book. In this, as in other cases of a similar kind, it may be difficult, perhaps, or impossible, to establish any rules which will apply universally. Individuals must be left to judge for themselves, and to adapt their contrivances to the particular nature of their literary pursuits, and to their own peculiar habits of association and arrangement. The remarks which I am to offer

are very general, and are intended merely to illustrate a few of the advantages which the art of writing affords to the philosopher, for recording, in the course of his progress through life, the results of his speculations, and the fruits of his experience.

The utility of writing, in enabling one generation to transmit its discoveries to another, and in thus giving rise to a gradual progress in the species, has been sufficiently illustrated by many authors. Little attention, however, has been paid to another of its effects, which is no less important; I mean, to the foundation which it lays for a perpetual progress in the intellectual powers of the individual.

It is to experience, and to our own reflections, that we are indebted for by far the most valuable part of our knowledge; and hence it is, that although in youth the imagination may be more vigorous, and the genius more original, than in advanced years; yet, in the case of a man of observation and inquiry, the judgement may be expected, at least as long as his faculties remain in perfection, to become every day founder and more enlightened. It is, however, only by the constant practice of writing, that the results of our experience, and the progress of our ideas, can be accurately recorded. If they are trusted merely to the memory, they will gradually vanish from it like a dream, or will come in time to be fo blended with the fuggestions of imagination, that we shall not be able to reason from them with any degree of confidence. What improvements in science might we not flatter ourselves with the hopes of accomplishing, had we only activity and industry to treasure up every plaufible plaufible hint that occurs to us! Hardly a day paffes, when many fuch do not occur to ourfelves, or are fuggefted by others: and detached and infulated, as they may appear at prefent, fome of them may perhaps afterwards, at the diftance of years, furnish the keyftone of an important fystem.

But it is not only in this point of view that the philosopher derives advantage from the practice of writing. Without its affiftance, he could feldom be able to advance beyond those simple elementary truths which are current in the world, and which form, in the various branches of fcience, the established creed of the age he lives in. How inconfiderable would have been the progress of mathematicians, in their more abstruse speculations, without the aid of the algebraical notation; and to what fublime difcoveries have they been led by this beautiful contrivance, which, by relieving the memory of the effort necesfary for recollecting the steps of a long investigation, has enabled them to profecute an infinite variety of inquiries, to which the unaffifted powers of the human mind would have been altogether unequal! In the other sciences, it is true, we have seldom or never occasion to follow out such long chains of consequences as in mathematics; but in these sciences, if the chain of investigation be shorter, it is far more difficult to make the transition from one link to another; and it is only by dwelling long on our ideas, and rendering them perfectly familiar to us, that fuch transitions can, in most instances, be made with safety. In morals and politics, when we advance a step beyond those elementary truths which are daily prefented

fented to us in books or conversation, there is no method of rendering our conclusions familiar to us, but by committing them to writing, and making them frequently the fubjects of our meditation. When we have once done for these conclusions become elementary truths with respect to us; and we may advance from them with confidence to others which are more remote, and which are far beyond the reach of vulgar difcovery. By following fuch a plan, we can hardly fail to have our industry rewarded in due time by some important improvement; and it is only by fuch a plan that we can reasonably hope to extend considerably the boundaries of human knowledge. I do not fay that thefe habits of study are equally favourable to brilliancy of conversation. On the contrary, I believe that those men who poffefs this accomplishment in the highest degree, are fuch as do not advance beyond elementary truths; or rather, perhaps, who advance only a fingle ftep beyond them; that is, who think a little more deeply than the vulgar, but whose conclusions are not fo far removed from common opinions, as to render it necessary for them, when called upon to defend them, to exhaust the patience of their hearers, by stating a long train of intermediate ideas. They who have pushed their inquiries much farther than the common fystems of their times, and have rendered familiar to their own minds the intermediate steps by which they have been led to their conclufions, are too apt to conceive other men to be in the fame fituation with themselves; and when they mean to instruct, are mortified to find that they are only

Gg

regarded

regarded as paradoxical and visionary. It is but rarely we find a man of very splendid and various conversation to be possessed of a profound judgment, or of great originality of genius.

Nor is it merely to the philosopher, who wishes to distinguish himself by his discoveries, that writing affords an useful instrument of study. Important assistance may be derived from it by all those who wish to impress on their minds the investigations which occur to them in the course of their reading; for although writing may weaken (as I already acknowledged it does) a memory for detached observations, or for insulated facts, it will be found the only effectual method of fixing in it permanently, those acquisitions which involve long processes of reasoning.

When we are employed in inquiries of our own, the conclusions which we form make a much deeper and more lafting impression on the memory, than any knowledge which we imbibe paffively from another. is undoubtedly owing, in part, to the effect which the ardour of discovery has, in rousing the activity of the mind, and in fixing its attention; but I apprehend it is chiefly to be ascribed to this, that when we follow out a train of thinking of our own, our ideas are arranged in that order which is most agreeable to our prevailing habits of affociation. The only method of putting our acquired knowledge on a level, in this respect, with our original speculations, is, after making ourselves acquainted with our author's ideas, to study the fubject over again in our own way; to paufe, from time to time, in the course of our reading, in order to confider what we have gained; to recollect what the

reads

propositions are, which the author wishes to establish, and to examine the different proofs which he employs to fupport them. In making fuch an experiment, we commonly find, that the different steps of the process arrange themselves in our minds, in a manner different from that in which the author has stated them; and that, while his argument feems, in fome places, obscure, from its concileness; it is tedious in others, from being unnecessarily expanded. When we have reduced the reasoning to that form, which appears to ourselves to be the most natural and satisfactory, we may conclude with certainty, not that this form is better in itself than another, but that it is the best adapted to our memory. Such reasonings, therefore, as we have occasion frequently to apply, either in the business of life, or in the course of our studies, it is of importance to us to commit to writing, in a language and in an order of our own; and if, at any time, we find it necessary to refresh our recollection on the fubject, to have recourse to our own composition, in preference to that of any other author.

That the plan of reading which is commonly followed is very different from that which I have been recommending, will not be difputed. Most people read merely to pass an idle hour, or to please themselves with the idea of employment, while their indolence prevents them from any active exertion; and a considerable number with a view to the display which they are afterwards to make of their literary acquisitions. From whichsoever of these motives a person is led to the perusal of books, it is hardly possible that he can derive from them any material advantage. If he

Gg2

" Arguments are often wanted for fome accidental pur" pose; but proof, as such, is what they never want,

" real truth of the case, seems, to the generality of people, a circumstance of little or no importance."

" for their own fatisfaction of mind, or conduct in life. Not to mention the multitudes who read mere-

" ly for the fake of talking, or to qualify themselves

" for the world, or fome fuch kind of reasons; there
" are even of the few who read for their own enter-

"tainment, and have a real curiofity to fee what is

" faid, feveral, which is aftonishing, who have no fort

" of curiofity to fee what is true: I fay curiofity, be-

<sup>\*</sup> See the Preface to his Sermons.

"cause it is too obvious to be mentioned how much that religious and facred attention which is due to truth, and to the important question, what is the rule of life, is lost out of the world.

"For the fake of this whole class of readers, for they are of different capacities, different kinds, and get into this way from different occasions, I have often wished that it had been the custom to lay before people nothing in matters of argument but premises, and leave them to draw conclusions themselves; which, although it could not be done in all cases, might in many.

"The great number of books and papers of amufement, which, of one kind or another, daily come in
one's way, have in part occasioned, and most perfectly fall in with and humour this idle way of
reading and considering things. By this means,
time, even in solitude, is happily got rid of without
the pain of attention; neither is any part of it more
put to the account of idleness, (one can scarce forbear saying, is spent with less thought,) than great

" part of that which is fpent in reading."

If the plan of study which I formerly described were adopted, it would undoubtedly diminish very much the number of books which it would be possible to turn over; but I am convinced that it would add greatly to the stock of useful and solid knowledge; and by rendering our acquired ideas in some measure our own, would give us a more ready and practical command of them: not to mention, that if we are possessed of any inventive powers, such exercises would continually furnish them with an opportunity of displaying themselves

upon all the different fubjects which may pass under our review.

Nothing, in truth, has fuch a tendency to weaken, not only the powers of invention, but the intellectual powers in general, as a habit of extensive and various reading, without reflection. The activity and force of the mind are gradually impaired, in consequence of disuse; and not unfrequently all our principles and opinions come to be lost, in the infinite multiplicity and discordancy of our acquired ideas.

By confining our ambition to purfue the truth with modesty and candour, and learning to value our acquisitions only as far as they contribute to make us wifer and happier, we may perhaps be obliged to facrifice the temporary admiration of the common dispensers of literary fame; but we may rest affured, that it is in this way only we can hope to make real progress in knowledge, or to enrich the world with useful inventions.

"It requires courage, indeed," (as Helvetius has remarked,) "to remain ignorant of those useless sub"jects which are generally valued;" but it is a courage necessary to men who either love the truth, or who aspire to establish a permanent reputation.

#### SECTION VI.

Continuation of the same Subject. - Of Artificial Memory.

DY an Artificial Memory is meant, a method of connecting in the mind, things difficult to be remembered, with things eafily remembered; fo as to enable it to retain, and to recollect the former, by means of the latter. For this purpose, various contrivances have been proposed, but I think the foregoing definition applies to all of them.

Some forts of artificial memory are intended to affift the natural powers of the human mind on particular occasions, which require a more than ordinary effort of recollection; for example, to affift a public speaker to recollect the arrangement of a long difcourfe. Others have been devifed with a view to enable us to extend the circle of our acquired knowledge, and to give us a more ready command of all the various particulars of our information.

The topical Memory fo much celebrated among the ancient rhetoricians, comes under the former description.

I already remarked, the effect of fenfible objects in recalling to the mind the ideas with which it happened to be occupied, at the time when these objects were formerly perceived. In travelling along a road, the fight of the more remarkable scenes we meet with, frequently puts us in mind of the subjects we were thinking or talking of when we last faw them. Such facts, which are perfectly familiar even to the vulgar, Gg4

might

456 ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. VI. might very naturally suggest the possibility of assisting the memory, by establishing a connexion between the ideas we wish to remember, and certain fensible objects, which have been found from experience to make a permanent impression on the mind \*. I have been told of a young woman, in a very low rank of life, who contrived a method of committing to memory the fermons which she was accustomed to hear, by fixing her attention, during the different heads of the difcourse, on different compartments of the roof of the church; in fuch a manner, as that when she afterwards faw the roof, or recollected the order in which its compartments were disposed, she recollected the method which the preacher had observed in treating his subject. This contrivance was perfectly analogous to the topical memory of the ancients; an art which, whatever be the opinion we entertain of its use, is certainly entitled, in a high degree, to the praife of ingenuity.

Suppose that I were to fix in my memory the different apartments in some very large building, and that I had accustomed myself to think of these apartments always in the same invariable order. Suppose farther, that, in preparing myself for a public discourse, in which I had occasion to treat of a great variety of particulars, I was anxious to fix in my memory the order I proposed to observe in the communication of

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Cum in loca aliqua post tempus reversi sumus, non ipsa ag"noscimus tantum, sed etiam, quæ in his fecerimus, reminiscimur,
"personæque subeunt, nonnunquam tacitæ quoque cogitationes in
"mentem revertuntur. Nata est igitur, ut in plerisque, ars ab ex"perimento."

my ideas. It is evident, that by a proper division of my fubject into heads, and by connecting each head with a particular apartment, (which I could easily do, by conceiving myself to be sitting in the apartment while I was studying the part of my discourse I meant to connect with it), the habitual order in which these apartments occurred to my thoughts, would present to me, in their proper arrangement, and without any effort on my part, the ideas of which I was to treat. It is also obvious, that a very little practice would enable me to avail myself of this contrivance, without any embarrassment or distraction of my attention \*.

As to the utility of this art, it appears to me to depend entirely on the particular object which we suppose the speaker to have in view; whether, as was too often the case with the antient rhetoricians, to bewilder a judge, and to silence an adversary; or fairly and candidly to lead an audience to the truth. On the former supposition, nothing can possibly give an orator a greater superiority, than the possession of a secret, which, while it enables him to express himself with facility and the appearance of method, puts it in his power, at the same time, to dispose his arguments and

<sup>\*</sup> In fo far as it was the object of this species of artificial memory to assist an orator in recollecting the plan and arrangement of his discourse, the accounts of it, which are given by the antient rhetoricians are abundantly satisfactory. It appears, however, that its use was more extensive; and that it was so contrived, as to facilitate the recollection of a premeditated composition. In what manner this was done, it is not easy to conjecture from the imperfect explanations of the art, which have been transmitted to modern times. The reader may consult Ciceno de Orat. lib. ii. cap 87, 88.—Rhetor. ad Herennium, lib. iii. cap. 16. et seq.—Quinctil. Inst. Orat. lib. xi. cap. 2.

his facts, in whatever order he judges to be the most proper to mislead the judgment, and to perplex the memory, of those whom he addresses. And such, it is manifest, is the effect, not only of the topical memory of the antients, but of all other contrivances which aid the recollection, upon any principle different from the natural and logical arrangement of our ideas.

To those, on the other hand, who speak with a view to convince or to inform others, it is of confequence that the topics which they mean to illustrate, should be arranged in an order equally favourable to their own recollection and to that of their hearers. For this purpose, nothing is effectual, but that method which is fuggested by the order of their own investigations; a method which leads the mind from one idea to another, either by means of obvious and striking affociations, or by those relations which connect the different steps of a clear and accurate process of reasoning. It is thus only that the attention of an audience can be completely and inceffantly engaged, and that the fubstance of a long discourse can be remembered without effort. And it is thus only that a speaker, after a mature confideration of his fubject, can possess a just confidence in his own powers of recollection, in stating all the different premises which lead to the conclusion he withes to establish.

In modern times, fuch contrivances have been very little, if at all, made use of by public speakers; but various ingenious attempts have been made, to affift the memory, in acquiring and retaining those branches of knowledge which it has been supposed necessary for a scholar to carry always about with him; and which,

at the fame time, from the number of particular details which they involve, are not calculated, of themfelves, to make a very lafting impression on the mind. Of this fort is the Memoria Technica of Mr. Grey, in which a great deal of historical, chronological, and geographical knowledge is comprised in a set of verses, which the student is supposed to make as familiar to himself as school-boys do the rules of grammar. These verses are, in general, a mere assemblage of proper names, disposed in a rude fort of measure; some slight alterations being occasionally made on the sinal syllables of the words, so as to be significant (according to certain principles laid down in the beginning of the work) of important dates, or of other particulars which it appeared to the author useful to associate with the names.

I have heard very opposite opinions with respect to the utility of this ingenious system. The prevailing opinion is, I believe, against it; although it has been mentioned in terms of high approbation by some writers of eminence. Dr. Priestley, whose judgment, in matters of this fort, is certainly entitled to respect, has faid, that "it is a method so easily learned, and "which may be of so much use in recollecting dates, when other methods are not at hand, that he thinks all persons of a liberal education inexcusable, who "will not take the small degree of pains that is necessary to make themselves masters of it; or who "think any thing mean, or unworthy of their notice, "which is so useful and convenient \*."

In judging of the utility of this, or of any other contrivance of the same kind, to a particular person, a

<sup>\*</sup> Lectures on History, p. 157.

great deal must depend on the species of memory which he has received from nature, or has acquired in the course of his early education. Some men, as I already remarked, (especially among those who have been habitually exercised in childhood in getting by heart grammar rules), have an extraordinary facility in acquiring and retaining the most barbarous and the most insignificant verses; which another person would find as difficult to remember, as the geographical and chronological details of which it is the object of this art to relieve the memory. Allowing, therefore, the general utility of the art, no one method, perhaps, is entitled to an exclusive preference; as one contrivance may be best suited to the faculties of one person, and a very different one to those of another.

One important objection applies to all of them, that they accustom the mind to associate ideas by accidental and arbitrary connexions; and, therefore, how much foever they may contribute, in the course of converfation, to an oftentatious display of acquired knowledge, they are, perhaps, of little real fervice to us, when we are feriously engaged in the pursuit of truth. I own, too, I am very doubtful with respect to the utility of a great part of that information which they are commonly employed to imprefs on the memory, and on which the generality of learned men are disposed to value themselves. It certainly is of no use, but in so far as it is fubfervient to the gratification of their vanity; and the acquifition of it confumes a great deal of time and attention, which might have been employed in extending the boundaries of human knowledge. To those, however, who are of a different opinion, fuch contrivances as Mr. Grey's may be extremely useful: and to all men they may be of service, in fixing in the memory those infulated and uninteresting particulars, which it is either necessary for them to be acquainted with, from their situation; or which custom has rendered, in the common opinion, essential branches of a liberal education. I would, in particular, recommend this author's method of recollecting dates, by substituting letters for the numeral cyphers; and forming these letters into words, and the words into verses. I have found it, at least in my own case, the most essection.

#### SECTION VII.

Continuation of the same Subject. — Importance of making a proper Selection among the Objects of our Knowledge, in order to derive Advantage from the Acquisitions of Memory.

THE cultivation of Memory, with all the helps that we can derive to it from art, will be of little use to us, unless we make a proper selection of the particulars to be remembered. Such a selection is necessary to enable us to profit by reading; and still more so, to enable us to profit by observation, to which every man is indebted for by far the most valuable part of his knowledge.

When we first enter on any new literary pursuit, we commonly find our efforts of attention painful and unsatisfactory. We have no discrimination in our curiosity; and by grasping at every thing, we fail in making

462 ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. VI. those moderate acquisitions which are suited to our limited faculties. As our knowledge extends, we learn to know what particulars are likely to be of use to us; and acquire a habit of directing our examination to thefe, without distracting the attention with others. It is partly owing to a fimilar circumstance, that most readers complain of a defect of memory, when they first enter on the study of history. They cannot separate important from trifling facts, and find themselves unable to retain any thing, from their anxiety to fecure the whole.

In-order to give a proper direction to our attention in the course of our studies, it is useful, before engaging in particular purfuits, to acquire as familiar an acquaintance as possible with the great outlines of the different branches of science; with the most important conclusions, which have hitherto been formed in them, and with the most important desiderata which remain to be supplied. In the case too of those parts of knowledge, which are not yet ripe for the formation of philosophical systems, it may be of use to fludy the various hypothetical theories which have been proposed for connecting together and arranging the phenomena. By fuch general views alone we can prevent ourselves from being lost, amidst a labyrinth of particulars, or can engage in a course of extensive and various reading, with an enlightened and difcriminating attention. While they withdraw our notice from barren and infulated facts, they direct it to fuch as tend to illustrate principles which have either been already established, or which, from having that degree of connexion among themselves, which is neceffary

ceffary to give plaufibility to a hypothetical theory are likely to furnish, in time, the materials of a juster fystem.

Some of the followers of Lord Bacon have, I think, been led, in their zeal for the method of induction, to cenfure hypothetical theories with too great a degree of feverity. Such theories have certainly been frequently of use, in putting philosophers upon the road of difcovery. Indeed, it has probably been in this way, that most discoveries have been made; for although a knowledge of facts must be prior to the formation of a just theory, yet a hypothetical theory is generally our best guide to the knowledge of useful facts. If a man, without forming to himself any conjecture concerning the unknown laws of nature, were to fet himfelf merely to accumulate facts at random, he might, perhaps, stumble upon fome important discovery; but by far the greater part of his labours would be wholly ufelefs. Every philofophical inquirer, before he begins a fet of experiments, has fome general principle in his view, which he suspects to be a law of nature \*: and although his conjectures may be often wrong, yet they ferve to give his inquiries a particular direction; and to bring under his eye a number of facts which have a certain relation to each other. It has been

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Recte fiquidem Plato, "Qui aliquid quærit, id ipfum, quod quærit, generali quadam notione comprehendit: aliter, qui fieri potest, ut illud, cum fuerit inventum, agnoscat?" Idcirco quo amplior et certior fuerit anticipatio nostra; eo magis directa et compendiosa erit investigatio."

De Aug. Scient. lib. v. cap. 3. often

often remarked, that the attempts to discover the philosopher's stone, and the quadrature of the circle, have led to many useful discoveries in chemistry and mathematics. And they have plainly done fo, merely by limiting the field of observation and inquiry, and checking that indifcriminate and defultory attention which is fo natural to an indolent mind. A hypothetical theory, however erroneous, may answer a similar purpose. "Prudens interrogatio," (says Lord Bacon,) " est dimidium scientiæ. Vaga enim experi-" entia et fe tantum fequens mera palpatio est, et " homines potius stupefacit quam informat." What, indeed, are Newton's queries, but fo many hypothefes which are proposed as subjects of examination to philosophers? And did not even the great doctrine of gravitation take its first rife from a fortunate conjecture?

While, therefore, we maintain, with the followers of Bacon, that no theory is to be admitted as proved, any farther than it is supported by facts, we should, at the same time, acknowledge our obligations to those writers who hazard their conjectures to the world with modesty and diffidence. And it may not be improper to add, that men of a fystematizing turn are not now fo useless as formerly; for we are already possessed of a great stock of facts; and there is fcarcely any theory fo bad as not to bring together a number of particulars which have a certain degree of relation or analogy to each other.

The foregoing remarks are applicable to all our various studies; whether they are conducted in the way of reading, or of observation. From neither of

thefe

these two sources of information can we hope to derive much advantage, unless we have some general principles to direct our attention to proper objects.

With respect to observation, some farther cautions may be useful; for in guarding against an indiscriminate accumulation of particulars, it is possible to fall into the opposite extreme, and to acquire a habit of inattention to the phenomena which present themselves to our fenses. The former is the error of men of little education; the latter is more common among men of retirement and study.

One of the chief effects of a liberal education, is to enable us to withdraw the attention from the prefent objects of our perceptions, and to dwell at pleafure on the past, the absent, or the future. But when we are led to carry these efforts to an excess, either from a warm and romantic imagination, or from an anxious and fanguine temper, it is eafy to fee that the power of observation is likely to be weakened, and habits of inattention to be contracted. The fame effect may be produced by too early an indulgence in philosophical purfuits, before the mind has been prepared for the study of general truths, by exercising its faculties among particular objects, and particular occurrences. In this way, it contracts an aversion to the examination of details, from the pleasure which it has experienced in the contemplation or in the difcovery of general principles. Both of these turns of thought, however, prefuppofe a certain degree of obfervation; for the materials of imagination are fupplied by the fenses; and the general truths which occupy the philosopher, would be wholly unintelligible

to him, if he was a total stranger to all experience with respect to the course of nature and of human life. The observations, indeed, which are made by men of a warm imagination, are likely to be inaccurate and fallacious; and those of the speculative philosopher are frequently carried no farther than is necessary to enable him to comprehend the terms which relate to the subjects of his reasoning; but both the one and the other must have looked abroad occasionally at nature, and at the world; if not to ascertain facts by actual examination, at least to store their minds with ideas.

The metaphysician, whose attention is directed to the faculties and operations of the mind, is the only man who possesses within himself the materials of his speculations and reasonings. It is accordingly among this class of literary men, that habits of inattention to things external have been carried to the greatest extreme.

It is observed by Dr. Reid, that the power of reflexion, (by which he means the power of attending to the subjects of our consciousness), is the last of our intellectual faculties which unfolds itself; and that in the greater part of mankind it never unfolds itself at all. It is a power, indeed, which being subservient merely to the gratification of metaphysical curiosity, it is not essentially necessary for us to possess, in any considerable degree. The power of observation, on the other hand, which is necessary for the preservation even of our animal existence, discovers itself in infants long before they attain the use of speech; or rather I should have said, as soon as they come into

the world: and where nature is allowed free fcope, it continues active and vigorous through life. It was plainly the intention of nature, that in infancy and youth it should occupy the mind almost exclusively, and that we should acquire all our necessary information before engaging in speculations which are less effential: and accordingly this is the history of the intellectual progrefs, in by far the greater number of individuals. In consequence of this, the difficulty of metaphyfical refearches is undoubtedly much increafed; for the mind being constantly occupied in the earlier part of life about the properties and laws of matter, acquires habits of inattention to the fubjects of consciousness, which are not to be surmounted, without a degree of patience and perseverance of which few men are capable: but the inconvenience would evidently have been greatly increased, if the order of nature had, in this respect, been reversed, and if the curiofity had been excited at as early a period, by the phenomena of the intellectual world, as by those of the material. Of what would have happened on this fupposition, we may form a judgment from those men who, in consequence of an exceflive indulgence in metaphyfical purfuits, have weakened, to an unnatural degree, their capacity of attending to external objects and occurrences. Few metaphyficians, perhaps, are to be found, who are not deficient in the power of observation; for, although a taste for such abstract speculations is far from being common, it is more apt, perhaps, than any other, when it has once been formed, to take an exclusive hold of the mind, and to shut up the other H h 2 fources

fources of intellectual improvement. As the metaphysician carries within himself the materials of his reasoning, he is not under a necessity of looking abroad for fubjects of speculation or amusement; and unless he be very careful to guard against the effects of his favourite pursuits, he is in more danger than literary men of any other denomination, to lofe all interest about the common and proper objects of human curiofity.

To prevent any danger from this quarter, I apprehend that the study of the mind should form the last branch of the education of youth; an order which nature herfelf feems to point out, by what I have already remarked, with respect to the developement of our faculties. After the understanding is well stored with particular facts, and has been conversant with particular fcientific purfuits, it will be enabled to fpeculate concerning its own powers with additional advantage, and will run no hazard of indulging too far in fuch inquiries. Nothing can be more abfurd, on this as well as on many other accounts, than the common practice which is followed in our universities, of beginning a course of philosophical education with the study of logic. If this order were completely reversed; and if the study of logic were delayed till after the mind of the student was well stored with particular facts in physics, in chemistry, in natural and civil history; his attention might be led with the most important advantage, and without any danger to his power of observation, to an examination of his own faculties; which, befides opening to him a new and pleafing field of speculation, would enable him to form

an estimate of his own powers, of the acquisitions he has made, of the habits he has formed, and of the farther improvements of which his mind is susceptible.

In general, wherever habits of inattention, and an incapacity of observation, are very remarkable, they will be found to have arisen from some defect in early education. I already remarked, that, when nature is allowed free scope, the curiofity, during early youth, is alive to every external object, and to every external occurrence, while the powers of imagination and reflexion do not difplay themselves till a much later period; the former till about the age of puberty, and the latter till we approach to manhood. It fometimes, however, happens that, in confequence of a peculiar disposition of mind, or of an infirm bodily constitution, a child is led to feek amusement from books, and to lofe a relish for those recreations which are fuited to his age. In fuch inftances, the ordinary progrefs of the intellectual powers is prematurely quickened; but that best of all educations is lost, which nature has prepared both for the philosopher and the man of the world, amidst the active sports and the hazardous adventures of childhood. It is from these alone, that we can acquire, not only that force of character which is fuited to the more arduous fituations of life, but that complete and prompt command of attention to things external, without which the highest endowments of the understanding, however they may fit a man for the folitary speculations of the closet, are but of little use in the practice of affairs, or for enabling him to profit by his perfonal experience.

Hh3

Where,

Where, however, fuch habits of inattention have unfortunately been contracted, we ought not to defpair of them as perfectly incurable. The attention, indeed, as I formerly remarked, can feldom be forced in particular inftances; but we may gradually learn to place the objects we wish to attend to, in lights more interesting than those in which we have been accustomed to view them. Much may be expected from a change of fcene, and a change of purfuits; but above all, much may be expected from foreign travel. The objects which we meet with excite our furprife by their novelty; and in this manner we not only gradually acquire the power of observing and examining them with attention, but, from the effects of contraft, the curiofity comes to be roufed with respect to the corresponding objects in our own country, which, from our early familiarity with them, we had formerly been accustomed to overlook. In this respect the effects of foreign travel, in directing the attention to familiar objects and occurrences, is fomewhat analogous to that which the study of a dead or of a foreign language produces, in leading the curiofity to examine the grammatical structure of our own.

Confiderable advantage may also be derived, in overcoming the habits of inattention, which we may have contracted to particular fubjects, from studying the fystems, true or false, which philosophers have proposed for explaining or for arranging the facts connected with them. By means of these systems, not only is the curiofity circumfcribed and directed, instead of being allowed to wander at random, but, in confequence of our being enabled to connect facts with general principles,

principles, it becomes interested in the examination of those particulars which would otherwise have escaped our notice.

### SECTION VIII.

Of the Connexion between Memory and philosophical Genius.

IT is commonly fupposed, that genius is feldom united with a very tenacious memory. So far, however, as my own observation has reached, I can scarcely recollect one person who possesses the former of these qualities, without a more than ordinary share of the latter.

On a fuperficial view of the fubject, indeed, the common opinion has some appearance of truth; for, we are naturally led, in confequence of the topics about which conversation is usually employed, to estimate the extent of memory, by the impression which trivial occurrences make upon it: and these in general escape the recollection of a man of ability, not because he is unable to retain them, but because he does not attend to them. It is probable, likewife, that accidental affociations, founded on contiguity in time and place, may make but a flight impression on his mind. But it does not therefore follow, that his stock of facts is small. They are connected together in his memory by principles of affociation, different from those which prevail in ordinary minds; and they are on that very account the more useful: for as the affociations are founded upon real connexions among the ideas, (although they Hh4

472 ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. VI.

may be less conducive to the fluency, and perhaps to the wit of conversation,) they are of incomparably greater use in suggesting facts which are to serve as a foundation for reasoning or for invention.

It frequently happens too, that a man of genius, in confequence of a peculiarly strong attachment to a particular subject, may first feel a want of inclination, and may afterwards acquire a want of capacity of attending to common occurrences. But it is probable that the whole stock of ideas in his mind, is not inferior to that of other men; and that however unprofitably he may have directed his curiosity, the ignorance which he discovers on ordinary subjects does not arise from a want of memory, but from a peculiarity in the selection which he has made of the objects of his study.

Montaigne \* frequently complains in his writings, of his want of memory; and he indeed gives many very extraordinary instances of his ignorance on some of the most ordinary topics of information. But it is obvious to any person who reads his works with attention, that this ignorance did not proceed from an original defect of memory, but from the singular and whimsical direction which his curiosity had taken at an early period of life. "I can do nothing," says he, "with-"out my memorandum book; and so great is my difficulty in remembering proper names, that I am forced to call my domestic servants by their offices.

I am ignorant of the greater part of our coins in use; of the difference of one grain from another,

<sup>\*</sup> Il n'est homme à qui il siese si mal de se messer de parler de memoire. Car je n'en recognoy quasi trace en moy; et ne pense qu'il y en ait au monde une autre si marveilleuse en desaillance.

Essais de Montaigne, liv. i. ch. 9.

" both in the earth and in the granary; what use leaven " is of in making bread, and why wine must stand " fome time in the vat before it ferments." Yet the fame author appears evidently, from his writings, to have had his memory stored with an infinite variety of apophthegms, and of historical passages, which had ftruck his imagination; and to have been familiarly acquainted, not only with the names, but with the abfurd and exploded opinions of the antient philosophers; with the ideas of Plato, the atoms of Epicurus, the plenum and vacuum of Leucippus and Democritus, the water of Thales, the numbers of Pythagoras, the infinite of Parmenides, and the unity of Mufæus. In complaining too of his want of prefence of mind, he indirectly acknowledges a degree of memory, which, if it had been judiciously employed, would have been more than fufficient for the acquifition of all those common branches of knowledge in which he appears to have been deficient. "When I have an oration to " fpeak," fays he, " of any confiderable length, I am " reduced to the miferable necessity of getting it, word " for word, by heart."

The strange and apparently inconsistent combination of knowledge and ignorance which the writings of Montaigne exhibit, led Malebranche (who seems to have formed too low an opinion both of his genius and character) to tax him with affectation; and even to call in question the credibility of some of his affertions. But no one who is well acquainted with this most amusing author, can reasonably suspect his veracity; and, in the present instance, I can give him complete credit, not only from my general opinion of his sincerity.

ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. VI.

fincerity, but from having observed, in the course of my own experience, more than one example of the same fort of combination; not indeed carried to such a length as Montaigne describes, but bearing a striking resemblance to it.

The observations which have already been made, account, in part, for the origin of the common opinion, that genius and memory are feldom united in great degrees in the same person; and at the same time shew, that some of the facts on which that opinion is sounded, do not justify such a conclusion. Besides these, however, there are other circumstances, which at first view, seem rather to indicate an inconsistency between extensive memory and original genius.

The species of memory which excites the greatest degree of admiration in the ordinary intercourse of society, is a memory for detached and infulated facts; and it is certain that those men who are possessed of it, are very feldom distinguished by the higher gifts of the mind. Such a species of memory is unfavourable to philosophical arrangement; because it in part supplies the place of arrangement. One great use of philosophy, as I already shewed, is to give us an extensive command of particular truths, by furnishing us with general principles, under which a number of fuch truths is comprehended. A person in whose mind casual associations of time and place make a lasting impression, has not the same inducements to philosophize, with others who connect facts together, chiefly by the relations of cause and effect, or of premises and conclusion. heard it observed, that those men who have risen to the greatest eminence in the profession of law, have been

in general fuch as had at first, an aversion to the study. The reason probably is, that to a mind fond of general principles, every study must be at first disgusting, which presents to it a chaos of facts apparently unconnected with each other. But this love of arrangement, if united with persevering industry, will at last conquer every difficulty; will introduce order into what seemed on a superficial view a mass of confusion, and reduce the dry and uninteresting detail of positive statutes into a system comparatively luminous and beautiful.

The observation, I believe, may be made more general, and may be applied to every fcience in which there is a great multiplicity of facts to be remembered. A man destitute of genius may, with little effort, treasure up in his memory a number of particulars in chemistry or natural history, which he refers to no principle, and from which he deduces no conclusion; and from his facility in acquiring this stock of information, may flatter himfelf with the belief that he possesses a natural taste for these branches of knowledge. But they who are really destined to extend the boundaries of science, when they first enter on new pursuits, feel their attention distracted, and their memory overloaded with facts among which they can trace no relation, and are fometimes apt to despair entirely of their future progress. In due time, however, their fuperiority appears, and arifes in part from that very diffatisfaction which they at first experienced, and which does not cease to stimulate their inquiries, till they are enabled to trace, amidst a chaos of apparently unconnected materials, that fimplicity and beauty which always characterife the operations of nature.

There

There are, befides, other circumstances which retard the progress of a man of genius, when he enters on a new pursuit, and which sometimes render him apparently inferior to those who are possessed of ordinary capacity. A want of curiosity \*, and of invention, facilitates greatly the acquisition of knowledge. It renders the mind passive, in receiving the ideas of others, and saves all the time which might be employed in examining their foundation, or in tracing their consequences. They who are possessed of much acuteness and originality, enter with difficulty into the views of others; not from any defect in their power of apprehension, but because they cannot adopt opinions which they have not examined; and because their attention is often seduced by their own speculations.

It is not merely in the acquisition of knowledge that a man of genius is likely to find himself surpassed by others: he has commonly his information much less at command, than those who are possessed of an inferior degree of originality; and, what is somewhat remarkable, he has it least of all at command on those subjects on which he has found his invention most fertile. Sir Isaac Newton, as we are told by Dr. Pemberton, was often at a loss, when the conversation turned on his own discoveries. It is probable that they made but a slight impression on his mind, and that a consciousness of his inventive powers prevented him from

<sup>\*</sup> I mean a want of curiofity about truth. "There are many men," fays Dr. Butler, "who have a firong curiofity to know what is faid, who have little or no curiofity to know what is true."

<sup>+</sup> See Note [T].

taking much pains to treafure them up in his memory. Men of little ingenuity feldom forget the ideas they acquire; because they know that when an occasion occurs for applying their knowledge to use, they must trust to memory and not to invention. Explain an arithmetical rule to a perfon of common understanding, who is unacquainted with the principles of the science; he will foon get the rule by heart, and become dexterous in the application of it. Another of more ingenuity, will examine the principle of the rule before he applies it to use, and will scarcely take the trouble to commit to memory a process which he knows he can, at any time, with a little reflection, recover. The confequence will be, that, in the practice of calculation, he will appear more flow and hefitating, than if he followed the received rules of arithmetic without reflection or reasoning.

Something of the fame kind happens every day in converfation. By far the greater part of the opinions we announce in it, are not the immediate refult of reafoning on the fpot, but have been previously formed in the closet, or perhaps have been adopted implicitly on the authority of others. The promptitude, therefore, with which a man decides in ordinary discourse, is not a certain test of the quickness of his apprehension \*; as it may perhaps arise from those uncommon efforts to furnish the memory with acquired knowledge, by which men of slow parts endeavour to compensate for

QUINCTIL. Infl. Orat. lib. xi. cap. 2.

<sup>\*</sup> Memoria facit prompti ingenii famam, ut illa quæ dicimus, non domo attuliffe, fed ibi protinus fumpfiffe videamur.

their want of invention; while, on the other hand, it is possible that a consciousness of originality may give rise to a manner apparently embarrassed, by leading the person who feels it, to trust too much to extempore exertions \*.

In general, I believe, it may be laid down as a rule, that those who carry about with them a great degree of acquired information, which they have always at command, or who have rendered their own discoveries so familiar to them, as always to be in a condition to explain them, without recollection, are very seldom possessed of much invention, or even of much quickness of apprehension. A man of original genius, who is fond of exercising his reasoning powers anew on every point as it occurs to him, and who cannot submit to rehearse the ideas of others, or to repeat by rote the conclusions which he has deduced from previous reslexion, often appears, to superficial observers, to fall below the level of ordinary understandings; while another, destitute both of quickness and invention, is admired for that

<sup>\*</sup> In the foregoing observations it is not meant to be implied, that originality of genius is incompatible with a ready recollection of acquired knowledge; but only that it has a tendency unfavourable to it, and that more time and practice will commonly be necessary to familiarize the mind of a man of invention to the ideas of others, or even to the conclusions of his own understanding, than are requisite in ordinary cases. Habits of literary conversation, and still more, habits of extempore discussion, in a popular assembly, are peculiarly useful in giving us a ready and practical command of our knowledge. There is much good sense in the following aphorism of Bacon: "Reading makes a full man, writing a correct man, and speaking a ready man." See a commentary on this aphorism in one of the Numbers of the Adventurer.

promptitude in his decisions, which arises from the inferiority of his intellectual abilities.

It must indeed be acknowledged in favour of the last description of men, that in ordinary conversation they form the most agreeable, and perhaps the most instructive companions. How inexhaustible soever the invention of an individual may be, the variety of his own peculiar ideas can bear no proportion to the whole mass of useful and curious information of which the world is already possessed. The conversation, accordingly, of men of genius, is sometimes extremely limited; and is interesting to the few alone, who know the value, and who can distinguish the marks of originality. In consequence too of that partiality which every man feels for his own speculations, they are more in danger of being dogmatical and disputatious, than those who have no system which they are interested to defend.

The fame observations may be applied to authors. A book which contains the discoveries of one individual only, may be admired by a few, who are intimately acquainted with the history of the science to which it relates, but it has little chance for popularity with the multitude. An author who possesses industry fufficient to collect the ideas of others, and judgment fufficient to arrange them skilfully, is the most likely perfon to acquire a high degree of literary fame: and although, in the opinion of enlightened judges, invention forms the chief characteristic of genius, yet it commonly happens that the objects of public admiration are men who are much less distinguished by this quality, than by extensive learning and cultivated tafte. Perhaps too, for the multitude,

tude, the latter class of authors is the most useful; as their writings contain the more folid discoveries which others have brought to light, separated from those errors with which truth is often blended in the first formation of a system.

# CHAPTER SEVENTH.

Of Imagination.

## SECTION I.

Analysis of Imagination.

IN attempting to draw the line between Conception and Imagination, I have already observed, that the province of the former is to present us with an exact transcript of what we have formerly felt and perceived; that of the latter, to make a selection of qualities and of circumstances from a variety of different objects, and by combining and disposing these, to form a new creation of its own.

According to the definitions adopted, in general, by modern philosophers, the province of Imagination would appear to be limited to objects of fight. "It " is the fenfe of fight," (fays Mr. Addison,) " which "furnishes the Imagination with its ideas; fo that by " the pleafures of Imagination, I here mean fuch as " arife from visible objects, either when we have them " actually in view, or when we call up their ideas " into our minds, by paintings, statues, descriptions, " or any the like occasions. We cannot, indeed, " have a fingle image in the fancy, that did not " make its first entrance through the fight." Agreeably to the fame view of the fubject, Dr. Reid obferves, that "Imagination properly fignifies a lively Li " concep" conception of objects of fight; the former power being distinguished from the latter, as a part from the whole."

That this limitation of the province of Imagination to one particular class of our perceptions is altogether arbitrary, feems to me to be evident; for, although the greater part of the materials which Imagination combines be fupplied by this fense, it is nevertheless indisputable, that our other perceptive faculties also contribute occasionally their share. many pleafing images have been borrowed from the fragrance of the fields and the melody of the groves; not to mention that fifter art, whose magical influence over the human frame, it has been, in all ages, the highest boaft of poetry to celebrate! In the following passage, even the more gross fensations of Taste form the fubject of an ideal repast, on which it is impoffible not to dwell with fome complacency; particularly after a perufal of the preceding lines, in which the Poet describes "the Wonders of the Torrid Zone."

Bear me, Pomona! to thy citron groves;
To where the lemon and the piercing lime,
With the deep orange, glowing thro' the green,
Their lighter glories blend. Lay me reclin'd
Beneath the fpreading tamarind that shakes,
Fann'd by the breeze, its fever-cooling fruit:
Or, stretch'd amid these orchards of the sun,
O let me drain the cocoa's milky bowl,
More bounteous far than all the frantic juice
Which Bacchus pours! Nor, on its slender twigs
Low bending, be the full pomegranate scorn'd;
Nor, creeping thro' the woods, the gelid race

Of berries. Oft in humble station dwells
Unboastful worth, above fastidious pomp.
Witness, thou best Anana, thou the pride
Of vegetable life, beyond whate'er
The Poets imag'd in the golden age:
Quick let me strip thee of thy spiny coat,
Spread thy ambrosial stores, and feast with Jove \*!

What an affemblage of other conceptions different from all those hitherto mentioned, has the genius of Virgil combined in one distich!

Hic gelidi fontes, hic mollia prata, Lycori, Hic nemus: hic ipfo tecum confumerer ævo.

These observations are sufficient to shew, how inadequate a notion of the province of Imagination (confidered even in its reference to the fenfible world) is conveyed by the definitions of Mr. Addison and of Dr. Reid. — But the fenfible world, it must be remembered, is not the only field where Imagination exerts her powers. All the objects of human knowledge fupply materials to her forming hand; diverfifying infinitely the works fhe produces, while the mode of her operation remains effentially uniform. As it is the fame power of Reasoning which enables us to carry on our investigations with respect to individual objects, and with respect to classes or genera; so it was by the same processes of Analysis and Combination, that the genius of Milton produced the Garden of Eden; that of Harrington, the Commonwealth of Oceana; and that of Shakespeare, the characters of

<sup>\*</sup> Thomson's Summer.

Hamlet and Falstaff. The difference between these feveral efforts of invention, consists only in the manner in which the original materials were acquired; as far as the power of Imagination is concerned, the processes are perfectly analogous.

The attempts of Mr. Addison and of Dr. Reid to limit the province of Imagination to objects of fight, have plainly proceeded from a very important fact, which it may be worth while to illustrate more particularly; — That the mind has a greater facility, and, of consequence, a greater delight in recalling the perceptions of this fense than those of any of the others; while, at the fame time, the variety of qualities perceived by it is incomparably greater. It is this fenfe, accordingly, which fupplies the painter and the flatuary with all the fubjects on which their genius is exercifed; and which furnishes to the descriptive poet the largest and the most valuable portion of the materials which he combines. In that abfurd species of profe composition, too, which borders on poetry, nothing is more remarkable than the predominance of phrases that recal to the memory, glaring colours, and those splendid appearances of nature, which make a ftrong impression on the eye. It has been mentioned by different writers, as a characteristical circumstance in the Oriental or Afiatic style, that the greater part of the metaphors are taken from the celestial luminaries. "The Works of the Perfians," (fays M. de Voltaire,) " are like the titles of their kings, in which " we are perpetually dazzled with the fun and the " moon." Sir William Jones, in a short Effay on the Poetry of Eastern Nations, has endeavoured to thew.

fhew, that this is not owing to the bad taste of the Afiatics, but to the old language and popular religion of their country. But the truth is, that the very fame criticism will be found to apply to the juvenile productions of every author possessed of a warm imagination; and to the compositions of every people among whom a cultivated and philosophical tafte has not established a sufficiently marked distinction between the appropriate styles of poetry and of profe. The account given by the Abbé Girard of the meaning of the word Phébus, as employed by the French critics, confirms strongly this observation. "Le " Phébus a un brillant qui fignifie, ou femble figni-" fier quelque chose: le foleil y entre d'ordinaire; & " c'est peut-être ce qui, en notre langue, a donné " lieu au nom de Phéhus \*."

Agreeably to these principles, Gray, in describing the infantine reveries of poetical genius, has fixed, with exquisite judgment, on this class of our conceptions:

> Yet oft before his infant eye would run Such Forms as glitter in the Muse's ray With Orient hues——

From these remarks it may be easily understood, why the word *Imagination*, in its most ordinary acceptation, should be applied to cases where our conceptions are derived from the sense of fight; although the province of this power be, in fact, as unlimited as the sphere of human enjoyment and of human thought. Hence, the origin of those partial definitions which I have been attempting to correct; and

\* Synonymes François.

hence too, the origin of the word Imagination; the etymology of which implies manifestly a reference to visible objects.

To all the various modes in which Imagination may display itself, the greater part of the remarks contained in this Chapter will be found to apply, under proper limitations; but, in order to render the subject more obvious to the reader's examination, I shall, in the farther profecution of it, endeavour to convey my ideas, rather by means of particular examples, than in the form of general principles; leaving it to his own judgment to determine, with what modifications the conclusions to which we are led, may be extended to other combinations of circumstances.

Among the innumerable phenomena which this part of our constitution presents to our examination, the combinations which the mind forms out of materials fupplied by the power of Conception recommend themselves strongly, both by their simplicity, and by the interesting nature of the discussions to which they lead. I shall avail myself, therefore, as much as posfible, in the following inquiries, of whatever illustrations I am able to borrow from the arts of Poetry and of Painting; the operations of Imagination in these arts furnishing the most intelligible and pleasing exemplifications of the intellectual processes, by which, in those analogous but less palpable instances that fall under the confideration of the Moralist, the mind deviates from the models prefented to it by experience, and forms to itself, new and untried objects of purfuit. It is in confequence of fuch processes (which,

how little foever they may be attended to, are habitually paffing in the thoughts of all men,) that human affairs exhibit fo bufy and fo various a fcene; tending, in one case, to improvement, and, in another, to decline; according as our notions of exceltence and of happiness are just or erroneous.

It was observed, in a former part of this work, that Imagination is a complex power \*. It includes Conception or fimple Apprehension, which enables us to form a notion of those former objects of perception, or of knowledge, out of which we are to make a felection; Abstraction, which separates the felected materials from the qualities and circumstances which are connected with them in nature; and Judgment or Taste, which selects the materials, and directs their combination. To these powers, we may add, that particular habit of affociation to which I formerly gave the name of Fancy; as it is this which prefents to our choice, all the different materials which are fubfervient to the efforts of Imagination, and which may therefore be confidered as forming the groundwork of poetical genius.

To illustrate these observations, let us consider the steps by which Milton must have proceeded in creating his imaginary Garden of Eden. When he first proposed to himself that subject of description, it is reasonable to suppose, that a variety of the most striking scenes which he had seen, crowded into his mind. The association of ideas suggested them, and the power of Conception placed each of them before him

\* See p. 136.

with all its beauties and imperfections. In every natural scene, if we destine it for any particular purpofe, there are defects and redundancies, which art may fometimes, but cannot always, correct. But the power of Imagination is unlimited. She can create and annihilate; and dispose, at pleasure, her woods, her rocks, and her rivers. Milton, accordingly, would not copy his Eden from any one scene, but would felect from each the features which were most eminently beautiful. The power of Abstraction enabled him to make the feparation, and Taste directed him in the felection. Thus he was furnished with his materials; by a skilful combination of which, he has created a landscape, more perfect probably in all its parts, than was ever realifed in nature; and certainly very different from any thing which this country exhibited, at the period when he wrote. It is a curious remark of Mr. Walpole, that Milton's Eden is free from the defects of the old English garden, and is imagined on the fame principles which it was referved for the prefent age to carry into execution.

From what has been faid, it is fufficiently evident, that Imagination is not a fimple power of the mind, like Attention, Conception, or Abstraction; but that it is formed by a combination of various faculties. It is farther evident, that it must appear under very different forms, in the case of different individuals; as some of its component parts are liable to be greatly influenced by habit, and other accidental circumstances. The variety, for example, of the materials out of which the combinations of the Poet or the Painter are formed, will depend much on the tend-

ency of external fituation, to store the mind with a multiplicity of Conceptions; and the beauty of these combinations will depend entirely on the success with which the power of Taste has been cultivated. What we call, therefore, the power of Imagination, is not the gift of nature, but the result of acquired habits, aided by favourable circumstances. It is not an original endowment of the mind, but an accomplishment formed by experience and situation; and which, in its different gradations, fills up all the interval between the first efforts of untutored genius, and the sublime creations of Raphael or of Milton.

An uncommon degree of Imagination conflitutes poetical genius; a talent which, although chiefly displayed in poetical composition, is also the foundation (though not precisely in the same manner) of various other Arts. A few remarks on the relation which Imagination bears to some of the most interesting of these, will throw additional light on its nature and office.

## SECTION II.

Of Imagination confidered in its Relation to some of the Fine Arts.

A MONG the Arts connected with Imagination, fome not only take their rife from this power, but produce objects which are addressed to it. Others take their rife from Imagination, but produce objects which are addressed to the power of Perception.

To the latter of these two classes of Arts, belongs that of Gardening; or, as it has been lately called, the Art of creating Landscape. In this Art, the defigner is limited in his creation by nature; and his only province is to correct, to improve, and to adorn. As he cannot repeat his experiments, in order to obferve the effect, he must call up, in his imagination. the scene which he means to produce; and apply to this imaginary fcene his taste and his judgment; or, in other words, to a lively conception of visible objects, he must add a power (which long experience and attentive observation alone can give him) of judging beforehand, of the effect which they would produce, if they were actually exhibited to his fenses. This power forms, what Lord Chatham beautifully and expressively called the prophetic Eye of Taste; that eye which (if I may borrow the language of Mr. Gray) " fees all the beauties that a place is suscep-" tible of, long before they are born; and when it " plants a feedling, already fits under the shade of it, " and enjoys the effect it will have, from every point " of view that lies in the prospect \*." But although the artist who creates a landscape, copies it from his imagination, the scene which he exhibits is addressed to the fenses, and may produce its full effect on the minds of others, without any effort on their part, either of imagination or of conception.

To prevent being mifunderstood, it is necessary for me to remark, that, in the last observation, I speak merely of the natural effects produced by a landscape,

<sup>\*</sup> GRAY'S Works, by MASON, p. 277.

" instead

and abstract entirely from the pleasure which may refult from an accidental affociation of ideas with a particular scene. The effect resulting from such affociations will depend, in a great measure, on the liveliness with which the affociated objects are conceived, and on the affecting nature of the pictures which a creative imagination, when once roused, will present to the mind; but the pleasures thus arising from the accidental exercise that a landscape may give to the imagination, must not be consounded with those which it is naturally fitted to produce.

In Painting, (excepting in those instances in which it exhibits a faithful copy of a particular object,) the original idea must be formed in the imagination: and, in most cases, the exercise of imagination must concur with perception, before the picture can produce that effect on the mind of the spectator which the artist has in view. Painting, therefore, does not belong entirely to either of the two classes of Arts formerly mentioned, but has something in common with them both.

As far as the Painter aims at copying exactly what he fees, he may be guided mechanically by general rules; and he requires no aid from that creative genius which is characteristical of the Poet. The pleasure, however, which results from painting, confidered merely as an imitative art, is extremely trisling; and is specifically different from that which it aims to produce, by awakening the imagination. Even in portrait-painting, the fervile copyist of nature is regarded in no higher light than that of a tradesman. "Deception," (as Reynolds has excellently observed,)

"instead of advancing the art, is, in reality, carrying it back to its infant state. The first essays of
painting were certainly nothing but mere imitations
of individual objects; and when this amounted to
a deception, the artist had accomplished his purpose \*."

When the history or the landscape Painter indulges his genius, in forming new combinations of his own, he vies with the Poet in the noblest exertion of the poetical art: and he avails himself of his professional skill, as the Poet avails himself of language, only to convey the ideas in his mind. To deceive the eye by accurate representations of particular forms, is no longer his aim; but, by the touches of an expressive pencil, to speak to the imaginations of others. Imitation, therefore, is not the end which he proposes to himself, but the means which he employs in order to accomplish it: nay, if the imitation be carried so far as to preclude all exercise of the spectator's imagination, it will disappoint, in a great measure, the purpose of the artist.

In Poetry, and in every other species of composition, in which one person attempts, by means of language, to present to the mind of another, the objects of his own imagination; this power is necessary, though not in the same degree, to the author and to the reader. When we peruse a description, we naturally seel a disposition to form, in our own minds, a distinct picture of what is described; and in propor-

<sup>\*</sup> Notes on Mason's Translation of Fresnoy's Poem on the Art of Painting, p. 114.

tion to the attention and interest which the subject excites, the picture becomes steady and determinate. It is fearcely possible for us to hear much of a particular town, without forming fome notion of its figure and fize and fituation; and in reading history and poetry, I believe it feldom happens, that we do not annex imaginary appearances to the names of our favourite characters. It is, at the fame time, almost certain, that the imaginations of no two men coincide upon fuch occasions; and, therefore, though both may be pleased, the agreeable impressions which they feel, may be widely different from each other, according as the pictures by which they are produced are more or less happily imagined. Hence it is, that when a person accustomed to dramatic reading sees, for the first time, one of his favourite characters reprefented on the stage, he is generally diffatisfied with the exhibition, however eminent the actor may be; and if he should happen, before this representation, to have been very familiarly acquainted with the character, the case may continue to be the same through life. For my own part, I have never received from any Falstaff on the stage, half the pleafure which Shakespeare gives me in the closet; and I am perfuaded, that I should feel some degree of uneafiness, if I were present at any attempt to perfonate the figure or the voice of Don Quixote or Sancho Panca. It is not always that the actor, on fuch occasions, falls short of our expectation. He difappoints us, by exhibiting fomething different from what our imagination had anticipated, and which confequently appears to us, at the moment, to be an unfaithful faithful representation of the Poet's idea: and until a frequent repetition of the performance has completely obliterated our former impressions, it is impossible for

us to form an adequate estimate of its merit.

Similar observations may be applied to other fubjects. The fight of any natural scene, or of any work of art, provided we have not previously heard of it, commonly produces a greater effect, at first, than ever afterwards: but if, in confequence of a defcription, we have been led to form a previous notion of it, I apprehend, the effect will be found less pleasing, the first time it is seen, than the second. Although the description should fall short greatly of the reality, vet the disappointment which we feel, on meeting with fomething different from what we expected, diminishes our satisfaction. The second time we see the scene, the effect of novelty is indeed less than before; but it is still considerable, and the imagination now anticipates nothing which is not realifed in the perception.

The remarks which have been made, afford a fatisfactory reason why so few are to be found who have a genuine relish for the beauties of poetry. The designs of Kent and of Brown evince in their authors a degree of imagination entirely analogous to that of the descriptive poet; but when they are once executed, their beauties (excepting those which result from affociation) meet the eye of every spectator. In poetry the effect is inconsiderable, unless upon a mind which possesses some degree of the author's genius; a mind amply furnished by its previous habits, with the means of interpreting the language which he em-

ploys; and able, by its own imagination, to co-operate with the efforts of his art.

It has been often remarked, that the general words which express complex ideas, feldom convey precisely the fame meaning to different individuals, and that hence arises much of the ambiguity of language. The fame observation holds, in no inconsiderable degree, with respect to the names of sensible objects. When the words River, Mountain, Grove, occur in a defcription, a person of lively conceptions naturally thinks of fome particular river, mountain, and grove, that have made an impression on his mind; and whatever the notions are, which he is led by his imagination to form of these objects, they must necessarily approach to the standard of what he has seen. Hence it is evident that, according to the different habits and education of individuals; according to the liveliness of their conceptions, and according to the creative power of their imaginations, the fame words will produce very different effects on different minds. When a perfon who has received his education in the country, reads a description of a rural retirement; the house, the river, the woods, to which he was first accustomed, prefent themselves spontaneously to his conception, accompanied, perhaps, with the recollection of his early friendships, and all those pleasing ideas which are commonly affociated with the fcenes of childhood and of youth. How different is the effect of the description upon his mind, from what it would produce on one who has passed his tender years at a distance from the beauties of nature, and whose infant sports are connected in his memory with the gloomy alleys of a commercial city!

But it is not only in interpreting the particular words of a description, that the powers of Imagination and Conception are employed. They are farther necessary for filling up the different parts of that picture, of which the most minute describer can only trace the outline. In the best description, there is much left to the reader to fupply; and the effect which it produces on his mind will depend, in a confiderable degree, on the invention and tafte with which the picture is finished. It is therefore possible, on the one hand, that the happiest efforts of poetical genius may be perused with perfect indifference by a man of found judgment, and not destitute of natural fenfibility; and on the other hand, that a cold and common-place description may be the means of awakening, in a rich and glowing imagination, a degree of enthusiasm unknown to the author.

All the different arts which I have hitherto mentioned as taking their rife from the imagination, have this in common, that their primary object is to pleafe. This observation applies to the art of Poetry, no less than to the others; nay, it is this circumstance which characterises Poetry, and distinguishes it from all the other classes of literary composition. The object of the Philosopher is to inform and enlighten mankind; that of the Orator, to acquire an ascendant over the will of others, by bending to his own purposes their judgments, their imaginations, and their passions: but the primary and the distinguishing aim of the Poet is, to please; and the principal resource which

he possesses for this purpose, is by addressing the imagination. Sometimes, indeed, he may feem to encroach on the province of the Philosopher or of the Orator; but, in these instances, he only borrows from them the means by which he accomplishes his end. If he attempts to enlighten and to inform, he addresses the understanding only as a vehicle of pleafure: if he makes an appeal to the passions, it is only to passions which it is pleasing to indulge. The Philosopher, in like manner, in order to accomplish his end of instruction, may find it expedient, occasionally, to amuse the imagination, or to make an appeal to the passions: the Orator may, at one time, state to his hearers a process of reasoning; at another, a calm narrative of facts; and, at a third, he may give the reins to poetical fancy. But still the ultimate end of the Philosopher is to instruct, and of the Orator to perfuade; and whatever means they make use of which are not subservient to this purpose, are out of place, and obstruct the effect of their labours.

The measured composition in which the Poet expresses himself, is only one of the means which he employs to please. As the delight which he conveys to the imagination, is heightened by the other agreeable impressions, which he can unite in the mind at the same time; he studies to bestow, upon the medium of communication which he employs, all the various beauties, of which it is susceptible. Among these beauties, the harmony of numbers is not the least powerful; for its effect is constant, and does not interfere with any of the other pleasures which language produces. A succession of agreeable perceptions

ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY 498 tions is kept up by the organical effect of words upon the ear; while they inform the understanding by their perspicuity and precision, or please the imagination by the pictures they fuggest, or touch the heart by the affociations they awaken. Of all these charms of language, the Poet may avail himself; and they are all so many instruments of his art. To the Philoso. pher and the Orator they may occasionally be of use; and to both they must be constantly so far an object of attention, that nothing may occur in their compositions, which may distract the thoughts, by offending either the ear or the taste; but the Poet must not rest satisfied with this negative praife. Pleasure is the end of his art: and the more numerous the fources of it which he can open, the greater will be the effect produced by the efforts of his genius.

The province of the poet is limited only by the variety of human enjoyments. Whatever is in the reality subservient to our happiness, is a source of pleasure, when prefented to our conceptions, and may fometimes derive from the heightenings of imagination, a momentary charm, which we exchange with reluctance for the fubstantial gratifications of the fenses. The province of the painter, and of the statuary, is confined to the imitation of visible objects, and to the exhibition of fuch intellectual and moral qualities, as the human body is fitted to express. In ornamental architecture, and in ornamental gardening, the fole aim of the artist is to give pleasure to the eye, by the beauty or fublimity of material forms. But to the poet all the glories of external nature; all that is amiable or interesting, or respectable in human character; all

16.

that excites and engages our benevolent affections; all those truths which make the heart feel itself better and more happy; all these supply materials, out of which he forms and peoples a world of his own, where no inconveniences damp our enjoyments, and where no clouds darken our prospects.

That the pleafures of poetry arife chiefly from the agreeable feelings which it conveys to the mind, by awakening the imagination, is a proposition which may feem too obvious to stand in need of proof. As the ingenious Inquirer, however, into "the Origin of our Ideas of the Sublime and Beautiful," has disputed the common notions upon this subject, I shall consider some of the principal arguments by which he has supported his opinion.

The leading principle of the theory which I am now to examine is, "That the common effect of po-" etry is not to raife ideas of things;" or, as I would rather chuse to express it, its common effect is not to give exercise to the powers of conception and imagination. That I may not be accused of misrepresentation, I shall state the doctrine at length .n the words of the author. " If words have all their possible ex-"tent of power, three effects arise in the mind of the " hearer. The first is the found; the second, the " picture, or reprefentation of the thing fignified by " the found; the third is, the affection of the foul " produced by one or by both of the foregoing. Com-" pounded abstract words, (honour, justice, liberty, " and the like,) produce the first and the last of these " effects, but not the fecond. Simple abstracts are " used to fignify some one simple idea, without much Kk 2 " advert" adverting to others which may chance to attend it; " as blue, green, hot, cold, and the like: thefe are " capable of effecting all three of the purposes of " words; as the aggregate words, man, castle, horse, " &c. are in a yet higher degree. But I am of opi-" nion, that the most general effect even of these words " does not arife from their forming pictures of the " feveral things they would reprefent in the imagina-" tion; because, on a very diligent examination of my " own mind, and getting others to confider theirs, I do " not find that once in twenty times any fuch picture " is formed; and when it is, there is most commonly " a particular effort of the imagination for that pur-" pose. But the aggregate words operate, as I said " of the compound abstracts, not by presenting any " image to the mind, but by having from use the " fame effect on being mentioned, that their original " has when it is feen. Suppose we were to read a " passage to this effect: " The river Danube rises in " a moist and mountainous soil in the heart of Ger-" many, where, winding to and fro, it waters feve-" ral principalities, until turning into Austria, and " leaving the walls of Vienna, it passes into Hungary; " there with a vast flood, augmented by the Saave " and the Drave, it quits Christendom, and rolling " through the barbarous countries which border on "Tartary, it enters by many mouths into the Black " Sea." In this decfription many things are mentioned; " as mountains, rivers, cities, the fea, &c. But let any " body examine himfelf, and fee whether he has had " impressed on his imagination any pictures of a river, " mountain, watery foil, Germany, &c. Indeed, it " is

" is impossible, in the rapidity and quick succession of " words in conversation, to have ideas both of the " found of the word, and of the thing represented; " befides, fome words expressing real essences, " are fo mixed with others of a general and nominal "import, that it is impracticable to jump from " fense to thought, from particulars to generals, " from things to words, in fuch a manner as to " answer the purposes of life; nor is it necessary " that we should."

In farther confirmation of this doctrine, Mr. Burke refers to the poetical works of the late amiable and ingenious Dr. Blacklock. "Here," fays he, "is a poet, " doubtless as much affected by his own descriptions, as " any that reads them can be; and yet he is affected " with this strong enthusiasm, by things of which he " neither has, nor can possibly have, any idea, far-" ther than that of a bare found; and why may not "those who read his works be affected in the same " manner that he was, with as little of any real ideas " of the things described?"

Before I proceed to make any remarks on these paffages, I must observe in general, that I perfectly agree with Mr. Burke, in thinking that a very great proportion of the words which we habitually employ, have no effect to "raife ideas in the mind;" or to exercife the powers of conception and imagination. My notions on this fubject I have already fufficiently explained in treating of Abstraction.

I agree with him farther, that a great proportion of the words which are used in poetry and eloquence, produce very powerful effects on the mind, by exciting

Kk3

citing emotions which we have been accustomed to affociate with particular founds; without leading the imagination to form to itself any pictures or representations: and his account of the manner in which fuch words operate, appears to me fatisfactory. "Such " words are in reality but mere founds; but they are " founds, which, being used on particular occasions, " wherein we receive fome good, or fuffer fome evil; " or fee others affected with good or evil; or which "we hear applied to other interesting things or " events; and being applied in fuch a variety of cases, " that we know readily by habit to what things they " belong, they produce in the mind, whenever they " are afterwards mentioned, effects fimilar to those " of their occasions. The founds being often used " without reference to any particular occasion, and " carrying still their first impressions, they at last utterly " lofe their connexion with the particular occasions of that gave rife to them; yet the found, without any " annexed notion, continues to operate as before."

Notwithstanding, however, these concessions, I cannot admit that it is in this way poetry produces its principal effect. Whence is it that general and abstract expressions are so tame and lifeless, in comparison of those which are particular and figurative? Is it not because the former do not give any exercise to the imagination, like the latter? Whence the diftinction, acknowledged by all critics, ancient and modern, between that charm of words which evaporates in the process of translation, and those permanent beauties, which prefenting to the mind the distinctness of a picture, may impart pleasure to the most remote regions

regions and ages? Is it not, that in the one case, the Poet addresses himself to associations which are local and temporary; in the other, to those essential principles of human nature, from which Poetry and Painting derive their common attractions? Hence, among the various sources of the sublime, the peculiar stress laid by Longinus on what he calls Visions, (Φαντασίαι) — οταν α λέγης, ὑπ' ἐνθουσιασμοῦ καὶ πάθους. βλέπειν δοκῆς, καὶ ὑπ' ὄψιν τιθῆς τοῖς ἀκούουσιν \*.

In treating of Abstraction I formerly remarked, that the perfection of philosophical style is to approach as nearly as possible to that species of language we employ in algebra, and to exclude every expression which has a tendency to divert the attention by exciting the imagination, or to bias the judgment by cafual affociations. For this purpofe the Philosopher ought to be sparing in the employment of figurative words, and to convey his notions by general terms which have been accurately defined. To the Orator, on the other hand, when he wishes to prevent the cool exercife of the understanding, it may, on the fame account, be frequently useful to delight or to agitate his hearers, by blending with his reafonings the illusions of poetry, or the magical influence of founds confecrated by popular feelings. A regard to the different ends thus aimed at in Philosophical and in Rhetorical composition, renders the ornaments which are fo becoming in the one, inconfiftent with good tafte and good fenfe, when adopted in the other.

<sup>\*</sup> De Sublim. § xv.—Quas oarrasias Græci vocant, nos fanè Visiones appellamus; per quas imagines rerum absentium ita repræsentantur animo, ut eas cernere oculis ac præsentes habere videamur. Quinct. Inst. Orat. vi. 2.

In Poetry, as truths and facts are introduced, not for the purpose of information, but to convey pleasure to the mind, nothing offends more, than those general expressions which form the great instrument of philosophical reasoning. The original pleasures, which it is the aim of poetry to recal to the mind, are all derived from individual objects; and, of consequence, (with a very few exceptions, which it does not belong to my present subject to enumerate,) the more particular, and the more appropriated its language is, the greater will be the charm it possesses.

With respect to the description of the course of the Danube already quoted, I shall not dispute the refult of the experiment to be as the author reprefents it. That words may often be applied to their proper purpofes, without our annexing any particular notions to them, I have formerly shewn at great length; and I admit that the meaning of this description may be fo understood. But to be understood, is not the fole object of the poet: his primary object, is to please; and the pleasure which he conveys will, in general, be found to be proportioned to the beauty and liveliness of the images which he fuggests. In the case of a poet born blind, the effect of poetry must depend on other causes; but whatever opinion we may form on this point, it appears to me impoffible, that fuch a poet should receive, even from his own descriptions, the same degree of pleasure which they may convey to a reader, who is capable of conceiving the fcenes which are defcribed. Indeed this instance which Mr. Burke produces in support of his theory, is fufficient of itself to shew, that the theory theory cannot be true in the extent in which it is stated.

By way of contrast to the description of the Danube, I shall quote a stanza from Gray, which affords a very beautiful example of the two different effects of poetical expression. The pleasure conveyed by the two last lines resolves almost entirely into Mr. Burke's principles; but, great as this pleasure is, how inconsiderable is it in comparison of that arising from the continued and varied exercise which the preceding lines give to the imagination?

" In climes beyond the solar road,

- " Where shaggy forms o'er ice-built mountains roam,
- " The muse has broke the twilight-gloom,
- " To cheer the shiv'ring native's dull abode.
- ' And oft, beneath the od'rous shade
- " Of Chili's boundless forests laid,
- " She deigns to hear the favage youth repeat,
- " In loofe numbers wildly fweet,
- " Their feather-cinctur'd chiefs, and dusky loves.
- "Her track where'er the goddess roves,
- "Glory purfue, and generous shame,
- " Th' unconquerable mind, and freedom's holy flame."

I cannot help remarking further, the effect of the folemn and uniform flow of the verse in this exquisite stanza, in retarding the pronunciation of the reader; so as to arrest his attention to every successive picture, till it has time to produce its proper impression. More of the charm of poetical rythm arises from this circumstance, than is commonly imagined.

To those who wish to study the theory of poetical expression, no author in our language affords a richer variety of illustrations than the poet last quoted. His

merits,

merits, in many other respects, are great; but his skill in this particular is more peculiarly conspicuous. How much he had made the principles of this branch of his art an object of study, appears from his letters published by Mr. Mason.

I have fometimes thought, that, in the last line of the following passage, he had in view the two different effects of words already described; the effect of some, in awakening the powers of Conception and Imagination; and that of others, in exciting associated emotions:

- " Hark, his hands the lyre explore!
- " Bright-ey'd Fancy hovering o'er,
- " Scatters from her pictur'd urn,
- " Thoughts, that breathe, and words, that burn."---

## SECTION III.

Continuation of the same Subject. — Relation of Imagination and of Taste to Genius.

FROM the remarks made in the foregoing Sections, it is obvious, in what manner a perfon accustomed to analise and combine his conceptions, may acquire an idea of beauties superior to any which he has seen realised. It may also be easily inferred, that a habit of forming such intellectual combinations, and of remarking their effects on our own minds, must contribute to refine and to exalt the Taste, to a degree which it never can attain in those men, who study to improve

improve it by the observation and comparison of external objects only.

A cultivated Taste, combined with a creative Imagination, constitutes Genius in the Fine Arts. Without taste, imagination could produce only a random analysis and combination of our conceptions; and without imagination, taste would be destitute of the faculty of invention. These two ingredients of genius may be mixed together in all possible proportions; and where either is possessed in a degree remarkably exceeding what falls to the ordinary share of mankind, it may compensate in some measure for a deficiency in the other. An uncommonly correct taste, with little imagination, if it does not produce works which excite admiration, produces at least nothing which can offend. An uncommon fertility of imagination, even when it offends, excites our wonder by its creative power; and shews what it could have performed, had its exertions been guided by a more perfect model.

In the infancy of the Arts, an union of these two powers in the same mind is necessary for the production of every work of genius. Taste, without imagination, is, in such a situation, impossible; for, as there are no monuments of ancient genius on which it can be formed, it must be the result of experiments, which nothing but the imagination of every individual can enable him to make. Such a taste must necessarily be imperfect, in consequence of the limited experience of which it is the result; but, without imagination, it could not have been acquired even in this imperfect degree.

In the progress of the Arts the case comes to be altered. The productions of genius accumulate to fuch an extent, that taste may be formed by a careful fludy of the works of others; and, as formerly imagination had ferved as a necessary foundation for taste, fo tafte begins now to invade the province of imagination. The combinations which the latter faculty has been employed in making, during a long fuccession of ages, approach to infinity; and present such ample materials to a judicious felection, that with a high standard of excellence, continually present to the thoughts, industry, assisted by the most moderate degree of imagination, will, in time, produce performances, not only more free from faults, but incomparably more powerful in their effects, than the most original efforts of untutored genius, which, guided by an uncultivated taste, copies after an inferior model of perfection. What Reynolds observes of Painting, may be applied to all the other Fine Arts: that, "as " the Painter, by bringing together in one piece, those " beauties, which are dispersed amongst a great va-" riety of individuals, produces a figure more beau-" tiful than can be found in nature; fo that artist who " can unite in himself the excellencies of the various " painters, will approach nearer to perfection than any

" of his mafters \*."

<sup>\*</sup> P. 226.

## SECTION IV.

Of the Influence of Imagination on Human Character and Happiness.

HITHERTO we have confidered the power of Imagination chiefly as it is connected with the Fine Arts. But it deserves our attention still more, on account of its extensive influence on human character and happiness.

The lower animals, as far as we are able to judge, are entirely occupied with the objects of their prefent perceptions: and the case is nearly the same with the inferior orders of our own species. One of the principal effects which a liberal education produces on the mind, is to accustom us to withdraw our attention from the objects of sense, and to direct it, at pleasure, to those intellectual combinations which delight the imagination. Even, however, among men of cultivated understandings, this faculty is possessed in very unequal degrees by different individuals; and these differences (whether resulting from original constitution or from early education) lay the foundation of some striking varieties in human character.

What we commonly call fensibility, depends, in a great measure, on the power of imagination. Point out to two men, any object of compassion; — a man, for example, reduced by misfortune from easy circumstances to indigence. The one feels merely in proportion to what he perceives by his fenses. The other

other follows, in imagination, the unfortunate man to his dwelling, and partakes with him and his family in their domestic distresses. He listens to their conversation, while they recal to remembrance the flattering prospects they once indulged; the circle of friends they had been forced to leave; the liberal plans of education which were begun and interrupted; and pictures out to himself all the various resources which delicacy and pridy fuggest, to conceal poverty from the world. As he poceeds in the painting, his fenfibility increases, and he weeps, not for what he sees, but for what he imagines. It will be faid, that it was his fenfibility which originally roufed his imagination; and the observation is undoubtedly true; but it is equally evident, on the other hand, that the warmth of his imagination increases and prolongs his fensibility.

This is beautifully illustrated in the Sentimental Journey of Sterne. While engaged in a train of reflections on the State prisons in France, the accidental fight of a starling in a cage suggests to him the idea of a captive in his dungeon. He indulges his imagination, "and looks through the twilight of the grated

" door to take the picture."

"I beheld," (fays he,) "his body half-wasted away with long expectation and confinement, and felt what kind of sickness of the heart it is, which arises from hope deferred. Upon looking nearer, I saw him pale and severish: in thirty years the western breeze had not once fanned his blood: he had seen no sun, no moon, in all that time, nor had the voice of friend or kinsman breathed through his lattice. —His children — But here my heart began

" began to bleed, and I was forced to go on with another part of the portrait.

"He was fitting upon the ground, in the fartheft corner of his dungeon, on a little straw, which was alternately his chair and bed: a little calendar of small sticks was laid at the head, notched all over with the dismal days and nights he had passed there:—he had one of these little sticks in his hand, and with a rusty nail he was etching another day of misery to add to the heap. As I darkened the little

" light he had, he lifted up a hopeless eye towards

" the door, then cast it down - shook his head, and

" went on with his work of affliction."

The foregoing observations may account, in part, for the effect which exhibitions of fictitious distress produce on some persons, who do not discover much fensibility to the distresses of real life. In a Novel or a Tragedy, the picture is completely finished in all its parts; and we are made acquainted not only with every circumstance on which the distress turns, but with the fentiments and feelings of every character, with respect to his situation. In real life we see, in general, only detached scenes of the Tragedy; and the impression is slight, unless imagination sinishes the characters, and supplies the incidents that are wanting.

It is not only to fcenes of diffress that imagination increases our fensibility. It gives us a double share in the prosperity of others, and enables us to partake, with a more lively interest, in every fortunate incident that occurs either to individuals or to communities. Even from the productions of the earth, and the vicif-situdes of the year, it carries forward our thoughts to

the enjoyments they bring to the fensitive creation, and by interesting our benevolent affections in the scenes we behold, lends a new charm to the beauties of nature.

I have often been inclined to think, that the apparent coldness and felfishness of mankind may be traced, in a great measure, to a want of attention and a want of imagination. In the case of misfortunes which happen to ourselves, or to our near connexions, neither of these powers is necessary to make us acquainted with our fituation; fo that we feel, of necessity, the correfpondent emotions. But without an uncommon degree of both, it is impossible for any man to comprehend completely the fituation of his neighbour, or to have an idea of a great part of the diftress which exists in the world. If we feel therefore more for ourselves than for others, the difference is to be ascribed, at least partly, to this; that, in the former case, the facts which are the foundation of our feelings, are more fully before us than they possibly can be in the latter.

In order to prevent misapprehensions of my meaning, it is necessary for me to add, that I do not mean to deny that it is a law of our nature, in cases in which there is an interference between our own interest and that of other men, to give a certain degree of preference to ourselves; even supposing our neighbour's situation to be as completely known to us as our own. I only affirm, that, where this preference becomes blameable and unjust, the effect is to be accounted for partly in the way I mentioned \*. One striking proof of this is,

<sup>\*</sup> I fay partly; for habits of inattention to the fituation of other men, undoubtedly presuppose some defect in the social affections.

the powerful emotions which may be occasionally excited in the minds of the most callous, when the attention has been once fixed, and the imagination awakened, by eloquent and circumstantial and pathetic description.

A very amiable and profound moralift, in the account which he has given of the origin of our fense of justice. has, I think, drawn a lefs pleafing picture of the natural constitution of the human mind, than is agreeable to truth. "To difturb" (fays he) "the happiness " of our neighbour, merely because it stands in the way " of our own; to take from him what is of real ufe " to him, merely because it may be of equal or of " more use to us; or, to indulge, in this manner, at "the expence of other people, the natural preference "which every man has for his own happiness above " that of other people, is what no impartial fpectator " can go along with. Every man is, no doubt, first " and principally recommended to his own care; and " as he is fitter to take care of himself than of any other " person, it is fit and right that it should be so. Every " man, therefore, is much more deeply interested in " whatever immediately concerns himfelf, than in what " concerns any other man: and to hear, perhaps, of " the death of another perfon with whom we have no " particular connexion, will give us less concern, will " fpoil our ftomach, or break our rest, much less than " a very infignificant difafter which has befallen our-" felves. But though the ruin of our neighbour may " affect us much less than a very small misfortune of our own, we must not ruin him to prevent that " fmall misfortune, nor even to prevent our own L. 1 " ruin.

ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. VII. " ruin. We must here, as in all other cases, view " ourselves not so much according to that light " in which we may naturally appear to ourfelves, " as according to that in which we naturally ap-" pear to others. Though every man may, ac-" cording to the proverb, be the whole world to " himself, to the rest of mankind he is a most infig-" nificant part of it. Though his own happiness may " be of more importance to him than that of all the " world befides, to every other person it is of no more " confequence than that of any other man. Though " it may be true, therefore, that every individual, in " his own breaft, naturally prefers himfelf to all man-" kind, yet he dares not look mankind in the face, " and avow that he acts according to this principle. " He feels that, in this preference they can never go " along with him, and that how natural foever it may " be to him, it must always appear excessive and ex-" travagant to them. When he views himself in the " light in which he is confcious that others will view " him, he fees that to them he is but one of the mul-"titude, in no respect better than any other in it. If " he would act fo as that the impartial spectator may " enter into the principles of his conduct, which is " what of all things he has the greatest defire to do, he " must, upon this, as upon all other occasions, humble " the arrogance of his felf-love, and bring it down " to fomething which other men can go along with."

I am ready to acknowledge, that there is much truth in this paffage; and that a prudential regard to the opinion of others, might teach a man of good fense, without the aid of more amiable motives, to conceal

his unreasonable partialities in favour of himself, and to act agreeably to what he conceives to be the fentiments of impartial spectators. But I cannot help thinking, that the fact is much too ftrongly stated with respect to the natural partiality of self-love, supposing the fituation of our neighbours to be as completely presented to our view, as our own must of necessity be. When the Orator wishes to combat the felfish passions of his audience, and to rouse them to a sense of what they owe to mankind; what mode of perfuafion does nature dictate to him? Is it to remind them of the importance of the good opinion of the world. and of the necessity, in order to obtain it, of accommodating their conduct to the fentiments of others. rather than to their own feelings? Such confiderations undoubtedly might, with fome men, produce a certain effect; and might lead them to assume the appearance of virtue; but they would never excite a fentiment of indignation at the thought of injustice, or a fudden and involuntary burst of difinterested affection. If the Orator can only fucceed in fixing their attention to facts, and in bringing these facts home to their imagination by the power of his eloquence, he has completely attained his object. No fooner are the facts apprehended, than the benevolent principles of our nature difplay themselves in all their beauty. The most cautious and timid lose, for a moment, all thought of themselves, and despising every consideration of prudence or of fafety, become wholly engrossed with the fortunes of others.

Many other facts, which are commonly alledged as proofs of the original felfishness of mankind, may be L l 2 explained,

explained, in part, in a fimilar way; and may be traced to habits of inattention, or to a want of imagination, arifing, probably, from fome fault in early education.

What has now been remarked with respect to the focial principles, may be applied to all our other passions, excepting those which take their rise from the body. They are commonly strong in proportion to the warmth and vigour of the imagination.

It is, however, extremely curious, that when an imagination, which is naturally phlegmatic, or which, like those of the vulgar, has little activity from a want of culture, is fairly roused by the descriptions of the Orator or of the Poet, it is more apt to produce the violence of enthusiasm, than in minds of a superior order. By giving this faculty occasional exercise, we acquire a great degree of command over it. As we can withdraw the attention at pleasure from objects of fense, and transport ourselves into a world of our own, fo when we wish to moderate our enthusiasm, we can difinifs the objects of imagination, and return to our ordinary perceptions and occupations. But in a mind to which these intellectual visions are not familiar, and which borrows them completely from the genius of another, imagination, when once excited, becomes perfectly ungovernable, and produces fomething like a temporary infanity. Hence the wonderful effects of popular eloquence on the lower orders; effects which are much more remarkable, than what it ever produces on men of education.

## SECTION V.

Continuation of the same Subject. — Inconveniences resulting from an ill-regulated Imagination.

T was undoubtedly the intention of nature, that the bjects of perception should produce much stronger impressions on the mind than its own operations. And, accordingly they always do fo, when proper care has been taken in early life to exercife the different principles of our constitution. But it is possible, by long habits of folitary reflection, to reverse this order of things, and to weaken the attention to fensible objects to fo great a degree, as to leave the conduct almost wholly under the influence of imagination. Removed to a diftance from fociety, and from the purfuits of life, when we have been long accustomed to converse with our own thoughts, and have found our activity gratified by intellectual exertions, which afford fcope to all our powers and affections, without exposing us to the inconveniences refulting from the buftle of the world, we are apt to contract an unnatural predilection for meditation, and to lofe all interest in external occurrences. In fuch a fituation too, the mind gradually lofes that command which education, when properly conducted, gives it over the train of its ideas; till at length the most extravagant dreams of imagination acquire as powerful an influence in exciting all its passions, as if they were realities. A wild and mountainous country, which prefents but a limited variety of objects, and I. 13 thefe

these only of such a fort as "awake to solemn thought," has a remarkable effect in cherishing this enthusiasm.

When fuch diforders of the imagination have been long confirmed by habit, the evil may perhaps be beyond a remedy; but in their inferior degrees, much may be expected from our own efforts; in particular, from mingling gradually in the bufiness and amusements of the world; or, if we have sufficient force of mind for the exertion, from resolutely plunging into those active and interesting and hazardous scenes, which, by compelling us to attend to external circumstances, may weaken the impressions of imagination, and strengthen those produced by realities. The advice of the poet, in these cases, is equally beautiful and just:

- " Go, foft enthusiast! quit the cypress groves,
- " Nor to the rivulet's lonely moanings tune
- "Your fad complaint. Go, feek the cheerful haunts
- " Of men, and mingle with the buftling crowd;
- " Lay schemes for wealth, or power, or same, the wish
- " Of nobler minds, and push them night and day.
- " Or join the caravan in quest of scenes
- " New to your eyes, and shifting every hour,
- " Beyond the Alps, beyond the Appenines.
- " Or, more adventurous, rush into the field
- "Where war grows hot; and raging through the sky,
- "The lofty trumpet swells the madd'ning foul;
- " And in the hardy camp and toilsome march,
- " Forget all fofter and less manly cares \*."

The disordered state of mind to which these observations refer is the more interesting, that it is chiefly incident to men of uncommon fenfibility and genius. It has been often remarked, that there is a connexion between genius and melancholy; and there is one fense of the word melancholy, in which the remark is undoubtedly true; a fenfe which it may be difficult to define, but in which it implies nothing either gloomy or malevolent \*. This, I think, is not only confirmed by facts, but may be inferred from some principles which were formerly stated on the subject of invention; for as the disposition now alluded to has a tendency to retard the current of thought, and to collect the attention of the mind, it is peculiarly favourable to the discovery of those profound conclusions which refult from an accurate examination of the less obvious relations among our ideas. From the fame principles too, may be traced fome of the effects which fituation and early education produce on the intellectual character. Among the natives of wild and folitary countries we may expect to meet with fublime exertions of poetical imagination and of philosophical refearch; while those men whose attention has been diffipated from infancy amidst the bustle of the world, and whose current of thought has been trained to yield and accommodate itself, every moment, to the rapid fuccession of trisles, which diversify fashionable life, acquire, without any effort on their part, the in-

ARISTOT. Problem. fect. xxx.

<sup>\*</sup> Δια τι παιτες όσοι περιττοι γεγονασιν ανόζεις, η κατα φιλοσοφιαν, η ποιητικ, η πειητικ, η τεχυας, Φαιιονται μελαγχολικοι οντες.

520 ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY Chap. VII. tellectual habits which are favourable to gaiety, vivacity, and wit.

When a man, under the habitual influence of a warm imagination, is obliged to mingle occasionally in the fcenes of real business, he is perpetually in danger of being misled by his own enthusiasm. What we call good fense in the conduct of life, confists chiefly in that temper of mind which enables its poffesfor to view, at all times, with perfect coolness and accuracy, all the various circumstances of his situation; fo that each of them may produce its due impression on him, without any exaggeration arising from his own peculiar habits. But to a man of an ill-regulated imagination, external circumstances only ferve as hints to excite his own thoughts, and the conduct he pursues has, in general, far less reference to his real fituation, than to fome imaginary one, in which he conceives himself to be placed: in confequence of which, while he appears to himself to be acting with the most perfect wisdom and consistency, he may frequently exhibit to others all the appearances of folly. Such, pretty nearly, feems to be the idea which the Author \* of the "Reflexions on the " Character and Writings of Rouffeau," has formed of that extraordinary man. "His faculties," we are told, " were flow in their operation, but his heart " was ardent: it was in confequence of his own me-" ditations that he became impassioned: he discovered " no fudden emotions, but all his feelings grew upon " reflexion. It has, perhaps, happened to him to

<sup>\*</sup> Madame de STAEL HOLSTEIN.

" fall in love gradually with a woman, by dwelling " on the idea of her during her absence. Sometimes " he would part with you with all his former affec-"tion; but if an expression had escaped you, which " might bear an unfavourable construction, he would " recollect it, examine it, exaggerate it, perhaps dwell " upon it for a month, and conclude by a total breach " with you. Hence it was, that there was fcarce a " poffibility of undeceiving him; for the light which " broke in upon him at once was not fufficient to " efface the wrong impressions which had taken place " fo gradually in his mind. It was extremely diffi-" cult, too, to continue long on an intimate footing "with him. A word, a gesture, furnished him with " matter of profound meditation: he connected the " most trifling circumstances like so many mathema-" tical propositions, and conceived his conclusions to " be supported by the evidence of demonstration. I " believe," continues this ingenious writer, " that " imagination was the strongest of his faculties, and " that it had almost absorbed all the rest. He dreamed " rather than existed, and the events of his life might " be faid, more properly, to have passed in his mind, "than without him: a mode of being, one should " have thought, that ought to have fecured him from " diftrust, as it prevented him from observation; but " the truth was, it did not hinder him from attempt-" ing to observe; it only rendered his observations " erroneous. That his foul was tender, no one can " doubt, after having read his works; but his ima-" gination fometimes interpofed between his reason " and his affections, and destroyed their influence:

- " he appeared fometimes void of fenfibility; but it
- " was because he did not perceive objects such as they
- "were. Had he feen them with our eyes, his heart would have been more affected than ours."

In this very striking description we see the melancholy picture of sensibility and genius approaching to infanity. It is a case, probably, that but rarely occurs, in the extent here described: but, I believe, there is no man who has lived much in the world, who will not trace many resembling features to it, in the circle of his own acquaintances: perhaps there are few, who have not been occasionally conscious of some resemblance to it in themselves.

To these observations we may add, that by an exceffive indulgence in the pleasures of imagination, the tafte may acquire a fastidious refinement unsuitable to the prefent fituation of human nature; and those intellectual and moral habits, which ought to be formed by actual experience of the world, may be gradually fo accommodated to the dreams of poetry and romance, as to difqualify us for the scene in which we are destined to act. Such a distempered state of the mind is an endless source of error; more particularly when we are placed in those critical fituations, in which our conduct determines our future happiness or mifery; and which, on account of this extensive influence on human life, form the principal groundwork of fictitious composition. The effect of novels, in misleading the passions of youth, with respect to the most interesting and important of all relations, is one of the many instances of the inconveniences refulting from an ill-regulated imagination.

The

The passion of love has been, in every age, the favourite subject of the poets, and has given birth to the finest productions of human genius. These are the natural delight of the young and fusceptible, long before the influence of the passions is felt; and from thefe a romantic mind forms to itself an ideal model of beauty and perfection, and becomes enamoured with its own creation. On a heart which has been long accustomed to be thus warmed by the imagination, the excellencies of real characters make but a flight impression: and, accordingly, it will be found, that men of a romantic turn, unless when under the influence of violent passions, are seldom attached to a particular object. Where, indeed, fuch a turn is united with a warmth of temperament, the effects are different; but they are equally fatal to happiness. As the distinctions which exist among real characters are confounded by false and exaggerated conceptions of ideal perfection, the choice is directed to fome object by caprice and accident; a flight refemblance is miftaken for an exact coincidence; and the descriptions of the poet and novelist are applied literally to an individual, who perhaps falls fhort of the common standard of excellence. "I am certain," fays the Author last quoted, in her account of the character of Rouffeau, "that he never formed an attachment " which was not founded on caprice. It was illusions " alone that could captivate his passions; and it was " necessary for him always to accomplish his mistress " from his own fancy. I am certain alfo," fhe adds, " that the woman whom he loved the most, and per-" haps the only woman whom he loved constantly, " was his own Julie."

In the case of this particular passion, the effects of a romantic imagination are obvious to the most careless observer; and they have often led moralists to regret, that a temper of mind fo dangerous to happinefs fhould have received fo much encouragement from fome writers of our own age, who might have employed their genius to better purpofes. however, are not the only effects which fuch habits of study have on the character. Some others, which are not fo apparent at first view, have a tendency, not only to miflead us where our own happiness is at stake, but to defeat the operation of those active principles, which were intended to unite us to fociety. The manner in which imagination influences the mind, in the inftances which I allude to at prefent, is curious, and deferves a more particular explanation.

I shall have occasion afterwards to shew\*, in treating of our moral powers, that experience diminishes the influence of passive impressions on the mind, but strengthens our active principles. A course of debauchery deadens the sense of pleasure, but increases the desire of gratification. An immoderate use of strong liquors destroys the sensibility of the palate, but strengthens the habit of intemperance. The enjoyments we derive from any favourite pursuit gradually decay as we advance in years: and yet we continue to prosecute our favourite pursuits with increasing steadiness and vigour.

<sup>\*</sup> The following reasoning was suggested to me by a passage in Butler's Analogy, which the reader will find in Note [U] at the end of the volume.

On these two laws of our nature is founded our capacity of moral improvement. In proportion as we are accustomed to obey our sense of duty, the influence of the temptations to vice is diminished; while, at the fame time, our habit of virtuous conduct is confirmed. How many paffive impressions, for instance, must be overcome, before the virtue of beneficence can exert itself uniformly and habitually! How many circumstances are there in the distresses of others. which have a tendency to alienate our hearts from them, and which prompt us to withdraw from the fight of the miferable! The impressions we receive from these are unfavourable to virtue: their force. however, every day diminishes, and it may, perhaps. by perfeverance, be wholly destroyed. It is thus that the character of the beneficent man is formed. The passive impressions which he felt originally, and which counteracted his fense of duty, have lost their influence, and a habit of beneficence is become part of his nature.

It must be owned, that this reasoning may, in part, be retorted; for among those passive impressions, which are weakened by repetition, there are some which have a beneficial tendency. The uneasiness, in particular, which the sight of distress occasions, is a strong incentive to acts of humanity; and it cannot be denied that it is lessened by experience. This might naturally lead us to expect, that the young and unpractised would be more disposed to perform beneficent actions, than those who are advanced in life, and who have been familiar with scenes of misery. And, in truth, the fact would be so, were it not that

the effect of custom on this passive impression is counteracted by its effects on others; and, above all, by its influence in strengthening the active habit of beneficence. An old and experienced physician is less affected by the fight of bodily pain, than a younger practitioner; but he has acquired a more confirmed habit of assisting the sick and helpless, and would offer greater violence to his nature, if he should withhold from them any relief that he has in his power to bestow. In this case, we see a beautiful provision made for our moral improvement, as the effects of experience on one part of our constitution are made to counteract its effects on another.

If the foregoing observations be well founded, it will follow, that habits of virtue are not to be formed in retirement, but by mingling in the scenes of active life, and that an habitual attention to exhibitions of fictitious distress, is not merely useless to the character, but positively hurtful.

It will not, I think, be difputed, that the frequent perufal of pathetic compositions diminishes the uneasiness which they are naturally fitted to excite. A perfon who indulges habitually in such studies, may feel a growing desire of his usual gratification, but he is every day less and less affected by the scenes which are presented to him. I believe it would be difficult to find an actor long hackneyed on the stage, who is capable of being completely interested by the distresses of a tragedy. The effect of such compositions and representations, in rendering the mind callous to actual distress, is still greater; for as the imagination of the Poet almost always carries him beyond truth and

nature, a familiarity with the tragic fcenes which he exhibits, can hardly fail to deaden the impression produced by the comparatively trifling fufferings which the ordinary course of human affairs presents to us. In real life, a provision is made for this gradual decay of fenfibility, by the proportional decay of other paffive impressions, which have an opposite tendency. and by the additional force which our active habits are daily acquiring. Exhibitions of fictitious diffres, while they produce the former change on the character, have no influence in producing the latter: on the contrary, they tend to strengthen those passive impressions which counteract beneficence. The scenes into which the Novelist introduces us are, in general, perfectly unlike those which occur in the world. As his object is to pleafe, he removes from his descriptions every circumftance which is difgufting, and prefents us with histories of elegant and dignified distress. It is not fuch fcenes that human life exhibits. We have to act, not with refined and elevated characters, but with the mean, the illiterate, the vulgar, and the profligate. The perufal of fictitious history has a tendency to increase that disgust which we naturally feel at the concomitants of distress, and to cultivate a false refinement of taste, inconsistent with our condition as members of fociety. Nay, it is possible for this refinement to be carried fo far, as to withdraw a man from the duties of life, and even from the fight of those distresses which he might alleviate. And, accordingly, many are to be found, who, if the fituations of romance were realifed, would not fail to display the virtues of their favourite characters, whose fenfe

fense of duty is not sufficiently strong to engage them in the humble and private scenes of human misery.

To these effects of sictitious history we may add, that it gives no exercise to our active habits. In real life, we proceed from the passive impression to those exertions which it was intended to produce. In the contemplation of imaginary sufferings, we stop short at the impression, and whatever benevolent dispositions we may feel, we have no opportunity of carrying them into action.

From these reasonings it appears, that an habitual attention to exhibitions of fictitious diftress, is in every view calculated to check our moral improvement. It diminishes that uneafiness which we feel at the fight of diftress, and which prompts us to relieve it. It strengthens that difgust which the loathsome concomitants of distress excite in the mind, and which prompts us to avoid the fight of mifery; while, at the fame time, it has no tendency to confirm those habits of active beneficence, without which, the best dispositions are useless. I would not, however, be understood to disapprove entirely of fictitious narratives, or of pathetic compositions. On the contrary, I think that the perusal of them may be attended with advantage, when the effects which I have mentioned are corrected by habits of real business. They soothe the mind when russled by the rude intercourse of society, and stealing the attention insensibly from our own cares, substitute, inflead of discontent and distress, a tender and pleasing melancholy. By exhibitions of characters a little elevated above the common standard, they have a tendency to cultivate the taste in life; to quicken our disgust

at what is mean or offensive, and to form the mind infenfibly to elegance and dignity. Their tendency to cultivate the powers of moral perception has never been disputed; and when the influence of such perceptions is powerfully felt, and is united with an active and manly temper, they render the character not only more amiable, but more happy in itfelf, and more useful to others; for although a rectitude of judgment with respect to conduct, and strong moral feelings, do, by no means, alone constitute virtue; yet they are frequently necessary to direct our behaviour in the more critical fituations of life; and they increase the interest we take in the general prosperity of virtue in the world. I believe, likewife, that, by means of fictitious history, displays of character may be most successfully given, and the various weaknesses of the heart exposed. I only mean to infinuate, that a taste for them may be carried too far; that the fenfibility which terminates in imagination, is but a refined and felfish luxury; and that nothing can effectually advance our moral improvement, but an attention to the active duties which belong to our stations.

#### SECTION VI.

Continuation of the same Subject. — Important Uses to which the Power of Imagination is subservient.

THE faculty of imagination is the great fpring of human activity, and the principal fource of human improvement. As it delights in prefenting to the mind fcenes and characters more perfect than those M m

which we are acquainted with, it prevents us from ever being completely fatisfied with our present condition, or with our past attainments; and engages us continually in the pursuit of some untried enjoyment, or of some ideal excellence. Hence the ardour of the felsish to better their fortunes, and to add to their personal accomplishments; and hence the zeal of the Patriot and the Philosopher to advance the virtue and the happiness of the human race. Destroy this faculty, and the condition of man will become as stationary as that of the brutes.

When the notions of enjoyment or of excellence which imagination has formed, are greatly raifed above the ordinary standard, they interest the passions too deeply to leave us at all times the cool exercise of reason, and produce that state of the mind which is commonly known by the name of Enthusiasm; a temper which is one of the most fruitful fources of error and disappointment; but which is a fource, at the fame time, of heroic actions and of exalted characters. To the exaggerated conceptions of eloquence which perpetually revolved in the mind of Cicero; to that idea which haunted his thoughts of aliquid immensum infinitumque; we are indebted for some of the most splendid displays of human genius; and it is probable that fomething of the fame kind has been felt by every man who has rifen much above the level of humanity, either in speculation or in action. It is happy for the individual, when these enthusiastic desires are directed to events which do not depend on the caprice of fortune.

The pleasure we receive from the higher kinds of poetry takes rife, in part, from that diffatisfaction which

which the objects of imagination inspire us with, for the fcenes, the events, and the characters, with which our fenfes are converfant. Tired and difgusted with this world of imperfection, we delight to escape to another of the poet's creation, where the charms of nature wear an eternal bloom, and where fources of enjoyment are opened to us, fuited to the vast capacities of the human mind. On this natural love of poetical fiction, lord Bacon has founded a very ingenious argument for the foul's immortality; and, indeed, one of the most important purposes to which it is subservient, is to elevate the mind above the pursuits of our prefent condition, and to direct the views to higher objects. In the mean time, it is rendered subservient alfo, in an eminent degree, to the improvement and happiness of mankind, by the tendency which it has to accelerate the progress of society.

As the pictures which the poet prefents to us are never (even in works of pure description) faithful copies from nature, but are always meant to be improvements on the original she affords, it cannot be doubted that they must have some effect in resining and exalting our taste, both with respect to material beauty, and to the objects of our pursuit in life. It has been alledged, that the works of our descriptive poets have contributed to diffuse that taste for picturesque beauty, which is so prevalent in England, and to recal the public admiration from the fantastic decorations of art, to the more powerful and permanent charms of cultivated nature; and it is certain, that the first ardours of many an illustrious character have been kindled by the compositions of Homer and Virgil. It is difficult

to fay, to what a degree, in the earlier periods of fociety, the rude compositions of the bard and the minstrel may have been instrumental in humanizing the minds of favage warriors, and in accelerating the growth of cultivated manners. Among the Scandinavians and the Celtæ we know that this order of men was held in very peculiar veneration; and, accordingly, it would appear, from the monuments which remain of these nations, that they were distinguished by a delicacy in the passion of love, and by a humanity and generofity to the vanquished in war, which feldom appear among barbarous tribes; and with which it is hardly possible to conceive how men in fuch a state of fociety could have been inspired, but by a separate class of individuals in the community, who devoted themselves to the pacific profession of poetry, and to the cultivation of that creative power of the mind, which anticipates the course of human affairs; and presents, in prophetic vision, to the poet and the philosopher, the bleffings which accompany the progress of reason and refinement.

Nor must we omit to mention the important effects of Imagination in multiplying the sources of innocent enjoyment, beyond what this limited scene affords. Not to insist on the nobler efforts of genius, which have rendered this part of our constitution subservient to moral improvement; how much has the sphere of our happiness been extended by those agreeable sictions which introduce us to new worlds, and make us acquainted with new orders of being! What a fund of amusement, through life, is prepared for one who reads, in his childhood, the sables of antient Greece!

They dwell habitually on the memory, and are ready, at all times, to fill up the intervals of bufinefs, or of ferious reflexion; and in his hours of rural retirement and leifure, they warm his mind with the fire of antient genius, and animate every fcene he enters, with the offspring of claffical fancy.

It is, however, chiefly in painting future fcenes that Imagination loves to indulge herfelf, and her prophetic dreams are almost always favourable to happiness. By an erroneous education, indeed, it is possible to render this faculty an instrument of constant and of exquisite distress; but in such cases (abstracting from the influence of a constitutional melancholy) the distresses of a gloomy imagination are to be afcribed not to nature, but to the force of early impressions.

The common bias of the mind undoubtedly is, (fuch is the benevolent appointment of Providence,) to think favourably of the future; to overvalue the chances of possible good, and to under-rate the risks of possible evil; and in the case of some fortunate individuals, this disposition remains after a thousand disappointments. To what this bias of our nature is owing, it is not material for us to inquire: the fact is certain, and it is an important one to our happiness. It supports us under the real distresses of life, and cheers and animates all our labours: and although it is fometimes apt to produce, in a weak and indolent mind, those deceitful fuggestions of ambition and vanity, which lead us to facrifice the duties and the comforts of the prefent moment, to romantic hopes and expectations; yet it must be acknowledged, when connected with habits of activity, and regulated by a folid judgment,

Mm 3

ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY, &c. Chap. VII. to have a favourable effect on the character, by infpiring that ardour and enthusiasim which both prompt to great enterprifes, and are necessary to ensure their fuccefs. When fuch a temper is united (as it commonly is) with pleafing notions, concerning the order of the universe, and in particular concerning the condition and the prospects of man, it places our happiness, in a great measure, beyond the power of fortune. While it adds a double relish to every enjoyment, it blunts the edge of all our fufferings; and even when human life prefents to us no object on which our hopes can rest, it invites the imagination beyond the dark and troubled horizon which terminates all our earthly prospects, to wander unconfined in the regions of futurity. A man of benevolence, whose mind is enlarged by philosophy, will indulge the same agreeable anticipations with respect to society; will view all the different improvements in arts, in commerce, and in the fciences, as co-operating to promote the union, the happiness, and the virtue of mankind; and, amidst the political diforders refulting from the prejudices and follies of his own times, will look forward with tranfport, to the bleffings which are referved for posterity

in a more enlightened age.

# NOTES

AND

ILLUSTRATIONS.



## NOTES, &c.

#### NOTE [A], page 4.

AM happy in being able to quote the following paffage, in illustration of a doctrine, against which I do not conceive it possible to urge any thing, but the authority of some illustrious names.

"Puisque l'existence des corps n'est pour nous que la permanence d'êtres dont les propriétés répondent à un certain ordre de nos sensations, il en résulte qu'elle n'a rien de plus certain que celle d'autres êtres qui se manisses estres fur nous ; & puisque nos observations sur nos propres facultés, consirmées par celles que nous faisons sur les êtres pensants qui animent aussi des corps, ne nous montrent aucune analogie entre l'être qui sent ou qui pense & l'être qui nous offre le phénomène de l'étendue ou de l'impénétrabilité, il n'y a aucune raison de croire ces êtres de la même nature. Ainsi la spiritualité de l'ame n'est pas une opinion qui ait besoin de preuves, mais le résultat simple & naturel d'une analyse exacte de nos idées, & de nos facultés."

Vie de M. TURGOT par M. CONDORCET.

Des Cartes was the first philosopher who stated, in a clear and satisfactory manner, the distinction between mind and matter, and who pointed out the proper plan for studying the intellectual phenomena. It is chiefly in consequence of

his precise ideas with respect to this distinction, that we may remark, in all his metaphysical writings, a perspicuity which is not observable in those of any of his predecessors.

Dr. Reid has remarked, that although Des Cartes infers the existence of mind, from the operations of which we are conscious, yet he could not reconcile himself to the notion of an unknown substance, or substratum, to which these operations belonged. And it was on this account, he conjectures, that he made the essence of the soul to consist in thought; as, for a similar reason, he had made the essence of matter to consist in extension. But I am assaud, that this supposition is not perfectly reconcileable with Des Cartes' writings; for he repeatedly speaks with the utmost considence of the existence of substances of which we have only a relative idea; and, even in attempting to shew that thought is the essential attribute of mind, and extension of matter, he considers them as nothing more than attributes or qualities belonging to these substances.

"Per fubstantiam nihil aliud intelligere possumus, quam rem quæ ita existit, ut nulla alia re indigeat ad existendum. Et quidem substantia quæ nulla plane re indigeat, unica tantum potest intelligi, nempe Deus. Alias vero omnes, non nisi ope concursus Dei existere posse percipimus. Atque ideo nomen substantiæ non convenit Deo et illis univoce ut dici solet in scholis; hoc est, nulla ejus nominis significatio, potest distincte intelligi, quæ Deo, et creaturis sit communis.

"Possunt autem substantia corporea, et mens, sive substantia cogitans, creata, sub hoc communi conceptu intelligi; quod sint res, quæ solo Dei concursu egent ad existendum. Verumtamen non potest substantia primum animadverti ex hoc solo, quod sit res existens, quia hoc solum
per se nos non afficit: sed facile ipsam agnoscimus ex
quolibet ejus attributo, per communem illam notionem,
quod nihili nulla sunt attributa, nullæve proprietates aut
qualitates. Ex hoc enim, quod aliquod attributum adesse

" percipiamus, concludimus aliquam rem existentem, sive substantiam cui illud tribui possit, necessario etiam adesse.

"Et quidem ex quolibet attributo substantia cognoscitur; sed una tamen est cujusque substantia præcipua proprietas, quæ ipsius naturam essentiamque constituit, et ad quam aliæ omnes reseruntur. Nempe extensio in longum, latum et profundum substantiæ corporeæ naturam constituit; et cogitatio constituit naturam substantiæ cogitantis."

Princip. Philosoph. pars i. cap. 51, 52, 53.

In stating the relative notious which we have of mind and of body, I have avoided the use of the word substance, as I am unwilling to surnish the slightest occasion for controversy; and have contented myself with defining mind to be that which feels, thinks, wills, hopes, sears, desires, &c. That my consciousness of these and other operations is necessarily accompanied with a conviction of my own existence, and with a conviction that all of them belong to one and the same being, is not an hypothesis, but a fact; of which it is no more possible for me to doubt, than of the reality of my own sensations or volitions.

#### NOTE [B.], page 68.

OCTOR REID remarks, that Des Cartes rejected a part only of the antient theory of perception, and adopted the other part. "That theory," fays he, "may be divided into two parts: the first, that images, species, or forms of external objects, come from the object, and enter by the avenues of the senses to the mind: the second part is, that the external object itself is not perceived, but only the species or image of it in the mind. The first part, Des Cartes and his followers rejected and resuted by solid arguments; but the second part, neither he nor his followers have thought of calling in question; being persuaded that it is only a representative image in the mind of the external object that we perceive, and not the object itself. "And this image, which the peripatetics called a species,

" he calls an idea, changing the name only, while he admits the thing."

The account which this passage contains of Des Cartes' doctrine concerning perception, is, I believe, agreeable to his prevailing opinion, as it may be collected from the general tenor of his writings; and the observation with which it concludes is undoubtedly true, that neither he nor any of his followers ever called in question the existence of ideas, as the immediate objects of our perception. With respect, however, to the first part of the antient theory, as here stated, it may be proper to remark, that Des Cartes, although evidently by no means satisfied with it, sometimes expresses himself as if he rather doubted of it, than expressly denied it; and at other times, when pressed with objections to his own particular system, he admits, at least in part, the truth of it. The following passage is one of the most explicit I recollect, in opposition to the antient doctrine.

of it. The following paffage is one of the most explicit I recollect, in opposition to the antient doctrine. " Observandum præterea, animam, nullis imaginibus ab 66 objectis ad cerebrum missis egere ut sentiat, (contra quam " communiter philosophi nostri statuunt,) aut ad minimum " longe aliter illarum imaginum naturam concipiendam esse " quam vulgo fit. Quum enim circa eas nil confiderent, " præter similitudinem earum cum objectis quæ repræfentant, " non possunt explicare, qua ratione ab objectis formari " queant, et recipi ab organis sensuum exteriorum, et demum " nervis ad cerebrum transvehi, Nec alia causa imagines " istas fingere eos impulit, risi quod viderent mentem nostram " efficaciter pictura excitari ad apprehendendum objectum " illud, quod exhibet: ex hoc enim judicarunt, illam codem " modo excitandam, ad apprehendenda ea quæ fenfus mo-" vent, per exiguas quasdam imagines, in capite nostro de-" lineatas. Sed nobis contra est advertendum, multa præter " imagines effe, quæ cogitationes excitant, ut exempli gratia, " verba et figna, nullo modo fimilia iis quæ fignificant."

Dioptric. cap. 4. § 6.

In his third meditation (which contains his celebrated argument for the existence of a Deity) the following passage occurs.

" Sed hic præcipue de iis est quærendum quas tanquam a " rebus extra me existentibus desumptas considero, quænam " me moveat ratio ut illas istis rebus similes esse existimem; " nempe ita videor doctus a natura, et præterea experior illas " non a mea voluntate nec proinde a me ipfo pendere, fæpe " enim vel invito obverfantur, ut jam, five velim five nolim, " fentio calorem, et ideo puto fenfum illum, five ideam " caloris a re a me diverfa, nempe ab ignis, cui affideo " calore mihi advenire, nihilque magis obvium est, quam " ut judicem istam rem fuam similitudinem potius, quam " aliud quid in me immittere; quæ rationes an fatis firmæ " fint, jam videbo. Cum hic dico me ita doctum effe a " natura, intelligo tantum fpontaneo quodam impetu me " ferri ad hoc credendum, non lumine aliquo naturali mihi " oftendi esse verum, quæ duo multum discrepant, nam " quæcumque lumine naturali mihi oftenduntur, (ut quod " ex eo quod dubitem fequatur me esse, et similia,) nullo " modo dubia effe possunt quia nulla alia facultas effe po-" test, cui æque sidam ac lumini isti, quæque illa non vera " possit docere; sed quantum ad impetus naturales, jam " fæpe olim judicavi me ab illis in deteriorem partem fuiffe " impulsum cum de bono eligendo ageretur, nec video cur " iifdem in ulla alia re magis fidam. Deinde quamvis ideæ " illæ a voluntate mea non pendeant, non ideo conftat ipfas cc a rebus extra me positis necessario procedere; ut enim " impetus illi, de quibus mox loquebar, quamvis in me fint, " a voluntate tamen mea diversi esse videntur, ita sorte etiam " aliqua alia est in me facultas nondum mihi fatis cognita " istarum idearum effectrix, ut hactenus semper visum est " illas, dum fomnio, absque ulla rerum externarum ope in " me formari; ac denique quamvis a rebus a me diversis " procederent, non inde fequitur illas rebus iftis fimiles effe " debere; quinimo in multis fæpe magnum diferimen videor deprehendisse; sic, exempli causa, duas diversas solis ideas " apud me invenio, unam tanquam a fenfibus haustam, et " quæ maxime inter illas quas adventitias existimo est re-" cenfenda, per quam mihi valde parvus apparet; aliam oray 33

"vero ex rationibus aftronomiæ defumptam, hoc est ex notionibus quibusdam mihi innatis elicitam vel quocumque
alio modo a me sactam, per quam aliquoties major quam
terra exhibetur; utraque prosecto similis eidem soli extra
me existenti esse non potest, et ratio persuadet illam ei
maxime esse dissimilem, quæ quam proxime ab ipso videtur
emanasse. Quæ omnia satis demonstrant me non hacenus
ex certo judicio, sed tantum ex cæco aliquo impulsu credidisse res quasdam a me diversis existere, quæ ideas sive
imagines suas per organa sensuum, vel quolibet alio pacto
mihi immittant."

Among other animadversions upon this meditation sent to Des Cartes by one of his correspondents, it is objected; — "Videris vertere in dubium non tantum utrum ideæ aliquæ procedant ex rebus externis, sed etiam utrum omnino sint externæ res aliquæ." To which Des Cartes answers: "Notandum est, me non affirmasse ideas rerum materialium ex mente deduci, ut non satis bona side hic singis; expresse enim postea ostendi ipsas a corporibus sæpe advenire, ac per hoc corporum existentiam probari."

Vide Objectiones in Meditationes Renati Des Cartes, cum ejusdem ad illas Responsionibus.

## NOTE [C.], page 71.

IN consequence of the inferences which Mr. Hume has deduced from this doctrine concerning cause and effect, some later authors have been led to dispute its truth; not perceiving that the fallacy of this part of Mr. Hume's system does not consist in his premises, but in the conclusion which he draws from them.

That the object of the physical inquirer is not to trace necessary connexions, or to ascertain the efficient causes of phenomena, is a principle which has been frequently ascribed to Mr. Hume as its author, both by his followers and by his opponents; but it is, in fact, of a much earlier date, and has been maintained by many of the most enlightened,

and

and the least sceptical of our modern philosophers: nor do I know that it was ever suspected to have a dangerous tendency, till the publication of Mr. Hume's writings. " If we except" (fays Dr. Barrow) "the mutual caufality and dependence of " the terms of a mathematical demonstration, I do not think " that there is any other causality in the nature of things, " wherein a necessary consequence can be founded. Logi-" cians do indeed boast of I do not know what kind of de-" monstrations from external causes either efficient or final, " but without being able to shew one genuine example of " any fuch; nay, I imagine it is impossible for them so to " do. For there can be no fuch connexion of an external " efficient cause with its effect," (at least none such can be understood by us,) " through which, strictly speaking, the " effect is necessarily supposed by the supposition of the efficient cause, or any determinate cause by the supposition " of the effect." He adds afterwards, "Therefore there " can be no argumentation from an efficient cause to the " effect, or from an effect to the cause which is lawfully " necessary." Mathematical Lectures read at Cambridge. Dr. Butler too, in his discourse on the ignorance of man, has remarked, that "it is in general no more than effects, " that the most knowing are acquainted with; for as to " causes they are entirely in the dark as the most igno-" rant." " What are the laws," (he continues,) "by which " matter acts on matter, but certain effects, which some " having observed to be frequently repeated, have reduced " to general rules?" BUTLER's Sermons.

"The laws of attraction and repulsion," (fays Dr. Berkeley) "are to be regarded as laws of motion, and these only as rules or methods observed in the productions of natural effects, the efficient and final causes whereof are not of mechanical consideration. Certainly, if the explaining a phenomenon be to assign its proper efficient and final cause, it should seem the mechanical philosophers never explained any thing; their province being only to discover the laws of nature; that is, the general rules and method

" of motion; and to account for particular phenomena, by 
" reducing them under, or shewing their conformity to such 
" general rules." SIRIS: or, Philosophical Inquiries concerning the Virtues of Tar Water, p. 108.

"The words attraction and repulsion may, in compliance with custom, be used where, accurately speaking, motion alone is meant."

Ibid. p. 114.

"Attraction cannot produce, and in that sense account, for the phenomena; being itself one of the phenomena

" produced and to be accounted for." Ibid. p. 115.

"There is a certain analogy, constancy, and uniformity in the phenomena or appearances of nature, which are a foundation for general rules: and these are a grammar for the understanding of nature, or that series of effects in the visible world, whereby we are enabled to foresee what will come to pass in the natural course of things. Plotinus observes, in his third Ennead, that the art of presaging, is in some fort the reading of natural letters denoting order, and that so far forth as analogy obtains in the universe, there may be vaticination. And in reality, he that so foretells the motions of the planets, or the effects of medicines, or the result of chemical or mechanical experi-

Ibid. p. 120, 121.

"Instruments, occasions, and figns, occur in, or rather make up, the whole visible course of nature." Ib. p. 123.

"ments, may be faid to do it by natural vaticination."

The following very remarkable passage from Mr. Locke shews clearly, that this eminent philosopher considered the connexion between impulse and motion, as a tonjunction which we learn from experience only; and not as a consequence deducible from the consideration of impulse, by any reasoning a priori. The passage is the more curious, that it is this particular application of Mr. Hume's doctrine, that has been generally supposed to furnish the strongest objection against it.

"Another idea we have of body, is the power of com-"municating motion by impulse; and of our fouls, the "power one of body, the other of our minds, every day's experione of body, the other of our minds, every day's experione clearly furnishes us with: but if here again we inquire how this is done, we are equally in the dark. For
in the communication of motion by impulse, wherein as
much motion is lost to one body, as is got to the other,
which is the ordinariest case, we can have no other conception, but of the passing of motion out of the one into
another; which I think is as obscure and inconceivable,
as how our minds move or stop our bodies by thought,
which we every moment find they do."

"The communication of motion by thought, which we afcribe to fpirit, is as evident as that of impulse, which we afcribe to body. Conftant experience makes us fensible of both of these, though our narrow understandings can comprehend neither."

"To conclude, fenfation convinces us, that there are folid extended fubstances; and reflection, that there are thinking ones: experience assures us of the existence of such beings; and that the one hath a power to move body by impulse, and the other by thought.——If we would inquire farther into their nature, causes, and manner, we perceive not the nature of extension clearer than we do of thinking. If we would explain them any farther, one is as easy as the other; and there is no more difficulty to conceive, how a substance we know not, should by thought set body into motion, than how a substance we know not, should by impulse set body into motion."

LOCKE, book ii. chap. 23. § 28, 29.

It is not indeed very eafy to reconcile the foregoing obfervations, which are, in every respect, worthy of the sagacity of this excellent philosopher, with the passage quoted from him in page 81 of this work.

Some of Mr. Hume's reasonings concerning the nature of the connexions among physical events, coincide perfectly with those of Malebranche on the same subject; but they

Nn

Joldan?

were employed by this last writer to support a very different conclusion.

At a still earlier period, Hobbes expressed himself with refpect to physical connections, in terms fo nearly approaching to Mr. Hume's, that it is difficult to suppose that they did not fuggest to him the language which he has employed on that subject. " What we call experience," (he remarks,) " is nothing elfe but remembrance of what antecedents have " been followed by what confequents."-" No man," (he continues,) "can have in his mind a conception of the fu-" ture; for the future is not yet; but of our conceptions of " the past we make a future, or rather call past, future " relatively. Thus after a man hath been accustomed " to fee like antecedents followed by like confequents, " whenfoever he feeth the like come to pass to any thing he " had feen before, he looks there should follow it the same " that followed then. - When a man hath fo often observed " like antecedents to be followed by like confequents, that " whenfoever he feeth the antecedent, he looketh again for " the confequent, or when he feetli the confequent, maketh " account there hath been the like antecedent, then he call-" eth both the antecedent and the confequent figns of one " another." HOBBES' Tribos.

I am doubtful whether I should not add to these authorities, that of Lord Bacon, who, although he has no where formally stated the doctrine now under consideration, has plainly taken it for granted in all his reasonings on the method of prosecuting philosophical inquiries; for if we could perceive in any instance the manner in which a cause produces its essect, we should be able to deduce the essect from its cause by reasoning a priori; the impossibility of which he every where strongly inculcates. "Homo nature minister et interpres tantum facit et intelligit quantum de nature ordine re vel mente observaverit; nec amplius scit aut potest." I acknowledge, at the same time, that, from the general scope of Lord Bacon's writings, as well from some

A Elin

particular expressions in them with regard to causes, I am inclined to believe that his metaphyfical notions on the fubject were not very accurate, and that he was led to perceive the necessity of recurring to observation and experiment in natural philosophy, not from a speculative consideration of our ignorance concerning necessary connections, but from a conviction, founded on a review of the history of science, of the infufficiency of those methods of inquiry which his predecessors had purfued. The notion which the antients had formed of the object of philosophy, (which they conceived to be the investigation of efficient causes,) was the principal circumstance which misled them in their researches: and the erroneous opinions of Des Cartes on the fame subject, fruftrated all the efforts of his great and inventive genius, in the study of physics. "Perspicuum est," (says he, in one pasfage,) " optimam philosophandi viam nos fequuturos, fi ex " ipsius Dei cognitione rerum ab eo creatarum cognitionem " deducere conemur, ut ita fcientiam perfectissimam quæ est " effectuum per causas acquiramus \*."

The strong prejudice which has been entertained of late against Mr. Hume's doctrine concerning the connection among physical events, in consequence of the dangerous conclusions to which it has erroneously been supposed to lead, will, I hope, be a sufficient apology for multiplying so many authorities in support of it.

#### NOTE [D], page 74.

THIS language has ever been adopted by philosophers, and by atheists as well as theists. The latter have represented natural events as parts of a great chain, the highest link of which is supported by the Deity. The former have pretended, that there is no absurdity in supposing the number of links to be infinite. Mr. Hume had the merit of shewing clearly to philosophers, that our common language, with respect to cause and effect, is merely analogical; and that

Nn2

<sup>\*</sup> There is, I believe, reason to doubt if Des Cartes had ever read the works of Bacon.

if there be any links among physical events, they must for ever remain invisible to us. If this part of his system be admitted; and if, at the same time, we admit the authority of that principle of the mind, which leads us to refer every change to an efficient cause; Mr. Hume's doctrine seems to be more favourable to theism, than even the common notions upon this subject; as it keeps the Deity always in view, not only as the first, but as the constantly operating efficient cause in nature, and as the great connecting principle among all the various phenomena which we observe. This, accordingly, was the conclusion which Malebranche deduced from premises very nearly the same with Mr. Hume's.

### NOTE [E], page 119.

MR. LOCKE, in his Essay on Human Understanding, has taken notice of the quickness with which the operations of the mind are carried on, and has referred to the acquired perceptions of sight, as a proof of it. The same Author has been struck with the connexion between this class of sacts and our habitual actions; but he does not state the question, whether such actions are voluntary or not. I think it probable, from his mode of expression, that his opinion on the subject was the same with mine. The following quotation contains all the remarks I recollect in his writings, that have any connexion with the doctrines of the present chapter:

"We are farther to confider, concerning perception, that the ideas we receive by fenfation are often, in grown people, altered by the judgment, without our taking notice of it. When we fet before our eyes a round globe, of any uniform colour, e.g. gold, alabafter, or jet, it is certain that the idea thereby imprinted on our mind is of a flat circle, variously shadowed, with several degrees of light and brightness coming to our eyes. But we, having by use been accustomed to perceive what kind of appearance convex bodies are wont to make in us, and what alse terations

es terations are made in the reflections of light by the dif-" ference of the fensible figure of bodies; the judgment " prefently, by a habitual custom, alters the appearances " into their causes; so that, from that which truly is variety " of shadow or colour, collecting the figure, it makes it pass " for a mark of figure, and frames to itself the perception of " a convex figure, and an uniform colour; when the idea " we receive from thence is only a plane variously coloured; " as is evident in painting." Chap. ix. 68. "But this is not, I think, usually in any of our ideas " but those received by fight; because fight, the most com-" prehensive of all our fenses, conveying to our minds the " ideas of light and colours, which are peculiar only to that " fense, and also the far different ideas of space, figure, " and motion, the feveral varieties whereof change the apre pearances of its proper object, viz. light and colours, we of bring ourselves by use to judge of the one by the other. "This, in many cases, by a settled habit in things whereof " we have frequent experience, is performed fo constantly, " and fo quick, that we take that for the perception of our " fensation, which is an idea formed by our judgment; fo "that one, viz. that of fenfation, ferves only to excite the " other, and is scarce taken any notice of itself; as a man " who reads or hears with attention and understanding, " takes little notice of the characters or founds, but of the " ideas that are excited in him by them.

"Nor need we wonder that this is done with fo little notice, if we confider how very quick the actions of the mind are performed; for as itself is thought to take up no space, to have no extension, so its actions feem to require no time, but many of them feem to be crowded into an instant. I speak this in comparison to the actions of the body. Any one may easily observe this in his own thoughts, who will take the pains to reslect on them. How, as it were in an instant, do our minds, with one

" glance, fee all parts of a demonstration, which may very " well be called a long one, if we confider the time it will require to put it into words, and ftep by ftep shew it to " another? Secondly, we shall not be much surprised that " this is done in us with fo little notice, if we confider how " the facility which we get of doing things by a custom of " doing, makes them often pass in us without our notice. " Habits, especially fuch as are begun very early, come at " last to produce actions in us, which often escape our ob-" fervation. How frequently do we in a day cover our eyes " with our eye-lids, without perceiving that we are at all in " the dark? Men that by custom have got the use of a byeword, do almost in every fentence pronounce founds, which, though taken notice of by others, they themselves " neither hear nor observe; and, therefore, it is not so ftrange that our mind should often change the idea of its " fenfation into that of its judgment, and make one ferve only to excite the other, without our taking notice of " it." Ibid. § 9, 10.

The habit mentioned by Locke, in this paragraph, of occasionally winking with the eye-lids, (which is not accompanied with any memory of our being, in every such instance, in a momentary state of total darkness,) deserves to be added to the cases already mentioned, to shew the dependence of memory upon attention.

Note [F], page 166.

PLATONI quid idea sit, peculiari tractatione prolixe excussimus\*, quæ consuli ab iis debet, qui accurate totam rei seriem pernoscere cupiunt. Nos pro præsentis instituti modo paucis notamus, Platoni ideam non esse illam, quæ ex contemplatione objectorum singularium exsurgit notionem universalem reique alicujus gene-

Brucker here alludes to his work, intitled, Historia Philosophica de Ideis; which I have never had an opportunity of feeing.

" ralem conceptum, quem recentiores ideam vocant, ille " way vocavit et ab idea distinxit. Sed ideæ funt illi effen-" tialia rerum omnium fingularium exemplaria, autobota gau-" dentia, ad quorum naturam indolemque res fingulares " formatæ funt, et quæ illis veram certamque atque stabilem " effentiam largiuntur. Has ideas ex divina mente oriri, " inque ea radicari, fua autem propria fubstantia gaudere, et " effe autos na ortas orta statuit, et circa earum cognitionem " versari intellectum humanum, in his rerum effentiis sepa-" ratim et extra materiam existentibus cognoscendis cardi-" nem verti totius philosophiæ afferuit. Ridiculum id visum. « Aristoteli, dari extra materiam ejusmodi essentias univer-" fales, quibus res omnes fingulares essentialiter modificaer rentur, rato, esse hæc τερετισματα et nugas otiosi ingenii, " Platonemque fine causa rationeque sufficienti hæc somnia ex fcholis Pythagoreorum, quæ istis entibus personabant, " recepisse, suoque intulisse systemati. Cum autem negare " non auderet, esse in rebus formas essentiales, has ideas, " five formas, qua voce Platonicum nomen exprimere ma-" luit, materiæ ab æterno esse impressas, et in eo latere " affirmavit, et ita demum ex rationibus istis formisque se-" minalibus, materiam esse formatam statuit."

BRUCK. Hift. Phil. iii. p. 905.

#### NOTE [G], page 168.

THE Stoics, who borrowed many of their doctrines from the other fchools of philosophy, feem, in particular, to have derived their notions on this subject from some of their predecessors. Stilpo, who was of the Megaric fect, is faid to have held opinions approaching nearly to those of the Nominalists.

" Stilpo universalia plane sustulit. Dicebat enim: qui " hominem dicat eum neminem dicere, quod non hunc vel " illum ea vox fignificet, nec huic magis, quam alteri conveniat. - Scilicet supponebat Stilpo, non dari hominem in " abstracto, adeoque has species et genera rerum non natura " existere ; Nna

" existere; cum neque in hoc neque in alio homine, ille " homo universalis queat ostendi. Inductione itaque facta, " cum neque hunc, neque illum, neque alium hominem " esse colligeret, inferebat nullum esse hominem, sicque " ludendo ambigua hominis in genere five abstracto, uti " logici dicunt, & in individuo five fingulari confiderati " notione, incautos exagitabat. Altiora tamen hic latere " putat P. Bayle, et non in folo verborum lufu fubstitisse "Stilponeni, fed univerfalia five prædicabilia negavisse.-" Neque prorfus est dissimile, fuisse Stilponem inter eos, " qui univerfalia præter nuda nomina nihil effe dicerent, quod et cynicos fecisse et alios, alibi docuimus: quorum " partes postea susceperunt Abelardi sequaces et tota nomi-" nalium fecta." BRUCKER, vol. i. p. 619.

#### NOTE [H], page 170.

" CECULO XI. Roscelinus vel Rucclinus sacerdos et phi-" losophus Compendiensis, ab Aristotele secessium fecit, " et in Stoicorum castra ita transiit, ut statuerit, universalia, " nec ante rem, nec in re existere, nec ullam habere realem " existentiam, sed esse nuda nomina et voces, quibus rerum " fingularium genera denotentur."

BRUCKER, Hift. Phil. vol. iii. p. 906.

" Dum Porphyrius prudenter quæstionem; an universalia " revera existant, omittendam esse censet, de quâ inter Pla-" tonicos et Stoicos mire decertari noverat, occasionem sup-" peditavit otiofo Rofcelini ingenio, eam novo acumine ingenii aggrediendi definiendique. Ibid. vol. iii. p. 674. Roscelinus was a native of Brittany, and canon of Com-

piegne. He is much celebrated, even by his adverfaries, for the acuteness and subtlety of his genius, which he displayed both in fcholastical and theological controversy. He was condemned for Tritheism by a council assembled at Soissons in the year 1092. (See Mosheim's Ecclefiastical History.) It does not appear that he ever taught in Paris, or that he gave public lectures; but he had the honour to direct the

studies,

studies, and to form the philosophical opinions of Abelard, by whose means the innovations he had introduced into Dialectics obtained a very wide and rapid circulation.—
(BRUCKER, vol. iii. p. 728.) He is mentioned as an Englishman by Mallet, in his life of Bacon, and by other Writers; a mistake into which they have fallen, by consounding Britain with Bretagne. Very little is known of the particulars of his life. "Primum nominalium aiunt suisse," fays Leibnitz; "nescio quem Rucelinum Britonem." See his Dissertation de Stylo Philosophico Marii Nizolii.

The opinion of Abelard concerning Universals, is said to have differed, in some respects, from that of his master. "Alius consistit in vocibus," says John of Salisbury, who was a scholar of Abelard, "licet hæc opinio cum Roscelino fuo fere omnio jam evanuerit: alius sermones intuetur, et ad illos detorquet, quicquid alicubi de universalibus meminit seriptum. In hac autem opinione deprehensus est Peripateticus Abelardus noster."

Metalog. lib. ii. c. 17.

Of this difference between the doctrines of Roscelinus and Abelard, I find myself perfectly unable to give any account; and I am glad to find that Morhoff acknowledges his ignorance upon the same subject. "Alii surrunt, qui universalia quæsiverunt, non tam in vocibus quam in fermonibus integris; quod Joh. Sarisberiensis adscribit Petro Abelardo; quo quid intelligat ille, mihi non satis liquet."

Polybist. tom. ii. lib. i. cap. 13. § 2.

Abfurd as these controversies may now appear, such was the prevailing taste of the twelfth century, that they seduced the young and aspiring mind of Abelard from all the other pursuits which Europe then presented to his ambition.—
"Ut militaris gloriæ pompam," says he, "cum hæreditate et prærogativa primogenitorum meorum fratribus derelin"quens, Martis curiæ penitus abdicarem, ut Minervæ gremio educarer."

Hist. Calam. Suar. c. 1.

Among the literary men of this period, none feems to have arisen to such an eminent superiority above his age,

in the liberality of his philosophical views, as John of Salifbury, the celebrated friend of archbishop Becket. In his youth he had studied at Paris under Abelard and other eminent masters, and had applied himself, with distinguished ardour and success, to the subtile speculations which then occupied the schools. After a long absence, when his mind was enlarged by more liberal and useful pursuits, and by an extensive intercourse with the world, he had the curiosity to revisit the scene of his early studies, and to compare his own acquisitions with those of his old companions. The account which he gives of this visit is strikingly characteristical, both of the writer and of his age: "Inventi sunt, qui suerant, et ubi: neque enim ad palmam visi sunt processisse ad quæstiones pristinas dirimendas, neque propositiunculam unam

" adjecerant. \_\_\_\_\_ Expertus itaque fum quod

" liquido colligi potest, quia sicut dialectica alias expedit

" disciplinas, sic, si sola fuerit, jacet exsanguis et sterilis. 
" &c." 
Metalog. lib. ii. cap. 10.

The same Author, speaking of the controversy between the Nominalists and the Realists, thus expresses himself:

" Quæstionem de generibus et speciebus in qua laborans

" mundus jam fenuit, in qua plus temporis confumptum est quam in acquirendo et regendo orbis imperio confumserit

"Cæfarea domus: plus effusum pecuniæ, quam in omnibus

"divitiis fuis possederit Cræsus. Hæc enim tamdiu multos

" tenuit, ut cum hoc unum tota vita quærerent, tandem

" nec istud, nec aliud invenirent."

De Nugis Curialium, lib. vii. cap. 12.

#### NOTE [I], page 187.

"

SECTA nominalium, omnium inter scholasticas profundissima, et hodiernæ resormatæ philoso"phandi rationi congruentissima; quæ quum olim maxime floreret, nunc apud scholasticos quidem, extincta est.

"Unde conjicias decrementa potius quam augmenta acu-

" minis.

"minis. Quum autem ipfe Nizolius noster se Nominalem exfertè profiteri non dubitet prope finem capitis sexti, libri primi; et verò in realitate formalitatum et universalium evertenda nervus disputationis ejus omnis potissimum contineatur, pauca quædam de Nominalibus subjicere operæ pretium duxi. Nominales sunt, qui omnia putant esse nuda nomina præter substantias singulares, abstractorum igitur et universalium realitatem prorsus tollunt. Primum autem nominalium aiunt suisse nescio quem Rucelinum Britonem, cujus occasione cruenta certamina in academia Parissensi fuerunt excitata.

" Din autem jacuit in tenebris fecta nominalium, donec " maximi vir ingenii, et eruditionis pro illo ævo fummæ, Wilhelmus Occam Anglus, Scoti discipulus, sed mox " oppugnator maximus, de improvifo eam refufcitavit; con-" fensere Gregorius Ariminensis, Gabr. Biel, et plerique " ordinis Augustinianorum, unde et in Martini Lutheri " feriptis prioribus amor nominalium fatis elucet, donec " procedente tempore erga omnes monachos æqualiter " affectus esse cœpit. Generalis autem regula est, qua se nominales passim utuntur; entia non esse multiplicanda " præter necessitatem. Hæc regula ab aliis passim oppug-" natur, quasi injuria in divinam ubertatem, liberalem of potius quam parcam, et varietate ac copia rerum gauden-" tem. Sed, qui sic objiciunt, non fatis mihi nominalium " mentem cepisse videntur, quæ, etsi obscurius proposita, " huc redit : hypothefin eo effe meliorem, quo fimpliciorem, " et in causis eorum quæ apparent reddendis eum optime " fe gerere, qui quam paucissima gratis supponat. Nam " qui aliter egit, eo ipso naturam, aut potius autorem ejus " Deum ineptæ superfluitatis accusat. Si quis astronomus " rationem phenomenorum cœlestium reddere potest paucis " fuppositis, meris nimirum motibus simplicibus circularibus, e ejus certé hypothesis ejus hypothesi præferenda erit, qui " multis orbibus varie implexis ad explicanda cœlestia indiget. Ex hac jam regula nominales deduxerunt, omnia

"in rerum natura explicari posse, etsi universalibus et sormalitatibus realibus prorsus careatur; qua sententia nihil
verius, nihil nostri temporis philosopho dignius, usque
adeo, ut credam ipsum Occamum non suisse nominaliorem,
quam nunc est Thomas Hobbes, qui, ut verum fatear,
mihi, plusquam nominalis videtur. Non contentus enim
cum nominalibus universalia ad nomina reducere, ipsam
rerum veritatem ait in nominibus consistere, ac, quod
majus est, pendere ab arbitrio humano, quia veritas pendeat a definitionibus terminorum, definitiones autem terminorum ab arbitrio humano. Hæc est sententia viri
inter profundissimos seculi censendi, qua, ut dixi, nihil
potest esse nominalius."

This paffage from Leibnitz has given rife to a criticism of Morhoff, which appears to me to be extremely ill-founded.—

"Accense nominalibus" (fays he,) "Leibnitzius Thomam Hobbensium, quem ille ipso Occamo nominaliorem, et plusquam nominalem vocat, qui non contentus cum nominalibus universalia ad nomina reducere, ipsam rerum veritatem ait in nominibus consistere, ac quod majus est, pendere ab arbitrio humano. Quæ bella ejus sententia, quamquam laudat eam Leibnitzius, monstri aliquid alit, ac plane nequam est. Immania enim ex uno summo paradoxo sluunt absurda."

Morhof. Polyhistor. vol. ii. page 81.

I shall not at present enter into a particular examination of the doctrine here ascribed to Hobbes, which I shall have occasion to consider afterwards under the article of Reasoning. I cannot, however, help remarking, that nothing but extreme inattention to the writings of Leibnitz, could have led Morhoff to suppose, that he had given his sanction to such an opinion. In the very passage which has now been quoted, the expression ("qui ut verum fatear, mihi plus" quam nominalis videtur") plainly implies a censure of Hobbes's philosophy; and in another differtation, entitled, Meditationes de Cognitione, Veritate, et Ideis, he is at pains directly

directly to refute this part of his fystem:—" Atque ita habemus quoque discrimen inter definitiones nominales, quæ notas tantum rei ab aliis discernendæ continent, et reales, ex quibus constat rem esse possibilem, et hac ratione satisfit Hobbio qui veritates volebat esse arbitrarias, quia ex definitionibus nominalibus penderent, non considerans realitatem definitionis in arbitrio non esse, necquassibet notiones inter se posse conjungi. Nec definitiones nominales sufficient ad persectam scientiam, nis quando aliunde constat rem definitam esse possibilem, &c. &c."

LEIBNITZII Opera, Edit. Dutens, tom. ii. p. 16, 17.

## NOTE [K], page 193.

TO form a clear notion of truth, it is very necessary to consider truth of thought, and truth of words, diftinctly one from another: but yet it is very difficult to treat of them asunder: because it is unavoidable, in treating of mental propositions, to make use of words: and then the instances given of mental propositions cease immediately to be barely mental, and become verbal. For a mental proposition being nothing but a bare consideration of the ideas, as they are in our minds stripped of names, they lose the nature of purely mental propositions, as soon as they are put into words.

"And that which makes it yet harder to treat of mental and verbal propositions separately, is that most men, if not all, in their thinking and reasonings within themselves, make use of words, instead of ideas, at least when the subject of their meditation contains in it complex ideas."

LOCKE, book iv. c. 5. § 3, 4.

"——— But to return to the confideration of truth. We must, I say, observe two forts of propositions, that we are capable of making.

" First,

"First, mental, wherein the ideas in our understandings are without the use of words put together or separated by the mind, perceiving or judging of their agreement or disagreement.

"Secondly, verbal propositions, which are words, the figns of our ideas put together or separated in affirmative or negative sentences, &c."

Ibid. 6 5.

"Though the examining and judging of ideas by themfelves, their names being quite laid afide, be the best and
furest way to clear and distinct knowledge; yet through
the prevailing custom of using sounds for ideas, I think it
is very seldom practised. Every one may observe, how
common it is for names to be made use of, instead of
the ideas themselves, even when men think and reason
within their own breasts: especially if the ideas be very
complex, and made up of a great collection of simple
ones. This makes the consideration of words and propositions so necessary a part of the treatise of knowledge,
that it is very hard to speak intelligibly of the one, without explaining the other.

"All the knowledge we have, being only of particular or of general truths, it is evident that whatever may be done in the former of these, the latter can never be well made known, and is very seldom apprehended, but as conceived and expressed in words."

Book iv. c. 6. § 1, 2.

From these passages it appears, that Locke conceived the use which we make of words in carrying on our reasonings both with respect to particular and to general truths, to be chiefly the effect of custom; and that the employment of language, however convenient, is not essential to our intellectual operations. His opinion therefore did not coincide with that which I have ascribed to the Nominalists.

On the other hand, the following paffage shews clearly, how widely his opinion differed from that of the Realists; and indeed it would have led us to believe that it was the

fame with Berkeley's, had not the foregoing quotations contained an explicit declaration of the contrary.

"To return to general words, it is plain, by what has " been faid, that general and univerfal belong not to the real " existence of things, but are the inventions and creatures of " the understanding, made by it for its own use, and con-" cern only figns, whether words or ideas. Words are " general, as has been faid, when used for figns of general " ideas, and fo are applicable indifferently to many particu-" lar things; and ideas are general, when they are fet up as " the reprefentatives of many particular things: but univer-" fality belongs not to things themselves, which are all of " them particular in their existence: even those words and " ideas which in their fignification are general. When, "therefore, we quit particulars, the generals that rest are only creatures of our own making; their general nature " being nothing but the capacity they are put into by the " understanding, of fignifying or representing many parti-" culars. For the fignification they have is nothing but a " relation that by the mind of man is added to them."

Book iii. c. 3. § 11.

On the whole, it is evident, that Mr. Locke was neither completely fatisfied with the doctrine of the Nominalifts, nor with that of the Realifts; and therefore I think it is with good reason that Dr. Reid has classed him with the Conceptualists. Indeed, Mr. Locke has put this matter beyond all doubt himself; for, in explaining the manner in which we conceive universals, he has stated his opinion in the strongest and most paradoxical and most contradictory terms. The ridicule bestowed on this part of his philosophy by the Author of Martinus Scriblerus, although censured for unsairness by Dr. Warburton, is almost justified by some of his expressions.

NOTE [L], page 202.

IN a letter from Leibnitz to a Scotch gentleman (Mr. Burnet of Kemney), dated in the year 1697, there is the following paffage:

" J'ay confideré avec attention le grand ouvrage du cha-" ractere réel, et langage philosophique de Monsieur Wil-"kins. Je trouve qu'il y a mis une infinité de belles choses, " et nous n'avons jamais eu une table des predicamens plus accomplie. Mais l'application pour les characteres, et " pour la langue, n'est point conforme à ce qu'on pouvoit et devoit faire. j'avois confideré cette matiere avant le " livre de Monsieur Wilkins, quand j'etois un jeune homme " de dix neuf ans, dans mon petit livre de arte combinatoria, et mon opinion est que ces characteres veritablement réels " & philosophiques doivent repondre a l'analyse des pensées. "Il est vray que ces characteres presupposent la veritable " philosophie, et ce n'est que presentement que j'oserois " entrependre de les fabriquer. Les objections de M. Dal-" garus, et de M. Wilkins, contre la methode veritablement " philosophique ne font que pour excuser l'imperfection de " leurs effais, et marquent seulement les difficultés qui les en " ont rebutés."

The letter, of which this is a part, was published at the end of A Defence of Dr. CLARKE, (which I believe is commonly ascribed to Dr. Gregory Sharpe,) and which was printed at London in 1744. The person mentioned by Leibnitz under the name of M. Dalgarus, was evidently George Dalgarno, a native of Aberdeen, and author of a small and very rare book, intitled, "Ars Signorum, vulgo character universalis" et lingua philosophica, qua poterunt, homines diversissimorum idiomatum, spatio duarum septimanarum, omnia animi sui sensa, (in rebus familiaribus,) non minus intelligibiliter, sive scribendo, sive loquendo, mutuo communicare, quam linguis propriis vernaculis. Præterca, hinc etiam poterunt juvenes, philosophia principia, et veram logicæ praxin, citius et facilius multo imbibere, quam ex vulgaribus philosophorum scriptis."

It is very remarkable that this work of Dalgarno is never (at least as far as I recollect) mentioned by Wilkins; although it appears from a letter of Charles I. prefixed to Dalgarno's book, that Wilkins was one of the persons who had recommended him to the royal favour.

The treatife de Arte Combinatoria is published in the second volume of Dutens' edition of Leibnitz's works, but it does not appear to me to throw much light on his views with

respect to a philosophical language.

I must request the indulgence of the reader for adding to the length of this note, by quoting a passage from another performance of Leibnitz; in which he has fallen into a train of thought remarkably similar to that of Mr. Hume and Dr. Campbell, in the passages already quoted from them in this section. The performance is entitled, Meditationes de Cognitione, Veritate, & Ideis, and is printed in the second volume of Dutens' edition.

" Plerumque autem, præfertim in analysi longiore, non totam simul naturam rei intuemur, sed rerum loco signis " utimur, quorum explicationem in præfenti aliqua cogita-" tione compendii caufa folemus prætermittere, fcientes, aut " credentes nos eam habere in potestate: ita cum chiliogo-" num, feu polygonum mille æqualium laterum cogito, non " semper naturam lateris, et æqualitatis, et millenarii (seu " cubi a denario) confidero, fed vocabulis istis (quorum " fensus obscure faltem, atque imperfecte menti obversatur) " in animo utor loco idearum, quas de iis habeo, quoniam " memini me fignificationem istorum vocabulorum habere, " explicationem autem nunc judico necessariam non esse; " qualem cogitationem cæcam, vel etiam fymbolicam appel-" lare foleo, qua et in algebra, et in arithmetica utimur, imo " fere ubique. Et certe cum notio valde composita est, non " poffumus omnes ingredientes eam notiones fimul cogi-" tare: ubi tamen hoc licet, vel faltem in quantum licet, " cognitionem voco intuitivam. Notionis distinctæ pri-" mitivæ 0 0

" mitivæ non alia datur cognitio, quam intuitiva, ut compositarum plerumque cogitatio non nisi symbolica est.

"Ex his jam patet, nos eorum quoque, quæ distincte cognoscimus, ideas non percipere, nisi quatenus cogitatione intuitiva utimur. Et sane contingit, ut nos sæpe falso credamus habere in animo ideas rerum, cum salso supponimus aliquos terminos, quibus utimur, jam a nobis fuisse explicatos: nec verum aut certe ambiguitati obnoxium est, quod aiunt aliqui, non posse nos de re aliqua dicere, intelligendo quod dicimus, quin ejus habeamus ideam. Sæpe enim vocabula ista singula utcunque intelligimus, aut nos antea intellixisse meminimus, qui tamen hac cogitatione cæca contenti sumus, et resolutionem notionum non satis prosequimur, fit ut lateat nos contratidictio, quam forte notio composita involvit."

# NOTE [M], page 223.

As the passage quoted in the text is taken from a work which is but little known in this country, I shall sub-

join the original.

" Qu'il me soit permis de présenter à ceux qui refusent de croire à ces persectionnemens successifs de l'espèce " humaine un exemple pris dans les fciences où la marche " de la vérité est la plus sûre, où elle peut être mesurée " avec plus de précision. Ces vérités élémentaires de "géométrie et d'astronomie qui avoient été dans l'Inde " et dans l'Egypte une doctrine occulte, fur laquelle des rêtres ambitieux avoient fondé leur empire, étoient " dans la Grèce, au temps d'Archimède ou d'Hipparque, des connoissances vulgaires enseignées dans les écoles communes. Dans le siècle dernier, il suffisoit de quelques " années d'étude pour favoir tout ce qu'Archimède et Hip-" parque avoient pu connoître; et aujourd'hui deux années " de l'enseignement d'un professeur vont au-delà de ce que "favoient Leibnitz ou Newton. Qu'on médite cet exemple, " qu'ou 15

" qu'on faisisse cette chaîne qui s'étend d'un prêtre de Mem-" phis à Euler, et remplit la distance immense qui les sépare; " qu'on observe à chaque époque le génie devançant le " siècle présent, et la médiocrité atteignant à ce qu'il avoit " découvert dans celui qui précédoit, on apprendra que la " nature nous a donné les moyens d'épargner le temps et " de ménager l'attention, et qu'il n'existe aucune raison de croire que ces moyens puissent avoir un terme. On verra " qu'au moment où une multitude de folutions particu-" lières, de faits isolés commencent à épuiser l'attention, " à fatiguer la mémoire, ces théories dispersées viennent se " perdre dans une méthode générale, tous les faits fe " réunir dans un fait unique, et que ces généralifations, ces " réunions répétées n'ont, comme les multiplications fuc-" cessives d'un nombre par lui-même, d'autre limite qu'un " infini auquel il est impossible d'atteindre."

Sur l'Instruction publique, par M. CONDORCET.

#### :Continuation of Note [M]. (Second Edition.)

HOW much is it to be regretted, that a doctrine so pleasing, and, at the same time, so philosophical, should have been disgraced by what has been since written by Condorcet and others, concerning the Perfectibility of Man, and its probable effect in banishing from the earth, Vice, Disease, and Mortality! Surely they who can reconcile their minds to such a Creed, might be expected to treat with some indulgence the credulity of the multitude. Nor is it candid to complain of the slow progress of Truth, when it is blended with similar extravagances in Philosophical Systems.

While, however, we reject these absurdaties, so completely contradicted by the whole analogy of human affairs, we ought to guard with no less caution against another Creed, much more prevalent in the present times;—a Creed which taking for granted that all things are governed by

O o 2

chance

chance or by a blind deftiny, overlooks the beneficent arrangement made by Providence for the advancement and for the diffusion of useful knowledge; and, in defiance both of the moral fuggestions and of the universal experience of mankind, treats with ridicule the fupposed tendency of truth and justice to prevail finally over falfehood and iniquity. If the doctrine which encourages these favourable prospects of the future fortunes of our race, leads, when carried to an extreme, to paradox and inconfiftency; the fystem which reprefents this doctrine, even when flated with due limitations, as altogether groundlefs and vifionary, leads, by a fhort and inevitable process, to the conclusions either of the Atheist or of the Manichæan. In the midft, indeed, of fuch fcenes of violence and anarchy as Europe has lately witneffed, it is not always eafy for the wifeft and best of men to remain faithful to their principles and their hopes: But what must be the opinions and the views of those, who, during these storms and convulsions of the Moral World, find at once, in the apparent retrogradation of Human Reason, the gratification of their Political Ambition, and the fecret triumph of their Sceptical theories? ——

Fond, impious Man! Think'st thou you Sanguine Cloud, Rais'd by thy breath, has quench'd the Orb of Day? To-morrow, he repairs the golden flood, And warms the Nations with redoubled ray.

NOTE [N], page 251.

I'T may be proper to remark, that under the title of Economists, I comprehend not merely the disciples of Quesnay, but all those writers in France, who, about the same time with him, began to speculate about the natural order of political societies; or, in other words, about that order which a political society would of itself gradually assume, on the supposition that law had no other object than to protect completely the natural rights of individuals, and left every man at liberty to pursue his own interest in his own way, as long as he abstained from violating the rights

rights of others. The connection between this natural order and the improvement of mankind, has been more infifted on by the biographers of Turgot than by any other authors; and the imperfect hints which they have given of the views of that truly great man upon this important subject, leave us much room to regret that he had not leifure to execute a work, which he appears to have long meditated, on the principles of moral and political philosophy.

Vie de M. Turgot. Partie ii. p. 53.

It is merely for want of a more convenient expression that I have distinguished these different writers by the title of Economists. It is in this extensive sense that the word is commonly understood in this country; but I am sensible that it is somewhat ambiguous, and that, without the explanation which I have given, some of my observations might have been supposed to imply a higher admiration than I really entertain of the writings of M. Quesnay, and of the affected phraseology employed by his sect.

The connexion between M. Turgot and M. Quesnay, and the coincidence of their opinions about the most effential principles of legislation, will I hope justify me in ranking the former with the Economists; although his views seem to have been much more enlarged than those of his contemporaries; and although he expressly disclaimed an implicit acquiescence in the opinions of any particular sect.

"M. Turgot étudia la doctrine de M. Gournay et de M. Quesnay, en profita, se la rendit propre; et la combinant avec la connoissance qu'il avoit du Droit, & avec les grandes vues de législation civile & criminelle qui avoient occupé sa tête & interéssé son cœur, parvint à en former sur le gouvernement des nations un corps de principes à lui, embrassant les deux autres, et plus complet encore."

Mémoires sur la Vie et les Ouvrages de M. Turgot, par M. Dupont, p. 40, 41.

## NOTE [O], page 347.

THE foregoing observations on the state of the mind in fleep, and on the phenomena of dreaming, were written as long ago as the year 1772; and were read (nearly in the form in which they are now published) in the year 1773, in a private literary fociety in this university. A considerable number of years afterwards, at the time when I was occupied with very different pursuits, I happened, in turning over an old volume of the Scots Magazine, (the volume for the year 1749,) to meet with a short essay on the same Subject, which furprized me by its coincidence with fome ideas which had formerly occurred to me. I have reason to believe that this essay is very little known, as I have never feen it referred to by any of the numerous writers who have fince treated of the human mind; nor have even heard it once mentioned in conversation. I had fome time ago the fatisfaction to learn accidentally, that the author was Mr. Thomas Melville, a gentleman who died at the early age of 27; and whose ingenious observations on light and colours (published in the Essays of the Edinburgh Philosophical Society) are well known over Europe.

The passages which coincide the most remarkably with the doctrine I have stated, are the following. I quote the first with particular pleasure, on account of the support which it gives to an opinion which I formerly proposed in the essay on Conception, and on which I have the misfortune to differ from some of my friends.

" When

"When I am walking up the High-street of Edinburgh, the objects which strike my eyes and ears give me an idea of their presence; and this idea is lively, full, and permanent, as arising from the continued operation of light and sound on the organs of sense.

"Again, when I am absent from Edinburgh, but conceiving or imagining myself to walk up the High-street, in
relating, perhaps, what befel me on such an occasion, I
have likewise in my mind an idea of what is usually seen
and heard in the High-street; and this idea of imagination
is entirely similar to those of sensation, though not so
frong and durable.

" In this last instance, while the imagination lasts, be " it ever fo short, it is evident that I think myself in the " street of Edinburgh, as truly as when I dream I am " there, or even as when I fee and feel I am there. It " is true, we cannot fo well apply the word belief in this " case; because the perception is not clear or steady, being " ever diffurbed, and foon diffipated, by the fuperior ftrength " of intruding fenfation: yet nothing can be more absurd " than to fay, that a man may, in the fame individual in-" ftant, believe he is in one place, and imagine he is in another. " No man can demonstrate that the objects of sense exist " without him; we are confcious of nothing but our " own fenfations: however, by the uniformity, regularity, " confistency, and steadiness of the impression, we are led to " believe, that they have a real and durable cause without " us; and we observe not any thing which contradicts this " opinion. But the ideas of imagination, being transient " and fleeting, can beget no fuch opinion, or habitual be-" lief; though there is as much perceived in this case as " in the former, namely, an idea of the object within the " mind. It will be easily understood, that all this is in-" tended to obviate an objection that might be brought " against the similarity of dreaming and imagination, from " our believing in fleep that all is real. But there is one 004 " fact,

" fact, that plainly fets them both on a parallel, that in 
" fleep we often recollect that the fcenes which we behold

" are a mere dream, in the fame manner as a person wawake is habitually convinced that the representations of

" his imagination are fictitious."

"In this effay we make no inquiry into the state of the body in sleep.

— "If the operations of the mind in fleep can be fairly deduced from the fame causes as its operations when awake, we are certainly advanced one confiderable step, though the causes of these latter should be still unknown. The doctrine of gravitation, which is the most wonderful and extensive discovery in the whole compass of human science, leaves the descent of heavy bodies as great a mystery as ever. In philosophy, as in geometry, the whole art of investigation lies in reducing things that are difficult, intricate, and remote, to what is simpler and easier of access, by pursuing and extending the analogies

" of nature."
On looking over the fame effay, I find an observation which I stated as my own in page 150 of this work. "The mere imagination of a tender scene in a romance, or drama, will draw tears from the eyes of those who know

"very well, when they recollect themfelves, that the whole

" is fictitious. In the mean time they must conceive it as real; and from this supposed reality arises all its influence

" on the human mind."

#### Continuation of Note [O]. (Second Edition.)

SOON after the publication of the First Edition of this Work, a difficulty was started to me with respect to my conclusions concerning the state of the mind in sleep, by my excellent friend Mr. Prévost of Geneva; a gentleman who has long held a high rank in the republic of letters, and to whose valuable correspondence I have often been indebted for much pleasure and instruction. The same difficulty

difficulty was proposed to me, nearly about the same time, by another friend (then at a very early period of life), who has since honourably distinguished himself by his observations on Dr. Darwin's Zoonomia; the first fruits of a philosophical genius, which, I trust, is destined for yet more important undertakings \*.

As Mr. Prévost has, in the present instance, kindly aided me in the task of removing his own objection, I shall take the liberty to borrow his words:

the liberty to borrow his words:

"Sans l'action de la Volonté point d'effort d'attention.

Sans quelque effort d'attention point de Souvenir. Dans

" le Sommeil, l'action de la Volonté est suspendue. Com-

" ment donc reste-t-il quelque Souvenir des Songes?

" Je vois bien deux ou trois réponses à cette difficulté.

"Quant à présent, elles se réduisent à dire, ou que dans

" un Sommeil parfait, il n'y a nul Souvenir, et que là où il y a Souvenir, le Sommeil n'étoit pas parfait; ou que

" ou il y a Souvenir, le Sommell n'etoit pas parrait; ou que " l'action de la Volonté qui fussit pour le Souvenir n'est pas

l'action de la Volonte qui fuffit pour le Souvenir n'est pas

" fuspendue dans le Sommeil; que ce degré d'activité reste

" à l'ame; que ce n'est, pour ainsi dire, qu'une Volonté

" élémentaire et comme infenfible."

I am abundantly fensible of the force of this objection; and am far from being satisfied, that it is in my power to reconcile completely the apparent inconsistency. The general conclusions, at the same time, to which I have been led, seem to result so necessarily from the sacts I have stated, that even although the difficulty in question should remain for the present unsolved, it would not, in my opinion, materially affect the evidence on which they rest. In all our inquiries, it is of consequence to remember, that when we have once arrived at a general principle by a careful induction, we are not entitled to reject it, because we may find ourselves unable to explain from it, synthetically, all the phenomena in which it is concerned. The Newtonian

<sup>\*</sup> Obtervations on the Zoonomia of Dr. Darwin. By Thomas Brown, Eq. Edinburgh, 1793.

Theory

Theory of the Tides is not the less certain, that some apparent exceptions occur to it, of which it is not easy (in confequence of our imperfect knowledge of the local circumstances by which, in particular cases, the effect is modified)

to give a fatisfactory explanation.

Of the folutions fuggested by Mr. Prévost, the first coincides most nearly with my own opinion; and it approaches to what I have hinted (in page 147 of this work) concerning the seeming exceptions to my doctrine, which may occur in those cases where sleep is partial. A strong confirmation of it, undoubtedly, may be derived from the experience of those persons (several of whom I have happened to meet with) who never recollect to have dreamed, excepting when the soundness of their sleep was disturbed by some derangement in their general health, or by some accident which excited a bodily sensation.

Another folution of the difficulty might perhaps be derived from the facts (stated in pp. 104, 105, of this volume) which prove, "that a perception or an idea, which passes "through the mind, without leaving any trace in the memory, may yet serve to introduce other ideas connected with it by the Laws of Association."

From this principle it follows, that if any one of the more remarkable circumstances in a dream should recur to us after we awake, it might (without our exerting during sleep that attention which is essential to memory) revive the same concatenation of particulars with which it was formerly accompanied. And what is a dream, but such a concatenation of seeming events presenting itself to the imagination during our waking hours; the origin of which we learn by experience to refer to that interval which is employed in sleep;—finding it impossible to connect it with any specific time or place in our past history? One thing is certain, that we cannot, by any direct acts of recollection, recover the train of our sleeping thoughts, as we can, in an evening, review the meditations of the preceding day.

Another

Another cause, it must be owned, presents an obstacle to fuch efforts of recollection; and is, perhaps, adequate of itself to explain the fact. During the day, we have many aids to memory which are wanting in fleep (those, in particular, which are furnished by the objects of our external fenses); and of these aids we never fail to avail ourselves, in attempting to recollect the thoughts in which the day has been spent. We consider, in what PLACE we were at a particular time, and what perfons and things we there faw; endeavouring thus to lay hold of our intellectual processes, by means of the fenfible objects with which they were affociated: and yet, with all these advantages, the account which most men are able to give of their meditations at the close of a long summer's day, will not be found to require many fentences. As in fleep, our communication with the external world is completely interrupted, it is not furprifing, that the memory of our dreams should be much more imperfect than that of our waking thoughts; even supposing us to bestow at the moment, an equal degree of attention on both.

It is of more importance to remark, in the prefent argument, that those persons who are subject to Somnambulism, seldom, if ever, retain any recollection of the objects of their perceptions, while under the influence of this disorder. If the principles I have endeavoured to establish be just, this is a necessary consequence of their inattention to what then passes around them; an inattention of which nobody can doubt, who has had an opportunity of witnessing the vacant and unconscious stare which their eyes exhibit. The same fact illustrates strongly the suspension, during sleep, of those voluntary powers, to which the operations both of mind and body are at other times subjected.

These considerations derive additional evidence from a common remark, that idle people are most apt to dream, or, at least, to recollect their dreams. The thoughts of the busy and of the studious are directed by their habitual occu-

pations

pations into a particular channel; and the spontaneous course of their ideas is checked, and turned aside, by the unremitted activity of their minds. In the heedless and dissipated, the thoughts wander carelessly from object to object, according to the obvious relations of resemblance and of analogy, or of vicinity in place and time. As these are the prevailing principles of association in sleep, the chances that the dreams of such men shall be again presented to them in the course of the following day, are infinitely multiplied.

Which of these folutions approaches most nearly to the real state of the fact, I do not presume to decide. I think it probable, that both of them are entitled to notice, in comparing the phenomena of dreaming with the general principles to which I have endeavoured to refer them. In cases where our dreams are occasione: by bodily sensations, or by bodily indisposition, it may be expected that the disturbed state of our rest will prevent that total cessation of the power of attention, which takes place when fleep is profound and complete; and, in such instances, the attention which is given to our passing thoughts, may enable us afterwards to retrace them by an act of recollection. On the other hand, the more general fact unquestionably is, that at the moment of our awaking, the interval spent in sleep prefents a total blank to the memory; and yet, it happens not unfrequently, that, at the distance of hours, some accidental circumstance occurring to our thoughts, or fuggested to us from without, revives a long train of particulars affociated in the mind with each other; to which train (not being able to account otherwife for the concatenation of its parts) we give the name of a Dream.

After all, I am very far from supposing that I have exhausted this subject; and I shall be sully satisfied with the success of my inquiries, if those who are qualified to distinguish between legitimate and hypothetical theories shall admit, that I have pointed out the plan on which these phenomena should be studied, and have made some progress,

(how

(how finall foever) towards its execution. Much additional light, I am fensible, might have been easily thrown on this part of our constitution, as well as upon many others, if I had not imposed on myself the restraint of adhering, whereever it was at all possible, to the modes of speaking employed by my predecessors in describing our mental operations.

One remark I must beg leave to recommend to the confideration of those who may hereafter engage in this refearch; that, among the aftonishing appearances exhibited by the mind in fleep, a very large proportion are precifely analogous to those of which we are every moment conscious while awake. If the exciting causes, for example, of our Dreams feem mysterious and inscrutable. is not the fact the fame with the origin of every idea or thought which fpontaneously folicits our notice? The only difference is, that in the latter instance, in consequence of long and constant familiarity, they are surveyed by all with little wonder, and by most with hardly any attention. In the former instance, they rouse the curiofity of the most illiterate, from their comparative infrequency, and from the contrast which, in some respects, they present to the results of our habitual experience. - It is thus, that a peafant who has been accustomed from his infancy to see, without any emotion, the fall of heavy bodies to the ground, never fails to express the liveliest admiration when he first witnesses the powers of the loadstone.

In fuch cases, the researches of genuine science have a tendency to produce two moral effects equally beneficial. The one is to illustrate the unity of design in nature, by reconciling what seems, from its rarity or singularity, to be mysterious or incomprehensible, with the general laws which are familiarized to us by daily experience; the other, to counteract the effects of familiarity in blunting our natural curiosity with respect to these laws, by leading the thoughts to some of their more curious and apparently anomalous applications.

The

The phenomena of Dreaming may perhaps, in this last point of view, form an article not altogether useless in the Natural History of Man; inafmuch as they contribute to attract our attention to those intellectual powers, from which it is fo apt to be withdrawn by that external world, which affords the first, and (for the common purposes of life) the most interesting field for their exercise. In my own case, at least, this supposition has been exactly verified; as the fpeculations concerning the human mind which I have ventured to prefent to the public, all took their rife from the subject to which this note refers. The observations which I have stated with respect to it in the text (excepting a very few paragraphs fince added) were written at the age of eighteen, and formed a part of the first philosophical effay which I recollect to have attempted. The fame effay contained the substance of what I have introduced in chapter third, concerning the belief accompanying conception; and of the remarks stated in the third section of chapter sifth, on the extent of the power which the mind has over the train of its thoughts. When I was afterwards led professionally, at the distance of many years, to resume the same studies, this short manuscript was almost the only memorial I had preserved of these favourite pursuits of my early youth; and from the views which it recalled to me, infenfibly arose the Analysis I have fince undertaken of our intellectual faculties in general.

For some indulgence to the egotism of this note, I must trust to the good-nature of my readers. It has been lengthened much beyond my original intention, by an anxiety (not, I hope, unpardonable in an Author) to fix the date of some of my disquisitions and conclusions, of which it is highly probable I may magnify the importance beyond their just value. The situation of a public teacher, (I must beg leave to add,) by giving an immediate circulation to the doctrines he delivers, exposes him to many inconveniences which other classes of literary men have in their power to avoid.

Before concluding these remarks, I cannot help reminding my readers once more, that my fundamental principle with

respect to the state of the mind in sleep is, - not, that the power of volition is then fuspended; but, that the influence of the will over the faculties both of mind and body is then interrupted. (See pp. 330, 331, 332, 333.) I mention this chiefly, in order to mark the difference between my doctrine and that maintained in Dr. Darwin's Zoonomia. According to this ingenious writer, "the power of volition is totally " fufpended in perfect fleep." (Zoonomia, vol. i. p. 315.) -"In the Incubus" (he observes) "the desire of moving the " body is painfully exerted; but the power of moving it, or " volition, is incapable of action till we awake." (p. 288.) Would he not have stated the fact more correctly, if he had faid, that volition is painfully exerted; but that the power of moving the body is fuspended? In the very accurate phraseology of Mr. Locke, "volition is an act of the mind, " knowingly exerting that dominion it takes itself to have " over any part of the man, by employing it in, or with-" holding it from, any particular action." This act of the mind Dr. Darwin expresses by the word desire; an indistinctnefs still extremely common among metaphysical writers; although it was long ago remarked and cenfured by the eminent author just quoted: " I find" (fays Locke) " the " will often confounded with defire, and one put for the " other; and that by men, who would not willingly be " thought not to have very distinct notions of things, and " not to have written very clearly about them." (Effay on Human Understanding, vol. i. p. 203. 13th edition.)

## NO'TE [P], page 349.

D.R. REID has, with great truth, observed, that Des Cartes' reasoning against the existence of the secondary qualities of matter, owe all their plausibility to the ambiguity of words.—When he affirms, for example, that the smell of a

rose is not in the flower but in the mind, his proposition amounts only to this, that the rose is not conscious of the sensation of smell; but it does not follow from Des Cartes' reasonings, that there is no quality in the rose which excites the sensation of smell in the mind; — which is all that any person means when he speaks of the smell of that slower. For the word smell, like the names of all secondary qualities, signifies two things, a sensation in the mind, and the unknown quality which sits it to excite that sensation\*. The same remark applies to that process of reasoning by which Des Cartes attempts to prove that there is no heat in the sire.

All this, I think, will be readily allowed with respect to smells and tastes, and also with respect to heat and cold; concerning which I agree with Dr. Reid, in thinking that Des Cartes' doctrine, when cleared of that air of mystery which it derives from the ambiguity of words, differs very little, if at all, from the commonly received notions. But the case seems to be different with respect to colours, of the nature of which the vulgar are apt to form a very confused conception, which the Philosophy of Des Cartes has a tendency to correct. Dr. Reid has justly distinguished the quality of colour from what he calls the appearance of colour. which last can only exist in a mind †. Now I am disposed

\* Some judicious remarks on this ambiguity in the names of fecondary qualities, are made by Malebranche:

<sup>&</sup>quot;It is only (fays he) fince the time of Des Cartes, that those confused and indeterminate questions, whether fire is hot, grass green, and fugar sweet, phisolophers are in use to answer, by distinguishing the equivocal meaning of the words expressing sensible qualities. If by heat, cold, and savour, you understand such and such a disposition of parts, or some unknown motion of insensible particles, then fire is hot, grass green, and sugar sweet. But if by heat and other qualities you understand what I feel by fire, what I see in grass, &c. fire is not hot, nor grass green; for the heat I feel, and the colours I see are only in the soul."

<sup>†</sup> Dr. Akenside, in one of his Notes on his Pleasures of IMAGINATION, observes, that colours, as apprehended by the mind, do not exist in the body. By this qualification, he plainly means to distinguish what Dr. Reid calls the appearance of colour, from colour considered as a quality of matter.

to believe, that when the vulgar speak of colour, they commonly mean the appearance of colour; or rather they associate the appearance and its cause so intimately together, that they find it impossible to think of them separately. The sensation of colour never forms one simple object of attention to the mind like those of smell and taste; but every time we are conscious of it, we perceive at the same time extension and sigure. Hence it is, that we find it impossible to conceive colour without extension, though certainly there is no more necessary connexion between them, than between extension and smell.

From this habit of affociating the two together, we are led also to affign them the same place, and to conceive the different colours, or (to use Dr. Reid's language) the appearance of the different colours, as something spread over the surfaces of bodies. I own that when we reflect on the subject with attention, we find this conception to be indistinct, and see clearly that the appearance of colour can exist only in a mind: but still it is some consused notion of this sort, which every man is disposed to form who has not been very familiarly conversant with philosophical inquiries.—I

Inquiry into the Human Mind, chap. vi. fect. 4.

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Reid is of opinion, that the vulgar always mean to express by the word colour, a quality, and not a fenfation. "Colour (fays he) differs from other fe-" condary qualities in this, that whereas the name of the quality is fometimes " given to the fenfation which indicates it, and is occasioned by it, we never, as " far as I can judge, give the name of colour to the fensation, but to the quality " only." This question is of no confequence for us to discuss at present, as Dr. Reid acknowledges in the following paffage, that the fenfation and quality are fo intimately united together in the mind, that they feem to form only one fimple object of thought. " When we think or fpeak of any particular colour, however " fimple the notion may feem to be which is prefented to the imagination, it is " really in some fort compounded; it involves an unknown cause and a known " effect. The name of colour belongs indeed to the cause only, and not to the " effect. But as the cause is unknown, we can form no distinct conception of " it, but by its relation to the known effect. And therefore both go together in "the imagination, and are fo closely united that they are mistaken for one simple " object of thought."

find, at least, that fuch is the notion which most readily

prefents itself to my own mind.

Nor is this reference of the fensation, or appearance of colour to an external object, a fact altogether fingular in our constitution. It is extremely analogous to the reference which we always make, of the fenfations of touch to those parts of the body where the exciting causes of the sensations exist.-If I strike my hand against a hard object, I naturally fay, that I feel pain in my hand. The philosophical truth is, that I perceive the cause of the pain to be applied to that part of my body. The fenfation itself I cannot refer in point of place to the hand, without conceiving the foul to be spread over the body by diffusion.

A still more striking analogy to the fact under our confideration, occurs in those fensations of touch which we refer to a place beyond the limits of the body; as in the case of pain

felt in an amputated limb.

The very intimate combination to which the foregoing observations on the fensation of colour relate, is taken notice of by d'Alembert in the Encyclopedie, as one of the most curious phenomena of the human mind.

" Il est très évident que le mot couleur ne désigne aucune " propriété du corps, mais feulement une modification de

" notre ame; que la blancheur, par exemple, la rougeur,

&c. n'existent que dans nous, et nullement dans le corps

" auxquels nous les rapportons; néanmoins par une habi-" tude prise dès notre enfance, c'est une chose très singu-

" lière et digne de l'attention des métaphyficiens, que ce

" penchant que nous avons à rapporter à une substance

" matérielle et divifible, ce qui appartient réellement à une

" fubstance spirituelle et simple; et rien n'est peut-être plus

" extraordinaire dans les opérations de notre ame, que de

" la voir transporter hors d'elle-même et étendre, pour ainsi

" dire, fes fensations sur une substance à laquelle elles ne

" peuvent appartenir."

From the following passage in Condillac's Traité des Senfations, it appears that the phenomenon here remarked by d'Alembert, was, in Condillac's opinion, the natural and obvious effect of an early and habitual affociation of ideas. I quote it with the greater pleafure, that it contains the happiest illustration I have seen of the doctrine which I have been attempting to explain

"On pourroit faire une supposition, où l'odorat apprendroit à juger parsaitement des grandeurs, des figures, des
fituations, et des distances. Il suffiroit d'un côté de soumettre les corpuscules odorisérans aux loix de la dioptrique, et de l'autre, de construire l'organe de l'odorat à
peu près sur le modèle de celui de la vue; ensorte que
les rayons odorisérans, après s'être croisés à l'ouverture,
frappassent sur une membrane intérieure autant de points
distincts qu'il y en a sur les surfaces d'où ils seroient
résséchis.

"En pareil cas, nous contracterions bientôt l'habitude d'étendre les odeurs fur les objets, et les philosophes ne manqueroient pas de dire, que l'odorat n'a pas besoin des leçons du toucher pour appercevoir des grandeurs et des figures."

Oeuvres de Condillac .- Edit. Amst. vol. v. p. 223.

#### NOTE [Q], page 350.

"VERUM quidem est, quod hodierni musici sic loqui soleant (acutum in alto reputantes et grave in imo) quodque ex Græcis recentioribus nonnulli sic aliquando (sed raro) loquuti videantur; apud quos sensim inolevit mos sic loquendi.—Sed antiquiores Græci plane contrarium (grave reputantes in alto et acutum in imo). Quod etiam ad Boethii tempora continuatum est, qui in schematismis suis, grave semper in summo ponit, et acutum in imo." David Gregory, in Præsat. ad edit. suam Euclid. Op. Oxon. 1703.

The affociation to which, in modern times, we are habituated from our infancy, between the ideas of acute and high, and between those of grave and low, is accounted for by Dr. Smith, in his Harmonics, from the formation of the voice in finging; which Aristides Quintilianus thus describes: "Γινεται δι ἡ μεν βαςυτης, κατωθεν αναφερομενε τε πνευματος, ἡ δ' οξυτης επιπολῆς προιεμειε, &c. Et quidem gravitas fit, fi ex "inferiore parte (gutturis) spiritus sursum feratur, acumen "vero, fi per summam partem prorumpat;" (as Meibomius translates it in his notes.)

See Smith's Harmonics, p. 3.

Dr. Beattie, in his ingenious Essay on Poetry and Music, says, it is probable that the deepest or gravest sound was called fumma by the Romans, and the shrillest or acutest ima: and he conjectures, that "this might have been owing to "the construction of their instruments; the string that "founded the former being perhaps highest in place, and that which sounded the latter lowest." If this conjecture could be verified, it would afford a proof from the sact, how liable the mind is to be insluenced in this respect by casual combinations.

# NOTE [R], page 395.

THE difference between the effects of affociation and of imagination, (in the fense in which I employ these words,) in heightening the pleasure or the pain produced on the mind by external objects, will appear from the following remarks:

- 1. As far as the affociation of ideas operates in heightening pleafure or pain, the mind is paffive: and accordingly where fuch affociations are a fource of inconvenience, they are feldom to be cured by an effort of our volition, or even by reasoning; but by the gradual formation of contrary affociations. Imagination is an active exertion of the mind; and although it may often be difficult to restrain it, it is plainly distinguishable in theory from the associations now mentioned.
- 2. In every case in which the affociation of ideas operates, it is implied that some pleasure or pain is recalled which was felt by the mind before. I visit, for example, a scene

object

where I have been once happy; and the fight of it affects me, on that account, with a degree of pleafure, which I should not have received from any other scene equally beautiful. I shall not inquire, whether, in such cases, the associated pleafure arises immediately upon the fight of the object, and without the intervention of any train of thought; or whether it is produced by the recollection and conception of former occurrences which the perception recals. neither supposition does it imply the exercise of that creative power of the mind to which we have given the name of Imagination. It is true, that commonly, on fuch occasions, imagination is bufy; and our pleafure is much heightened by the colouring which she gives to the objects of memory. But the difference between the effects which arise from the operation of this faculty, and those which refult from affociation, is not, on that account, the lefs real.

The influence of imagination on happiness is chiefly felt by cultivated minds. That of affociation extends to all ranks of men, and furnishes the chief instrument of education; infomuch that whoever has the regulation of the affociations of another from early infancy, is, to a great degree, the arbiter of his happiness or misery.

Some very ingenious writers have employed the word Affociation in fo extensive a sense, as to comprehend, not only imagination, but all the other faculties of the mind. Wherever the pleasing or the painful effect of an object does not depend solely on the object itself, but arises either wholly or in part from some mental operation to which the perception of it gives rise, the effect is referred to affociation. And undoubtedly, this language may be employed with propriety, if the word Affociation be applied to all the ideas and feelings which may arise in the mind, in consequence o the exercise which the sight of the object may give to the imagination, to the reasoning powers, and to the other principles of our nature. But in this work, and particularly in the second part of chap. v., I employ the word Affociation in a much more limited sense; to express the effect which an

Pp3

object derives from ideas, or from feelings which it does not necessarily fuggest, but which it uniformly recals to the mind, in consequence of early and long-continued habits.

## NOTE [S], page 412.

HE following passage from Malebranche will be a sufficient specimen of the common theories with respect memory.

"In order to give an explanation of memory, it should be called to mind, that all our different perceptions are affixed to the changes which happen to the sibres of the principal parts of the brain, wherein the foul particularly resides.

"This fupposition being laid down, the nature of the memory is explained: for as the branches of a tree, which have continued for some time bent after a particular manner, preferve a readiness and facility of being bent afresh in the same manner; so the sibres of the brain, having once received certain impressions from the current of the animal spirits, and from the action of the objects upon them, retain for a considerable time some facility of receiving the same dispositions. Now the memory consists only in that promptness or facility; since a man thinks upon the same things, whenever the brain receives the same impressions\*."

"The most considerable differences," fays the same Author in another passage, "that are found in one and the same person, during his whole life, are in his infancy, in his maturity, and in his old age. The fibres in the brain in a man's childhood are soft, slexible, and delicate; a riper age dries, hardens, and corroborates them; but in old age they grow altogether inslexible, gross, and in-

"termixed with fuperfluous humours, which the faint and

" languishing heat of that age is no longer able to disperse:

"for as we fee that the fibres which compose the flesh harden by time, and that the slesh of a young partridge is without dispute more tender than that of an old one, so the sibres of the brain of a child, or a young person, must be more soft and delicate than those of persons more advanced in years.

"We shall understand the ground and the occasion of these changes, if we consider that the sibres are continually agitated by the animal spirits, which whirl about them in many different manners: for as the winds parch and dry the earth by their blowing upon it, so the animal spirits, by their perpetual agitation, render by degrees the greatest part of the sibres of a man's brain more dry, more close, and solid; so that persons more stricken in age must necessarily have them almost always more inflexible than those of a lesser standing. And as for those of the same age, drunkards, who for many years together have drank to excess either wine, or other such intoxicating silquors, must needs have them more solid and more instance should be such as the silquors all their lives."

# NOTE [T], page 476.

"THOUGH Sir Isaac's memory was much decayed in the last years of his life, I found he perfectly understood his own writings, contrary to what I had frequently heard in discourse from many persons. This opinion of theirs might arise, perhaps, from his not being always ready at speaking on these subjects, when it might be expected he should. But as to this it may be observed, that great geniuses are frequently liable to be absent, not only in relation to common life, but with regard to some of the parts of science they are the best informed of. Inventors seem to treasure up in their minds what they have sound out, after another manner than those do the same things,

"who have not this inventive faculty. The former, when they have occasion to produce their knowledge, are, in fome measure, obliged immediately to investigate part of what they want. For this they are not equally fit at all times; so it has often happened, that such as retain things chiefly by a very strong memory, have appeared off-hand more expert than the discoverers themselves."

Preface to PEMBERTON'S View of NEWTON'S Philosophy.

## NOTE [U], page 524.

" OING over the theory of virtue in one's thoughts, " talking well, and drawing fine pictures of it; this is " fo far from necessarily or certainly conducing to form a " habit of it in him who thus employs himself, that it may " harden the mind in a contrary courfe, and render it gra-" dually more infenfible; i. e. form a habit of infenfibility " to all moral obligations. For, from our very faculty of " habits, passive impressions, by being repeated, grow " weaker. Thoughts, by often passing through the mind, " are felt less sensibly: being accustomed to danger, begets " intrepidity, i. e. lessens fear; to distress, lessens the passion " of pity; to instances of others' mortality, lessens the fen-" fible apprehension of our own. And from these two ob-" fervations together, that practical habits are formed and " strengthened by repeated acts; and that passive impressions " grow weaker by being repeated upon us; it must follow, " that active habits may be gradually forming and strength-" ening by a course of acting upon such and such motives " and excitements, whilft these motives and excitements " themselves are, by proportionable degrees, growing less " fenfible, i. e. are continually less and less fenfibly felt, " even as the active habits strengthen. And experience " confirms this: for active principles, at the very time they " are less lively in perception than they were, are found to " be, fomehow, wrought more thoroughly into the temper " and character, and become more effectual in influencing our practice. The three things just mentioned may af-" ford instances of it. Perception of danger is a natural " excitement of passive fear and active caution: and by " being inured to danger, habits of the latter are gradually " wrought, at the fame time that the former gradually lef-" fens. Perception of distress in others, is a natural excite-" ment passively to pity, and actively to relieve it: but let " a man fet himfelf to attend to, inquire out, and relieve " diftreffed persons, and he cannot but grow less and less " fenfibly affected with the various miferies of life with " which he must become acquainted; when yet, at the " fame time, benevolence, confidered not as a paffion, but " as a practical principle of action, will strengthen; and " whilst he passively compassionates the distressed less, he " will acquire a greater aptitude actively to affift and be-" friend them. So also, at the same time that the daily in-" stances of men's dying around us, give us daily a less " fensible passive feeling or apprehension of our own mor-" tality, fuch inftances greatly contribute to the ftrengthen-" ing a practical regard to it in serious men; i. e. to form-" ing a habit of acting with a constant view to it."

BUTLER's Analogy, page 122. 3d edit.

THE END.

Strahan and Preston, Printers-Street, London.









